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## I. Introduction

## 1. SEDAR Process Description

SouthEast Data, Assessment, and Review (SEDAR) is a cooperative Fishery Management Council process initiated in 2002 to improve the quality and reliability of fishery stock assessments in the South Atlantic, Gulf of Mexico, and US Caribbean. The improved stock assessments from the SEDAR process provide higher quality information to address fishery management issues. SEDAR emphasizes constituent and stakeholder participation in assessment development, transparency in the assessment process, and a rigorous and independent scientific review of completed stock assessments.
SEDAR is managed by the Caribbean, Gulf of Mexico, and South Atlantic Regional Fishery Management Councils in coordination with NOAA Fisheries and the Atlantic and Gulf States Marine Fisheries Commissions. Oversight is provided by a Steering Committee composed of NOAA Fisheries representatives: Southeast Fisheries Science Center Director and the Southeast Regional Administrator; Regional Council representatives: Executive Directors and Chairs of the South Atlantic, Gulf of Mexico, and Caribbean Fishery Management Councils; a representative from the Highly Migratory Species Division of NOAA Fisheries; and Interstate Commission representatives: Executive Directors of the Atlantic States and Gulf States Marine Fisheries Commissions.
SEDAR 78 addressed the stock assessment for South Atlantic Spanish Mackerel. The assessment process consisted of a series of webinars held from May 2021 - March 2022. The Stock Assessment Report is organized into 2 sections. Section I -Introduction contains a brief description of the SEDAR Process, Assessment and Management Histories for the species of interest, and the management specifications requested by the Cooperator. Section II is the Assessment Process report. This section details the assessment model, as well as documents any data recommendations that arise for new data sets presented during this assessment process, or changes to data sets used previously.
The final Stock Assessment Reports (SAR) for South Atlantic Spanish Mackerel was disseminated to the public in May 2022. The Council's Scientific and Statistical Committee (SSC) will review the SAR for its stock. The SSCs are tasked with recommending whether the assessments represent Best Available Science, whether the results presented in the SARs are useful for providing management advice and developing fishing level recommendations for the Council. An SSC may request additional analyses be conducted or may use the information provided in the SAR as the basis for their Fishing Level Recommendations (e.g., Overfishing Limit and Acceptable Biological Catch). The South Atlantic Fishery Management Council's SSC will review the assessment at its Summer 2022 meeting, followed by the Council receiving the SAR at the Fall 2022 meeting. Documentation on SSC recommendations is not part of the SEDAR process and is handled through each Council

## 2. Atlantic Spanish Mackerel Management Overview

### 2.1 Fishery Management Plan and Amendments

The following summary describes only those management actions that likely affect Atlantic Spanish mackerel fisheries and harvest. FMP Amendments affecting Atlantic Spanish mackerel:

| Description of Action | Amendment | Effective Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - $\operatorname{Set}$ MSY $=$ OY $=$ TAC ( $27,000,000$ pounds $)$. <br> - Minimum size limit for is 12 inches FL, except for incidental catch allowance of $5 \%$ of the total catch by weight aboard. | Original FMP (SAFMC 1982) 48 FR 5274 | February 4, 1983 |
| - Provided framework procedure for pre-season adjustment of TAC. <br> - TAC $=27,000,000$ pounds <br> - Limited purse seine harvest to $300,000 \mathrm{lbs}$ in Atlantic and 300,000 lbs in Gulf <br> - Minimum size limit for the commercial and recreational sectors are 12 inches FL or 14 inches TL. | Amendment 1 (SAFMC 1985) 50 FR 34846 | August 28, 1985 |
| - Revised MSY and clarified TAC must be set below the upper range of the ABC. <br> - Recognized two migratory groups, Gulf and South Atlantic, with Dade/Monroe county line as the migratory group boundary. <br> - $\mathrm{TAC}=2,900,000$ pounds <br> - Established allocations for TAC, commercial (2,200,000 pounds, 76\%) and recreational ( 700,000 pounds, $24 \%$ ). <br> - Established April 1 to March 31 fishing year. <br> - Recreational bag limit of 4 fish in FL and 10 in NC, SC, and GA. <br> - Charter boat permits were required. | Amendment 2 (SAFMC 1987) <br> 52 FR 23836 | June 25,1987 |


| Description of Action | Amendment | Effective Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - Prohibited drift gill nets for coastal pelagics and purse seines for the overfished group of mackerels. | Amendment 3 (SAFMC 1989) 54 FR 29561 | July 13, 1989 |
| - Reallocated Atlantic group Spanish mackerel equally between recreational and commercial fishermen. <br> - $\mathrm{TAC}=6,000,000$ | Amendment 4 (SAFMC 1989) 54 FR 38526 | September 19, 1989 |
| - Extended the management area for the Atlantic groups of mackerels through the Mid Atlantic Fishery Management Council's area of jurisdiction. <br> - Revised the definition of overfishing. <br> - Redefined recreational bag limits as daily limits, and removed the provision specifying that bag limit caught mackerel may be sold. <br> - Size limit for Spanish mackerel is 12 " FL or 14 " TL. <br> - Bag limit is 4 fish off FL and 10 fish north of FL. | Amendment 5 <br> (SAFMC 1990) <br> 55 FR 29370 | July 19, 1990 |


| Description of Action | Amendment | Effective Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - Specified rebuilding periods for overfished mackerel stocks. <br> - Provided for commercial Atlantic Spanish mackerel possession limits. <br> - In the northern zone, boats are restricted to possession limits of 3,500 <br> pounds. In the southern zone trip limit are 1,500 pounds per vessel per day <br> from April 1 to November 30. From December 1 until 80\% of quota is <br> taken: unlimited harvest on Monday, Wednesday, and Friday; 1,500 <br> pounds per vessel per day on Tuesday and Thursday; 500 pounds per vessel <br> per day on Saturday and Sunday. Trip limit 1,000 pounds per vessel per <br> day when 80\% of quota is reached. The adjusted quota for Spanish <br> mackerel is 3,250,000 pounds. | Amendment 6 | (SAFMC 1992) |


| Description of Action | Amendment | Effective Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - Established EFH in the South Atlantic | Amendment 10 (SAFMC 1998) <br> 65 FR 37292 | July 14, 2000 |
| - Addressed Sustainable Fishery Act definitions. | Amendment 11 <br> (SAFMC 1999) | December 1999 |
| - Changed the fishing year for Atlantic group Spanish mackerel to March 1 through February 28/29. | Amendment 15 <br> SAFMC (2004) <br> 70 FR 39187 | July 7, 2005 |
| - Stock $\mathrm{ACL}=5,690,000$ pounds. <br> - Commercial $=3,130,000$ pounds and recreational $=2,560,000$ pounds <br> - Accountability Measures (AMs): Commercial sector to close when commercial ACL will be met; payback when total ACL is exceeded (and overfished). Recreational sector to lower bag limit, if necessary, if total ACL is also exceeded. | Amendment 18 <br> SAFMC 2011 <br> 76 FR 82058 | January 20, 2012 |
| - Established coral HAPCs. | Amendment 19 in CE-BA1 SAFMC 2009 75 FR 35330 | July 22, 2010 |


| Description of Action | Amendment | Effective Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - Prohibits king mackerel and Spanish mackerel bag limit sales in Atlantic except state permitted tournaments. <br> - Removes income requirements for CMP permits. | Amendment 20A <br> SAFMC 2013 <br> 79 FR 34246 | July 16, 2014 |
| - Recreational fishing measures in SC SMZs. | Amendment 21 in CE-BA 2 SAFMC 2011 76 FR 82183 | January 30, 2012 |
| - Requires weekly electronic reporting for headboats in South Atlantic. | Amendment 22 in HB reporting amendment <br> SAFMC 2013 <br> 78 FR 78779 | January 27, 2014 |
| - King mackerel and Spanish mackerel dealers must get the universal permit. <br> - Federal king mackerel and Spanish mackerel permit holders must sell to federal dealer. <br> - Requires weekly electronic reporting for federal dealers. | Amendment 23 in Generic Dealer Amendment | August 7, 2014 |

$\left.\begin{array}{|l|c|c|}\hline \text { Description of Action } & \text { Amendment } & \text { Effective Date } \\ \hline & & \text { SAFMC 2013 }\end{array}\right]$

SAFMC Regulatory Amendments affecting Atlantic Spanish mackerel:

| Description of Action | Amendment | Effective Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - Commercial allocation is $2,360,000$ pounds and recreational allocation is 740,000 pounds. <br> - Bag limits is 4 fish off FL and 10 fish north of FL. | 52 FR 25012 | July 2, 1987 |
| - Final Rule on technical amendment that allows catch of Spanish mackerel under minimum size limit equal to $5 \%$ by weight of total catch or Spanish mackerel on board. | 52 FR 36578 | September 30, 1987 |
| - Changed TAC to $4,000,000$ pounds with 960,000 pounds allocated to the recreational sector and $3,040,000$ pounds allocated to the commercial sector. | 53 FR 25611 | July 8, 1988 |
| - TAC increased to $6,000,000$ pounds with $1,440,000$ pounds allocated to the recreational sector and 4,600,00 pounds allocated to the commercial sector. | 54 FR 24920 | April 1, 1989 |
| - TAC changed to $5,000,000$ pounds with $3,140,000$ pounds allocated to the commercial sector and $1,860,000$ pounds allocated to the recreational sector. | 55 FR 25986 | June 26, 1990 |
| - TAC increased to $7,000,000$ pounds with $3,500,000$ pounds allocated to commercial sector and 3,500,000 pounds allocated to recreational sector. <br> - Bag limit is 10 fish for areas north of FL and 5 fish for FL. | 56 FR 29920 | July 1, 1991 |
| - Increased bag limit in Florida to that adopted by the state of FL but not to exceed 10 fish. | 57 FR 33924 | July 31, 1992 |


| Description of Action | Amendment | Effective Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - TAC increased to $9,000,000$ with $4,500,000$ pounds commercial and $4,500,000$ pounds recreational. <br> - The initial change in the trip limit occurs when $75 \%$ of the quota is met instead of $80 \%$. | 58 FR 40613 | July 29, 1993 |
| - TAC for Atlantic Spanish mackerel is increased to 9,200 , 000 pounds $(4,600,000$ pounds commercial and 4,600,000 pounds recreational). | 59 FR 40509 | April 1, 1994 |
| - TAC increased to $9,400,000$ pounds ( $4,700,000$ pounds commercial and $4,700,000$ pounds recreational). | 60 FR 39698 | April 1, 1995 |
| - Reduced to $7,000,000(3,500,000$ pounds commercial and $3,500,000$ pounds recreational). <br> - Modify trip regime for commercial vessels off Florida east coast: Nov 1 rather than Dec 1 start for unlimited harvest season and increase the Saturday-Sunday daily trip limit from 500 to 1,500 pounds during that season and increase the daily trip limit from 1,000 to 1,500 pounds for all days of the week during the period that follows the unlimited season and continues until the adjusted quota is taken. | 62 FR 23671 | May 1, 1997 |
| - Increased the TAC 1 to $8,000,000$ pounds $(4,000,000$ pounds commercial and $4,000,000$ pounds recreational). | 62 FR 53278 | April 1, 1997 |
| - Decrease the TAC to $6,600,000$ pounds and change the allocation from $50 / 50$ to $55 \%$ commercial ( $3,630,000$ pounds) and $45 \%$ recreational ( $2,970,000$ pounds). | 64 FR 45457 | August 20, 1999 |


| Description of Action | Amendment | Effective Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - Increase TAC to $7,040,000$ pounds with $3,870,000$ pounds commercial and 3,170,000 pounds recreational. <br> - The trip limit from April 1 to November 30 would be 3,500 lb; from December 1 until $75 \%$ of the adjusted quota is taken there would be no trip limit on Monday through Friday and on Saturday and Sunday the trip limit would be $1,500 \mathrm{lbs}$. <br> - The recreational bag limit is increased from 10 to 1 S 5 fish per person per day. <br> - MSY $=5.7-7.5$ million pounds, $\mathrm{Bmsy}=12.2-15.8, \mathrm{MSST}=8.5-11.1, \mathrm{MFMT}=$ 0.38-0.48. | 65 FR 41015 | July 3, 2000 |
| - Reduce Atlantic Spanish mackerel trip limit to 1,500 lbs per day from March 1, 2004 to March 31, 2004. | 69 FR 9969 | March 3, 2004 |
| - Reduce trip limit for Atlantic Spanish mackerel to 1,500 lbs from February 1, 2005 to March 31, 2005. | 70 FR 5569 | February 3, 2005 |
| - Reduce Atlantic Spanish mackerel trip limit to $1,500 \mathrm{lbs}$ from February 5, 2007 to February 28, 2007. | 72 FR 5345 | February 6, 2007 |
| - Change start date for commercial trip limit of the Atlantic Spanish mackerel in southern zone (off FL) to March 1. | 73FR439 | January 3, 2008 |
| - Provisions for transfer at sea for gillnets when one set exceeds Spanish mackerel trip limit | Framework Action <br> SAFMC 2013 <br> 79 FR 68802 | December 19, 2014 |


| Description of Action | Amendment | Effective Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - $\mathrm{ACL}=6,063,000$ pounds with commercial 3,330,000 pounds and recreational $2,727,000$ pounds. | FW Amendment 1 <br> SAFMC 2014 <br> 79 FR 69058 | December 22, 2014 |
| - Trip limits in Southern Zone (SC, GA, FL): 3,500lbs until 75\% adjusted quota is met, then $1,500 \mathrm{lbs}$ until adjusted quota is met and then 500 lbs until the full quota is met. | FW Amendment 2 <br> SAFMC 2014 <br> 80 FR 40936 | August 13, 2015 |
| - Permit restrictions: removes the restriction on fishing for, or retaining, the recreational bag and possession limits of king and Spanish mackerel on a vessel with a Federal commercial permit for king or Spanish mackerel when commercial harvest of king or Spanish mackerel in a zone or region is closed. | FW Amendment 5 <br> SAFMC 2016 <br> 82 FR 35658 | August 31, 2017 |

### 2.2 Emergency and Interim Rules (if any)

| Description of Action | FRN | Effective Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -Divided 3.716 million pounds quota into three areas <br> with 1.869 million pounds going to the Atlantic. <br> ○The Atlantic boundary was bounded by the <br> North Carolina/Virginia state line and a line <br> directly east of the Dade/Monroe County, <br> $\quad$Florida boundary. <br> - Established a recreational bag limit of 4-fish per trip <br> and allowed sale of recreationally caught Spanish <br> mackerel under the bag limit. | 52 FR 290 | January 5, 1987 |
| - January 1, 1987 to March 31, 1987 |  |  |
| 90-day extension of January 1, 1987 to March 31, |  |  |
| 1987 emergency rule for Spanish mackerel. |  |  |

### 2.3 Secretarial Amendments (if any)

None for Atlantic Spanish mackerel.

### 2.4 Control Date Notices (if any)

March 7, 2019: participants who enter the commercial sector after March 7, 2019, will not be assured of future access if a management regime that limits participation in the sector is prepared and implemented.

### 2.5 Management Program Specifications

Table 2.5.1. General Management Information

| Species | Spanish mackerel (Scomberomorus maculatus) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Management Unit | Atlantic migratory group Spanish mackerel |
| Management Unit Definition | All waters from the intersection of New York, <br> Connecticut, and Rhode Island to a line extending <br> due east of the Miami-Dade/Monroe County line |
| Management Entity | South Atlantic Fishery Management Council <br> (Note: Mid-Atlantic Council participates as <br> voting member on South Atlantic Council's <br> Mackerel Cobia Committee.) |
| Management Contacts <br> SERO / Council | SAFMC: Christina Wiegand <br> SERO: Mary Vara/Karla Gore |
| Current stock exploitation status | Not undergoing overfishing |
| Current stock biomass status | Not overfished |

Table 2.5.2. Management Parameters

| Criteria | South Atlantic - Current (SEDAR 28) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Definition | Values | Units |
| M | Average of Lorenzen M (if used) | 0.35 | Instantaneous natural mortality; per year |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\text {Current }}$ | Geometric mean of full fishing mortality rates for 2009-2011 (F2009-2011) | 0.36 | Per year |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\text {Target }}$ |  |  |  |
| Yield at $\mathrm{F}_{\text {TARGET }}$ (equilibrium) |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\text {MSY }}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{\text {MSY }}$ | 0.69 | Per year |
| $\mathrm{B}_{\text {MSY }}$ | Biomass at MSY | 9548 | Metric tons |
| $\mathrm{R}_{2012}$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {MSY }}$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {UNFISHED }}$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{SSB}_{2011}$ | Spawning stock biomass in 2011 | 4862 | Metric tons |
| $\mathrm{SSB}_{\text {MSY }}$ | Spawning stock biomass at MSY | 3266 | Metric tons |
| MSST ${ }^{1}$ | $\text { MSST }=[(1-\mathrm{M}) \text { or } 0.7$ <br> whichever is greater]* $\mathrm{B}_{\text {MSY }}$ | 2127 | Metric tons |
| MFMT | $\mathrm{F}_{\text {MSY }}$ | 0.69 | Per year |
| MSY | Yield at $\mathrm{F}_{\text {MSY }}$ | 2750 | Metric tons |
| OY | Yield at $\mathrm{F}_{\text {OY }}$ |  |  |
| Foy | $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{OY}}=65 \%, 75 \%, 85 \%$ <br> $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 65 \% \mathrm{~F}_{\text {OY }}=0.449 \\ & 75 \% \mathrm{~F}_{\text {OY }}=0.518 \\ & 85 \% \mathrm{~F}_{\text {OY }}=0.587 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Exploitation Status | $\mathrm{F}_{2009-2011} / \mathrm{F}_{\text {MSY }}$ | 0.526 |  |
|  | $\mathrm{F}_{2011} / \mathrm{F}_{\text {MSY }}$ | 0.521 |  |
| Biomass Status | $\mathrm{SSB}_{2011} / \mathrm{MSST}$ | 2.29 |  |
|  | $\mathrm{SSB}_{2011} / \mathrm{SSB}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$ | 1.49 |  |
| Terminal F (2011) |  |  |  |
| Terminal Biomass (2011) ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |
| Generation Time |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{T}_{\text {Rebuild }}$ (if appropriate) |  |  |  |

Table 2.5.2. Management Parameters Continued

| Criteria | South Atlantic - Proposed (SEDAR 78) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Definition | Base Run Values | Units | Median of Base <br> Run MCBs |
| M | Average of Lorenzen M (if used) |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\text {Current }}$ | Geometric mean of full fishing mortality rates for 2009-2011 (F2009-2011) |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\text {TARGET }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Yield at $\mathrm{F}_{\text {TARGet }}$ (equilibrium) |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\text {MSY }}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{\text {MSY }}$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{B}_{\text {MSY }}{ }^{1}$ | Biomass at MSY |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {MSY }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| SSB |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{SSB}_{\text {MSY }}$ | Spawning stock biomass at MSY |  |  |  |
| MSST ${ }^{1}$ | MSST $=[(1-\mathrm{M})$ or 0.7 <br> whichever is greater] ${ }^{*} \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$ |  |  |  |
| MFMT | $\mathrm{F}_{\text {MSY }}$ |  |  |  |
| MSY | Yield at $\mathrm{F}_{\text {MSY }}$ |  |  |  |
| OY | Yield at Foy |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\text {OY }}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{OY}}=65 \%, 75 \%, 85 \%$ <br> $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$ |  |  |  |
| Exploitation Status |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Biomass Status ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Terminal F |  |  |  |  |
| Terminal Biomass ${ }^{1}$ | - |  |  |  |
| Generation Time | - |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{T}_{\text {Rebuild }}$ (if appropriate) | - |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Biomass values reported for management parameters and status determinations should be based on the biomass metric recommended through the Assessment process and SSC. This may be total, spawning stock or some measure thereof, and should be applied consistently in this table.

NOTE: "Proposed" columns are for indicating any definitions that may exist in FMPs or amendments that are currently under development and should therefore be evaluated in the current assessment. Please clarify whether landings parameters are 'landings' or 'catch' (Landings + Discard). If 'landings', please indicate how discards are addressed.

## Table 2.5.3. Stock Rebuilding Information

None - Atlantic migratory group Spanish mackerel is not currently overfished.
Table 2.5.4. General Projection Specifications
South Atlantic

| First Year of Management | $2024 / 2025$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Interim basis | ACL, if ACL is met. <br> Average exploitation, if ACL is not met. |
| Projection Outputs | Pounds and numbers |
| Landings | Pounds and numbers |
| Discards | F \& Probability F>MFMT |
| Exploitation | SSB \& Probability SSB $>$ MSST <br> (and Prob. SSB $>S S B M S Y ~ i f ~ u n d e r ~ r e b u i l d i n g ~$ <br> plan) |
| Biomass (total or SSB, as <br> appropriate) | Number |
| Recruits |  |

Table 2.5.5. Base Run Projections Specifications. Long Term and Equilibrium conditions.

| Criteria | Definition | If overfished | If overfishing | Neither overfished nor overfishing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Projection Span | Years | Trebuild | 10 | 10 |
| Projection <br> Values | $\mathrm{F}_{\text {Current }}$ | X | X | X |
|  | FMSY | X | X | X |
|  | 75\% F MSY | X | X | X |
|  | $\mathrm{F}_{\text {Rebuild }}$ | X |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{F}=0$ | X |  |  |

NOTE: Exploitation rates for projections may be based upon point estimates from the base run (current process) or upon the median of such values from the MCBs evaluation of uncertainty. The critical point is that the projections be based on the same criteria as the management specifications.

Table 2.5.6. P-star projections. Short term specifications for OFL and ABC recommendations.
Additional P-star projections may be requested by the SSC once the ABC control rule is applied.

| Basis | Value | Years to Project | $\mathrm{P}^{*}$ applies to |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}^{*}$ | $50 \%$ | Interim +5 | Probability of <br> overfishing |
| $\mathrm{P}^{*}$ | $\mathrm{TBD}^{1}$ | Interim +5 | Probability of <br> overfishing |
| Exploitation | $\mathrm{F}_{\text {MSY }}$ | Interim +5 | NA |
| Exploitation | $75 \%$ of $\mathrm{F}_{\text {MSY }}$ | Interim +5 | NA |

${ }^{1}$ To be determined by the SSC.

## Table 2.5.7. Quota Calculation Details

If the stock is managed by quota, please provide the following information.

|  | Atlantic Spanish Mackerel |
| :--- | :---: |
| Current Acceptable Biological Catch (ABC) and | ACL $=\mathrm{ABC}=\mathrm{OY}$ |
| Total Annual Catch Level (ACL) Value for Spanish | ACL $=6,063,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. |
| Mackerel |  |
| Commercial ACL for Spanish Mackerel | ACL $=3,330,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. |
| Recreational ACL for Spanish Mackerel | ACL $=2,727,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. |
| Next Scheduled Quota Change | After assessment |
| Annual or averaged quota? | Annual |
| If averaged, number of years to average | - |
| Does the quota include bycatch/discard? | No |
|  |  |

How is the quota calculated - conditioned upon exploitation or average landings?

Does the quota include bycatch/discard estimates? If so, what is the source of the bycatch/discard values? What are the bycatch/discard allowances?
The ABC, ACL, and recreational ACT values are based on landed catch only; discards are accounted for in specifying the ABC in terms of landed catch and not total mortality.

Are there additional details of which the analysts should be aware to properly determine quotas for this stock?
No.

### 2.6 Management and Regulatory Timeline

See attached tables below.

Spanish Mackerel
Table 2.5.8 Atlantic Migratory Group Spanish Mackerel Commercial Regulatory History prepared by: Christina Wiegand, SAFMC staff

| Year | Quota (Ibs ww) | ACL (lbs ww) | Days Open | Fishing Season | Reason for Closure | Season Start Date (first day implemented) | Season end Date (last day effective) | Size Limit | Size Limit Start Date | Size Limit End Date | $\begin{gathered} \text { Retention } \\ \text { Limit (\# } \\ \text { fish) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Retention Limit Start Date | Retention Limit End Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1983{ }^{1}$ | 27,000,000 | NA | 365 | OPEN | NA | 2/4/1983 | 12/31/1983 | 12-in FL | 2/4/1983 | 12/31/1983 | N/A | 2/4/1983 | 12/31/1983 |
| $1984{ }^{2}$ | 27,000,000 | NA | 365 | OPEN | NA | 1/1/1984 | 12/31/1984 | 12 -in FL | 1/1/1984 | 12/31/1984 | N/A | 1/1/1984 | 12/31/1984 |
| $1985{ }^{4}$ | 27,000,000 | NA | 365 | OPEN | NA | 1/1/1985 | 12/31/1985 | 12-in FL or 14-in TL | 1/1/1985 | 12/31/1985 | N/A | 1/1/1985 | 12/31/1985 |
| $1986{ }^{4}$ | 27,000,000 | NA | 378 | OPEN | NA | 1/1/1986 | 1/14/1987 | 12-in FL or 14-in TL | 1/1/1986 | 1/14/1987 | N/A | 1/1/1986 | 1/14/1987 |
| 1987 | 2,360,000 | NA | 272 | CLOSED | QUOTA MET | 4/1/1987 | 12/29/1987 | 12 -in FL or 14-in TL | 4/1/1987 | 12/29/1987 | N/A | 4/1/1987 | 12/29/1987 |
| 1988 | 3,040,000 | NA | 272 | CLOSED | QUOTA MET | 4/1/1988 | 12/29/1988 | 12 -in FL or 14-in TL | 4/1/1988 | 12/29/1988 | N/A | 4/1/1988 | 12/29/1988 |
| 1989 | 3,240,000 | NA | 365 | OPEN | NA | 4/1/1989 | 3/31/1990 | 12 -in FL or 14-in TL | 4/1/1989 | 3/31/1990 | N/A | 4/1/1989 | 3/31/1990 |
| $1990{ }^{3}$ | 3,140,000 | NA | 279 | CLOSED | QUOTA MET | 4/1/1990 | 1/25/1991 | 12-in FL or 14-in TL | 4/1/1990 | 1/25/1991 | N/A | 4/1/1990 | 1/25/1991 |
| 1991 | 3,500,000 | NA | 263 | CLOSED | QUOTA MET | 4/1/1991 | 12/20/1991 | 12-in FL or 14-in TL | 4/1/1991 | 12/20/1991 | N/A | 4/1/1991 | 12/20/1991 |
| 1992 | 3,500,000 | NA | 365 | OPEN | NA | 4/1/1992 | 3/31/1993 | 12-in FL | 4/1/1992 | 3/31/1993 | a, b | 4/1/1992 | 3/31/1993 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1,000 | 1/7/1993 | 2/19/1993 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 500 | 2/20/1993 | 3/31/1993 |
| 1993 | 3,500,000 | NA | 365 | OPEN | NA | 4/1/1993 | 3/31/1994 | 12-in FL | 4/1/1993 | 3/31/1994 | a, c | 4/1/1993 | 12/21/1993 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,000 | 12/22/1993 | 2/17/1994 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 500 | 2/18/1994 | 3/31/1994 |
| 1994 | 4,600,000 | NA | 365 | OPEN | NA | 4/1/1994 | 3/31/1995 | 12-in FL | 4/1/1994 | 3/31/1995 | a, c | 4/1/1994 | 1/28/1995 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,000 | 1/29/1995 | 3/31/1995 |
| 1995 | 4,700,000 | NA | 365 | OPEN | NA | 4/1/1995 | 3/31/1996 | 12-in FL | 4/1/1995 | 3/31/1996 | a, c | 4/1/1995 | 3/31/1996 |
| 1996 | 3,500,000 | NA | 365 | OPEN | NA | 4/1/1996 | 3/31/1997 | 12-in FL | 4/1/1996 | 3/31/1997 | a, c | 4/1/1996 | 3/31/1997 |
| 1997 | 3,500,000 | NA | 365 | OPEN | NA | 4/1/1997 | 3/31/1998 | 12-in FL | 4/1/1997 | 3/31/1998 | a, d | 4/1/1997 | 12/15/1997 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,500 | 12/16/1997 | 3/31/1998 |
| 1998 | 4,000,000 | NA | 365 | OPEN | NA | 4/1/1998 | 3/31/1999 | 12-in FL | 4/1/1998 | 3/31/1999 | a, d | 4/1/1998 | 2/9/1999 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,500 | 2/10/1999 | 3/31/1999 |
| 1999 | 3,630,000 | NA | 365 | OPEN | NA | 4/1/1999 | 3/31/2000 | 12-in FL | 4/1/1999 | 3/31/2000 | a,d | 4/1/1999 | 3/31/2000 |
| 2000 | 3,870,000 | NA | 365 | OPEN | NA | 4/1/2000 | 3/31/2001 | 12-in FL | 4/1/2000 | 3/31/2001 | a, e | 4/1/2000 | 3/31/2001 |
| 2001 | 3,870,000 | NA | 365 | OPEN | NA | 4/1/2001 | 3/31/2002 | 12 -in FL | 4/1/2001 | 3/31/2002 | a, e | 4/1/2001 | 3/31/2002 |
| 2002 | 3,870,000 | NA | 365 | OPEN | NA | 4/1/2002 | 3/31/2003 | 12 -in FL | 4/1/2002 | 3/31/2003 | a, e | 4/1/2002 | 3/31/2003 |
| 2003 | 3,870,000 | NA | 365 | OPEN | NA | 4/1/2003 | 3/31/2004 | 12-in FL | 4/1/2003 | 3/31/2004 | a, e | 4/1/2003 | 2/28/2004 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,500 | 3/1/2004 | 3/31/2004 |
| 2004 | 3,870,000 | NA | 365 | OPEN | NA | 4/1/2004 | 3/31/2005 | 12-in FL | 4/1/2004 | 3/31/2005 | a, e | 4/1/2004 | 1/31/2005 |
| - | , | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,500 | 2/1/2005 | 3/31/2005 |
| 2005 | 3,870,000 | NA | 365 | OPEN | NA | 4/1/2005 | 3/31/2006 | 12-in FL | 4/1/2005 | 3/31/2006 | $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{e}$ | 4/1/2005 | 3/31/2006 |
| 2006 | 3,870,000 | NA | 365 | OPEN | NA | 3/1/2006 | 2/28/2007 | 12-in FL | 3/1/2006 | 2/28/2007 | a, e | 3/1/2006 | 2/4/2006 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,500 | 2/5/2007 | 2/28/2007 |
| 2007 | 3,870,000 | NA | 365 | OPEN | NA | 3/1/2007 | 2/29/2008 | 12-in FL | 3/1/2007 | 2/29/2008 | a, e | 3/1/2007 | 2/29/2008 |
| 2008 | 3,870,000 | NA | 365 | OPEN | NA | 3/1/2008 | 2/28/2009 | 12-in FL | 3/1/2008 | 2/28/2009 | a, e | 3/1/2008 | 2/28/2009 |
| 2009 | 3,870,000 | NA | 365 | OPEN | NA | 3/1/2009 | 2/28/2010 | 12-in FL | 3/1/2009 | 2/28/2010 | a, e | 3/1/2009 | 2/28/2010 |
| 2010 | 3,870,000 | NA | 365 | OPEN | NA | 3/1/2010 | 2/28/2011 | 12-in FL | 3/1/2010 | 2/28/2011 | a, e | 3/1/2010 | 2/21/2011 |
| - | , | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | 1,500 | 2/22/2011 | 2/28/2011 |
| 2011 | 3,870,000 | NA | 365 | OPEN | NA | 3/1/2011 | 2/29/2012 | 12-in FL | 3/1/2011 | 2/29/2012 | a, e | 3/1/2011 | 1/26/2012 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  | - | - | - | 1,500 | 1/27/2012 | 2/29/2012 |
| 2012 | SEE ACL | 3,870,000 | 365 | OPEN | NA | 3/1/2012 | 2/28/2013 | 12-in FL | 3/1/2012 | 2/28/2013 | a, e | 3/1/2012 | 1/5/2013 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,500 | 1/6/2013 | 2/28/2013 |

Table 2.5.8 Atlantic Migratory Group Spanish Mackerel Commercial Regulatory History prepared by: Christina Wiegand, SAFMC staff

| Year | Quota (Ibs ww) | ACL (Ibs ww) | Days Open | Fishing Season | Reason for Closure | Season Start Date (first day implemented) | Season end Date (last day effective) | Size Limit | Size Limit Start Date | Size Limit End Date | $\begin{gathered} \text { Retention } \\ \text { Limit (\# } \\ \text { fish) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Retention Limit Start Date | Retention Limit End Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2013 | SEE ACL | 3,130,000 | 365 | OPEN | NA | 3/1/2013 | 2/28/2014 | 12-in FL | 3/1/2013 | 2/28/2014 | a, e | 3/1/2013 | 1/16/2014 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,500 | 1/17/2014 | 2/28/2014 |
| 2014 | SEE ACL | 3,130,000 | 365 | OPEN | NA | 3/1/2014 | 2/28/2015 | 12-in FL | 3/1/2014 | 2/28/2015 | a, e | 3/1/2014 | 2/19/2015 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,500 | 2/20/2015 | 2/28/2015 |
| $2015^{5}$ | SEE ACL | 3,330,000 | 365 | OPEN | NA | 3/1/2015 | 2/29/2016 | 12-in FL | 3/1/2015 | 2/29/2016 | f, g | 3/1/2015 | 2/29/2016 |
| $2016^{5}$ | SEE ACL | 3,330,000 | 365 | OPEN | NA | 3/1/2016 | 2/28/2017 | 12-in FL | 3/1/2016 | 2/28/2017 | f, g | 3/1/2016 | 2/28/2017 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,500 | 2/6/2017 | 2/28/2017 |
| $2017{ }^{5}$ | SEE ACL | 3,330,000 | 365 | SZ OPEN | NA | 3/1/2017 | 2/28/2018 | 12-in FL | 3/1/2017 | 2/28/2018 | f, g | 3/1/2017 | 1/26/2018 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,500 | 1/27/2018 | 2/28/2018 |
| - | - | - | 251 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{NZ} \\ \text { CLOSED } \end{gathered}$ | ZONE QUOTA MET | - | 11/7/2017 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| $2018{ }^{5}$ | SEE ACL | 3,330,000 | - | NA | NA | 3/1/2018 | 2/28/2019 | 12-in FL | 3/1/2018 | 2/28/2019 | f, g | 3/1/2018 | 12/25/2018 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,500 | 12/26/2018 | 1/26/2019 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 500 | 1/27/2019 | 2/5/2019 |
| - | - | - | 248 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{NZ} \\ \text { CLOSED } \end{gathered}$ | ZONE QUOTA MET | - | 11/4/2018 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| - | - | - | 341 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{SZ} \\ \text { CLOSED } \end{gathered}$ | ZONE QUOTA MET | - | 2/5/2019 | - | - | ${ }^{-}$ | - | - | - |
| $2019{ }^{5}$ | SEE ACL | 3,330,000 | 365 | SZ OPEN | NA | 3/1/2019 | 2/29/2020 | 12-in FL | 3/1/2019 | 2/29/2020 | f, g |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,500 | 12/24/2019 |  |
| - | - | - | - | , | - | - | - | - | - | - | 500 | 1/29/2020 |  |
| - | - | - | 156 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{NZ} \\ \text { CLOSED } \end{gathered}$ | ZONE QUOTA MET | - | 8/24/2019 | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Notes:
1 Spanish mackerel managed as a single stock throughout the Gulf and South Atlantic.
2 Spanish mackerel managed as two migratory groups (Atlantic and Gulf migratory) from this point forward.
3 Management area extended from TX through NC to TX through NY.
4 Stock quota
5 Separate Northern (20\%) and Southern Zone (80\%) quotas.
Trip Limit Codes:
a Northern Zone (north of Florida/Georgia): 3,500
 per day on Tuesday and Thursday; 500 pounds per vessel per day on Saturday and Sunday. Trip limit 1,000 pounds per vessel per day when $80 \%$ of quota is reached.
 per day on Tuesday and Thursday; 500 pounds per vessel per day on Saturday and Sunday. Trip limit 1,000 pounds per vessel per day when $75 \%$ of quota is reached.
d Southern Zone (east Florida): 1,500 pounds per vessel per day from April 1 to OCtober 31. From November 1 until $80 \%$ of quota is taken: unlimited harvest on Monday,

 trip limit would be $1,500 \mathrm{lbs}$.
f Northern Zone (north of North Carolina/South Carolina): 3,500
g Southern Zone (SC, GA, east FL): 3,500lbs until $75 \%$ adjusted quota is met, then $1,500 \mathrm{lbs}$ until adjusted quota is met and then 500lbs until the full quota is met.

Table 2.5.9 Atlantic Migratory Group Spanish Mackerel Recreational Regulatory History prepared by: Christina Wiegand, SAFMC staff

| Year | Quota (Ibs ww) | ACL (Ibs ww) | Days Open | Fishing Season | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Reason } \\ & \text { for } \\ & \text { Closure } \end{aligned}$ | Season Start Date (first day implemented) | Season end Date (last day effective) | Size Limit | Size Limit <br> Start Date | Size Limit End Date | Retention Limit (\# fish) | Retention Limit Start Date | Retention Limit End Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1983{ }^{\text {1a }}$ | 27,000,000 | NA | 365 | OPEN | NA | 2/4/1983 | 12/31/1983 | 12-in FL | 2/4/1983 | 12/31/1983 | NA | NA | NA |
| $1984{ }^{\text {1a }}$ | 27,000,000 | NA | 365 | OPEN | NA | 1/1/1984 | 12/31/1984 | 12-in FL | 1/1/1984 | 12/31/1984 | NA | NA | NA |
| $1985{ }^{\text {1a }}$ | 27,000,000 | - | 365 | OPEN | NA | 1/1/1985 | 12/31/1985 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 12-in FL or } \\ 14 \text {-in TL } \end{gathered}$ | 8/28/1985 | 12/31/1985 | NA | NA | NA |
| $1986{ }^{\text {1a }}$ | 27,000,000 | NA | 455 | OPEN | NA | 1/1/1986 | 3/31/1987 | $\begin{gathered} 12 \text {-in FL or } \\ 14 \text {-in TL } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 1/1/1986 | 12/31/1986 | NA | NA | NA |
| $1987{ }^{2}$ | 740,000 | NA | 365 | OPEN | NA | 4/1/1987 | 12/31/1987 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 12-in FL or } \\ 14 \text {-in TL } \end{gathered}$ | 1/1/1987 | 12/31/1987 | $\begin{gathered} \text { GA to } \mathrm{NC}=10 \mathrm{pp} / \text { trip } \\ \mathrm{FL}=4 \mathrm{pp} / \text { trip } \end{gathered}$ | 7/2/1987 | 12/31/1987 |
| 1988 | 960,000 | NA | 276 | CLOSED | $\begin{gathered} \text { QUOTA } \\ \text { MET } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 4/1/1988 | 10/3/1988 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 12-in FL or } \\ 14 \text {-in TL } \end{gathered}$ | 4/1/1988 | 10/3/1988 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GA to } \mathrm{NC}=10 \mathrm{pp} / \text { trip } \\ & \mathrm{FL}=4 \mathrm{pp} / \text { trip } \end{aligned}$ | 4/1/1988 | 10/3/1988 |
| 1989 | 2,760,000 | NA | 365 | OPEN | NA | 4/1/1989 | 3/31/1990 | $\begin{gathered} 12 \text {-in FL or } \\ 14 \text {-in TL } \end{gathered}$ | 4/1/1989 | 3/31/1990 | $\begin{gathered} \text { GA to } N C=10 \mathrm{pp} / \text { trip } \\ \text { FL }=4 \mathrm{pp} / \text { trip } \end{gathered}$ | 4/1/1989 | 3/31/1990 |
| $1990{ }^{3}$ | 1,860,000 | NA | 365 | OPEN | NA | 4/2/1990 | 3/31/1991 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 12-in FL or } \\ 14 \text {-in TL } \end{gathered}$ | 4/2/1990 | 3/31/1991 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GA to } N Y=10 \mathrm{pp} / \text { trip } \\ & \text { FL }=4 \mathrm{pp} / \text { trip } \end{aligned}$ | 4/2/1990 | 3/31/1991 |
| 1991 | 3,500,000 | NA | 365 | OPEN | NA | 4/3/1991 | 12/31/1991 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 12-in FL or } \\ 14 \text {-in TL } \end{gathered}$ | 4/3/1991 | 12/31/1991 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GA to } N Y=10 \mathrm{pp} / \text { trip } \\ & \mathrm{FL}=5 \mathrm{pp} / \text { trip } \end{aligned}$ | 7/1/1991 | 12/31/1991 |
| 1992 | 3,500,000 | NA | 365 | OPEN | NA | 1/1/1992 | 12/31/1992 | 12-in FL | 12/9/1992 | 12/31/1992 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GA to } N Y=10 \mathrm{pp} / \text { trip } \\ & \mathrm{FL}=10 \mathrm{pp} / \text { trip } \end{aligned}$ | 7/31/1992 | 12/31/1992 |
| 1993 | 3,500,000 | NA | 365 | OPEN | NA | 1/1/1993 | 12/31/1993 | 12-in FL | 1/1/1993 | 12/31/1993 | $\begin{gathered} \text { GA to } \mathrm{NY}=10 \mathrm{pp} / \text { trip } \\ \text { FL }=10 \mathrm{pp} / \text { trip } \end{gathered}$ | 1/1/1993 | 12/31/1993 |
| 1994 | 4,600,000 | NA | 365 | OPEN | NA | 1/1/1994 | 12/31/1994 | 12-in FL | 1/1/1994 | 12/31/1994 | $\begin{gathered} \text { GA to } N Y=10 \mathrm{pp} / \text { trip } \\ \text { FL }=10 \mathrm{pp} / \text { trip } \end{gathered}$ | 1/1/1994 | 12/31/1994 |
| 1995 | 4,700,000 | NA | 365 | OPEN | NA | 1/1/1995 | 12/31/1995 | 12-in FL | 1/1/1995 | 12/31/1995 | $\begin{gathered} \text { GA to } N Y=10 \mathrm{pp} / \text { trip } \\ \text { FL }=10 \mathrm{pp} / \text { trip } \end{gathered}$ | 1/1/1995 | 12/31/1995 |
| 1996 | 3,500,000 | NA | 365 | OPEN | NA | 1/1/1996 | 12/31/1996 | 12-in FL | 1/1/1996 | 12/31/1996 | $\begin{gathered} \text { GA to } N Y=10 \mathrm{pp} / \text { trip } \\ F L=10 \mathrm{pp} / \text { trip } \end{gathered}$ | 1/1/1996 | 12/31/1996 |
| 1997 | 3,500,000 | NA | 365 | OPEN | NA | 1/1/1997 | 12/31/1997 | 12-in FL | 1/1/1997 | 12/31/1997 | $\begin{gathered} \text { GA to } N Y=10 \mathrm{pp} / \text { trip } \\ \mathrm{FL}=10 \mathrm{pp} / \text { trip } \end{gathered}$ | 1/1/1997 | 12/31/1997 |
| 1998 | 4,000,000 | NA | 365 | OPEN | NA | 1/1/1998 | 12/31/1998 | 12-in FL | 1/1/1998 | 12/31/1998 | $\begin{gathered} \text { GA to } N Y=10 \mathrm{pp} / \text { trip } \\ \mathrm{FL}=10 \mathrm{pp} / \text { trip } \end{gathered}$ | 1/1/1998 | 12/31/1998 |
| 1999 | 2,970,000 | NA | 365 | OPEN | NA | 1/1/1999 | 12/31/1999 | 12-in FL | 1/1/1999 | 12/31/1999 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GA to } N Y=10 \mathrm{pp} / \text { trip } \\ & F L=10 \mathrm{pp} / \text { trip } \end{aligned}$ | 1/1/1999 | 12/31/1999 |
| 2000 | 3,170,000 | NA | 365 | OPEN | NA | 1/1/2000 | 12/31/2000 | 12-in FL | 1/1/2000 | 12/31/2000 | $15 \mathrm{pp} /$ trip | 1/1/2000 | 12/31/2000 |
| 2001 | 3,170,000 | NA | 365 | OPEN | NA | 1/1/2001 | 12/31/2001 | 12-in FL | 1/1/2001 | 12/31/2001 | $15 \mathrm{pp} /$ trip | 1/1/2001 | 12/31/2001 |
| 2002 | 3,170,000 | NA | 365 | OPEN | NA | 1/1/2002 | 12/31/2002 | 12-in FL | 1/1/2002 | 12/31/2002 | $15 \mathrm{pp} /$ trip | 1/1/2002 | 12/31/2002 |
| 2003 | 3,170,000 | NA | 365 | OPEN | NA | 1/1/2003 | 12/31/2003 | 12-in FL | 1/1/2003 | 12/31/2003 | $15 \mathrm{pp} /$ trip | 1/1/2003 | 12/31/2003 |
| 2004 | 3,170,000 | NA | 424 | OPEN | NA | 1/1/2004 | 2/28/2005 | 12-in FL | 1/1/2004 | 12/31/2004 | $15 \mathrm{pp} /$ trip | 1/1/2004 | 12/31/2004 |
| 2005 | 3,170,000 | NA | 365 | OPEN | NA | 3/1/2005 | 2/28/2006 | 12-in FL | 3/1/2005 | 2/28/2005 | $15 \mathrm{pp} /$ trip | 3/1/2005 | 2/28/2005 |
| 2006 | 3,170,000 | NA | 365 | OPEN | NA | 3/1/2006 | 2/28/2007 | 12-in FL | 3/1/2006 | 2/28/2006 | $15 \mathrm{pp} /$ trip | 3/1/2006 | 2/28/2006 |
| 2007 | 3,170,000 | NA | 365 | OPEN | NA | 3/1/2007 | 2/29/2008 | 12-in FL | 3/1/2007 | 2/28/2007 | $15 \mathrm{pp} /$ trip | 3/1/2007 | 2/28/2007 |
| 2008 | 3,170,000 | NA | 365 | OPEN | NA | 3/1/2008 | 2/28/2009 | 12-in FL | 3/1/2008 | 2/29/2008 | $15 \mathrm{pp} /$ trip | 3/1/2008 | 2/29/2008 |
| 2009 | 3,170,000 | NA | 365 | OPEN | NA | 3/1/2009 | 2/28/2010 | 12 -in FL | 3/1/2009 | 2/28/2009 | $15 \mathrm{pp} /$ trip | 3/1/2009 | 2/28/2009 |
| 2010 | 3,170,000 | NA | 365 | OPEN | NA | 3/1/2010 | 2/28/2011 | 12-in FL | 3/1/2010 | 2/28/2010 | $15 \mathrm{pp} /$ trip | 3/1/2010 | 2/28/2010 |


| Year | Quota (Ibs ww) | ACL (Ibs ww) | Days Open | Fishing Season | Reason for Closure | Season Start Date (first day implemented) | Season end Date (last day effective) | Size Limit | Size Limit <br> Start Date | Size Limit End Date | ```Retention Limit (# fish)``` | Retention Limit Start Date | Retention Limit End Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2011 | 3,170,000 | NA | 365 | OPEN | NA | 3/1/2011 | 2/29/2012 | 12-in FL | 3/1/2011 | 2/28/2011 | $15 \mathrm{pp} /$ trip | 3/1/2011 | 2/28/2011 |
| 2012 | SEE ACL | 2,560,000 | 365 | OPEN | NA | 3/1/2012 | 2/28/2013 | 12 -in FL | 3/1/2012 | 2/29/2012 | $15 \mathrm{pp} /$ trip | 3/1/2012 | 2/29/2012 |
| 2013 | SEE ACL | 2,560,000 | 365 | OPEN | NA | 3/1/2013 | 2/28/2014 | 12-in FL | 3/1/2013 | 2/28/2013 | $15 \mathrm{pp} /$ trip | 3/1/2013 | 2/28/2013 |
| 2014 | SEE ACL | 2,727,000 | 365 | OPEN | NA | 3/1/2014 | 2/28/2015 | 12-in FL | 3/1/2014 | 2/28/2014 | $15 \mathrm{pp} /$ trip | 3/1/2014 | 2/28/2014 |
| 2015 | SEE ACL | 2,727,000 | 365 | OPEN | NA | 3/1/2015 | 2/29/2016 | 12 -in FL | 3/1/2015 | 2/28/2015 | $15 \mathrm{pp} /$ trip | 3/1/2015 | 2/28/2015 |
| 2016 | SEE ACL | 2,727,000 | 365 | OPEN | NA | 3/1/2016 | 2/28/2017 | 12-in FL | 3/1/2016 | 2/29/2016 | $15 \mathrm{pp} /$ trip | 3/1/2016 | 2/29/2016 |
| 2017 | SEE ACL | 2,727,000 | 365 | OPEN | NA | 3/1/2017 | 2/28/2018 | 12 -in FL | 3/1/2017 | 2/28/2017 | $15 \mathrm{pp} /$ trip | 3/1/2017 | 2/28/2017 |
| 2018 | SEE ACL | 2,727,000 | 365 | OPEN | NA | 3/1/2018 | 2/28/2019 | 12-in FL | 3/1/2018 | 2/28/2018 | $15 \mathrm{pp} /$ trip | 3/1/2018 | 2/28/2018 |
| 2019 | SEE ACL | 2,727,000 | 365 | OPEN | NA | 3/1/2019 | 2/29/2020 | 12-in FL | 3/1/2019 | 2/28/2019 | $15 \mathrm{pp} /$ trip | 3/1/2019 | 2/28/2019 |

Notes:
1 Spanish mackerel managed as a single stock throughout the Gulf and South Atlantic.
2 Spanish mackerel managed as two migratory groups (Atlantic and Gulf migratory) from this point forward.
3 Management area extended from TX through NC to TX through NY.
a Stock quota

### 2.7 State Regulatory History

## Provided by the Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission

Table 2.2a. State Regulatory History - North Carolina and South Carolina as provided by the state management agencies.

| Description of Action | State | Effective Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1500 pounds max per day, land and sell aggregate king and Spanish mackerel |  |  |
| combined |  |  |$\quad \mathrm{NC}$ 08/04/80

Table 2.2a. State Regulatory History - North Carolina and South Carolina as provided by the state management agencies. Continued

| 12 inch FL minimum size. | NC | 2/15/94 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Creel limit: 10 fish/person/dishing trip by hook and line unless person is in possession of Federal Permit to fish on Spanish mackerel quota. Charter boats with federal Coastal migratory Charter Permit shall not exceed 10 fish per person with more than 3 person on board including captain and mate. Creel limits do not apply to commercial fishermen using nets except as specified by NCAC 3M/. 0301. | NC | 2/15/94 |
| Proclamation authority for hook and line deleted. Entered into rule: Creel limit: 10 fish/person/dishing trip by hook and line unless person is in possession of Federal Permit to fish on Spanish mackerel quota. Charter boats with federal Coastal migratory Charter Permit shall not exceed 10 fish per person with more than 3 person on board including captain and mate | NC | 3/1/96 |
| Temporary rule change: Recreational purpose wording added and commercial gear working changed to commercial fishing operation. <br> 12 inch minimum size <br> Creel limit: 10 fish per person per day if taken by hook \& line or for recreational purpose <br> Holders of valid federal permits may exceed creel limit. Charterboats with valid federal permits shall not exceed 10 fish per person while fishing with more than 3 persons on board including captain and mate. | NC | 7/1/99 |
| It is unlawful to possess more than 15 Spanish mackerel per person per day taken for recreational purposes. It is unlawful to possess more than 15 Spanish mackerel per person per day in the Atlantic Ocean beyond three miles in a commercial fishing operation except for persons holding a valid National Marine Fisheries Service Spanish Mackerel Commercial Vessel Permit. | NC | 4/1/01 |
| Full consistency with federal regulations | SC | 06/88-2007 |

Table 2.2b. State Regulatory History - North Carolina through Florida for Spanish mackerel as of 1990 as recorded in the Fishery Management Plan for Spanish Mackerel, Fishery Management Report No. 18, Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission, November 1990.

| State | Bag <br> Limit | Size <br> Limit | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NC | 10 fish | none | Season closes with EEZ closure |
| SC | 10 fish | $12^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{FL}$ <br> min. | $12^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{FL}$ <br> min. |
| GA | 10 fish | Recreational season open 3/16-11/30; 5\% size <br> tolerance by weight on trawlers |  |
| FL | 5 fish | $12^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{FL}$ <br> min. | $1,850,000$ lb quota for power assisted gill nets; season: <br> Dec 15-Oct31. 205,000lb quota for all other forms of <br> commercial fishing gears; season: Nov 1-Oct 31. 3 1/2 <br> inch minimum stretched mesh. |

Table 2.2c. State Regulatory History - New York through Florida, for Spanish Mackerel at specific times as taken from annual ASMFC FMP Reviews for Spanish Mackerel.

As of December 1995

| State | Bag Limit | $\begin{gathered} \text { Size } \\ \text { Limit } \end{gathered}$ | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NJ | 10 fish | $\begin{array}{r} 14^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{TL} \\ \mathrm{~min} . \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
| DE | 10 fish | $\begin{array}{r} 14 " \mathrm{TL} \\ \mathrm{~min} . \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
| MD | 10 fish | $\begin{gathered} 14^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{TL} \\ \mathrm{~min} . \end{gathered}$ | Declaration allowing regulation through framework. Gill net mesh sizes for Chesapeake Bay. |
| VA | 10 fish | $\begin{gathered} 14 " \mathrm{TL} \\ \text { min. } \end{gathered}$ | Size limit exemption for pound net fishery; closure when quota reached; 3500 lb trip limit. |
| NC | 10 fish | $\begin{gathered} \hline 12^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{FL} \\ \text { min. } \end{gathered}$ | 3,500 lb commercial trip limit (Spanish and king mackerel <br> combined); finfish excluder devices required in shrimp trawls. Purse gill net prohibition. |
| SC | 10 fish | $\begin{gathered} 12 " \mathrm{FL} \\ \mathrm{~min} . \end{gathered}$ | 3,500 lb commercial trip limit tracking by reference the federal FMP. |
| GA | 10 fish | $\begin{array}{r} 12 " \mathrm{FL} \\ \mathrm{~min} . \end{array}$ | Season closed December 1 - March 15. |
| FL | 10 fish | $\begin{gathered} 12 " \mathrm{FL} \\ \mathrm{~min} . \end{gathered}$ | $31 / 2$ inch minimum mesh size, 600 yd . maximum length net. Commercial daily trip limits: 1,500 lb April 1 <br> - November 30; December 1 until 75\% of adjusted quota reached-unlimited harvest on Monday, <br> Wednesday, and Friday; $1,500 \mathrm{lb}$ per vessel per day on Tuesday and Thursday; 500 lb per vessel per day on Saturday and Sunday; $>75 \%$ adjusted quota until quota fulfilled-1,000 lb per vessel per day; >100\% of adjusted quota-500 lb per vessel per day. |

## As of September 1998

| State | Bag Limit | Size Limit | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NY | 10 fish | 14" TL min. | 3,500 lb. commercial trip limit |
| NJ | 10 fish | 14" TL min |  |
| DE | 10 fish | 14" TL min |  |
| MD | 10 fish | 14" TL min | Declaration allowing regulation through framework. Gill net mesh sizes for Chesapeake Bay |
| VA | 10 fish | 14" TL min | Size limit exemption for pound net fishery; closure when quota reached; $3,500 \mathrm{lb}$. trip limit |
| NC | 10 fish | 12" FL min | 3,500 lb. commercial trip limit (Spanish and king mackerel combined); finfish excluder devices required in shrimp trawls. Purse gill net prohibition. |
| SC | 10 fish | 12" FL min | 3,500 lb. commercial trip limit tracking by reference the federal FMP. |
| GA | 10 fish | 12" FL min | Season closed December 1 - March 15. |
| FL | 10 fish | 12" FL min | $31 / 2$ " minimum mesh size, 600 yd. maximum length net. Commercial daily trip limits: 1,500 lb. April 1 - November 30; December 1 until $75 \%$ of adjusted quota reached unlimited harvest on Monday, Wednesday and Friday; 1,500 lb. per vessel per day on Tuesday and Thursday; 500 lb. per vessel on Saturday and Sunday; >75\% adjusted quota until quota filled $-1,500 \mathrm{lb}$. per vessel per day; > $100 \%$ of adjusted quota - 500 lb . per vessel per day. |

## As of October 2001

| State | Recreational | Commercial | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NY | 14"; 15 fish | 14" | 3,500 lb. commercial possession limit/vessel |
| NJ | 14"; 10 fish | 14" TL |  |
| DE | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 14 " \mathrm{TL} ; 10 \\ & \text { fish } \end{aligned}$ | no fishery |  |
| MD | 14"; 15 fish | $14 "$ | Declaration allowing regulation through framework; gill net mesh sizes for Chesapeake Bay |
| PRFC | 14"; 15 fish | 14" |  |
| VA | $\begin{gathered} \text { 14" TL; } 15 \\ \text { fish } \end{gathered}$ | 14" TL | Size limit exemption for pound net fishery; closure when quota reached; $3,500 \mathrm{lb}$. trip limit |
| NC | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 12" FL; } 15 \\ & \text { fish } \end{aligned}$ | 12" FL | 3,500 lb. commercial trip limit (Spanish and king mackerel combined); finfish excluder devices required in shrimp trawls. Purse gill net prohibition. |
| SC | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 12" FL; } 15 \\ \text { fish } \end{gathered}$ | 12" FL | Federal commercial harvest restrictions apply; federal permit required to exceed bag limit; state license required to land/sell. |
| GA | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 12" FL; } 15 \\ & \text { fish } \end{aligned}$ | 12" FL | Commercial landings from state waters limited to bag limits; gillnets/longline gear prohibited in state waters; state waters closed December 1 - March 15 for harvest of Spanish mackerel; commercial landings ( $3,500 \mathrm{lb}$. trip limit) from EEZ by federally permitted vessels allowed throughout year as long as the federal quota remains open. |
| FL | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 12" FL; } 15 \\ & \text { fish } \end{aligned}$ | 12" FL | $31 / 2$ " minimum mesh size, 600 yd . maximum length net; Commercial daily trip limits: 1,500 lb. April 1 November 30; December 1 until 75\% of adjusted quota reached - unlimited harvest Mon-Fri, 1,500 lb. per vessel/day Sat- Sun; >75\% adjusted quota until quota filled $-1,500 \mathrm{lb}$. per vessel/day; > $100 \%$ of adjusted quota - 500 lb . per vessel/day. |

## As of October 2002

| State | Recreational | Commercial | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NY | 14"; 15 fish | 14" | 3,500 lb. commercial possession limit/vessel |
| NJ | 14"; 10 fish | 14" TL |  |
| DE | 14" TL; 10 fish | no fishery |  |
| MD | 14"; 15 fish | 14" | Declaration allowing regulation through framework; gill net mesh sizes for Chesapeake Bay |
| PRFC | 14"; 15 fish | 14" |  |
| VA | 14" TL; 15 fish | 14" TL | Size limit exemption for pound net fishery; closure when quota reached; $3,500 \mathrm{lb}$. trip limit |
| NC | 12" FL; 15 fish | 12" FL | 3,500 lb. commercial trip limit (Spanish and king mackerel combined); finfish excluder devices required in shrimp trawls. Purse gill net prohibition. |
| SC | 12" FL; 15 fish | 12" FL | Federal commercial harvest restrictions apply; federal permit required to exceed bag limit; state license required to land/sell. |
| GA | 12" FL; 15 fish | 12" FL | Commercial landings from state waters limited to bag limits; gillnets/longline gear prohibited in state waters; state waters closed December 1 - March 15 for harvest of Spanish mackerel; commercial landings ( $3,500 \mathrm{lb}$. trip limit) from EEZ by federally permitted vessels allowed throughout year as long as the federal quota remains open. |
| FL | 12" FL; 15 fish | 12" FL | $31 / 2$ " minimum mesh size, 600 yd. maximum length net; Commercial daily trip limits: 1,500 lb. April 1 - <br> November 30; December 1 until 75\% of adjusted quota reached - unlimited harvest Mon-Fri, 1,500 lb. per vessel/day Sat- Sun; >75\% adjusted quota until quota filled $-1,500 \mathrm{lb}$. per vessel/day; > 100\% of adjusted quota-500 lb. per vessel/day. |

## As of October 2004

| State | Recreational | Commercial | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NY | 14"; 15 fish | 14" | 3,500 lb. commercial possession limit/vessel |
| NJ | 14"; 10 fish | 14" TL |  |
| DE | 14" TL; 10 fish | no fishery |  |
| MD | 14"; 15 fish | 14" | Declaration allowing regulation through framework; gill net mesh sizes for Chesapeake Bay |
| PRFC | 14"; 15 fish | 14" |  |
| VA | 14" TL; 15 fish | 14" TL | Size limit exemption for pound net fishery; closure when quota reached; $3,500 \mathrm{lb}$. trip limit |
| NC | 12" FL; 15 fish | 12" FL | $3,500 \mathrm{lb}$. commercial trip limit (Spanish and king mackerel combined); finfish excluder devices required in shrimp trawls. Purse gill net prohibition. |
| SC | 12" FL; 15 fish | 12" FL | Federal commercial harvest restrictions apply; federal permit required to exceed bag limit; state license required to land/sell. |
| GA | 12" FL; 15 fish | 12" FL | Commercial landings from state waters limited to bag limits; gillnets/longline gear prohibited in state waters; state waters closed December 1 - March 15 for harvest of Spanish mackerel; commercial landings ( $3,500 \mathrm{lb}$. trip limit) from EEZ by federally permitted vessels allowed throughout year as long as the federal quota remains open. |
| FL | 12" FL; 15 fish | 12" FL | $31 / 2$ " minimum mesh size, 600 yd. maximum length net; Commercial daily trip limits: 1,500 lb. April 1 - <br> November 30; December 1 until 75\% of adjusted quota reached - unlimited harvest Mon-Fri, 1,500 lb. per vessel/day Sat- Sun; >75\% adjusted quota until quota filled $-1,500 \mathrm{lb}$. per vessel/day; > 100\% of adjusted quota-500 lb. per vessel/day. |

As of October 2005

| State | Recreational | Commercial | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NY | 14" TL; 15 fish | 14" TL | 3,500 lb. commercial possession limit/vessel |
| NJ | 14" TL; 10 fish | 14" TL |  |
| DE | 14" TL; 10 fish | 14" TL | Gill net and drift net restrictions |
| MD | 14" TL; 15 fish | 14" TL | Declaration allowing regulation through framework; gill net mesh sizes for Chesapeake Bay |
| PRFC | 14" TL; 15 fish | 14" TL | Closure when quota reached |
| VA | 14" TL; 15 fish | 14" TL | Size limit exemption for pound net fishery; closure when quota reached; $3,500 \mathrm{lb}$. trip limit |
| NC | 12" FL; 15 fish | 12" FL | 3,500 lb. commercial trip limit (Spanish and king mackerel combined); finfish excluder devices required in shrimp trawls. Purse gill net prohibition. |
| SC | 12" FL; 15 fish | 12" FL | Federal commercial harvest restrictions apply; federal permit required to exceed bag limit; state license required to land/sell. |
| GA | 12" FL; 15 fish | 12" FL | Commercial landings from state waters limited to bag limits; gillnets/longline gear prohibited in state waters; state waters closed December 1 - March 15 for harvest of Spanish mackerel; commercial landings ( $3,500 \mathrm{lb}$. trip limit) from EEZ by federally permitted vessels allowed throughout year as long as the federal quota remains open. |
| FL | 12" FL; 15 <br> fish Transfer <br> at sea <br> prohibited. | 12" FL | $31 / 2$ " minimum mesh size, 600 yd . maximum length net. Commercial daily trip limits: 3,500 lb. April 1 - November 30; December 1 until $75 \%$ of adjusted quota reached - 3,500 lb. per vessel/day Mon-Fri, 1,500 lb. per vessel/day Sat-Sun; $>75 \%$ adjusted quota until quota filled $-1,500 \mathrm{lb}$. per vessel/day; > 100\% of adjusted quota -500 lb . per vessel/day. |

All information included in the following tables are pulled from annual state FMP compliance reports (NY-FL), and reported in annual ASMFC FMP Reviews for Spanish Mackerel.

## As of 2006

Notes: commercial license required to sell Spanish mackerel in all states; other general gear restrictions apply to the harvest of Spanish mackerel.

| State | Recreational | Commercial |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NY | 14" TL, 15 fish | 14" TL. 3,500 lb. trip limit |
| NJ | 14" TL, 10 fish | 14" TL. |
| DE | 14" TL, 10 fish | 14" TL. |
| MD | 14" TL, 15 fish | 14" TL. |
| PRFC | 14" TL, 15 fish | 14" TL. Closure when quota reached. |
| VA | 14" TL, 15 fish | 14" TL; size limit exemption for pound net fishery. 3,500 lb. trip limit. Closure when quota reached. |
| NC | $12^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{FL}, 15$ fish | 12" FL. 3,500 lb. trip limit (Spanish and king mackerel combined). Purse gill nets prohibited. |
| SC | 12" FL, 15 fish | 12" FL, 15 fish |
| GA | $12 \mathrm{FL}, 15$ fish | 12" FL. State waters: 15 fish limit, closure from December 1 - March 15. 3,500 trip limit in federal waters. Closure when quota reached. |
| FL | $12^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{FL}, 15$ fish | 12" FL. Trip limits: April 1 - Nov. 30-3,500 lb.; Dec. 1 until 75\% of adjusted quota reached $-3,500 \mathrm{lb}$. Mon-Fri. \& 1,500 lb. Sat-Sun; $>75 \%$ adjusted quota until quota filled $-1,500 \mathrm{lb} . ;>100 \%$ of adjusted quota - 500 lb . |

## As of 2007

| Note: commercial license required to sell Spanish mackerel in all states; other general gear restrictions effect the harvest of Spanish mackerel |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State | Recreational | Commercial |
| NY | 14" TL, 15 fish | 14" TL. 3,500 lb trip limit |
| NJ | 14" TL, 10 fish | 14" TL. |
| DE | 14" TL, 10 fish | 14" TL. |
| MD | 14" TL, 15 fish | 14" TL. |
| PRFC | 14" TL, 15 fish | 14" TL. Closure if/when federal waters close. |
| VA | 14" TL, 15 fish | 14" TL. 3,500 lb trip limit. Closure if/when federal waters close. |
| NC | 12" FL, 15 fish | 12" FL. 3,500 lb trip limit (Spanish and king mackerel combined). Purse gill nets prohibited. |
| SC | 12" FL, 15 fish | 12" FL. 15 fish. Closure if/when federal waters close. |
| GA | 12" FL, 15 fish | 12" FL. 15 fish. Closure from December 1 - March 15. |
| FL | 12" FL, 15 fish. <br> Transfer to other vessels at sea is prohibited. | 12" FL. Trip limits: April 1 - Nov. 30-3,500 Ib; Dec. 1 until $75 \%$ of adjusted quota reached - unlimited MonFri. \& 1,500 lb Sat-Sun; >75\% adjusted quota until quota filled $-1,500 \mathrm{lb} ;>100 \%$ of adjusted quota -500 lb. |

## As of 2008

| Note: commercial license required to sell Spanish mackerel in all states; other general gear restrictions effect the harvest of Spanish mackerel |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State | Recreational | Commercial |
| NY | 14" TL, 15 fish | 14" TL. 3,500 lb trip limit |
| NJ | 14" TL, 10 fish | 14" TL. |
| DE | 14" TL, 10 fish | 14" TL. |
| MD | 14" TL, 15 fish | 14" TL. |
| PRFC | 14" TL, 15 fish | 14 " TL. Closure if/when federal waters close. |
| VA | 14" TL, 15 fish | 14" TL. 3,500 lb trip limit. Closure if/when federal waters close. |
| NC | 12" FL, 15 fish | 12" FL. 3,500 lb trip limit (Spanish and king mackerel combined). Purse gill nets prohibited. |
| SC | 12" FL, 15 fish | 12" FL. 15 fish. Closure if/when federal waters close. |
| GA | 12" FL, 15 fish | 12" FL. 15 fish. Closure from December 1 - March 15. |
| FL | 12" FL, 15 fish. <br> Transfer to other vessels at sea is prohibited. | 12" FL. Trip limits: April 1 to Nov. $30-3500 \mathrm{lb}$; Dec. 1 until $75 \%$ of adjusted quota reached -3500 lb Mon-Fri. \& 1500 lb Sat-Sun; >75\% adjusted quota until quota filled -1500 lb; > 100\% of adjusted quota -500 lb . |

## As of 2009

Note: commercial license required to sell Spanish mackerel in all states; other general gear restrictions effect the harvest of Spanish mackerel

| State | Recreational | Commercial |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NY | 14" TL, 15 fish | 14" TL. 3,500 lb trip limit |
| NJ | 14" TL, 10 fish | 14" TL. |
| DE | 14" TL, 10 fish | 14" TL. |
| MD | 14" TL, 15 fish | 14" TL. |
| PRFC | 14" TL, 15 fish | 14 " TL. Closure if/when federal waters close. |
| VA | 14" TL, 15 fish | 14 " TL. 3,500 lb trip limit. Closure if/when federal waters close. |
| NC | 12" FL, 15 fish | 12" FL. 3,500 lb trip limit (Spanish and king mackerel combined). Purse gill nets prohibited. |
| SC | 12" FL, 15 fish | 12" FL. 15 fish. Closure if/when federal waters close. |
| GA | 12" FL, 15 fish | 12" FL. 15 fish. Closure from December 1 - March 15. |
| FL | 12" FL, 15 fish. <br> Transfer to other vessels at sea is prohibited. | 12" FL. Trip limits: April 1 until Nov. 30-3500 lb; Dec. 1 until $75 \%$ of adjusted quota reached -3500 lb Mon-Fri. \& 1500 lb Sat-Sun; >75\% adjusted quota until quota filled -1500 lb; > $100 \%$ of adjusted quota - 500 lb . |
|  | Cast nets less than 14' and beach or haul seines with no greater than 2" stretched mesh allowed | Restricted Species Endorsement Required |
|  |  | Transfer of fish between vessels prohibited |
|  |  | Allowed gear: beach or haul seine, cast net, hook and line, or spearing |

During the years 2010 and 2011 no FMP reviews were produced. All management changes were captured in the subsequent 2012 report

As of 2010

| Note: commercial license required to sell Spanish mackerel in all states; other general gear restrictions effect the harvest of Spanish mackerel |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State | Recreational | Commercial |
| NY | 14" TL, 15 fish | 14" TL. 3,500 lb trip limit |
| NJ | 14" TL, 10 fish | 14" TL. |
| DE | 14" TL, 10 fish | 14" TL. |
| MD | 14" TL, 15 fish | 14" TL. |
| PRFC | 14" TL, 15 fish | 14 " TL. Closure if/when federal waters close. |
| VA | 14" TL, 15 fish | 14" TL. 3,500 lb trip limit. Closure if/when federal waters close. |
| NC | 12" FL, 15 fish | 12" FL. 3,500 lb trip limit (Spanish and king mackerel combined). Purse gill nets prohibited. |
| SC | 12" FL, 15 fish | 12" FL. 15 fish. Closure if/when federal waters close. |
| GA | 12" FL, 15 fish | 12" FL. 15 fish. Closure from December 1 - March 15. |
| FL | 12" FL, 15 fish. <br> Transfer to other vessels at sea is prohibited. | 12" FL. Trip limits: April 1 to Nov. $30-3500 \mathrm{lb}$; Dec. 1 until $75 \%$ of adjusted quota reached -3500 lb Mon-Fri. \& 1500 lb Sat-Sun; >75\% adjusted quota until quota filled -1500 lb; > 100\% of adjusted quota -500 lb . |

## As of 2011

| Note: commercial license required to sell Spanish mackerel in all states; other general gear restrictions effect the harvest of Spanish mackerel |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State | Recreational | Commercial |
| NY | 14" TL, 15 fish | 14" TL. 3,500 lb trip limit |
| NJ | 14" TL, 10 fish | 14" TL. |
| DE | 14" TL, 10 fish | 14" TL. |
| MD | 14" TL, 15 fish | 14" TL. |
| PRFC | 14" TL, 15 fish | 14" TL. Closure if/when federal waters close. |
| VA | 14" TL, 15 fish | 14" TL. 3,500 lb trip limit. Closure if/when federal waters close. |
| NC | 12" FL, 15 fish | 12" FL. 3,500 lb trip limit (Spanish and king mackerel combined). Purse gill nets prohibited. |
| SC | 12" FL, 15 fish | 12" FL. 15 fish. Closure if/when federal waters close. |
| GA | 12" FL, 15 fish | 12" FL. 15 fish. Closure from December 1 March 15. |
| FL | 12" FL, 15 fish. Transfer to other vessels at sea is prohibited. | 12" FL. Trip limits: April 1 to Nov. $30-3500 \mathrm{lb}$; Dec. 1 until $75 \%$ of adjusted quota reached 3500 lb Mon-Fri. \& 1500 lb Sat-Sun; >75\% adjusted quota until quota filled -1500 lb ; > $100 \%$ of adjusted quota -500 lb . |

## As of 2012

Note: commercial license required to sell Spanish mackerel in all states; other general gear restrictions effect the harvest of Spanish mackerel

| State | Recreational | Commercial |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NY | 14" TL, 15 fish | 14" TL. 3,500 lb trip limit |
| NJ | 14" TL, 10 fish | 14" TL. |
| DE | 14" TL, 15 fish | 14" TL. |
| MD | 14" TL, 15 fish | 14" TL. |
| PRFC | 14" TL, 15 fish | 14" TL. Closure if/when federal waters close. |
| VA | 14" TL, 15 fish | 14" TL. 3,500 lb trip limit. Closure if/when federal waters close. |
| NC | 12" FL, 15 fish | 12" FL. 3,500 lb trip limit (Spanish and king mackerel combined). Purse gill nets prohibited. |
| SC | 12" FL, 15 fish | 12" FL. 15 fish. Closure if/when federal waters close. |
| GA | 12" FL, 15 fish | 12" FL. 15 fish. Closure from December 1 March 15. |
| FL | 12" FL, 15 fish. Transfer to other vessels at sea is prohibited. Cast nets less than 14' and beach or haul seines with no greater than 2" stretched mesh allowed | 12" FL. Trip limits: April 1 to Nov. 30 3500 lb ; Dec. 1 until 75\% of adjusted quota reached - 3500 lb Mon-Fri. \& 1500 lb Sat-Sun; >75\% adjusted quota until quota filled - 1500 lb ; > 100\% of adjusted quota - 500 lb . Restricted species endorsement required. Transfer between vessels prohibited. Allowed gear: beach or haul seine, cast net, hook and line, or spearing. |

## As of 2013

Note: commercial license required to sell Spanish mackerel in all states; other general gear restrictions effect the harvest of Spanish mackerel

| State | Recreational | Commercial |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NY | 14" TL, 15 fish | 14" TL. 3,500 lb trip limit |
| NJ | 14" TL, 10 fish | 14" TL. |
| DE | 14" TL, 10 fish | 14" TL. |
| MD | 14" TL, 15 fish | 14" TL. 3,500 lb trip limit |
| PRFC | 14" TL, 15 fish | 14" TL. Closure if/when federal waters close. |
| VA | 14" TL, 15 fish | 14" TL. 3,500 lb trip limit. Closure if/when federal waters close. |
| NC | 12" FL, 15 fish | 12" FL. 3,500 lb trip limit (Spanish and king mackerel combined). Purse gill nets prohibited. 111/2 FL for pound net fishery during August and September. |
| SC | 12" FL, 15 fish | 12" FL. 15 fish. Closure if/when federal waters close. |
| GA | 12" FL, 15 fish | 12" FL. 15 fish. Closure from December 1 March 15. |
| FL | 12" FL, 15 fish. Transfer to other vessels at sea is prohibited. | 12" FL. Trip limits: April 1 until Nov. 30-3500 lb ; Dec. 1 until 75\% of adjusted quota reached - 3500 lb Mon-Fri. \& 1500 lb SatSun; >75\% adjusted quota until quota filled $1500 \mathrm{lb} ;>100 \%$ of adjusted quota - 500 lb . |
|  | Cast nets less than 14' and beach or haul seines with no greater than $2^{\prime \prime}$ stretched mesh allowed | Restricted Species Endorsement Required |
|  |  | Transfer of fish between vessels prohibited |
|  |  | Allowed gear: beach or haul seine, cast net, hook and line, or spearing |

## As of 2014

| Note: commercial license required to sell Spanish mackerel in all states; other general gear restrictions effect the harvest of Spanish mackerel |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State | Recreational | Commercial | Regulation Changes |
| NY | 14" TL, 15 fish | 14" TL. 3,500 lb trip limit |  |
| NJ | 14" TL, 10 fish | 14" TL. |  |
| DE | 14" TL, 15 fish | 14" TL. 3,500 lb trip limit |  |
| MD | 14" TL, 15 fish | 14" TL. 3,500 lb trip limit |  |
| PRFC | 14" TL, 15 fish | 14" TL. Closure if/when federal waters close. |  |
| VA | 14" TL, 15 fish | 14" TL. 3,500 lb trip limit. Closure if/when federal waters close. |  |
| NC | 12" FL, 15 fish | 12" FL. 3,500 lb trip limit (Spanish and king mackerel combined). Purse gill nets prohibited. 1112" FL for pound net fishery July 3-Sept 30. |  |
| SC | 12" FL, 15 fish | 12" FL. 15 fish. Closure if/when federal waters close. |  |
| GA | 12" FL, 15 fish | 12" FL. 15 fish. | As of January 1, 2014, Spanish Mackerel no longer have a fishing season. Size and bag limits will stay the same. |
| FL | 12" FL, 15 fish. <br> Transfer to other vessels at sea is prohibited. | 12" FL. Trip limits: April 1 until Nov. 30 3500 lb ; Dec. 1 until 75\% of adjusted quota reached - 3500 lb Mon-Fri. \& 1500 lb SatSun; $>75 \%$ adjusted quota until quota filled $-1500 \mathrm{lb} ;>100 \%$ of adjusted quota - 500 lb. | Effective October 12, 2015: |
|  | Cast nets less than 14' and beach or haul seines with no greater than 2" stretched mesh allowed | Restricted Species Endorsement Required | 68B-23.006 Other Prohibitions. |
|  |  | Transfer of fish between vessels prohibited | (1) It is unlawful for any person to possess, transport, buy, sell, exchange or attempt to buy, sell or exchange any Spanish Mackerel harvested in violation of this chapter. |
|  |  | Allowed gear: beach or haul seine, cast net, hook and line, or spearing | (2) The Commission shall issue a permit pursuant to Rule 68B-2.010, F.A.C., to authorize Spanish Mackerel caught in an organized tournament to be donated to a licensed wholesale dealer. |
|  |  |  | (3) The prohibitions of this chapter apply as well to any and all persons operating a vessel in state waters, who shall be deemed to have violated any prohibition which has been violated by another person aboard such vessel. |

## As of 2015

Note: commercial license required to sell Spanish mackerel in all states; other general gear restrictions effect the harvest of Spanish mackerel

| State | Recreational | Commercial | Regulation Changes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NY | 14" TL, 15 fish | 14" TL. 3,500 lb trip limit. | North Carolina |
| NJ | 14" TL, 10 fish | 14" TL. 3,500 lb trip limit. | One proclamation was issued under rule 15A NCAC 03M . 0512 to remain in compliance with the Atlantic States Marine Fishery Commission. Addendum I to the Omnibus Amendment establishes a pilot program that would allow states to reduce the Spanish mackerel minimum size limit for the commercial pound net fishery to $11 \frac{1}{2}$ inches during the summer months of July through September. The measure is intended to reduce waste of these shorter fish, which are discarded dead in the summer months, by converting them to landed fish that will be counted against the quota. The Division issued a proclamation suspending the 12 -inch fork length size limit and adopting the $11 \frac{1}{2}$ inch fork length size limit in the commercial pound net fishery from July 4, 2016 to September 30, 2016. |
| DE | 14" TL, 15 fish | 14" TL. 3,500 lb trip limit. |  |
| MD | 14" TL, 15 fish | 14" TL. 3,500 lb trip limit. March-Feb. |  |
| PRFC | 14" TL, 15 fish | 14" TL. Closure if/when MD and VA fisheries close. |  |
| VA | 14" TL, 15 fish | 14" TL. 3,500 lb trip limit. Closure if/when federal waters close. |  |
| NC | 12" FL, 15 fish | 12" FL; 11.5" FL in pound net fishery July $4^{\text {th }}-$ Sept $30^{\text {th }}$, 2016. 3,500 lb trip limit for combined Spanish and king mackerel landings. |  |
| SC | 12" FL, 15 fish | 12" FL. 15 fish. 3,500 lb trip limit. March-Feb. Closure if/when federal waters close. |  |
| GA | 12" FL, 15 fish | 12" FL. 3,500 lb trip limit. |  |
| FL | 12" FL or 14" <br> TL, 15 fish. Cast nets less than 14 and beach or haul seines within 2" stretched mesh allowed | 12 " FL or $14^{\prime \prime}$ TL. Trip limits: <br> April 1 until Nov. 30-3500 lb; Dec. 1 until 75\% of adjusted quota reached - 3500 lb MonFri. \& 1500 lb Sat-Sun; >75\% adjusted quota until quota filled -1500 lb; > 100\% of adjusted quota - 500 lb . |  |
|  |  | Restricted Species <br> Endorsement Required |  |
|  |  | Allowed gear: beach or haul seine, cast net, hook and line, or spearing. |  |

## As of 2016

## Note: commercial license required to sell Spanish mackerel in all states; other general gear restrictions effect the harvest of Spanish mackerel

| State | Recreational | Commercial | Regulation Changes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NY | 14" TL, 15 fish | 14" TL. 3,500 lb trip limit. | No state regulatory changes were reported for 2016. In 2017, Framework Amendment 5 to the Fishery Management Plan for Coastal Migratory Pelagics in the Gulf of Mexico and Atlantic Regions was approved by the SAFMC and GMFMC. This Framework Amendment allows commercially permitted vessels to operate as private recreational vessels when the commercial season is closed for Spanish or king mackerel. |
| NJ | 14" TL, 10 fish | 14" TL. 3,500 lb trip limit. |  |
| DE | 14" TL, 15 fish | 14" TL. 3,500 lb trip limit. |  |
| MD | 14" TL, 15 fish | 14" TL. 3,500 lb trip limit. March-Feb. |  |
| PRFC | 14" TL, 15 fish | 14" TL. Closure if/when MD and VA fisheries close. |  |
| VA | 14" TL, 15 fish | 14" TL. 3,500 lb trip limit. Closure if/when federal waters close. |  |
| NC | 12" FL, 15 fish | 12" FL; 11.5" FL in pound net fishery July $4^{\text {th }}$ - Sept $30^{\text {th }}, 2016.3,500 \mathrm{lb}$ trip limit for combined Spanish and king mackerel landings. |  |
| SC | 12" FL, 15 fish | 12" FL. 15 fish. 3,500 lb trip limit. March-Feb. Closure if/when federal waters close. |  |
| GA | 12" FL, 15 fish | 12" FL. 3,500 lb trip limit. |  |
| FL | $12^{\prime \prime}$ FL or $14^{\prime \prime}$ <br> TL, 15 fish. Cast nets less than 14' and beach or haul seines within 2" stretched mesh allowed | 12 " FL or $14^{\prime \prime}$ TL. Trip limits: April 1 until Nov. 30-3500 lb; Dec. 1 until 75\% of adjusted quota reached 3500 lb Mon-Fri. \& 1500 lb Sat-Sun; >75\% adjusted quota until quota filled $1500 \mathrm{lb} ;>100 \%$ of adjusted quota - 500 lb . |  |
|  |  | Restricted Species <br> Endorsement Required |  |
|  |  | Allowed gear: beach or haul seine, cast net, hook and line, or spearing. |  |

## As of 2017

| Note: commercial license required to sell Spanish mackerel in all states; other general gear restrictions effect the harvest of Spanish mackerel |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State | Recreational | Commercial | Regulation Changes |
| NY | 14" TL, 15 fish | 14" TL. 3,500 lb trip limit. | No state regulatory changes were reported for 2017. In 2017, Framework Amendment 5 to the Fishery Management Plan for Coastal Migratory Pelagics in the Gulf of Mexico and Atlantic Regions was approved by the SAFMC and GMFMC. This Framework Amendment allows commercially permitted vessels to operate as private recreational vessels when the commercial season is closed for Spanish or king mackerel. |
| NJ | 14" TL, 10 fish | 14" TL. 3,500 lb trip limit. |  |
| DE | 14" TL, 15 fish | 14" TL. 3,500 lb trip limit. |  |
| MD | 14" TL, 15 fish | 14" TL. 3,500 lb trip limit. MarchFeb. |  |
| PRFC | 14" TL, 15 fish | 14" TL. Closure if/when MD and VA fisheries close. |  |
| VA | 14" TL, 15 fish | 14" TL. 3,500 lb trip limit. Closure if/when federal waters close. |  |
| NC | 12" FL, 15 fish | 12" FL; 11.5" FL in pound net fishery July $4^{\text {th }}-$ Sept $30^{\text {th }}, 2016$. $3,500 \mathrm{lb}$ trip limit for combined Spanish and king mackerel landings. |  |
| SC | 12" FL, 15 fish | 12" FL. 15 fish. 3,500 lb trip limit. March-Feb. Closure if/when federal waters close. |  |
| GA | 12" FL, 15 fish | 12" FL. 3,500 lb trip limit. |  |
| FL | 12" FL or 14" TL, 15 fish. <br> Cast nets less than 14' and beach or haul seines within 2" stretched | 12 " FL or 14 " TL. Trip limits: April 1 until Nov. 30-3500 lb; Dec. 1 until $75 \%$ of adjusted quota reached 3500 lb Mon-Fri. \& 1500 lb SatSun; $>75 \%$ adjusted quota until quota filled $-1500 \mathrm{lb} ;>100 \%$ of adjusted quota - 500 lb . |  |
|  | mesh allowed | Restricted Species Endorsement Required |  |
|  |  | Allowed gear: beach or haul seine, cast net, hook and line, or spearing. |  |

## As of 2018

Note: commercial license required to sell Spanish mackerel in all states; other general gear restrictions effect the harvest of Spanish mackerel

| State | Recreational | Commercial | Regulation Changes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NY | 14" TL, 15 fish | 14" TL. 3,500 lb trip limit. |  |
| NJ | 14" TL, 10 fish | 14" TL. 3,500 lb trip limit. |  |
| DE | 14" TL, 15 fish | 14" TL. 3,500 lb trip limit. |  |
| MD | 14" TL, 15 fish | 14" TL. 3,500 lb trip limit. March-Feb. |  |
| PRFC | 14" TL, 15 fish | 14" TL. Closure if/when MD and VA fisheries close. |  |
| VA | 14" TL, 15 fish | 14" TL. 3,500 lb trip limit. |  |
| NC | 12" FL, 15 fish | 12 " FL; 11.5" FL in pound net fishery July $4^{\text {th }}$ - Sept $30^{\text {th }}$, 2018. 3,500 lb trip limit for combined Spanish and king mackerel landings. |  |
| SC | 12" FL, 15 fish | 12" FL. 15 fish. 3,500 lb trip limit. March-Feb. Closure if/when federal waters close. |  |
| GA | 12" FL, 15 fish | 12" FL. 3,500 lb trip limit. | In 2018, Georgia implemented a new seafood dealer license (O.C.G.A. 27-2-23 and Board Rule 391-2-4-.09). |
| FL | 12 " FL or $14^{\prime \prime}$ TL, 15 fish. Cast nets less than 14' and beach or haul seines within 2" stretched mesh allowed | 12" FL or 14" TL. Trip limits: <br> April 1 until Nov. $30-3500 \mathrm{lb}$; Dec. 1 until $75 \%$ of adjusted quota reached - 3500 lb Monday - Friday \& 1500 lb Saturday - Sunday; >75\% adjusted quota until quota filled - 1500 lb ; > 100\% of adjusted quota - 500 lb . |  |
|  |  | Restricted Species Endorsement Required |  |
|  |  | Allowed gear: beach or haul seine, cast net, hook and line, or spearing. |  |

## As of 2019

| Note: commercial license required to sell Spanish mackerel in all states; other general gear restrictions effect the harvest of Spanish mackerel |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State | Recreational | Commercial | Regulation Changes |
| NY | 14" TL, 15 fish | 14" TL. 3,500 lb trip limit. |  |
| NJ | 14" TL, 10 fish | 14" TL. 3,500 lb trip limit. |  |
| DE | 14" TL, 15 fish | 14" TL. 3,500 lb trip limit. |  |
| MD | 14" TL, 15 fish | 14" TL. 3,500 lb trip limit. MarchFeb. |  |
| PRFC | 14" TL, 15 fish | 14" TL. Closure if/when MD and VA fisheries close. |  |
| VA | 14" TL, 15 fish | 14" TL. 3,500 lb trip limit. | In 2019, Virginia proposed to amend state management of Spanish mackerel to close state waters if federal waters close, beginning in September, 2019. |
| NC | 12" FL, 15 fish | 12" FL; 11.5" FL in pound net fishery July $4^{\text {th }}-$ Sept $30^{\text {th }}, 2018$. $3,500 \mathrm{lb}$ trip limit for combined Spanish and king mackerel landings. | North Carolina discontinued its Addendum I program, which reduced the minimum size limit to 11.5 in FL for the pound net fishery from July to September, beginning in 2019. |
| SC | 12" FL, 15 fish | 12" FL. 15 fish. 3,500 lb trip limit. March-Feb. Closure if/when federal waters close. |  |
| GA | 12" FL, 15 fish | 12" FL. 3,500 lb trip limit. |  |
| FL | 12 " FL or $14^{\prime \prime}$ <br> TL, 15 fish. Cast nets less than <br> 14' and beach <br> or haul seines within 2" <br> stretched mesh allowed | 12 " FL or $14^{\prime \prime}$ TL. Trip limits: April 1 until Nov. 30-3500 lb; Dec. 1 until $75 \%$ of adjusted quota reached - 3500 lb Monday Friday \& 1500 lb Saturday Sunday; >75\% adjusted quota until quota filled - 1500 lb ; > $100 \%$ of adjusted quota -500 lb . | In 2019, Florida approved a rule to align their state regulations with those of the federal FMP, incorporating the stepdown reductions of the in-season vessel limit as threshold levels of Spanish mackerel are harvested. This rule took effect in September, 2019. |
|  |  | Restricted Species Endorsement Required |  |
|  |  | Allowed gear: beach or haul seine, cast net, hook and line, or spearing. |  |

## No management changes were reported in 2020

## References

All information included in the previous tables were pulled from the annual state FMP compliance reports (NY-FL), and reported in annual ASMFC FMP Reviews for Spanish Mackerel.

## 3. Assessment History

Full stock assessments of the south Atlantic Spanish mackerel were conducted by Powers et al. (1996), Legault et al. (1998) and the Sustainable Fisheries Division (2003 and 2007). Historically, the Mackerel Stock Assessment Panel (MSAP) met regularly to oversee and review these assessments and provide advice to the SAFMC and GMFMC.

The most recent full stock assessment for south Atlantic Spanish mackerel was conducted in 2007 in SEDAR 17 using three separate models: ASPIC, BAM, and SRA. The SEDAR 17 Review Panel was presented with a base model using BAM, as neither ASPIC nor SRA were considered appropriate to produce standalone representations of the stock dynamics. The BAM was used with the following as input data: five fisheries and their corresponding age and length compositions, three fishery discard series, shrimp bycatch, seven fishery-dependent indices, two fishery-independent indices, one combined index and discard mortality rates. The base run was configured as a two sex model incorporating differences in growth by sex. Natural mortality was constant through time, but varied by age. The panel did not accept the base model of the assessment as appropriate for making biomass determinations. They concluded that there is an overall increasing trend in biomass, but that a biomass decline was observed from 2003 to 2007. The panel noted that the fishing mortality at the terminal year of the model (2007) did not seem to be inhibiting stock growth. Although the panel did not accept the model conclusions regarding biomass, they accepted model results that the stock was not undergoing overfishing. The panel remarked that the major issues with the assessment were the shrimp bycatch uncertainty, the historical recreational catch derivation, and the lack of an objective likelihood weighting method. The assessment previous to SEDAR 17 was in 2003 through the Mackerel Stock Assessment Panel (MSAP), which included data through the 2001/2002 fishing year (Sustainable Fisheries Division 2003). Estimated fishing mortality for Atlantic group Spanish mackerel was found to be below FMSY and FOY since 1995. Estimated stock abundance had increased since 1995 and was found to be at a high for the analysis period. Probabilities that the Spanish mackerel was overfished were less than $1 \%$ and that overfishing had occurred in the most recent fishing year of the assessment were $3 \%$; therefore, the MSAP concluded that south Atlantic Spanish mackerel was not overfished and overfishing did not occur in 2002/2003.

SEDAR-28 (SEDAR-28, 2012) was a benchmark assessment using the Beaufort Assessment Model (BAM) with data through 2011. BAM is an integrated catch-age model, and is customizable to the multiple data sources available (Williams and Shertzer, 2015). A surplus production model implemented with the ASPIC software (Prager 1994, Prager 2004 was used as a complement for comparison purposes. Based on the assessment provided from the BAM, the Review Panel concluded
that the stock was not overfished and not undergoing overfishing. The stock biomass status in the base run from the BAM was estimated to be SSB2011/MSST=2.29. The level of fishing (exploitation rate) was $\mathrm{F} 2009-2011 / \mathrm{FMSY}=0.526$, with $\mathrm{F} 2011 / \mathrm{FMSY}=0.521$. The qualitative results on terminal stock status were similar across presented sensitivity runs, indicating that the stock status results were robust given the provided data and can be used for management. The outcomes of sensitivity analyses done with BAM were in general agreement with those of the Monte Carlo Bootstrap Ensemble analysis (an additional way to examine uncertainty) in BAM. In general, stock status results from ASPIC were qualitatively similar to those from BAM.
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## 4. Regional Maps

Figure 3.1: South Atlantic Fishery Management Council and EEZ boundaries.


## 5. Abbreviations

| APAIS | Access Point Angler Intercept Survey |
| :---: | :---: |
| ABC | Allowable Biological Catch |
| ACCSP | Atlantic Coastal Cooperative Statistics Program |
| ADMB | AD Model Builder software program |
| ALS | Accumulated Landings System; SEFSC fisheries data collection program |
| AMRD | Alabama Marine Resources Division |
| ASMFC | Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission |
| ASPIC | a stock production model incorporating covariates |
| ASPM | age-structured production model |
| B | stock biomass level |
| BAM | Beaufort Assessment Model |
| BMSY | value of B capable of producing MSY on a continuing basis |
| CFMC | Caribbean Fishery Management Council |
| CIE | Center for Independent Experts |
| CPUE | catch per unit of effort |
| EEZ | exclusive economic zone |
| F | fishing mortality (instantaneous) |
| FMSY | fishing mortality to produce MSY under equilibrium conditions |
| FOY | fishing mortality rate to produce Optimum Yield under equilibrium |
| FXX\% SPR | fishing mortality rate that will result in retaining $\mathrm{XX} \%$ of the maximum spawning production under equilibrium conditions |
| FMAX | fishing mortality that maximizes the average weight yield per fish recruited to the fishery |
| F0 | a fishing mortality close to, but slightly less than, Fmax |
| FL FWCC | Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission |
| FWRI | (State of) Florida Fish and Wildlife Research Institute |
| GA DNR | Georgia Department of Natural Resources |
| GLM | general linear model |
| GMFMC | Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council |
| GSMFC | Gulf States Marine Fisheries Commission |
| GULF FIN HMS | GSMFC Fisheries Information Network Highly Migratory Species |


| LDWF | Louisiana Department of Wildlife and Fisheries <br> M |
| :--- | :--- |
| natural mortality (instantaneous) |  |
| MAFMC | Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council |
| MARMAP | Marine Resources Monitoring, Assessment, and Prediction |
| MDMR | Mississippi Department of Marine Resources <br> maximum fishing mortality threshold, a value of F above which overfishing is deemed to be <br> mFMT |
| occurring |  |
| MRFSS | Marine Recreational Fisheries Statistics Survey; combines a telephone survey of households to <br> estimate number of trips with creel surveys to estimate catch and effort per trip |
| MRIP | Marine Recreational Information Program |
| MSST | minimum stock size threshold, a value of B below which the stock is deemed to be overfished <br> MSY |
| maximum sustainable yield |  |
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## 1.Introduction

This operational assessment evaluated the stock of Spanish mackerel (Scomberomorus maculatus) in the South Atlantic region of the southeastern United States. The primary objectives were to update and improve the 2012 SEDAR 28 benchmark assessment of and to conduct new stock projections. Using data through 2011, SEDAR 28 had indicated that the stock was not overfished and not undergoing overfishing. For this SEDAR 78 assessment, data compilation and assessment methods were guided by methodology of SEDAR 28, as well as by current SEDAR practices and recommendations by the SEDAR 28 review panel. The assessment period is 1986-2020.

Available data on this stock included indices of abundance, landings, discards, and samples of annual age compositions from fishery dependent sources. Three indices of abundance were fitted by the model: one from the Florida commercial trip tickets, one from the recreational MRIP intercepts for harvested fish, and one from the age-0 SEAMAP Coastal Trawl Survey. Data on landings and discards were modeled from five distinct fleets and two bycatch series: commercial handline, commercial gillnet, commercial pound net, commercial cast net, and general recreational (shore, private and charter modes) landings and discards.

The primary model used in SEDAR 28-and the one updated here-was the Beaufort Assessment Model (BAM), an integrated statistical catch-age formulation. A base run of BAM was configured to provide point estimates of key management quantities, such as stock and fishery status. Uncertainty in estimates from the base run was evaluated through a mixed Monte Carlo/Bootstrap Ensemble (MCBE) procedure. Median values from the uncertainty analysis are also provided. Sensitivity runs were developed to evaluate the model at the MCBE bounds for fixed natural mortality, steepness, and general recreational discard mortality parameters as well as exclusion of the commercial handline index.

The assessment estimated that spawning stock has fluctuated on a near-decadal cycle near or above the minimum stock size threshold (MSST) level. The base-run estimate of terminal (2020) spawning stock was above the MSST ( $\mathrm{SSB}_{2020} / \mathrm{MSST}=$ $1.40)$, as was the median estimate from the $\mathrm{MCBE}\left(\mathrm{SSB}_{2020} / \mathrm{MSST}=1.42\right)$. The estimated fishing rate has been at or below the maximum fishing mortality threshold (MFMT), represented by $\mathrm{F}_{\text {MSY }}$ with the exception of the terminal year (2020). The terminal estimate, which is based on a three-year geometric mean, was below $\mathrm{F}_{\text {MSY }}$ in the base run $\left(\mathrm{F}_{2018-2020} / \mathrm{F}_{\text {MSY }}=0.77\right)$ and in the median of the MCBE ( $\mathrm{F}_{2018-2020} / \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{MSY}}=0.74$ ). Thus, this assessment indicated that the stock is not experiencing overfishing. However, this result requires caution: if the overfishing rate of 2020 continued in 2021, the geometric mean would indicate overfishing.

The MCBE analysis illustrated that these estimates of stock and fishery status are robust. Of all MCBE runs, $92.6 \%$ were in agreement that the stock is not overfished, and $90.0 \%$ were in agreement that overfishing is not occurring. Although qualitative results were robust, the primary sources of uncertainty in quantitative results (i.e., degree of overfishing or overfished) was natural mortality and steepness.

The estimated trends of this operational assessment were quite similar to those from the SEDAR28 benchmark. However, the two assessments did show some differences in results, which was not surprising given several modifications made to both the data and the model (described throughout the report). The two assessments showed similar stock status between 1986 and 2011, the terminal year of SEDAR28. Since then, SEDAR 78 indicated that the Spanish mackerel stock has fluctuated near the MSY reference point.

### 1.1 Workshop Time and Place

The SEDAR 78 South Atlantic Spanish Mackerel assessment took place over a series of webinars held from May 2021 to March 2022.

### 1.2 Terms of Reference

1. Update the approved SEDAR 28 Spanish Mackerel model with data through 2020. Apply the current BAM configuration incorporating approved improvements developed since SEDAR 28.
2. Evaluate and document the following specific changes in input data or deviations from the benchmark model.

- Update growth and reproductive models if additional samples are available for fish below 275 mm
- If available, include any improved information on steepness for similar pelagic species.
- Evaluate data uncertainty with respect to the recreational landings
- Calculate different F metrics (in addition to apical F ) (to address shifts in the age of apical F towards the end of the assessment time series).

3. Document any changes or corrections made to model and input datasets and provide updated input data tables. Provide commercial and recreational landings and discards in pounds and numbers.
4. Update model parameter estimates and their variances, model uncertainties, estimates of stock status and management benchmarks, and provide the probability of overfishing occurring at specified future harvest and exploitation levels.
5. Convene a working group including SSC representatives to meet via webinar, as needed to review model development relative to terms of reference 1 through 4.
6. Develop a stock assessment report to address these ToRs and fully document the input data, methods, and results.

### 1.3 List of Participants

| Appointee | Function | Affiliation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rob Cheshire | Lead Analyst | SEFSC Beaufort |
| Matthew Vincent | Analytical Team | SEFSC Beaufort |
| Matt Nuttall | Analytical Team | SEFSC Miami |
| Kyle Shertzer | Analytical Team | SEFSC Beaufort |
| Chris Palmer | Analytical Team | SEFSC Panama City |
| Naeem Willet | Analytical Team | SEFSC Panama City |
| Ashley Pacicco | Analytical Team | SEFSC Panama City |
| Vivian Matter | Analytical Team | SEFSC Miami |
| Refik Orhun | Analytical Team | SEFSC Miami |
| Kevin McCarthy | Analytical Team | SEFSC Miami |
| Eric Fitzpatrick | Data Compiler | SEFSC Beaufort |
| Mike Rinaldi | Panelist | ACCSP |
| Alan Bianchi | Panelist | NCDMF |
| Tracy Smart | Panelist | SCDNR |
| Amy Zimney | Panelist | SCDNR |
| Mclean Seward | Panelist | NCDMF |
| Dustin Addis | Panelist | SSC |
| Wilson Laney | Panelist | SSC |
| Fred Scharf | Panelist | SSC |
| Appointed Observers |  |  |
| Thomas Newman | Observer | MCAP |
| Greg Peralta | Observer | MCAP |
| Appointed Council Members |  |  |
| Tom Roller | Observer | MCAP AND SAFMC |
| Staff |  |  |
| Kathleen Howington | Coordinator | SEDAR |
| Judd Curtis | Staff Representative | SAFMC |
| Alishia Gray | Staff Representative | SERO |
| Non-Panel Data Providers |  |  |
| Steve Brown | Data Provider | FLFWC |
| Chris Bradshaw | Data Provider | FLFWC |
| Eric Hiltz | Data Provider | SCDNR |
| Amy Dukes | Data Provider | SCDNR |
| Dominique Lazarre | Data Provider | FLFWC |
| Andrew Cathey | Data Provider | NCDMF |
| Ken Brennen | Data Provider | SEFSC Beaufort |
| John Carlson | Data Provider | SEFSC Panama City |
| Alyssa Mathers | Data Provider | SEFSC Panama City |
| Bradley Smith | Data Provider | SEFSC Panama |
| Appointee | Function | Affiliation |
| Non-Panel Data Providers |  |  |
| Stephanie Martinez | Data Provider | SEFSC Miami |
| Liz Scott-Denton | Data Provider | SEFSC Pascagoula |
| Larry Beerkircher | Data Provider | SEFSC Miami |
| Beverly Sauls | Data Provider | FLFWC |
| Kelly Fitzpatrick | Data Provider | SEFSC Beaufort |
|  |  |  |


| Other |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Adyan Rios | Observer | NMFS |
| Chip Collier | Observer | SAFMC |
| Alan Lowther | Observer | NMFS |
| Beverly Barnett | Observer | NMFS |
| Brandon Foor | Observer | NMFS |
| Beverly Barnett | Observer | NMFS |
| Emilie Franke | Observer | ASMFC |
| Chris Swanson | Observer | FLFWC |
| Derek Cox | Observer | FLFWC |
| Elizabeth Gooding | Observer | SCDNR |
| Greg Peralta | Observer | Fisherman |
| Hannah Hart | Observer | FLFWC |
| Ira Laks | Observer | Fisherman |
| Jeff Pulver | Observer | NMFS |
| Jennifer Potts | Observer | NMFS |
| Julie Defilippi Simpson | Observer | ACCSP |
| Katie Drew | Observer | ASMFC |
| Rusty Hudson | Observer | Fisherman |
| Savannah Lewis | Observer | ASMFC |
| Scott Crosson | Observer | NMFS |
| Willow Patten | Observer | NCDMF |
|  |  |  |

### 1.4 Document List

| Document \# | Title | Authors | Received |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Documents Prepared for SEDAR 78 |  |  |  |
| SEDAR78-WP01 | SEAMAP-SA Coastal Trawl Survey Data and Sample Collection Methods | Amy Zimney | 7/29/2021 |
| SEDAR78-WP02 | Spanish Mackerel Indices of Abundance in U.S. South Atlantic Waters Based on the SEAMAP-SA Fishery-independent Coastal Trawl Survey | Tracey Smart and Amy Zimney | 10/29/2021 |
| SEDAR78-WP03 | General Recreational Survey Data for Spanish Mackerel in the South Atlantic | Matt Nuttall | 10/25/2021 |
| SEDAR78-WP04 | SEDAR 78 Spanish mackerel bycatch estimates from US Atlantic coast shrimp trawls | Eric Fitzpatrick | 11/10/2021 |
| SEDAR78-WP05 | General recreational and commercial age and length composition weighting for Southeast U.S. Spanish mackerel (Scomberomorus maculatus) | Eric Fitzpatrick | 11/10/2021 |
| SEDAR78-WP06 | Bycatch estimates of Spanish mackerel in the south Atlantic coastal gillnet fishery | John Carlson, Alyssa Mathers and Kevin McCarthy | 10/28/2021 |
| SEDAR78-WP07 | Standardized Catch Rates of Spanish mackerel from the Southeast Coastal Gillnet Fishery | John Carlson and Alyssa Mathers | 10/29/2021 |
| SEDAR78-WP08 | A Review of Atlantic Spanish mackerel (Scomberomorus maculatus) Age Data, 1986 - 2020, From Various Age-data Sources | Chris Palmer, Jennifer Potts, Beverly Barnett, and Rob Cheshire | 10/29/2021 |
| SEDAR78-WP09 | Fishery-dependent CPUE index for Spanish mackerel derived from MRIP data | Katie Drew | 10/29/2021 |
| SEDAR78-WP10 | Spanish Mackerel Length Frequency Distributions from At-Sea Headboat and Charter Observer Surveys in the South Atlantic, 2005 to 2020. | Dominique Lazarre Andrew Cathey and Kelly Fitzpatrick | 11/3/2021 |


| Document \# | Title | Authors | Received |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Documents Prepared for SEDAR 78 Cont. |  |  |  |
| SEDAR78-WP11 | Discards of Spanish Mackerel Calculated for Commercial Fishing Vessels with Federal Fishing Permits in the US South Atlantic | Kevin McCarthy and Jose Diaz | 11/4/2021 |
| SEDAR78-WP12 | Annual indices of abundance of Spanish Mackerel from Florida commercial trip tickets, 1986-2020 | Joe O'Hop and Steve Brown | 11/12/2021 |
| Final Assessment Report |  |  |  |
| SEDAR78-SAR1 | Assessment of South Atlantic Spanish Mackerel | To be prepared by SEDAR 78 | May 2022 |

### 1.5 Statements Addressing Each Terms of Reference

Note: Original ToRs are in normal font. Statements addressing ToRs are in italics.

1. Update the approved SEDAR 28 Spanish mackerel model with data through 2020. Apply the current BAM configuration incorporating approved improvements developed since SEDAR 28.

SEDAR78 applied the current BAM configuration. The assessment model structure and data sources were very similar to those used in SEDAR28. Important modifications, such as selectivity functions were investigated through likelihood profiles and visual comparisons of model fit to the data. The decision to remove sex-specific growth and selectivity and modify the start year for the model were evaluated and shown to improve model performance.
2. Evaluate and document the following specific changes in input data or deviations from the benchmark model.

- Update growth and reproductive models if additional samples are available for fish below 275 mm .
- If available, include any improved information on steepness for similar pelagic species.
- Evaluate data uncertainty with respect to the recreational landings.
- Calculate different F metrics (in addition to apical F ) (to address shifts in the age of apical F towards the end of the assessment time series).

All the above bullet points were addressed. Growth models were developed with increased age-0 samples primarily from the SEAMAP Coastal Trawl Survey. There was very limited reproduction information. There was no new information on steepness that could be applied in this assessment. Likelihood profiles on steepness had similar results to SEDAR28. Uncertainty in recreational landings was presented in the associated working paper. Years with large increases, such as 2020, were evaluated and discussed in greater detail. The spawning potential ratio conditional on annual $F$ and exploitation rates were examined as additional F metrics.
3. Document any changes or corrections made to model and input datasets and provide updated input data tables. Provide commercial and recreational landings and discards in pounds and numbers.

Changes to data and model are documented in the report, along with tables of updated data input and removals in both pounds and numbers.
4. Update model parameter estimates and their variances, model uncertainties, estimates of stock status and management benchmarks, and provide the probability of overfishing occurring at specified future harvest and exploitation levels.

All of these key estimates and outputs are documented in the report.
5. Convene a working group including SAFMC Science and Statistical Committee representatives to meet via webinar, as needed to review model development relative to terms of reference 1 through 4 .

The SEDAR78 panel did not suggest working groups were needed during model development.
6. Develop a stock assessment report to address these TORs and fully document the input data, methods, and results.

Please see this report.

## 2 Data Review and Update

The input data for this assessment are described below, with focus on modifications from the SEDAR 28 benchmark assessment.

### 2.1 Data Review

In this operational assessment, the Beaufort assessment model (BAM) was fitted to data sources developed during the SEDAR 78 process, evaluated over several webinars. These data include updates to SEDAR 78 data, where appropriate, which are highlighted below.

## Model inputs used in SEDAR 28 and SEDAR 78

- Life history: Meristics, population growth, fishery dependent size at age, female size at age, female maturity, proportion female, age-dependent natural mortality
- Landings and discards: Commercial handline, gillnet, pound net, and cast net combined landings and discards, shrimp bycatch, general recreational landings and discards
- Indices of abundance: Commercial handline, MRIP, SEAMAP YOY ${ }^{1}$
- Age compositions: Commercial handline, gillnet, pound net, and cast net landings, and general recreational landings
- Other: General recreational discard mortality


## Updated data sources in SEDAR 78

- Life history: Population growth, fishery dependent size at age, female size at age, age-dependent natural mortality
- Landings and discards: Commercial handline, gillnet, pound net, and cast net combined landings and discards, shrimp bycatch, general recreational landings and discards
- Indices of abundance: Commercial handline, MRIP, SEAMAP YOY
- Age compositions: Commercial handline, gillnet, pound net, cast net, and general recreational


### 2.2 Data Update

### 2.3 Life History

A total of 32,348 (1986-2020) Spanish mackerel ages were prepared for SEDAR 78. Several data sources reevaluated age sample information for the entire time series. Gear identification was improved for some fishery dependent samples and deemed unreliable for others. In addition, many more YOY samples were collected since SEDAR 28 primarily from the SEAMAP Coastal Trawl Survey (see SCDNR sample sizes, mostly age -0 and age- 1 fish, in (SEDAR78WP08 2021)).

Estimates of the von Bertalanffy growth parameters updated for the population as a whole $\left(L_{\infty}=582.5 \mathrm{~mm}, K=0.6\right.$ $\mathrm{yr}^{-1}$, and $\left.t_{0}=-0.5 \mathrm{yr}\right)$, the female population $\left(L_{\infty}=610.1 \mathrm{~mm}, K=0.62 \mathrm{yr}^{-1}\right.$, and $\left.t_{0}=-0.5 \mathrm{yr}\right)$, and the fished

[^0]population $\left(L_{\infty}=680.4 \mathrm{~mm}, K=0.2 \mathrm{yr}^{-1}\right.$, and $\left.t_{0}=-2.77 \mathrm{yr}\right)$. For the population as a whole and the female population, the $t_{0}$ parameter was fixed, samples were weighted by the inverse of the number of samples at age, and a correction was applied for bias from fishery dependent samples (Diaz et al. 2004). Length at age for all growth models are given in Table 1.

Age-based (Lorenzen 1996) natural mortality estimates were updated using new population growth parameters for SEDAR 78. As in SEDAR28, the cumulative survival of age $2+$ based on a point estimate of natural mortality, 0.35 , was used to scale the age-based estimates of natural mortality (Table 1).

### 2.4 Landings

The fleet structure used in SEDAR 78 was the same as that of SEDAR 28, including commercial handline, gill net, cast net, pound net, and general recreational (including estimates of headboat and MRIP private, charter, and shorebased landings). General recreational landings and discards were estimated using the current MRIP methodology (SEDAR78-WP03 2021). The commercial estimated landings were input as whole pounds. The commercial "other" estimated landings were divided between commercial gears based on the annual proportion of each (Table 2). General recreational landings were input in numbers (thousands).

### 2.5 Discards and Bycatch

Discards were estimated for commercial gill net, handline, and trolling (included with handline) in numbers (SEDAR78WP11 2021). The commercial discards were converted to pounds based on the average weight of fish less than the 12 inch size limit weighted by the observed proportion in the overall length composition. These minor removals were then combined with their respective catch time series. General recreational discards were estimated in numbers and were modeled separately as in SEDAR 28 (Table 2, SEDAR78-WP03 (2021)). Spanish mackerel are observed in the shrimp trawl fishery in the South Atlantic. Shrimp bycatch estimates were developed using methods consistent with SEDAR 28 (SEDAR78-WP04 2021). General recreational discards and shrimp bycatch were developed in numbers as input to the model (Table 2).

### 2.6 Indices of Abundance

Two fishery dependent indices and one fishery independent recruitment index were developed for SEDAR 78. The general recreational MRIP index and associated CVs for harvested fish were updated through 2020 (SEDAR78-WP09 2021). This index was later truncated to start in 1986 and renormalized to its mean to coincide with the start year of the model. An index from Florida commercial handline trip ticket records was developed (SEDAR78-WP12 2021). A recruitment index of age-0 fish from the SEAMAP Coastal Trawl Survey was formulated for 1989-2019 (SEDAR78WP01 2021; SEDAR78-WP02 2021). All finalized indices for potential use in the Spanish mackerel stock assessment and associated CVs are in Table 3.

### 2.7 Length Composition

As in SEDAR 28, length data were not used to inform the model. However, length compositions can be used to remove bias in samples collected for age determination. Only the commercial gillnet collections had adequate samples to develop weighted length composition data (SEDAR78-WP05 2021). This composition was developed solely to weight the commercial gillnet age composition.

### 2.8 Age Composition

Age data were available from the commercial handline, pound net, gill net, cast net and general recreational sampling programs. Nominal age compositions were developed for Spanish mackerel except commercial gillnet which was weighted by the length composition (Chih 2009; SEDAR78-WP05 2021). Ages greater than 10 were pooled to age 10 creating a plus group (age 10+; Tables 4-8).

## 3 Stock Assessment Methods

### 3.1 Overview

This operational assessment updated the primary model applied in SEDAR28 (2012), an integrated model implemented using the BAM software (Williams and Shertzer 2015). BAM applies a statistical catch-age formulation, coded in AD Model Builder (Fournier et al. 2012). BAM is referred to as an integrated model because it uses multiple data sources relevant to population and fishery dynamics (e.g. removals, length and age compositions, and indices of abundance) in a single framework. In essence, the catch-age model simulates a population forward in time while including fishing processes (Quinn and Deriso 1999; Shertzer et al. 2008). The model is similar in structure to Stock Synthesis (Methot and Wetzel 2013) and other stock assessment models used in the United States (Dichmont et al. 2016; Li et al. 2021). Versions of BAM have been used in previous SEDAR assessments of reef fishes in the U.S. South Atlantic, such as black sea bass, blueline tilefish, gag, greater amberjack, red grouper, red porgy, snowy grouper, tilefish, and vermilion snapper, as well as in the previous SEDAR assessments of Spanish mackerel (SEDAR17 2008; SEDAR28 2012). The primary model in this assessment was a statistical catch-age model (Quinn and Deriso 1999), implemented with the AD Model Builder software (ADMB Foundation 2012). Statistical catch-age models share many attributes with ADAPT-style tuned and untuned VPAs.

### 3.2 Data Sources

The catch-age model was fit to data from one fishery independent recruitment index, two fishery dependent indices, estimates of bycatch in the shrimp fishery, and to data from each of the five primary fisheries on southeastern U.S. Spanish mackerel: commercial gill net, commercial pound net, commercial cast net, commercial handlines (including hook \& line, trolling, and electric reels), and general recreational (including headboat). These data included annual landings by fishery (in total weight for commercial and in numbers for general recreational and shrimp bycatch), annual discards from the general recreational sector, and annual age composition of landings by fishery. Discards from the commercial fisheries were added to landings as they were not a large enough proportion of total catch to model separately (Table 2). Data on annual discard mortalities were not available, but an overall discard mortality rate of 0.2 for the general recreational sector was applied to total discards as per the recommendation of the SEDAR 28 DW. All shrimp bycatch was assumed dead.

### 3.3 Model Configuration

The assessment time period was 1986-2020. The initial year was modified from SEDAR 28 to begin when adequate information was available to inform the initial age structure of the population and fishing rates. These values were assumed and fixed in SEDAR 28 and age compositions are not available until 1990. SEDAR 28 had to make assumptions about population age structure and fishing mortality to initialize the model in 1950. The terminal year extended from 2012 to 2020. A general description of the assessment model follows.

### 3.4 Stock Dynamics

In the assessment model, new biomass was acquired through growth and recruitment, while abundance of existing cohorts experienced mortality from fishing and natural sources. The population was assumed closed to immigration and emigration. The model included age classes $0-10^{+}$, where the oldest age class $10^{+}$allowed for the accumulation of fish (i.e., plus group).

### 3.5 Initialization

Initial (1986) numbers at age assumed the stable age structure computed from expected recruitment and the initial, age-specific total mortality rate. That initial mortality was the sum of natural mortality and fishing mortality, where fishing mortality was the product of an initial fishing rate $\left(F_{\text {init }}\right)$ and $F$-weighted selectivity based on starting year landings. The initial fishing rate was estimated using a starting value of $F_{\text {init }}=0.5$ and no prior. The initial recruitment in 1986 was estimated.

### 3.6 Natural Mortality Rate

The natural mortality rate $(M)$ was assumed constant over time, but decreasing with age. The form of $M$ as a function of age was based on Lorenzen (1996). The Lorenzen (1996) approach inversely relates the natural mortality at age to mean weight at age $\mathrm{W}_{a}$ by the power function $\mathrm{M}_{a}=\alpha W_{a}^{\beta}$, where $\alpha$ is a scale parameter and $\beta$ is a shape parameter. Lorenzen (1996) provided point estimates of $\alpha$ and $\beta$ for oceanic fishes, which were used for this assessment. As in previous SEDAR assessments, the age-dependent estimates of $M_{a}$ were rescaled to provide the same fraction of fish surviving from age 2 through the oldest observed age ( 12 yr ) as would occur with constant $M=0.35$, which is consistent with the findings of Hoenig (1983) and discussed in Hewitt and Hoenig (2005). The scaled Lorenzen estimator has become common in SEDAR assessments as the most reliable approach to infer age-dependent natural mortality.

### 3.7 Growth

Mean size at age of the population, female population, and fishery removals under a 12-inch size limit (fork length, FL) were modeled with the von Bertalanffy equation, and weight at age (whole weight, WW) was modeled as a function of FL (Figure 1, Table 1). Parameters of growth and conversions (FL-WW) were treated as input to the assessment model.

### 3.8 Female Maturity and Sex Ratio

Female maturity was modeled with a logistic function; parameters for this model and a vector of maturity at age were provided by the SEDAR 28 DW and treated as input to the assessment model (Table 1). The sex ratio was assumed to be 50:50, as in SEDAR 28.

### 3.9 Spawning Biomass

Spawning biomass (in units of mt) was modeled as the mature female biomass. It was computed each year from number at age when spawning peaks. For Spanish mackerel, peak spawning was considered to occur on June $1^{\text {st }}$.

### 3.10 Recruitment

Recruitment was predicted from spawning biomass using a Beverton-Holt spawner-recruit model. These stock-recruit parameters are median-unbiased values (Li et al. 2021). For all years in the model (1986-2020), estimated recruitment was conditioned on the Beverton-Holt model. Steepness was fixed at 0.75 for the base run.

### 3.11 Landings

Time series of landing from five fisheries were modeled: commercial handlines, commercial gillnet, commercial pound net, commercial cast net, and general recreational (including headboat). Landings were modeled via the Baranov catch equation (Baranov 1918), in units of 1000 lb whole weight for commercial fisheries and in units of 1000 fish for the general recreational fishery and bycatch.

### 3.12 Discards

Starting in 1986 with the implementation of size-limit regulations, time series of discard mortalities (in units of 1000 fish) were available for commercial handline and gill net fisheries. The magnitude of the commercial discards was trivial in comparison to the landings. As a result, the commercial discards were included with the landings rather than model the discards separately. General recreational discards were modeled seperately and decremented by the discard mortality rate ( 0.2 ) determined in SEDAR 28. As with landings, discard mortalities were modeled via the Baranov catch equation (Baranov 1918), which required estimates of discard selectivities (described below) and release mortality rates.

### 3.13 Bycatch

Spanish mackerel are observed in the shrimp trawl fishery in the South Atlantic. However, the observer coverage is extremely sparse and effort data are questionable. Estimates were provided by the data workshop that assumed a constant relationship over time between the rate of bycatch and effort by state (SEDAR78-WP04 2021). Bycatch was modeled via the Baranov catch equation (Baranov 1918), assuming that only age 0 fish and a small proportion of age 1 fish were selected with $100 \%$ mortality.

### 3.14 Fishing

For each time series of landings and discard mortalities, a separate full fishing mortality rate $(F)$ was estimated. Age-specific rates were then computed as the product of full $F$ and selectivity at age. The across-fleet annual $F$ was represented by apical $F$, computed as the maximum of $F$ at age summed across fleets.

### 3.15 Selectivities

Selectivity curves applied to landings were estimated using a parametric approach. This approach applies plausible structure on the shape of the curves, and achieves greater parsimony than occurs with unique parameters for each age. Flat-topped selectivities were modeled as a two-parameter logistic function (logistic). Dome-shaped selectivities were modeled by combining two logistic functions: a two-parameter logistic function to describe the ascending limb of the curve, and a two-parameter logistic function to describe the descending limb (double-logistic). Another type of domed-shaped selectivity allowed for a freely estimated logit parameter for age- 0 , a fixed peak at age- 1 , and an exponential decline for age $2^{+}$(logit-exponential).

To model landings, this assessment applied flat-topped selectivity for the commercial handline and cast net fleets, both pooled over years due to small sample sizes. Dome-shaped selectivity was used to model commercial gillnet landings. Commercial pound net and general recreational fleets were modeled using the logit-exponential selectivity. The approach to modeling each of these fleets was modified from decisions in SEDAR 28 to improve model fit and stability and based on total likelihood or likelihood profiles of specific parameters.

Selectivities of general recreational discards and shrimp bycatch could not be estimated directly, because composition data of discards were lacking. Fixed selectivities for these removals were the same as in SEDAR 28.

### 3.16 Indices of Abundance

The model was fit to two fishery dependent indices of relative abundance (MRIP (1986-2020) and commercial handline (1986-2020)), and one fishery independent index of age-0 recruitment (SEAMAP YOY (1989-2019)). The fishery dependent indices of abundance were limited to harvested fish. Predicted indices were conditional on selectivity of the corresponding fleet, and were computed from abundance (numbers of fish) at the midpoint of the year or, in the case of commercial handlines, biomass.

### 3.17 Catchability

In the BAM, catchability scales indices of relative abundance to the estimated population at large, adjusted by selectivity of the fleet or survey. For SEDAR 78, as in SEDAR 28, catchability $(q)$ of each index was assumed to be time-invariant, and these parameters (one $q$ per index) were estimated within BAM.

### 3.18 Biological Reference Points

Biological reference points (benchmarks) were calculated based on maximum sustainable yield (MSY) estimates from the Beverton-Holt spawner-recruit model with bias correction (expected values in arithmetic space). Computed benchmarks included MSY, fishing mortality rate at MSY ( $F_{\mathrm{MSY}}$ ), and spawning stock at MSY ( $\mathrm{SSB}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$ ). In this assessment, spawning stock measures total biomass (mt) of mature females. These benchmarks are conditional on the estimated selectivity functions. The selectivity pattern used here were the selectivities at age (weighted by apical $F$ ), with effort from each fishery (including discard and bycatch mortalities) estimated as the full $F$ averaged over the last three years of the assessment.

### 3.19 Fitting Criterion

Model parameters were estimated using a penalized likelihood approach in which observed removals (landings and discards) were fit closely, and observed composition data and abundance indices were fit to the degree that they were compatible. Removals and index data were fit using lognormal likelihoods. Age composition data were fit using the Dirichlet-multinomial likelihood, and only from years that met minimum sample size criteria ( $n f i s h>10$ and ntrips $\geq 10$.

SEDAR 28 fit composition data using the robust multinomial with iterative re-weighting (Francis 2011). Since Francis (2011), additional work on this topic has questioned the use of the multinomial distribution in stock assessment models (Francis 2014), and has recommended the Dirichlet-multinomial as an alternative (Francis 2017; Thorson et al. 2017; Fisch et al. 2021). A chief advantage of the Dirichlet-multinomial is that it is self-weighting through estimation of an additional variance inflation parameter for each composition component, making iterative re-weighting unnecessary. Another advantage is that it can better account for overdispersion, or, larger variance in the data than would be expected by the multinomial. Overdispersion can result from intra-haul correlation, which results when fish caught in the same set are more alike in length or age than fish caught in a different set (Pennington and Volstad 1994). The Dirichlet-multinomial has been implemented in Stock Synthesis (Methot and Wetzel 2013; Thorson et al. 2017) and in the BAM, and since SEDAR 41 has become the standard likelihood for fitting composition data in assessments of South Atlantic fishes.

The model includes the capability for each component of the likelihood to be weighted by user-supplied values. When applied to indices, these weights modifed the effects of the CVs derived from index standardization. CVs from index standardization are often smaller for fishery dependent indices than for fishery independent indices due to the typically larger sample sizes. Therefore, initial CVs for the fishery dependent indices were set to 0.2 , similar to past SEDAR assessments, to ensure that the fishery independent index was not considered less certain than the fishery dependent index. In the base run, weights on the indices were adjusted iteratively from the initial values based on the index standardization (Table 3) until standard deviations of normalized residuals (SDNRs) were near 1.0, as recommended by Francis (2011).

For some parameters defining selectivities and Dirichlet-multinomial overdispersion parameters, normal priors were applied to maintain parameter estimates near reasonable values, and to prevent the gradient-based optimization routine from drifting into parameter space with negligible changes in the likelihood.

### 3.20 Configuration of a Base Run

The base run was configured as described above. This configuration does not necessarily represent reality better than all other possible configurations, and thus this assessment attempted to portray uncertainty in point estimates through sensitivity analyses and through a MCBE approach (described below).

### 3.21 Sensitivity Analyses

Sensitivity runs were chosen to investigate issues that arose specifically with this operational assessment. They were intended to demonstrate directionality of results with changes in inputs or simply to explore model behavior. These model runs vary from the base run as follows:

- S1: Removal of the commercial handline index
- S2: Use the Lorenzen M scaled to the low point estimate of M
- S3: Use the Lorenzen $M$ scaled to the high point estimate of $M$
- S4: Steepness fixed at 0.6
- S5: Steepness fixed at 0.9
- S6: General recreational discard rate fixed at 0.1
- S7: General recreational discard rate fixed at 0.3

Retrospective analyses were also conducted by incrementally dropping one year at a time for five iterations. In these runs, the terminal years were 2019, 2018, 2017, 2016, or 2015.

### 3.22 Parameters Estimated

The model estimated annual fishing mortality rates of each fleet, selectivity parameters, catchability coefficients associated with indices, parameters of the mean recruitment model $\left(R_{0}\right)$, annual recruitment deviations, and Dirichletmultinomial variance inflation factors. Estimated parameters are listed in Appendix B.

### 3.23 Per Recruit and Equilibrium Analyses

Yield per recruit and spawning potential ratio were computed as functions of $F$, as were equilibrium landings, discards, and spawning biomass. Equilibrium landings and discards were also computed as functions of biomass $B$, which itself is a function of $F$. As in the computation of MSY-related benchmarks (described in §3.24), per recruit and equilibrium analyses applied the most recent selectivity patterns averaged across fleets, weighted by each fleet's $F$ from the last three years of the assessment (2018-2020).

### 3.24 Benchmark/Reference Point Methods

In this assessment of Spanish mackerel, the quantities $F_{\mathrm{MSY}}, \mathrm{SSB}_{\mathrm{MSY}}, B_{\mathrm{MSY}}$, and MSY were estimated by the method of Shepherd (1982). In that method, the point of maximum yield is calculated from the spawner-recruit curve and parameters describing growth, natural mortality, maturity, and selectivity. The value of $F_{\text {MSY }}$ is the $F$ that maximizes equilibrium removals.

On average, expected recruitment is higher than that estimated directly from the spawner-recruit curve, because of lognormal deviation in recruitment. Thus, in this assessment, the method of benchmark estimation accounted for lognormal deviation by including a bias correction in equilibrium recruitment. The bias correction ( $\varsigma$ ) was computed from the variance $\left(\sigma_{R}^{2}\right)$ of recruitment deviation in log space: $\varsigma=\exp \left(\sigma_{R}^{2} / 2\right)$. Then, equilibrium recruitment $\left(R_{e q}\right)$ associated with any $F$ is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{e q}=\frac{R_{0}\left[\varsigma 0.8 h \Phi_{F}-0.2(1-h)\right]}{(h-0.2) \Phi_{F}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R_{0}$ is virgin recruitment, $h$ is steepness, and $\Phi_{F}=\phi_{F} / \phi_{0}$ is spawning potential ratio given growth, maturity, and total mortality at age (including natural and fishing mortality rates). The $R_{e q}$ and mortality schedule imply an equilibrium age structure and an average sustainable yield (ASY). The estimate of $F_{\text {MSY }}$ is the $F$ giving the highest ASY, and the estimate of MSY is that ASY. The estimate of $\mathrm{SSB}_{\text {MSY }}$ follows from the corresponding equilibrium age structure, as does the benchmark estimate of discard mortalities ( $D_{\mathrm{MSY}}$ ), here separated from ASY (and consequently, MSY).

Estimates of MSY and related benchmarks are conditional on selectivity pattern. The selectivity pattern used here was an average of terminal-year selectivities from each fleet, where each fleet-specific selectivity was weighted in proportion to its corresponding estimate of $F$ averaged over the last three years (2018-2020). If the selectivities or relative fishing mortalities among fleets were to change, so would the estimates of MSY and related benchmarks.

For this stock, the maximum fishing mortality threshold (MFMT) is defined by the SAFMC as $F_{\text {MSY }}$, and the minimum stock size threshold (MSST) as $75 \% \mathrm{SSB}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$. Overfishing is defined as $F>$ MFMT and overfished as $\mathrm{SSB}<\mathrm{MSST}$. Current status of the stock is represented by SSB in the latest assessment year (2020), and current status of the fishery is represented by the geometric mean of $F$ from the latest three years (2018-2020).

### 3.25 Uncertainty and Measures of Precision

As in SEDAR 28, this assessment used a MCBE approach to characterize uncertainty in results of the base run. Monte Carlo and bootstrap methods (Efron and Tibshirani 1993; Manly 1997) are often used to characterize uncertainty in ecological studies, and the mixed approach has been applied successfully in stock assessment, including Restrepo et al. (1992), Legault et al. (2001), SEDAR4 (2004), and many South Atlantic SEDAR assessments since SEDAR19 (2009). The approach is among those recommended for use in SEDAR assessments (SEDAR Procedural Guidance 2010), and it is considered to be one of the more complete characterizations of uncertainty used in stock assessments across the United States.

The approach translates uncertainty in model input into uncertainty in model output, by fitting the model many times with different values of "observed" data and key input parameters. A main advantage of the approach is that the results describe a range of possible outcomes, so that the ensemble of models characterizes uncertainty in results more thoroughly than any single fit or handful of sensitivity runs (Scott et al. 2016; Jardim et al. 2021). A minor disadvantage of the approach is that computational demands are relatively high, but this can largely be mitigated through use of parallel processing.

In this assessment, the BAM was successively re-fit in $n=4000$ trials that differed from the original inputs by bootstrapping on data sources, and by Monte Carlo sampling of several key input parameters. The value of $n=4000$ was chosen because a minimum of 3000 runs were desired, and it was anticipated that not all runs would converge or otherwise be valid. Of the 4000 trials, approximately $1 \%$ were discarded, because the model did not properly converge (the Hessian was not positive definite or a parameter hit a bound). This left $n=3957 \mathrm{MCBE}$ runs to characterize uncertainty, which was sufficient for convergence of standard errors in management quantities. All runs were given equal weight when forming the ensemble of results (Jardim et al. 2021).

The MCBE analysis should be interpreted as providing an approximation to the uncertainty associated with each output. The results are approximate for two related reasons. First, not all combinations of Monte Carlo parameter inputs are equally likely, as biological parameters might be correlated. Second, all runs are given equal weight in the results, yet some might provide better fits to data than others.

### 3.26 Bootstrap of Observed Data

To include uncertainty in time series of observed landings, discards, and indices of abundance, multiplicative lognormal errors were applied through a parametric bootstrap. To implement this approach in the MCB trials, random variables $\left(x_{s, y}\right)$ were drawn for each year $y$ of time series $s$ from a normal distribution with mean 0 and variance $\sigma_{s, y}^{2}$ [that is, $\left.x_{s, y} \sim N\left(0, \sigma_{s, y}^{2}\right)\right]$. Annual observations were then perturbed from their original values $\left(\hat{O}_{s, y}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
O_{s, y}=\hat{O}_{s, y}\left[\exp \left(x_{s, y}-\sigma_{s, y}^{2} / 2\right)\right] \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The term $\sigma_{s, y}^{2} / 2$ is a bias correction that centers the multiplicative error on the value of 1.0. Standard deviations in $\log$ space were computed from CVs in arithmetic space, $\sigma_{s, y}=\sqrt{\log \left(1.0+C V_{s, y}^{2}\right)}$. As used for fitting the base run, CVs of landings and discards were assumed to be 0.05 , and CVs of indices of abundance were those provided by, or modified from, the DW (tabulated in §2 of this assessment report).

Uncertainty in age compositions were included by drawing new distributions for each year of each data source, following a multinomial sampling process. Ages of individual fish were drawn at random with replacement using the cell probabilities of the original data. For each year of each data source, the number of individuals sampled was the same as in the original data (number of fish).

### 3.27 Monte Carlo Sampling

In each successive fit of the model, several parameters were fixed (i.e., not estimated) at values drawn at random from distributions. The steepness, natural mortality, and general recreational discard mortality distributions are described below.

### 3.28 Steepness

As in SEDAR 28, steepness could not be estimated with stability in the model. Steepness values above 0.60 appeared to be equally likely in the likelihood profile. Steepness was fixed at 0.75 for the base run and uncertainty in the parameters was characterized by a truncated normal distribution with 0.6 and 0.9 as the lower and upper bounds respectively.

### 3.29 Natural Mortality

As in each model run, the vector of age-specific natural mortality (Lorenzen estimator) was scaled to the fish-only Hoenig (1983) age-invariant $M$ as was done for the base run. The point estimate of natural mortality $(M=0.35)$ was based on a maximum age of 12 . To estimate uncertainty, a new $M$ value was drawn for each MCB trial from a truncated normal distribution of (range [0.30, 0.42]) with mean equal to the point estimate ( $M=0.35$ ) and standard deviation set to provide $95 \%$ confidence limits at the bounds. The range was reduced from SEDAR 28 and corresponds to maximum age $+/-2$ instead of the range of point estimates across many different methods to calculate $M$ (range $[0.16,0.54]$ ). Each realized value of $M$ was used to scale the age-specific Lorenzen $M$, as in the base run.

### 3.30 General Recreational Discard Mortality

As in SEDAR 28, discard mortalities $\delta$ were subjected to Monte Carlo variation as follows. A new value for general recreational discard mortality was drawn for each MCB trial from a truncated normal distribution range [0.10, 0.30] with mean equal to the point estimate $(\delta=0.20)$ and standard deviation set to provide $95 \%$ confidence limits at the bounds.

### 3.31 Projection Methods

Projections were run to predict stock status in years after the assessment, 2021-2025.
The structure of the projection model was the same as that of the assessment model, and parameter estimates were those from the assessment. A single selectivity curve was applied to calculate landings computed by averaging selectivities across fleets using geometric mean $F$ s from the last three years of the assessment period, similar to computation of MSY benchmarks (§3.24).

### 3.31.1 Initialization of Projections

Although the terminal year of the assessment is 2020 , the assessment model computes abundance at age $\left(N_{a}\right)$ at the start of 2021. For projections, those estimates were used to initialize $N_{a}$. However, the assessment has no information to inform the strength of 2021 recruitment, and thus it computes 2021 recruits $\left(N_{1}\right)$ as the expected value, that is, without deviation from the estimate of mean recruitment, and corrected to be unbiased in arithmetic space. In the stochastic projections, lognormal stochasticity was applied to these abundances after adjusting them to be unbiased in $\log$ space, with variability based on the estimate of $\sigma_{R}$. Thus, the initial abundance in year one (2021) of projections included this variability in $N_{1}$. The deterministic projections were not adjusted in this manner, because deterministic recruitment follows mean recruitment.

Fishing rates that define the projections were assumed to start in 2023. Because the assessment period ended in 2020, the projections required an initialization period (2021 and 2022). $L_{\text {current }}$ (the average landings over the last 3 years in the assessment model) was assumed during the interim period.

### 3.31.2 Uncertainty of Projections

To characterize uncertainty in future stock dynamics, stochasticity was included in replicate projections, each an extension of a single assessment fit from the ensemble. Thus, projections carried forward uncertainties in natural mortality and discard mortality, as well as in estimated quantities such as spawner-recruit parameters ( $R_{0}$ and $\sigma_{R}$, selectivity curves, and in initial (start of 2021) abundance at age.

Initial and subsequent recruitment values were generated with stochasticity using a Monte Carlo procedure, in which the estimated recruitment of each model within the ensemble is used to compute mean annual recruitment values $\left(\bar{R}_{y}\right)$. Variability is added to the mean values by choosing multiplicative deviations at random from a lognormal distribution,

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{y}=\bar{R}_{y} \exp \left(\epsilon_{y}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\epsilon_{y}$ is drawn from a normal distribution with mean 0 and standard deviation $\sigma_{R}$, where $\sigma_{R}$ is the standard deviation from the relevant ensemble model component.

The procedure generated 20,000 replicate projections of models within the ensemble drawn at random (with replacement). In cases where the same model run was drawn, projections would still differ as a result of stochasticity in projected recruitment streams. Central tendencies were represented by the deterministic projections of the base run, as well as by medians of the stochastic projections. Precision of projections was represented graphically by the $5^{t h}$ and $95^{t h}$ percentiles of the replicate projections.

### 3.31.3 Projection Scenarios

The ToRs for this assessment did not define projections scenarios. The SEDAR 78 panel defined three scenarios: $F_{\text {current }}, F_{\mathrm{MSY}}$, and $75 \% F_{\mathrm{MSY}}$. In each, the landings in the interim period (2021-2022) were calculated based on $F_{\text {current }}$.

- Scenario 1: $F=F_{\text {current }}$, with $L_{\text {current }}$ also assumed for the interim period.
- Scenario 2: $F=F_{\mathrm{MSY}}$, with $L_{\text {current }}$ assumed for the interim period.
- Scenario 3: $F=75 \% F_{\text {MSY }}$, with $L_{\text {current }}$ assumed for the interim period.


## 4 Stock Assessment Results

### 4.1 Measures of Overall Model Fit

In general, the BAM fit well to the available data. Predicted age compositions were reasonably close to observed data in most years (Figures 2 and 3). The model was configured to fit observed commercial and general recreational removals closely (Figures 4-10). Fits to indices of abundance were reasonable, though the commercial handline index was generally underfit between 2004 and 2020 (Figures 11-13). There was no clear explanation for this trend and a sensitivity run to evaluate the exclusion of the commercial handline index is discussed in 4.11. The SEAMAP YOY index suggests highly variable recruitment from year to year; however, mismatches between trawl surveys and the timing of migration are an alternative explanation for the variability.

### 4.2 Parameter Estimates

Estimates of all parameters from the catch-age model are shown in Appendix B. Estimates of management quantities and some key parameters are reported in sections below.

### 4.3 Stock Abundance and Recruitment

Estimated abundance at age shows a similar pattern across all years with most variation in youngest ages (Figure 14). Annual number of recruits is shown in Table 9 (age-0 column) and in Figure 15.

### 4.4 Total and Spawning Biomass

Estimated biomass at age follows a similar pattern as did abundance (Table 10 and Figure 16). Total biomass and spawning biomass show nearly identical trends with near-decadal fluctuation in overall landings. The relative contribution and annual variability of YOY fish is lower in the biomass at age due to non-linear size at age.

### 4.5 Fishery Selectivity

Selectivities of landings from commercial and general recreational fleets are shown in Figures 17, 18, 19, 20, and 21. Selectivities of discards from commercial and general recreational fleets are shown in Figures 22 and 23. Selectivities are tabulated in Table 12. Estimated selectivities of removals indicate that full selection occurs by age one for commercial pound net and general recreational fleets and age three for commercial handline, cast net, and gillnet fleets. General recreational discards and shrimp bycatch were assumed to be mostly YOY (Figures 23 and 23).

Average selectivities of landings, dead discards, and the total weighted average of all selectivities were computed from $F$-weighted selectivities in the most recent three assessment years (Figure 24, Table 12). These average selectivities were used in computation of point estimates of benchmarks, as well as in projections.

### 4.6 Fishing Mortality

Estimates of total $F$ by fleet are shown in Figure 25 and Table 13, and estimates of $F$ at age are shown in Table 14. In any given year, the maximum $F$ at age (i.e., apical F ) may be less than that year's sum of fully selected $F$ s across fleets. This inequality is due to the combination of two features of estimated selectivities: full selection occurs at different ages among gears and several sources of mortality have dome-shaped selectivity.

Alternative measures of fishing intensity have implications similar to those of apical F (Figure 26). The value of $S P R_{F}$ has remained near or above the equilibrium MSY level with the exception of the terminal year which was dominated by removals from the general recreational fleet.

Throughout most of the assessment period, estimated landings and discard mortalities in number of fish have been split evenly between commercial and general recreational sectors (Figures 27 and 28). Early commercial landings were dominated by gillnet removals but shifted to a mix of cast net, gillnet, and handline starting in about 2004. Table 18 shows total landings at age in numbers, and Table 19 in 1000 lb . Table 20 shows total discards at age in numbers, and Table 21 in weight.

### 4.7 Stock-Recruitment Parameters

The estimated Beverton-Holt spawner-recruit curve is shown in Figure 31. Variability about the curve was estimated only at relatively low levels of spawning biomass, because composition data required for estimating recruitment deviations became available only after spawning stock had been diminished. The effect of density dependence on recruitment can be examined graphically via the estimated recruits per spawner as a function of spawners (Figure 31).

The mean recruit relationship and variability around that mean are shown in Figure 31. Values of recruitmentrelated parameters were as follows: unfished YOY recruitment $\widehat{R_{0}}=21939130$, and standard deviation of recruitment residuals in $\log$ space was fixed at $\sigma_{R}=0.6$ (which resulted in bias correction of $\varsigma=1.20$ ). Uncertainty in these quantities was estimated through the MCBE analysis (Figure 32).

### 4.8 Per Recruit and Equilibrium Analyses

Yield per recruit and spawning potential ratio were computed as functions of $F$. These computations applied the most recent selectivity patterns averaged across fleets, weighted by $F$ from the last three years (2018-2020) (Figure 33).

As in per recruit analyses, equilibrium spawning biomass was computed as a function of $F$ (Figure 34). Similarly, equilibrium biomass and removals are functions of $F$, allowing for their relationships to be depicted together (Figure 35).

### 4.9 Benchmarks / Reference Point

As described in $\S 3.24$, biological reference points (benchmarks) were derived analytically assuming equilibrium dynamics, corresponding to the estimated spawner-recruit curve with bias correction (Figure 31). This approach is consistent with methods used in rebuilding projections (i.e., fishing at $F_{\text {MSY }}$ yields MSY from a stock size of $\mathrm{SSB}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$ ). $F_{\mathrm{OY}}=75 \% F_{\mathrm{MSY}}$ was considered as another possible values of $F$ at optimum yield (OY). Standard errors of benchmarks were approximated as those from ensemble modeling §3.25.

Maximum likelihood estimates (base run) of benchmarks, as well as median values from MCBE analysis, are summarized in Table 22. Point estimates of MSY-related quantities were $F_{\text {MSY }}=0.52\left(\mathrm{y}^{-1}\right)$, MSY $=8210.19(1000 \mathrm{lb})$, $B_{\mathrm{MSY}}=19588.3(\mathrm{mt})$, and $\mathrm{SSB}_{\mathrm{MSY}}=6405.87$ (mature female biomass, mt). Median estimates were $F_{\mathrm{MSY}}=0.52$ $\left(\mathrm{y}^{-1}\right), \mathrm{MSY}=8351.35(1000 \mathrm{lb}), B_{\mathrm{MSY}}=19820.72(\mathrm{mt})$, and $\mathrm{SSB}_{\mathrm{MSY}}=6410.25$ (mature female biomass, mt ) . Distributions of these benchmarks from the MCBE analysis are shown in Figure 36.

### 4.10 Status of the Stock and Fishery

Estimated time series of stock status SSB/MSST showed a near-decadal fluctuation above MSST (Figure 37, Table 11). Base-run estimates of spawning biomass have remained above $\mathrm{SSB}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$. Current stock status was estimated in the base run to be $\mathrm{SSB}_{2020} / \mathrm{MSST}=1.4$ and $\mathrm{SSB}_{2020} / \mathrm{SSB}_{\mathrm{MSY}}=1.05$ (Table 22), indicating that the stock is not overfished. Median values from the MCBE analysis indicated similar results $\mathrm{SSB} / \mathrm{MSST}=1.42$ and $\mathrm{SSB} / \mathrm{SSB}_{\mathrm{MSY}}=$ 1.07 (Figure 37). The uncertainty analysis suggested that the terminal estimate of stock status is robust (Figures 38 and 40). Of the MCBE runs, $92.6 \%$ indicated that the stock was above MSST in 2020.

The estimated time series of $F / F_{\text {MSY }}$ suggests that overfishing has not occurred throughout most of the assessment period except for 2020 (Table 11, Figure 37). Current fishery status in the terminal year, with current $F$ represented by the geometric mean from years 2018-2020, was estimated by the base run to be $F / F_{\mathrm{MSY}}=0.77$ (Table 22). The fishery status was also robust (Figures $38-40$ ). Of the MCBE runs, approximately $90 \%$ agreed with the base run that the stock is not currently experiencing overfishing.

Compared to SEDAR 28, the qualitative results of stock and fishery status are similar (Figure 41).

### 4.11 Sensitivities and Retrospective Runs

Sensitivity runs, described in $\S 3.21$, were used for exploring data or model issues that arose during the assessment process, for evaluating implications of assumptions in the base assessment model, and for interpreting MCBE results in terms of expected effects of input parameters. In some cases, sensitivity runs are simply a tool for better understanding model behavior, and therefore all runs are not considered equally plausible in the sense of alternative states of nature. Time series of $F / F_{\mathrm{MSY}}$ and $\mathrm{SSB} / \mathrm{SSB}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$ are plotted to demonstrate sensitivity to the changing conditions in each run. This operational assessment explored sensitivity of the base run to changes in data input, natural mortality, steepness, and general recreational discard mortality (Figures 42-45). Of these modifications, results were most sensitive to the scale of natural mortality and steepness.

Retrospective analyses suggest no concerning patterns of estimating $F$ or SSB in the terminal year (Figure 46) or status indicators (Figure 47). Terminal-year recruitment was variable across retrospective peels.

### 4.12 Projections

Since the stock status is not overfished or undergoing overfishing, three projections are provided for completeness and were recommended by the SEDAR 78 panel.

Projection scenario 1, which assumed $L_{\text {current }}$ (average landings over the last 3 years) during the interim period (20212022) and $F=F_{\text {current }}$ for following years, predicted the stock to decrease until management measure take place and then increase back to $\mathrm{SSB}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$ (Figure 48, Table 24).

Projection scenario 2, which assumed $L_{\text {current }}$ (average landings over the last 3 years) during the interim period (20212022) and $F=F m s y$ for following years, predicted the stock to decrease until management measure take place and then increase but not recover to $\mathrm{SSB}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$ in the terminal year (Figure 49, Table 25).

Projection scenario 3 , which assumed $L_{\text {current }}$ (average landings over the last 3 years) during the interim period (20212022) and $F=75 \% F m s y$, predicted the stock to decrease until management measure take place and then increase back to $\mathrm{SSB}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$ (Figure 50, Table 26).

### 4.13 Discussion

The base run of the BAM indicated that the stock is not overfished SSB/MSST $=1.4$, and that overfishing is not occuring based on the 3 -year geometric mean $F / F_{\mathrm{MSY}}=0.77$. The 2020 point estimate for $F / F_{\text {MSY }}$ indicated overfishing primarily due to a large increase in the general recreational landings during the COVID-19 pandemic. Should this high rate of fishing continue after 2020, overfishing would likely ensure. Indeed, preliminary MRIP estimates of Spanish mackerel landings in 2021 were higher than in 2020. The stock continues to show resilience to fishing effort as in SEDAR 28 (Figure 41). Neither of these models show a stock that was overfished or near overfishing in 2007 as SEDAR17 (2008) indicated.

The Monte Carlo/bootstrap ensemble analyses showed widespread agreement with the qualitative results of the base run. Of all MCBE runs, $92.6 \%$ showed that the stock is not overfished, and $90.0 \%$ showed that overfishing is not occurring.

### 4.13.1 Comments on the Assessment

In addition to including the more recent years of data, this operational assessment contained several modifications to the previous data of SEDAR 28, such as the use of modern MRIP methodology, the use of the Dirichlet-multinomial distribution to fit age compositions, pooling age compositions across years for fleets with low annual sample sizes, modification to selectivity functions applied to landings, update of the growth models and natural mortality, removing sex-specific growth and selectivity, and changing the start year of the model. The assessment model itself was also modernized to the current version of BAM. The sum of these improvements should result in a more robust assessment.

There is a lack of available fishery independent indices of abundance for this species. The schooling behavior of Spanish mackerel makes a random survey of their population particularly difficult. The one fishery independent index used (SEAMAP YOY) was highly variable, as would be expected for a recruitment index.

In general, fishery dependent indices of abundance may not track actual abundance well, because of factors such as hyperdepletion or hyperstability. Furthermore, this issue can be exacerbated by management measures. In this assessment, the commercial handline index was generated from Florida trip ticket data. There was a shift in the commercial handline index in 2004 after which a run of positive residuals persisted in the model fit. A sensitivity run excluding the commercial handline index did not influence the results in the terminal year of the assessment. The
index was included in the model but should be investigated further in future assessments. In general, management measures in the southeast U.S. have made the continued utility of fishery dependent indices questionable. This situation amplifies the importance of fishery independent sampling.

Natural mortality plays a driving role in this assessment, as it does in most. The pattern of natural mortality at age affects multiple outputs, including annual fishing rates, benchmarks, and equilibrium age structure expected at MSY. The model could estimate steepness at 0.73 but it was only weakly informed above 0.60 and would stay close to the starting value. As in SEDAR 28, steepness was fixed at 0.75 as a mid-point of the range over which no likelihood signal was available.

### 4.14 Comments on the Projections

As usual, projections should be interpreted in light of the model assumptions and key aspects of the data. Some major considerations are the following:

- In general, projections of fish stocks are highly uncertain, particularly in the long term (e.g., beyond 5-10 years).
- Although projections included many major sources of uncertainty, they did not include structural (model) uncertainty. That is, projection results are conditional on one set of functional forms used to describe population dynamics, selectivity, recruitment, etc.
- Fisheries were assumed to continue fishing at their estimated current proportions of total effort, using the estimated current selectivity patterns. New management regulations that alter those proportions or selectivities would likely affect projection results.
- The projections assumed that the estimated spawner-recruit relationship applies in the future and that past residuals represent future uncertainty in recruitment. If future recruitment is characterized by runs of large or small year classes, possibly due to environmental or ecological conditions, stock trajectories may be affected.


### 4.15 Research Recommendations

The research recommendations from the SEDAR 78 panel were as follows:

- Development of a fishery-independent survey for pelagic species would decrease reliance on a fishery-dependent index of abundance that has unexplained trends in residual values in recent years.
- Examine how schooling or migratory dynamics may influence the catchability of the species. In particular, research the assumption of the hyperstability of indices that sample the schooling portion of the stock.
- Age-dependent natural mortality was estimated by indirect methods (Lorenzen) for this assessment. Telemetryand conventional-tagging programs can provide alternative estimates of natural mortality. Investigate new methods for determining point estimates for natural mortality.


### 4.16 Sampling Recommendations

- Limited information is available for shrimp bycatch in the Atlantic. Comprehensive observer coverage across space and time are needed to adequately capture the scale and size distribution of bycatch for Spanish mackerel and other species.
- The general recreational discards have increased dramatically in the last 2 years of this assessment. A better understanding of the size composition and mortality of discarded fish would improve the assessment, especially if discards continue to increase due to effort or future management changes.
- Implement systematic age sampling for the general recreational and commercial sectors. Age samples were important for this assessment for determining key parameters but sample sizes were limited, particularly for the general recreational sector, commercial handline and commercial cast net sectors, which account for the majority of the recent landings.
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### 4.18 Tables

Table 1. Size (FL) in inches and weight in pounds (lb) at age as applied to the population (Pop), female population (F), and fishery-dependent portion of the population (FD) with a 12-inch (FL) size limit, female maturity at age (Fem.mat), Lorenzen age-specific natural moratality (M)

| Age | Pop.FL | Pop.lb | F.FL | F.lb | FD.FL | FD.lb | Fem.mat | M |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| 0 | 10.32 | 0.38 | 11.10 | 0.46 | 12.72 | 0.68 | 0.00 | 0.68 |
| 1 | 16.00 | 1.31 | 17.07 | 1.58 | 15.24 | 1.14 | 0.94 | 0.46 |
| 2 | 19.12 | 2.18 | 20.28 | 2.58 | 17.30 | 1.64 | 1.00 | 0.40 |
| 3 | 20.84 | 2.78 | 22.01 | 3.25 | 19.00 | 2.14 | 1.00 | 0.37 |
| 4 | 21.78 | 3.16 | 22.94 | 3.66 | 20.39 | 2.62 | 1.00 | 0.36 |
| 5 | 22.30 | 3.38 | 23.44 | 3.89 | 21.53 | 3.06 | 1.00 | 0.35 |
| 6 | 22.58 | 3.50 | 23.71 | 4.02 | 22.47 | 3.45 | 1.00 | 0.34 |
| 7 | 22.74 | 3.57 | 23.85 | 4.09 | 23.25 | 3.80 | 1.00 | 0.34 |
| 8 | 22.83 | 3.61 | 23.93 | 4.13 | 23.88 | 4.10 | 1.00 | 0.34 |
| 9 | 22.88 | 3.63 | 23.97 | 4.15 | 24.40 | 4.36 | 1.00 | 0.34 |
| 10 | 22.90 | 3.64 | 23.99 | 4.16 | 24.83 | 4.58 | 1.00 | 0.34 |

Table 2. Observed time series of landings ( $L$ ) and discards ( $D$ ) for commercial handline ( $c H$ ), commercial gill net $(c G)$, commercial pound net $(c P)$, commercial cast net $(c C)$, shrimp bycatch (SB), and general recreational (GR) fisheries. Commercial landings are in units of 1000 lb whole weight; all others are in units of 1000 fish. Discards include all released fish, live or dead.

| Year | L.cH | L.cG | L.cP | L.cC | L.GR | D.SB | D.GR |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1986 | 78.442 | 4060.803 | 201.695 |  | 1758.446 | 293.467 | 99.901 |
| 1987 | 106.502 | 3616.669 | 470.433 | . | 1581.880 | 246.210 | 10.744 |
| 1988 | 64.864 | 3280.564 | 402.161 | . | 2748.961 | 295.158 | 26.275 |
| 1989 | 39.666 | 3180.917 | 509.040 | . | 2612.834 | 349.373 | 162.043 |
| 1990 | 111.857 | 2696.683 | 509.415 | . | 2607.275 | 270.381 | 164.992 |
| 1991 | 144.012 | 3798.801 | 468.247 | . | 3984.348 | 336.048 | 204.527 |
| 1992 | 50.239 | 2689.136 | 396.725 | . | 2627.843 | 253.739 | 141.393 |
| 1993 | 99.073 | 4415.277 | 328.326 | . | 1581.289 | 268.227 | 119.145 |
| 1994 | 58.246 | 3705.878 | 329.600 | . | 1871.097 | 300.299 | 235.680 |
| 1995 | 209.640 | 3236.730 | 199.030 | 15.419 | 1072.701 | 304.626 | 148.449 |
| 1996 | 139.445 | 2679.097 | 294.389 | 65.924 | 1403.063 | 247.772 | 225.914 |
| 1997 | 126.978 | 2674.398 | 207.188 | 210.195 | 1768.786 | 287.483 | 219.410 |
| 1998 | 149.026 | 2693.649 | 115.481 | 68.323 | 1567.478 | 259.449 | 99.250 |
| 1999 | 188.060 | 1887.672 | 271.264 | 66.391 | 2405.746 | 290.461 | 300.960 |
| 2000 | 311.524 | 1864.970 | 161.842 | 361.425 | 3124.254 | 270.720 | 369.641 |
| 2001 | 348.824 | 1705.127 | 196.164 | 892.775 | 2949.293 | 216.347 | 194.657 |
| 2002 | 438.663 | 1318.160 | 121.274 | 968.866 | 3360.141 | 237.459 | 360.647 |
| 2003 | 390.936 | 1092.515 | 90.685 | 1897.957 | 3324.354 | 184.847 | 503.116 |
| 2004 | 590.759 | 709.698 | 71.085 | 2242.104 | 1755.768 | 180.568 | 209.749 |
| 2005 | 841.431 | 1254.387 | 47.026 | 1574.132 | 2352.000 | 195.430 | 308.218 |
| 2006 | 707.656 | 1648.777 | 42.924 | 1524.472 | 1519.820 | 133.243 | 129.569 |
| 2007 | 775.882 | 1715.951 | 50.048 | 1268.365 | 2465.112 | 109.382 | 325.041 |
| 2008 | 869.796 | 1079.737 | 192.347 | 702.770 | 2648.595 | 118.257 | 451.296 |
| 2009 | 977.720 | 1439.248 | 363.026 | 966.518 | 3271.544 | 69.966 | 342.990 |
| 2010 | 1228.006 | 1346.147 | 144.150 | 1798.217 | 3704.510 | 112.672 | 457.321 |
| 2011 | 891.721 | 1084.574 | 87.480 | 1239.174 | 2770.439 | 116.988 | 294.592 |
| 2012 | 118.972 | 1431.172 | 55.277 | 976.984 | 2072.331 | 132.276 | 239.588 |
| 2013 | 1359.102 | 1167.578 | 26.561 | 344.541 | 3902.423 | 94.578 | 544.831 |
| 2014 | 1748.908 | 941.229 | 33.890 | 562.620 | 2658.106 | 111.451 | 380.148 |
| 2015 | 1223.504 | 981.574 | 54.506 | 177.356 | 1496.388 | 126.194 | 213.302 |
| 2016 | 1401.609 | 1107.927 | 73.666 | 688.890 | 3447.737 | 125.049 | 426.454 |
| 2017 | 1379.049 | 1117.239 | 36.896 | 985.813 | 1786.717 | 113.893 | 298.662 |
| 2018 | 1600.541 | 1421.607 | 36.553 | 699.935 | 2472.430 | 89.469 | 628.452 |
| 2019 | 1382.207 | 1137.540 | 157.326 | 1234.201 | 4022.032 | 119.063 | 862.654 |
| 2020 | 1375.187 | 1569.859 | 82.623 | 666.309 | 6387.829 | 117.525 | 1058.072 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 3. Observed indices of abundance and CVs from Florida commercial handline trip ticket(cH), MRIP general recreational (GR), and the SEAMAP YOY survey (YOY).

| Year | cH | cH CV | GR | GR CV | YOY | YOY CV |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1986 | 0.47 | 0.2 | 2.87 | 0.2 | . | . |
| 1987 | 0.60 | 0.2 | 1.18 | 0.2 | . | . |
| 1988 | 0.70 | 0.2 | 1.26 | 0.2 | . | . |
| 1989 | 0.65 | 0.2 | 1.39 | 0.2 | 1.16 | 0.26 |
| 1990 | 0.74 | 0.2 | 1.28 | 0.2 | 1.64 | 0.30 |
| 1991 | 0.53 | 0.2 | 1.11 | 0.2 | 2.21 | 0.34 |
| 1992 | 0.65 | 0.2 | 0.83 | 0.2 | 1.65 | 0.56 |
| 1993 | 1.01 | 0.2 | 0.64 | 0.2 | 0.79 | 0.12 |
| 1994 | 0.57 | 0.2 | 0.85 | 0.2 | 0.80 | 0.14 |
| 1995 | 0.83 | 0.2 | 0.59 | 0.2 | 1.36 | 0.22 |
| 1996 | 0.74 | 0.2 | 0.91 | 0.2 | 0.79 | 0.14 |
| 1997 | 0.67 | 0.2 | 1.11 | 0.2 | 0.36 | 0.12 |
| 1998 | 0.69 | 0.2 | 0.63 | 0.2 | 0.79 | 0.15 |
| 1999 | 0.78 | 0.2 | 1.19 | 0.2 | 0.86 | 0.18 |
| 2000 | 0.81 | 0.2 | 0.88 | 0.2 | 1.22 | 0.24 |
| 2001 | 0.82 | 0.2 | 0.94 | 0.2 | 1.89 | 0.52 |
| 2002 | 0.81 | 0.2 | 1.00 | 0.2 | 1.15 | 0.20 |
| 2003 | 0.96 | 0.2 | 0.94 | 0.2 | 0.72 | 0.16 |
| 2004 | 1.33 | 0.2 | 0.96 | 0.2 | 0.84 | 0.13 |
| 2005 | 1.29 | 0.2 | 0.82 | 0.2 | 1.00 | 0.17 |
| 2006 | 1.30 | 0.2 | 0.73 | 0.2 | 1.27 | 0.21 |
| 2007 | 1.14 | 0.2 | 0.73 | 0.2 | 1.32 | 0.19 |
| 2008 | 1.17 | 0.2 | 1.12 | 0.2 | 1.63 | 0.22 |
| 2009 | 1.44 | 0.2 | 0.94 | 0.2 | 1.18 | 0.23 |
| 2010 | 1.47 | 0.2 | 0.77 | 0.2 | 0.79 | 0.13 |
| 2011 | 1.33 | 0.2 | 0.90 | 0.2 | 0.40 | 0.09 |
| 2012 | 1.08 | 0.2 | 1.15 | 0.2 | 0.29 | 0.05 |
| 2013 | 1.11 | 0.2 | 1.07 | 0.2 | 0.82 | 0.17 |
| 2014 | 1.31 | 0.2 | 0.93 | 0.2 | 0.64 | 0.13 |
| 2015 | 1.18 | 0.2 | 0.74 | 0.2 | 0.46 | 0.09 |
| 2016 | 1.39 | 0.2 | 0.79 | 0.2 | 0.99 | 0.20 |
| 2017 | 1.34 | 0.2 | 0.75 | 0.2 | 0.96 | 0.26 |
| 2018 | 1.43 | 0.2 | 0.90 | 0.2 | 0.52 | 0.11 |
| 2019 | 1.42 | 0.2 | 1.18 | 0.2 | 0.45 | 0.10 |
| 2020 | 1.23 | 0.2 | 0.95 | 0.2 | . | . |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4. Observed age composition from commercial handline (cH) pooled across all years. The year represents a mid-point of pooled years.

| Year | trips | fish | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2007 | 175 | 2953 | 0.0181 | 0.1384 | 0.2461 | 0.2452 | 0.1646 | 0.1044 | 0.0527 | 0.0207 | 0.0059 | 0.0028 | 0.0011 |

Table 5. Observed age composition from commercial gill net (cG).

| Year | trips | fish | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1992 | 13 | 190 | 0.0128 | 0.4021 | 0.3591 | 0.1109 | 0.0508 | 0.0325 | 0.0204 | 0.0114 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 1993 | 14 | 150 | 0.0010 | 0.1735 | 0.3020 | 0.1930 | 0.1371 | 0.0538 | 0.0703 | 0.0547 | 0.0147 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 1995 | 11 | 167 | 0.0650 | 0.3532 | 0.2699 | 0.1830 | 0.0848 | 0.0115 | 0.0147 | 0.0097 | 0.0082 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 1996 | 14 | 414 | 0.0802 | 0.2440 | 0.3214 | 0.2718 | 0.0582 | 0.0175 | 0.0034 | 0.0026 | 0.0010 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 1997 | 15 | 246 | 0.0754 | 0.2728 | 0.3860 | 0.2043 | 0.0471 | 0.0035 | 0.0034 | 0.0054 | 0.0000 | 0.0021 | 0.0000 |
| 1998 | 24 | 363 | 0.2045 | 0.2007 | 0.3692 | 0.1440 | 0.0515 | 0.0186 | 0.0096 | 0.0020 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 1999 | 20 | 447 | 0.0879 | 0.3803 | 0.1672 | 0.2052 | 0.0970 | 0.0447 | 0.0165 | 0.0011 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 2000 | 40 | 588 | 0.0410 | 0.3292 | 0.3315 | 0.1125 | 0.1098 | 0.0364 | 0.0306 | 0.0078 | 0.0012 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 2001 | 37 | 315 | 0.2161 | 0.3698 | 0.2659 | 0.1095 | 0.0302 | 0.0017 | 0.0059 | 0.0000 | 0.0009 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 2002 | 19 | 365 | 0.1325 | 0.1256 | 0.2080 | 0.2478 | 0.1676 | 0.0970 | 0.0089 | 0.0025 | 0.0007 | 0.0095 | 0.0000 |
| 2003 | 24 | 365 | 0.0831 | 0.4116 | 0.1515 | 0.0827 | 0.1735 | 0.0701 | 0.0227 | 0.0017 | 0.0004 | 0.0020 | 0.0008 |
| 2004 | 30 | 551 | 0.0465 | 0.2861 | 0.3836 | 0.2146 | 0.0316 | 0.0228 | 0.0099 | 0.0038 | 0.0010 | 0.0000 | 0.0001 |
| 2005 | 10 | 249 | 0.1431 | 0.6156 | 0.1467 | 0.0678 | 0.0190 | 0.0013 | 0.0064 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 2006 | 20 | 355 | 0.0425 | 0.3598 | 0.3227 | 0.1607 | 0.0740 | 0.0273 | 0.0114 | 0.0000 | 0.0016 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 2007 | 18 | 234 | 0.2707 | 0.4321 | 0.1614 | 0.0560 | 0.0420 | 0.0131 | 0.0046 | 0.0118 | 0.0061 | 0.0018 | 0.0003 |
| 2008 | 32 | 288 | 0.0857 | 0.3605 | 0.2913 | 0.1273 | 0.0947 | 0.0326 | 0.0079 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 2009 | 37 | 348 | 0.0329 | 0.3710 | 0.2962 | 0.1922 | 0.0563 | 0.0418 | 0.0095 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 2010 | 42 | 287 | 0.1311 | 0.1857 | 0.2956 | 0.1987 | 0.1100 | 0.0657 | 0.0085 | 0.0046 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 2011 | 34 | 389 | 0.0571 | 0.3634 | 0.2812 | 0.1821 | 0.0848 | 0.0248 | 0.0054 | 0.0011 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 2012 | 16 | 208 | 0.0704 | 0.2532 | 0.3401 | 0.2302 | 0.0613 | 0.0343 | 0.0071 | 0.0034 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 2013 | 15 | 201 | 0.2573 | 0.3884 | 0.1917 | 0.1131 | 0.0258 | 0.0237 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 2014 | 21 | 203 | 0.0545 | 0.2984 | 0.3992 | 0.2028 | 0.0324 | 0.0127 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 2015 | 21 | 205 | 0.2122 | 0.4356 | 0.2213 | 0.0902 | 0.0283 | 0.0119 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0006 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 2016 | 14 | 228 | 0.0315 | 0.3419 | 0.4449 | 0.1122 | 0.0560 | 0.0127 | 0.0008 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 2017 | 14 | 136 | 0.0000 | 0.2247 | 0.5287 | 0.1525 | 0.0869 | 0.0072 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 2018 | 13 | 31 | 0.0000 | 0.2352 | 0.5788 | 0.1767 | 0.0082 | 0.0011 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 2019 | 19 | 30 | 0.0000 | 0.4373 | 0.4378 | 0.0759 | 0.0422 | 0.0000 | 0.0028 | 0.0040 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 2020 | 19 | 68 | 0.0068 | 0.2654 | 0.5239 | 0.1383 | 0.0316 | 0.0316 | 0.0023 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |

Table 6. Observed age composition from commercial pound net (cP).

| Year | trips | fish | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2002 | 57 | 773 | 0.0181 | 0.5925 | 0.0660 | 0.1837 | 0.0931 | 0.0323 | 0.0013 | 0.0065 | 0.0026 | 0.0039 | 0.000 |
| 2003 | 22 | 329 | 0.0000 | 0.7690 | 0.0729 | 0.0122 | 0.1155 | 0.0213 | 0.0061 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.003 |
| 2004 | 18 | 400 | 0.0000 | 0.4775 | 0.3450 | 0.0950 | 0.0100 | 0.0600 | 0.0100 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0025 | 0.000 |
| 2005 | 14 | 341 | 0.0235 | 0.7713 | 0.0850 | 0.0880 | 0.0147 | 0.0029 | 0.0059 | 0.0088 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 |
| 2006 | 20 | 286 | 0.0000 | 0.4930 | 0.3566 | 0.0839 | 0.0385 | 0.0105 | 0.0070 | 0.0000 | 0.0105 | 0.0000 | 0.000 |
| 2007 | 18 | 226 | 0.1858 | 0.6018 | 0.1283 | 0.0664 | 0.0000 | 0.0133 | 0.0044 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 |
| 2008 | 13 | 110 | 0.1091 | 0.5091 | 0.2364 | 0.0636 | 0.0364 | 0.0091 | 0.0182 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0182 | 0.000 |
| 2009 | 16 | 98 | 0.1020 | 0.5000 | 0.3367 | 0.0204 | 0.0204 | 0.0102 | 0.0000 | 0.0102 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 |
| 2010 | 25 | 187 | 0.0000 | 0.6257 | 0.2727 | 0.0856 | 0.0000 | 0.0107 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0053 | 0.0000 | 0.000 |
| 2011 | 19 | 210 | 0.0000 | 0.4667 | 0.2048 | 0.1762 | 0.0857 | 0.0429 | 0.0048 | 0.0143 | 0.0000 | 0.0048 | 0.000 |
| 2012 | 17 | 166 | 0.0000 | 0.5301 | 0.3373 | 0.0602 | 0.0482 | 0.0241 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 |
| 2013 | 10 | 42 | 0.2619 | 0.5238 | 0.1429 | 0.0476 | 0.0000 | 0.0238 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 |
| 2014 | 19 | 172 | 0.0058 | 0.6512 | 0.2500 | 0.0581 | 0.0233 | 0.0058 | 0.0058 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 |
| 2015 | 19 | 186 | 0.0000 | 0.6774 | 0.2366 | 0.0591 | 0.0108 | 0.0161 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 |
| 2016 | 22 | 175 | 0.0000 | 0.6514 | 0.2000 | 0.1086 | 0.0286 | 0.0057 | 0.0057 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 |
| 2017 | 22 | 193 | 0.0000 | 0.4249 | 0.4715 | 0.0777 | 0.0104 | 0.0104 | 0.0000 | 0.0052 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 |
| 2018 | 18 | 111 | 0.0000 | 0.5225 | 0.2072 | 0.1892 | 0.0360 | 0.0180 | 0.0000 | 0.0270 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 |
| 2019 | 27 | 134 | 0.0000 | 0.5448 | 0.2090 | 0.1119 | 0.0896 | 0.0373 | 0.0075 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 |
| 2020 | 15 | 78 | 0.1282 | 0.3205 | 0.4359 | 0.0641 | 0.0513 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 |

Table 7. Observed age composition from commercial cast net (cC) pooled across all years. The year represents a mid-point of pooled years.

| Year | trips | fish | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2010 | 74 | 2215 | 0.0013 | 0.0453 | 0.2763 | 0.2504 | 0.2277 | 0.1165 | 0.048 | 0.0214 | 0.0081 | 0.0039 | 0.0012 |

Table 8. Observed age composition from the general recreational fishery (GR).

| Year | trips | fish | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1990 | 38 | 262 | 0.0649 | 0.4618 | 0.2672 | 0.1031 | 0.0191 | 0.0496 | 0.0191 | 0.0038 | 0.0038 | 0.0000 | 0.0076 |
| 1991 | 19 | 342 | 0.0468 | 0.5029 | 0.1901 | 0.1111 | 0.0614 | 0.0468 | 0.0292 | 0.0117 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 1992 | 36 | 240 | 0.0083 | 0.4625 | 0.2000 | 0.1000 | 0.1125 | 0.0333 | 0.0375 | 0.0333 | 0.0125 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 1993 | 21 | 113 | 0.0354 | 0.4248 | 0.1150 | 0.0885 | 0.1327 | 0.0885 | 0.0354 | 0.0531 | 0.0088 | 0.0088 | 0.0088 |
| 1997 | 17 | 316 | 0.1392 | 0.6139 | 0.1930 | 0.0316 | 0.0063 | 0.0095 | 0.0063 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 1998 | 23 | 222 | 0.1171 | 0.4009 | 0.2658 | 0.1081 | 0.0631 | 0.0045 | 0.0045 | 0.0225 | 0.0090 | 0.0000 | 0.0045 |
| 1999 | 10 | 101 | 0.0198 | 0.7921 | 0.0297 | 0.0495 | 0.0297 | 0.0396 | 0.0297 | 0.0099 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 2000 | 15 | 130 | 0.0000 | 0.3077 | 0.1538 | 0.0692 | 0.1769 | 0.1385 | 0.0923 | 0.0385 | 0.0077 | 0.0077 | 0.0077 |
| 2002 | 17 | 205 | 0.0683 | 0.4537 | 0.1610 | 0.1220 | 0.0976 | 0.0244 | 0.0146 | 0.0146 | 0.0293 | 0.0098 | 0.0049 |
| 2003 | 10 | 321 | 0.2399 | 0.6604 | 0.0748 | 0.0125 | 0.0062 | 0.0031 | 0.0000 | 0.0031 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 2004 | 13 | 241 | 0.1037 | 0.6598 | 0.0996 | 0.0747 | 0.0373 | 0.0166 | 0.0041 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0041 | 0.0000 |
| 2005 | 17 | 208 | 0.0144 | 0.9135 | 0.0240 | 0.0240 | 0.0144 | 0.0000 | 0.0048 | 0.0048 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 2006 | 15 | 232 | 0.1121 | 0.7716 | 0.0388 | 0.0302 | 0.0302 | 0.0086 | 0.0043 | 0.0043 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 2007 | 10 | 177 | 0.1921 | 0.7288 | 0.0508 | 0.0113 | 0.0000 | 0.0113 | 0.0000 | 0.0056 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 2008 | 14 | 204 | 0.0980 | 0.7745 | 0.0784 | 0.0343 | 0.0147 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 2010 | 12 | 295 | 0.0949 | 0.4373 | 0.2814 | 0.1017 | 0.0576 | 0.0203 | 0.0068 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 2011 | 13 | 348 | 0.1810 | 0.4971 | 0.1236 | 0.0805 | 0.0776 | 0.0230 | 0.0115 | 0.0029 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0029 |
| 2012 | 31 | 489 | 0.0900 | 0.5460 | 0.2740 | 0.0286 | 0.0348 | 0.0123 | 0.0082 | 0.0061 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 2013 | 29 | 328 | 0.0732 | 0.6890 | 0.1067 | 0.0671 | 0.0152 | 0.0122 | 0.0213 | 0.0152 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 2014 | 47 | 494 | 0.0567 | 0.7024 | 0.0911 | 0.0547 | 0.0486 | 0.0162 | 0.0202 | 0.0020 | 0.0020 | 0.0020 | 0.0040 |
| 2015 | 38 | 358 | 0.2207 | 0.5810 | 0.1034 | 0.0363 | 0.0307 | 0.0084 | 0.0112 | 0.0028 | 0.0000 | 0.0028 | 0.0028 |
| 2016 | 40 | 525 | 0.1314 | 0.6724 | 0.0686 | 0.0324 | 0.0381 | 0.0286 | 0.0114 | 0.0095 | 0.0038 | 0.0019 | 0.0019 |
| 2017 | 32 | 331 | 0.0211 | 0.6798 | 0.2236 | 0.0453 | 0.0121 | 0.0060 | 0.0030 | 0.0060 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0030 |
| 2018 | 58 | 392 | 0.0842 | 0.5051 | 0.1837 | 0.1378 | 0.0485 | 0.0306 | 0.0026 | 0.0026 | 0.0026 | 0.0026 | 0.0000 |
| 2019 | 64 | 401 | 0.0574 | 0.5661 | 0.1995 | 0.0898 | 0.0499 | 0.0150 | 0.0125 | 0.0075 | 0.0025 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 2020 | 50 | 250 | 0.0840 | 0.3800 | 0.1920 | 0.1080 | 0.1080 | 0.0600 | 0.0560 | 0.0080 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0040 |

Table 9. Estimated total abundance at age (1000 fish) at start of year.

| Year | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1986 | 17618.83 | 17806.94 | 3265.86 | 954.79 | 443.13 | 188.63 | 97.08 | 46.56 | 24.18 | 13.47 | 20.41 | 40479.87 |
| 1987 | 20083.54 | 8476.48 | 8599.45 | 1486.15 | 446.14 | 216.25 | 97.19 | 53.15 | 27.15 | 14.87 | 22.08 | 39522.45 |
| 1988 | 25256.30 | 9795.56 | 4207.35 | 4166.42 | 741.17 | 231.02 | 117.10 | 55.24 | 31.77 | 16.94 | 24.18 | 44643.04 |
| 1989 | 21747.10 | 12252.55 | 4548.99 | 1925.75 | 1967.24 | 363.78 | 118.72 | 63.25 | 31.44 | 18.93 | 25.86 | 43063.61 |
| 1990 | 21651.04 | 10445.38 | 5811.81 | 2144.68 | 936.42 | 992.88 | 191.81 | 65.61 | 36.71 | 19.05 | 28.52 | 42323.91 |
| 1991 | 18150.83 | 10460.30 | 5023.22 | 2817.86 | 1073.26 | 485.07 | 535.00 | 107.74 | 38.50 | 22.38 | 30.37 | 38744.53 |
| 1992 | 12465.06 | 8542.81 | 4333.16 | 2035.03 | 1179.72 | 470.21 | 224.63 | 263.45 | 56.60 | 21.43 | 31.48 | 29623.57 |
| 1993 | 18757.29 | 5906.23 | 3843.93 | 1942.30 | 941.92 | 567.93 | 237.14 | 119.14 | 147.33 | 33.17 | 32.82 | 32529.19 |
| 1994 | 18054.48 | 8929.19 | 2591.13 | 1548.96 | 804.43 | 410.87 | 264.80 | 119.28 | 64.85 | 85.81 | 41.25 | 32915.04 |
| 1995 | 18466.48 | 8511.74 | 3895.83 | 1055.08 | 648.84 | 354.29 | 192.87 | 133.61 | 64.88 | 37.64 | 78.49 | 33439.75 |
| 1996 | 20406.68 | 8856.09 | 4184.07 | 1827.38 | 507.86 | 325.38 | 186.90 | 107.62 | 79.02 | 40.31 | 76.22 | 36597.55 |
| 1997 | 13115.41 | 9834.42 | 4406.09 | 2047.73 | 916.99 | 264.09 | 176.55 | 106.16 | 64.11 | 49.03 | 75.77 | 31056.36 |
| 1998 | 25154.19 | 6214.76 | 4838.07 | 2145.00 | 1015.15 | 470.15 | 141.02 | 98.46 | 61.96 | 38.91 | 79.23 | 40256.90 |
| 1999 | 23951.30 | 12246.48 | 3106.71 | 2390.27 | 1087.41 | 532.42 | 256.64 | 80.34 | 58.66 | 38.35 | 76.53 | 43825.10 |
| 2000 | 14472.77 | 11550.40 | 6098.91 | 1581.65 | 1251.70 | 586.79 | 297.04 | 148.15 | 48.07 | 36.22 | 73.83 | 36145.53 |
| 2001 | 19374.13 | 6820.91 | 5553.03 | 3003.40 | 791.60 | 644.63 | 312.34 | 163.55 | 84.56 | 28.33 | 67.68 | 36844.16 |
| 2002 | 24012.75 | 9325.15 | 3195.47 | 2603.72 | 1402.55 | 379.99 | 320.31 | 160.85 | 87.50 | 46.81 | 55.74 | 41590.85 |
| 2003 | 15588.61 | 11494.24 | 4289.28 | 1475.00 | 1188.77 | 657.33 | 184.16 | 160.69 | 83.73 | 47.11 | 57.70 | 35226.61 |
| 2004 | 21462.74 | 7336.93 | 5372.95 | 1949.32 | 626.90 | 514.36 | 293.11 | 84.68 | 76.36 | 41.01 | 53.41 | 37811.77 |
| 2005 | 17178.74 | 10486.18 | 3856.97 | 2711.13 | 902.60 | 293.18 | 245.76 | 142.91 | 42.19 | 38.77 | 49.13 | 35947.55 |
| 2006 | 20860.77 | 8258.29 | 5268.46 | 1896.18 | 1270.28 | 430.61 | 143.77 | 123.89 | 74.19 | 22.47 | 48.38 | 38397.29 |
| 2007 | 26847.99 | 10254.57 | 4368.41 | 2694.79 | 927.88 | 633.07 | 220.59 | 75.72 | 67.18 | 41.24 | 40.62 | 46172.05 |
| 2008 | 23288.67 | 13084.20 | 5145.57 | 2152.38 | 1291.72 | 454.67 | 319.76 | 114.92 | 40.76 | 37.21 | 46.91 | 45976.78 |
| 2009 | 16683.91 | 11297.23 | 6757.72 | 2732.86 | 1145.03 | 701.92 | 253.15 | 182.20 | 67.11 | 24.32 | 51.63 | 39897.08 |
| 2010 | 19439.88 | 8061.20 | 5527.51 | 3363.75 | 1355.64 | 581.76 | 367.13 | 136.28 | 101.14 | 38.30 | 45.04 | 39017.62 |
| 2011 | 15155.47 | 9259.57 | 3681.57 | 2507.15 | 1474.44 | 607.93 | 269.41 | 175.71 | 67.57 | 51.81 | 44.57 | 33295.21 |
| 2012 | 13391.82 | 7288.22 | 4499.97 | 1798.63 | 1199.79 | 720.97 | 305.80 | 139.39 | 93.69 | 37.03 | 54.64 | 29529.95 |
| 2013 | 19195.66 | 6437.72 | 3621.22 | 2233.81 | 880.72 | 601.41 | 372.46 | 162.88 | 76.70 | 53.05 | 53.82 | 33689.46 |
| 2014 | 17716.95 | 8996.48 | 2633.52 | 1526.84 | 959.82 | 391.39 | 278.13 | 179.63 | 82.20 | 40.39 | 59.57 | 32864.93 |
| 2015 | 25749.22 | 8483.57 | 4251.31 | 1266.92 | 734.09 | 473.34 | 199.06 | 145.94 | 97.46 | 45.98 | 58.26 | 41505.15 |
| 2016 | 20926.00 | 12672.48 | 4557.95 | 2362.00 | 718.56 | 425.93 | 281.25 | 120.97 | 90.81 | 61.90 | 67.86 | 42285.71 |
| 2017 | 20518.31 | 10070.78 | 6139.85 | 2258.58 | 1170.04 | 364.51 | 222.28 | 150.96 | 66.92 | 51.63 | 76.44 | 41090.30 |
| 2018 | 25671.96 | 10032.73 | 5444.50 | 3371.52 | 1226.95 | 647.21 | 206.07 | 128.23 | 88.97 | 40.17 | 78.67 | 46936.99 |
| 2019 | 15643.59 | 12376.35 | 5182.47 | 2892.64 | 1802.07 | 670.58 | 362.80 | 118.38 | 75.61 | 53.67 | 73.90 | 39252.04 |
| 2020 | 18460.13 | 7228.16 | 5793.22 | 2506.16 | 1384.45 | 882.46 | 337.87 | 188.04 | 63.25 | 41.54 | 72.84 | 36958.11 |
| 2021 | 23015.23 | 8203.22 | 2486.24 | 2061.07 | 902.47 | 518.67 | 347.31 | 140.28 | 82.74 | 29.43 | 57.80 | 37844.45 |

Table 10. Estimated biomass at age (1000 lb) at start of year.

| Year | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1986 | 6648.5 | 23377.6 | 7119.4 | 2658.1 | 1399.5 | 636.9 | 340.0 | 166.2 | 87.3 | 48.9 | 74.3 | 42556.9 |
| 1987 | 7578.6 | 11128.3 | 18746.6 | 4137.6 | 1409.0 | 730.2 | 340.4 | 189.8 | 98.1 | 54.0 | 80.5 | 44492.6 |
| 1988 | 9530.6 | 12860.0 | 9171.9 | 11599.6 | 2340.6 | 780.2 | 410.1 | 197.3 | 114.6 | 61.5 | 88.2 | 47154.5 |
| 1989 | 8206.3 | 16085.6 | 9916.6 | 5361.4 | 6212.8 | 1228.4 | 415.8 | 226.0 | 113.5 | 68.8 | 94.1 | 47929.2 |
| 1990 | 8170.1 | 13713.0 | 12669.5 | 5971.0 | 2957.3 | 3353.0 | 671.5 | 234.4 | 132.5 | 69.2 | 103.8 | 48045.3 |
| 1991 | 6849.3 | 13732.6 | 10950.4 | 7845.1 | 3389.4 | 1638.0 | 1873.3 | 384.7 | 138.9 | 81.4 | 110.7 | 46994.0 |
| 1992 | 4703.8 | 11215.4 | 9446.1 | 5665.7 | 3725.6 | 1588.0 | 786.6 | 940.7 | 204.4 | 77.8 | 114.6 | 38468.5 |
| 1993 | 7078.2 | 7753.9 | 8379.6 | 5407.5 | 2974.7 | 1917.8 | 830.3 | 425.5 | 531.8 | 120.4 | 119.5 | 35539.4 |
| 1994 | 6812.9 | 11722.4 | 5648.5 | 4312.5 | 2540.4 | 1387.6 | 927.3 | 425.9 | 234.1 | 311.5 | 150.4 | 34473.5 |
| 1995 | 6968.4 | 11174.6 | 8492.9 | 2937.4 | 2049.2 | 1196.4 | 675.3 | 477.1 | 234.1 | 136.7 | 285.9 | 34627.8 |
| 1996 | 7700.5 | 11626.5 | 9121.2 | 5087.6 | 1603.9 | 1098.8 | 654.3 | 384.3 | 285.3 | 146.4 | 277.8 | 37986.5 |
| 1997 | 4949.2 | 12910.9 | 9605.1 | 5701.2 | 2896.0 | 891.8 | 618.2 | 379.2 | 231.5 | 178.1 | 276.0 | 38636.9 |
| 1998 | 9492.0 | 8158.9 | 10546.7 | 5971.9 | 3206.0 | 1587.8 | 493.8 | 351.6 | 223.8 | 141.3 | 288.6 | 40462.3 |
| 1999 | 9038.1 | 16077.7 | 6772.6 | 6654.7 | 3434.1 | 1798.1 | 898.6 | 286.8 | 211.6 | 139.3 | 278.9 | 45590.3 |
| 2000 | 5461.3 | 15163.8 | 13295.4 | 4403.5 | 3953.1 | 1981.5 | 1040.1 | 529.1 | 173.5 | 131.6 | 269.0 | 46401.6 |
| 2001 | 7311.0 | 8954.7 | 12105.4 | 8361.7 | 2500.0 | 2176.8 | 1093.7 | 584.0 | 305.3 | 103.0 | 246.5 | 43741.9 |
| 2002 | 9061.2 | 12242.3 | 6965.9 | 7249.0 | 4429.3 | 1283.3 | 1121.5 | 574.5 | 315.9 | 170.0 | 203.0 | 43616.0 |
| 2003 | 5882.4 | 15090.0 | 9350.5 | 4106.6 | 3754.3 | 2219.8 | 644.9 | 573.9 | 302.3 | 171.1 | 210.3 | 42305.6 |
| 2004 | 8099.1 | 9632.2 | 11712.7 | 5427.1 | 1979.8 | 1737.0 | 1026.3 | 302.5 | 275.6 | 148.8 | 194.7 | 40535.7 |
| 2005 | 6482.5 | 13766.5 | 8408.0 | 7548.0 | 2850.6 | 990.1 | 860.5 | 510.4 | 152.3 | 140.9 | 179.0 | 41888.5 |
| 2006 | 7871.8 | 10841.7 | 11485.0 | 5279.2 | 4011.8 | 1454.2 | 503.3 | 442.5 | 267.9 | 81.6 | 176.1 | 42415.2 |
| 2007 | 10131.1 | 13462.5 | 9522.9 | 7502.6 | 2930.4 | 2137.8 | 772.3 | 270.5 | 242.5 | 149.7 | 147.9 | 47270.4 |
| 2008 | 8788.1 | 17177.3 | 11217.1 | 5992.4 | 4079.4 | 1535.5 | 1119.5 | 410.3 | 147.0 | 135.1 | 170.9 | 50772.9 |
| 2009 | 6295.7 | 14831.4 | 14731.5 | 7608.6 | 3616.2 | 2370.4 | 886.5 | 650.6 | 242.3 | 88.4 | 188.1 | 51509.5 |
| 2010 | 7335.7 | 10583.1 | 12049.8 | 9365.0 | 4281.4 | 1964.5 | 1285.5 | 486.8 | 365.1 | 139.1 | 164.0 | 48019.8 |
| 2011 | 5719.0 | 12156.3 | 8025.7 | 6980.1 | 4656.4 | 2052.9 | 943.4 | 627.4 | 243.8 | 188.1 | 162.5 | 41755.8 |
| 2012 | 5053.4 | 9568.3 | 9809.7 | 5007.6 | 3789.1 | 2434.8 | 1070.8 | 497.8 | 338.2 | 134.5 | 199.1 | 37903.0 |
| 2013 | 7243.5 | 8451.6 | 7894.1 | 6219.0 | 2781.4 | 2030.9 | 1304.3 | 581.6 | 276.9 | 192.7 | 196.0 | 37172.1 |
| 2014 | 6685.5 | 11810.8 | 5741.1 | 4250.7 | 3031.1 | 1321.7 | 973.8 | 641.5 | 296.7 | 146.6 | 216.9 | 35117.0 |
| 2015 | 9716.7 | 11137.5 | 9267.8 | 3527.2 | 2318.4 | 1598.6 | 697.1 | 521.2 | 351.9 | 166.9 | 212.3 | 39515.0 |
| 2016 | 7896.5 | 16636.7 | 9936.2 | 6575.9 | 2269.2 | 1438.3 | 984.8 | 431.9 | 327.8 | 224.7 | 247.1 | 46969.7 |
| 2017 | 7742.6 | 13221.1 | 13384.7 | 6288.0 | 3695.2 | 1231.1 | 778.2 | 539.0 | 241.6 | 187.4 | 278.4 | 47587.7 |
| 2018 | 9687.3 | 13171.3 | 11868.8 | 9386.6 | 3874.8 | 2185.7 | 721.6 | 457.9 | 321.2 | 145.9 | 286.6 | 52107.6 |
| 2019 | 5903.1 | 16248.1 | 11297.6 | 8053.3 | 5691.2 | 2264.6 | 1270.3 | 422.8 | 272.9 | 194.9 | 269.2 | 51887.8 |
| 2020 | 6965.9 | 9489.4 | 12629.0 | 6977.4 | 4372.2 | 2980.0 | 1183.0 | 671.5 | 228.4 | 150.8 | 265.4 | 45913.0 |
| 2021 | 8684.9 | 10769.4 | 5419.8 | 5738.2 | 2850.1 | 1751.6 | 1216.1 | 500.9 | 298.7 | 106.9 | 210.5 | 37547.1 |

Table 11. Estimated time series and status indicators. Fishing mortality rate is full $F$, which includes discard mortalities. Total biomass ( $B, m t$ ) is at the start of the year, and spawning biomass (SSB, mt) at the end of July (time of peak spawning). The MSST is defined by $\mathrm{MSST}=75 \% \mathrm{SSB}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$. SPR is static spawning potential ratio.

| Year | $F$ | $F / F_{\text {MSY }}$ | B | $B / B_{\text {unfished }}$ | SSB | $\mathrm{SSB} / \mathrm{SSB}_{\text {MSY }}$ | $\mathrm{SSB} / \mathrm{MSST}$ | SPR |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1986 | 0.393 | 0.761 | 19303 | 0.334 | 6448 | 1.007 | 1.34 | 0.415 |
| 1987 | 0.328 | 0.635 | 20182 | 0.349 | 7259 | 1.133 | 1.51 | 0.461 |
| 1988 | 0.385 | 0.745 | 21389 | 0.370 | 7212 | 1.126 | 1.50 | 0.407 |
| 1989 | 0.355 | 0.688 | 21740 | 0.376 | 7683 | 1.199 | 1.60 | 0.423 |
| 1990 | 0.327 | 0.633 | 21793 | 0.377 | 7811 | 1.219 | 1.63 | 0.444 |
| 1991 | 0.507 | 0.982 | 21316 | 0.369 | 7352 | 1.148 | 1.53 | 0.324 |
| 1992 | 0.405 | 0.786 | 17449 | 0.302 | 6431 | 1.004 | 1.34 | 0.380 |
| 1993 | 0.513 | 0.995 | 16120 | 0.279 | 5270 | 0.823 | 1.10 | 0.341 |
| 1994 | 0.502 | 0.973 | 15637 | 0.271 | 5117 | 0.799 | 1.07 | 0.339 |
| 1995 | 0.363 | 0.704 | 15707 | 0.272 | 5389 | 0.841 | 1.12 | 0.433 |
| 1996 | 0.322 | 0.623 | 17230 | 0.298 | 5968 | 0.932 | 1.24 | 0.460 |
| 1997 | 0.334 | 0.647 | 17525 | 0.303 | 6606 | 1.031 | 1.38 | 0.442 |
| 1998 | 0.311 | 0.603 | 18353 | 0.318 | 6151 | 0.960 | 1.28 | 0.471 |
| 1999 | 0.279 | 0.540 | 20679 | 0.358 | 7248 | 1.131 | 1.51 | 0.481 |
| 2000 | 0.324 | 0.628 | 21047 | 0.364 | 8022 | 1.252 | 1.67 | 0.434 |
| 2001 | 0.393 | 0.762 | 19841 | 0.343 | 7033 | 1.098 | 1.46 | 0.405 |
| 2002 | 0.416 | 0.806 | 19784 | 0.342 | 6580 | 1.027 | 1.37 | 0.389 |
| 2003 | 0.488 | 0.945 | 19190 | 0.332 | 6860 | 1.071 | 1.43 | 0.371 |
| 2004 | 0.405 | 0.785 | 18387 | 0.318 | 6387 | 0.997 | 1.33 | 0.461 |
| 2005 | 0.390 | 0.756 | 19000 | 0.329 | 6892 | 1.076 | 1.43 | 0.437 |
| 2006 | 0.347 | 0.672 | 19239 | 0.333 | 6874 | 1.073 | 1.43 | 0.488 |
| 2007 | 0.367 | 0.712 | 21441 | 0.371 | 7265 | 1.134 | 1.51 | 0.450 |
| 2008 | 0.263 | 0.510 | 23030 | 0.399 | 8433 | 1.316 | 1.76 | 0.511 |
| 2009 | 0.333 | 0.645 | 23364 | 0.404 | 8891 | 1.388 | 1.85 | 0.449 |
| 2010 | 0.457 | 0.885 | 21781 | 0.377 | 7695 | 1.201 | 1.60 | 0.374 |
| 2011 | 0.369 | 0.715 | 18940 | 0.328 | 7010 | 1.094 | 1.46 | 0.430 |
| 2012 | 0.346 | 0.671 | 17193 | 0.298 | 6468 | 1.010 | 1.35 | 0.448 |
| 2013 | 0.477 | 0.924 | 16861 | 0.292 | 5535 | 0.864 | 1.15 | 0.326 |
| 2014 | 0.364 | 0.706 | 15929 | 0.276 | 5494 | 0.858 | 1.14 | 0.417 |
| 2015 | 0.199 | 0.386 | 17924 | 0.310 | 6126 | 0.956 | 1.28 | 0.584 |
| 2016 | 0.334 | 0.648 | 21305 | 0.369 | 7630 | 1.191 | 1.59 | 0.442 |
| 2017 | 0.242 | 0.469 | 21585 | 0.374 | 8147 | 1.272 | 1.70 | 0.553 |
| 2018 | 0.258 | 0.501 | 23636 | 0.409 | 8571 | 1.338 | 1.78 | 0.511 |
| 2019 | 0.369 | 0.715 | 23536 | 0.407 | 8887 | 1.387 | 1.85 | 0.399 |
| 2020 | 0.653 | 1.266 | 20826 | .360 | 6725 | 1.050 | 1.40 | 0.241 |
| 2021 | . |  | 17031 | 0.395 | . | . | . | . |
|  |  |  |  | 0.2 |  |  |  |  |

Table 12. Selectivity at age (end-of-assessment time period) for commercial handline ( $c H$ ), commercial pound net (cP), commercial gill net (cG), discards (SB.D), and selectivity of landings averaged across fisheries (L.avg), discards averaged across fisheries (D.avg) and catches across fisheries | Age | $\mathrm{FL}(\mathrm{mm})$ | cH | cP | cG | cC | GR | GR.D | SB.D | L.avg | D.avg | tot.avg |
| ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 262.2 | 0.012 | 0.027 | 0.068 | 0.002 | 0.084 | 1.000 | 1.0 | 0.059 | 0.121 | 0.179 |
| 1 | 406.4 | 0.076 | 1.000 | 0.510 | 0.037 | 1.000 | 0.375 | 0.2 | 0.642 | 0.043 | 0.685 |
| 2 | 485.6 | 0.356 | 0.980 | 0.980 | 0.440 | 0.992 | 0.000 | 0.0 | 0.826 | 0.000 | 0.826 |
| 3 | 529.2 | 0.787 | 0.921 | 1.000 | 0.942 | 0.967 | 0.000 | 0.0 | 0.986 | 0.000 | 0.986 |
| 4 | 553.2 | 0.961 | 0.830 | 0.911 | 0.997 | 0.927 | 0.000 | 0.0 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 1.000 |
| 5 | 566.4 | 0.994 | 0.719 | 0.771 | 1.000 | 0.873 | 0.000 | 0.0 | 0.959 | 0.000 | 0.959 |
| 6 | 573.6 | 0.999 | 0.597 | 0.595 | 1.000 | 0.809 | 0.000 | 0.0 | 0.899 | 0.000 | 0.899 |
| 7 | 577.6 | 1.000 | 0.476 | 0.414 | 1.000 | 0.737 | 0.000 | 0.0 | 0.833 | 0.000 | 0.833 |
| 8 | 579.8 | 1.000 | 0.364 | 0.262 | 1.000 | 0.660 | 0.000 | 0.0 | 0.769 | 0.000 | 0.769 |
| 9 | 581.0 | 1.000 | 0.267 | 0.153 | 1.000 | 0.581 | 0.000 | 0.0 | 0.710 | 0.000 | 0.710 |
| 10 | 581.7 | 1.000 | 0.188 | 0.085 | 1.000 | 0.503 | 0.000 | 0.0 | 0.658 | 0.000 | 0.658 |

Table 13. Estimated time series of fully selected fishing mortality rates for commercial handline (F.cH), commercial pound net (F.cP), commercial gill net (F.cG), commercial cast net (F.cC), general recreational (F.GR), general recreational discards(F.GR.D), and shrimp bycatch (F.SB.D). Also shown is apical F (Full.F), the maximum $F$ at age summed across fleets. Full F may not equal the sum of fully selected $F$ 's because of dome-shaped selectivities.

| Year | F.cH | F.cP | F.cG | F.cC | F.GR | F.GR.D | F.SB.D | Full.F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1986 | 0.014 | 0.010 | 0.284 | 0.000 | 0.103 | 0.006 | 0.020 | 0.393 |
| 1987 | 0.013 | 0.023 | 0.204 | 0.000 | 0.106 | 0.001 | 0.016 | 0.328 |
| 1988 | 0.007 | 0.020 | 0.185 | 0.000 | 0.185 | 0.001 | 0.015 | 0.385 |
| 1989 | 0.004 | 0.023 | 0.175 | 0.000 | 0.162 | 0.009 | 0.020 | 0.355 |
| 1990 | 0.010 | 0.023 | 0.143 | 0.000 | 0.165 | 0.009 | 0.016 | 0.327 |
| 1991 | 0.014 | 0.023 | 0.217 | 0.000 | 0.274 | 0.013 | 0.024 | 0.507 |
| 1992 | 0.005 | 0.022 | 0.177 | 0.000 | 0.212 | 0.013 | 0.025 | 0.405 |
| 1993 | 0.012 | 0.023 | 0.342 | 0.000 | 0.156 | 0.008 | 0.019 | 0.513 |
| 1994 | 0.008 | 0.023 | 0.316 | 0.000 | 0.171 | 0.016 | 0.022 | 0.502 |
| 1995 | 0.030 | 0.013 | 0.260 | 0.002 | 0.093 | 0.010 | 0.021 | 0.363 |
| 1996 | 0.018 | 0.017 | 0.191 | 0.008 | 0.111 | 0.013 | 0.016 | 0.322 |
| 1997 | 0.015 | 0.011 | 0.175 | 0.023 | 0.132 | 0.018 | 0.027 | 0.334 |
| 1998 | 0.016 | 0.007 | 0.174 | 0.007 | 0.129 | 0.005 | 0.014 | 0.311 |
| 1999 | 0.019 | 0.013 | 0.112 | 0.006 | 0.154 | 0.015 | 0.015 | 0.279 |
| 2000 | 0.029 | 0.007 | 0.100 | 0.032 | 0.194 | 0.028 | 0.023 | 0.324 |
| 2001 | 0.032 | 0.010 | 0.098 | 0.074 | 0.224 | 0.013 | 0.015 | 0.393 |
| 2002 | 0.043 | 0.007 | 0.083 | 0.090 | 0.251 | 0.019 | 0.013 | 0.416 |
| 2003 | 0.043 | 0.005 | 0.070 | 0.201 | 0.232 | 0.036 | 0.015 | 0.488 |
| 2004 | 0.067 | 0.004 | 0.046 | 0.234 | 0.136 | 0.012 | 0.011 | 0.405 |
| 2005 | 0.091 | 0.002 | 0.078 | 0.159 | 0.166 | 0.021 | 0.014 | 0.390 |
| 2006 | 0.073 | 0.002 | 0.099 | 0.148 | 0.110 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0.347 |
| 2007 | 0.076 | 0.002 | 0.098 | 0.117 | 0.162 | 0.015 | 0.005 | 0.367 |
| 2008 | 0.079 | 0.008 | 0.055 | 0.061 | 0.149 | 0.022 | 0.006 | 0.263 |
| 2009 | 0.080 | 0.015 | 0.068 | 0.073 | 0.189 | 0.023 | 0.005 | 0.333 |
| 2010 | 0.101 | 0.007 | 0.071 | 0.137 | 0.259 | 0.029 | 0.008 | 0.457 |
| 2011 | 0.082 | 0.004 | 0.065 | 0.107 | 0.206 | 0.022 | 0.010 | 0.369 |
| 2012 | 0.110 | 0.003 | 0.092 | 0.090 | 0.172 | 0.021 | 0.013 | 0.346 |
| 2013 | 0.148 | 0.002 | 0.086 | 0.035 | 0.368 | 0.036 | 0.007 | 0.477 |
| 2014 | 0.219 | 0.002 | 0.074 | 0.068 | 0.232 | 0.025 | 0.008 | 0.364 |
| 2015 | 0.145 | 0.003 | 0.067 | 0.020 | 0.114 | 0.010 | 0.006 | 0.199 |
| 2016 | 0.144 | 0.003 | 0.063 | 0.067 | 0.212 | 0.023 | 0.008 | 0.334 |
| 2017 | 0.124 | 0.002 | 0.057 | 0.083 | 0.109 | 0.017 | 0.007 | 0.242 |
| 2018 | 0.125 | 0.002 | 0.068 | 0.051 | 0.146 | 0.030 | 0.005 | 0.258 |
| 2019 | 0.106 | 0.006 | 0.054 | 0.089 | 0.233 | 0.061 | 0.009 | 0.369 |
| 2020 | 0.125 | 0.005 | 0.095 | 0.056 | 0.519 | 0.074 | 0.009 | 0.653 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 14. Spanish mackerel: Estimated instantaneous fishing mortality rate (per yr) at age, including discard mortality

| Year | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1986 | 0.054 | 0.264 | 0.390 | 0.393 | 0.362 | 0.316 | 0.258 | 0.198 | 0.146 | 0.106 | 0.078 |
| 1987 | 0.040 | 0.236 | 0.328 | 0.328 | 0.303 | 0.266 | 0.221 | 0.174 | 0.132 | 0.099 | 0.075 |
| 1988 | 0.045 | 0.303 | 0.385 | 0.382 | 0.357 | 0.319 | 0.272 | 0.223 | 0.178 | 0.141 | 0.113 |
| 1989 | 0.055 | 0.282 | 0.355 | 0.353 | 0.329 | 0.293 | 0.249 | 0.203 | 0.161 | 0.127 | 0.101 |
| 1990 | 0.049 | 0.268 | 0.327 | 0.324 | 0.303 | 0.271 | 0.233 | 0.192 | 0.155 | 0.124 | 0.100 |
| 1991 | 0.076 | 0.417 | 0.507 | 0.503 | 0.470 | 0.423 | 0.364 | 0.303 | 0.246 | 0.199 | 0.161 |
| 1992 | 0.069 | 0.335 | 0.405 | 0.402 | 0.376 | 0.338 | 0.290 | 0.240 | 0.194 | 0.156 | 0.126 |
| 1993 | 0.064 | 0.360 | 0.512 | 0.513 | 0.475 | 0.416 | 0.343 | 0.267 | 0.201 | 0.149 | 0.112 |
| 1994 | 0.074 | 0.365 | 0.501 | 0.502 | 0.465 | 0.409 | 0.340 | 0.268 | 0.204 | 0.154 | 0.117 |
| 1995 | 0.057 | 0.246 | 0.360 | 0.363 | 0.335 | 0.293 | 0.239 | 0.184 | 0.136 | 0.099 | 0.073 |
| 1996 | 0.052 | 0.234 | 0.318 | 0.322 | 0.299 | 0.264 | 0.222 | 0.177 | 0.137 | 0.106 | 0.083 |
| 1997 | 0.069 | 0.245 | 0.323 | 0.334 | 0.313 | 0.280 | 0.240 | 0.197 | 0.159 | 0.129 | 0.106 |
| 1998 | 0.042 | 0.229 | 0.308 | 0.311 | 0.290 | 0.258 | 0.219 | 0.177 | 0.140 | 0.110 | 0.088 |
| 1999 | 0.051 | 0.233 | 0.278 | 0.279 | 0.262 | 0.237 | 0.205 | 0.172 | 0.142 | 0.117 | 0.096 |
| 2000 | 0.074 | 0.268 | 0.311 | 0.324 | 0.309 | 0.284 | 0.253 | 0.220 | 0.189 | 0.162 | 0.140 |
| 2001 | 0.053 | 0.294 | 0.360 | 0.393 | 0.379 | 0.352 | 0.320 | 0.285 | 0.251 | 0.222 | 0.197 |
| 2002 | 0.059 | 0.313 | 0.376 | 0.416 | 0.403 | 0.377 | 0.346 | 0.312 | 0.279 | 0.250 | 0.224 |
| 2003 | 0.076 | 0.296 | 0.392 | 0.488 | 0.483 | 0.461 | 0.433 | 0.403 | 0.374 | 0.348 | 0.324 |
| 2004 | 0.038 | 0.179 | 0.287 | 0.402 | 0.405 | 0.392 | 0.374 | 0.356 | 0.338 | 0.322 | 0.308 |
| 2005 | 0.054 | 0.224 | 0.313 | 0.390 | 0.385 | 0.366 | 0.341 | 0.315 | 0.290 | 0.268 | 0.250 |
| 2006 | 0.032 | 0.173 | 0.273 | 0.347 | 0.341 | 0.322 | 0.297 | 0.271 | 0.247 | 0.228 | 0.212 |
| 2007 | 0.041 | 0.226 | 0.311 | 0.367 | 0.358 | 0.336 | 0.308 | 0.278 | 0.251 | 0.227 | 0.208 |
| 2008 | 0.045 | 0.197 | 0.236 | 0.263 | 0.255 | 0.239 | 0.218 | 0.197 | 0.176 | 0.158 | 0.142 |
| 2009 | 0.049 | 0.251 | 0.301 | 0.333 | 0.322 | 0.301 | 0.275 | 0.248 | 0.221 | 0.197 | 0.177 |
| 2010 | 0.064 | 0.320 | 0.394 | 0.457 | 0.447 | 0.423 | 0.393 | 0.360 | 0.329 | 0.300 | 0.275 |
| 2011 | 0.054 | 0.258 | 0.319 | 0.369 | 0.360 | 0.340 | 0.315 | 0.288 | 0.262 | 0.238 | 0.217 |
| 2012 | 0.054 | 0.235 | 0.303 | 0.346 | 0.336 | 0.313 | 0.286 | 0.256 | 0.229 | 0.205 | 0.185 |
| 2013 | 0.080 | 0.430 | 0.467 | 0.477 | 0.456 | 0.424 | 0.385 | 0.343 | 0.301 | 0.263 | 0.228 |
| 2014 | 0.058 | 0.286 | 0.335 | 0.364 | 0.352 | 0.329 | 0.301 | 0.270 | 0.241 | 0.214 | 0.191 |
| 2015 | 0.031 | 0.157 | 0.191 | 0.199 | 0.189 | 0.174 | 0.154 | 0.133 | 0.114 | 0.097 | 0.084 |
| 2016 | 0.053 | 0.261 | 0.305 | 0.334 | 0.324 | 0.303 | 0.278 | 0.251 | 0.225 | 0.201 | 0.180 |
| 2017 | 0.037 | 0.151 | 0.202 | 0.242 | 0.237 | 0.223 | 0.206 | 0.188 | 0.170 | 0.155 | 0.143 |
| 2018 | 0.052 | 0.197 | 0.235 | 0.258 | 0.249 | 0.232 | 0.210 | 0.187 | 0.166 | 0.146 | 0.130 |
| 2019 | 0.094 | 0.295 | 0.330 | 0.369 | 0.359 | 0.338 | 0.313 | 0.286 | 0.259 | 0.234 | 0.212 |
| 2020 | 0.133 | 0.603 | 0.636 | 0.653 | 0.627 | 0.586 | 0.535 | 0.480 | 0.425 | 0.373 | 0.326 |

Table 15. Estimated instantaneous total mortality rate (per yr) at age, including discard mortality.

| Year | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1986 | 0.732 | 0.728 | 0.787 | 0.761 | 0.717 | 0.663 | 0.602 | 0.539 | 0.486 | 0.446 | 0.417 |
| 1987 | 0.718 | 0.700 | 0.725 | 0.696 | 0.658 | 0.613 | 0.565 | 0.515 | 0.472 | 0.439 | 0.414 |
| 1988 | 0.723 | 0.767 | 0.782 | 0.750 | 0.712 | 0.666 | 0.616 | 0.564 | 0.518 | 0.481 | 0.452 |
| 1989 | 0.733 | 0.746 | 0.752 | 0.721 | 0.684 | 0.640 | 0.593 | 0.544 | 0.501 | 0.467 | 0.440 |
| 1990 | 0.727 | 0.732 | 0.724 | 0.692 | 0.658 | 0.618 | 0.577 | 0.533 | 0.495 | 0.464 | 0.439 |
| 1991 | 0.754 | 0.881 | 0.904 | 0.871 | 0.825 | 0.770 | 0.708 | 0.644 | 0.586 | 0.539 | 0.500 |
| 1992 | 0.747 | 0.799 | 0.802 | 0.770 | 0.731 | 0.685 | 0.634 | 0.581 | 0.534 | 0.496 | 0.465 |
| 1993 | 0.742 | 0.824 | 0.909 | 0.881 | 0.830 | 0.763 | 0.687 | 0.608 | 0.541 | 0.489 | 0.451 |
| 1994 | 0.752 | 0.829 | 0.898 | 0.870 | 0.820 | 0.756 | 0.684 | 0.609 | 0.544 | 0.494 | 0.456 |
| 1995 | 0.735 | 0.710 | 0.757 | 0.731 | 0.690 | 0.640 | 0.583 | 0.525 | 0.476 | 0.439 | 0.412 |
| 1996 | 0.730 | 0.698 | 0.715 | 0.690 | 0.654 | 0.611 | 0.566 | 0.518 | 0.477 | 0.446 | 0.422 |
| 1997 | 0.747 | 0.709 | 0.720 | 0.702 | 0.668 | 0.627 | 0.584 | 0.538 | 0.499 | 0.469 | 0.445 |
| 1998 | 0.720 | 0.693 | 0.705 | 0.679 | 0.645 | 0.605 | 0.563 | 0.518 | 0.480 | 0.450 | 0.427 |
| 1999 | 0.729 | 0.697 | 0.675 | 0.647 | 0.617 | 0.584 | 0.549 | 0.513 | 0.482 | 0.457 | 0.435 |
| 2000 | 0.752 | 0.732 | 0.708 | 0.692 | 0.664 | 0.631 | 0.597 | 0.561 | 0.529 | 0.502 | 0.479 |
| 2001 | 0.731 | 0.758 | 0.757 | 0.761 | 0.734 | 0.699 | 0.664 | 0.626 | 0.591 | 0.562 | 0.536 |
| 2002 | 0.737 | 0.777 | 0.773 | 0.784 | 0.758 | 0.724 | 0.690 | 0.653 | 0.619 | 0.590 | 0.563 |
| 2003 | 0.754 | 0.760 | 0.789 | 0.856 | 0.838 | 0.808 | 0.777 | 0.744 | 0.714 | 0.688 | 0.663 |
| 2004 | 0.716 | 0.643 | 0.684 | 0.770 | 0.760 | 0.739 | 0.718 | 0.697 | 0.678 | 0.662 | 0.647 |
| 2005 | 0.732 | 0.688 | 0.710 | 0.758 | 0.740 | 0.713 | 0.685 | 0.656 | 0.630 | 0.608 | 0.589 |
| 2006 | 0.710 | 0.637 | 0.670 | 0.715 | 0.696 | 0.669 | 0.641 | 0.612 | 0.587 | 0.568 | 0.551 |
| 2007 | 0.719 | 0.690 | 0.708 | 0.735 | 0.713 | 0.683 | 0.652 | 0.619 | 0.591 | 0.567 | 0.547 |
| 2008 | 0.723 | 0.661 | 0.633 | 0.631 | 0.610 | 0.586 | 0.562 | 0.538 | 0.516 | 0.498 | 0.481 |
| 2009 | 0.727 | 0.715 | 0.698 | 0.701 | 0.677 | 0.648 | 0.619 | 0.589 | 0.561 | 0.537 | 0.516 |
| 2010 | 0.742 | 0.784 | 0.791 | 0.825 | 0.802 | 0.770 | 0.737 | 0.701 | 0.669 | 0.640 | 0.614 |
| 2011 | 0.732 | 0.722 | 0.716 | 0.737 | 0.715 | 0.687 | 0.659 | 0.629 | 0.602 | 0.578 | 0.556 |
| 2012 | 0.732 | 0.699 | 0.700 | 0.714 | 0.691 | 0.660 | 0.630 | 0.597 | 0.569 | 0.545 | 0.524 |
| 2013 | 0.758 | 0.894 | 0.864 | 0.845 | 0.811 | 0.771 | 0.729 | 0.684 | 0.641 | 0.603 | 0.567 |
| 2014 | 0.736 | 0.750 | 0.732 | 0.732 | 0.707 | 0.676 | 0.645 | 0.611 | 0.581 | 0.554 | 0.530 |
| 2015 | 0.709 | 0.621 | 0.588 | 0.567 | 0.544 | 0.521 | 0.498 | 0.474 | 0.454 | 0.437 | 0.423 |
| 2016 | 0.731 | 0.725 | 0.702 | 0.702 | 0.679 | 0.650 | 0.622 | 0.592 | 0.565 | 0.541 | 0.519 |
| 2017 | 0.715 | 0.615 | 0.599 | 0.610 | 0.592 | 0.570 | 0.550 | 0.529 | 0.510 | 0.495 | 0.482 |
| 2018 | 0.730 | 0.661 | 0.632 | 0.626 | 0.604 | 0.579 | 0.554 | 0.528 | 0.506 | 0.486 | 0.469 |
| 2019 | 0.772 | 0.759 | 0.727 | 0.737 | 0.714 | 0.685 | 0.657 | 0.627 | 0.599 | 0.574 | 0.551 |
| 2020 | 0.811 | 1.067 | 1.033 | 1.021 | 0.982 | 0.933 | 0.879 | 0.821 | 0.765 | 0.713 | 0.665 |

Table 16. Estimated total landings at age in numbers (1000 fish).

| Year | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1986 | 356.35 | 3275.06 | 893.88 | 270.19 | 118.98 | 45.56 | 19.89 | 7.65 | 3.07 | 1.31 | 1.54 |
| 1987 | 338.92 | 1426.61 | 2033.44 | 362.17 | 103.28 | 45.20 | 17.39 | 7.76 | 3.14 | 1.35 | 1.60 |
| 1988 | 519.27 | 2051.98 | 1129.36 | 1135.77 | 192.46 | 54.85 | 24.34 | 9.68 | 4.57 | 1.99 | 2.32 |
| 1989 | 405.24 | 2373.07 | 1139.29 | 488.56 | 473.80 | 79.78 | 22.66 | 10.09 | 4.08 | 1.98 | 2.19 |
| 1990 | 376.51 | 1942.47 | 1367.36 | 514.54 | 214.61 | 208.58 | 35.44 | 10.30 | 4.79 | 2.05 | 2.54 |
| 1991 | 493.44 | 2840.63 | 1691.25 | 965.88 | 353.18 | 147.61 | 144.88 | 25.12 | 7.56 | 3.67 | 4.17 |
| 1992 | 269.01 | 1912.71 | 1213.56 | 576.79 | 318.92 | 116.70 | 49.14 | 49.04 | 8.75 | 2.73 | 3.31 |
| 1993 | 492.89 | 1424.14 | 1302.97 | 674.59 | 310.93 | 169.84 | 60.84 | 24.89 | 24.14 | 4.21 | 3.26 |
| 1994 | 465.73 | 2159.21 | 862.20 | 525.94 | 259.49 | 120.26 | 66.75 | 24.64 | 10.60 | 10.97 | 4.16 |
| 1995 | 343.24 | 1465.95 | 1012.80 | 289.41 | 170.56 | 84.34 | 39.35 | 22.25 | 8.56 | 3.93 | 6.64 |
| 1996 | 334.26 | 1448.96 | 968.38 | 443.05 | 117.81 | 68.67 | 34.18 | 16.36 | 9.75 | 4.03 | 6.28 |
| 1997 | 217.76 | 1649.26 | 1030.39 | 507.93 | 218.75 | 57.85 | 34.03 | 17.40 | 8.78 | 5.62 | 7.37 |
| 1998 | 414.95 | 1012.68 | 1089.12 | 504.02 | 228.53 | 96.66 | 25.28 | 14.81 | 7.65 | 3.94 | 6.67 |
| 1999 | 361.12 | 1992.21 | 643.36 | 516.95 | 227.20 | 102.97 | 44.28 | 12.02 | 7.49 | 4.17 | 7.12 |
| 2000 | 242.05 | 2092.75 | 1406.17 | 396.29 | 308.02 | 136.07 | 63.07 | 28.24 | 8.14 | 5.45 | 9.90 |
| 2001 | 362.23 | 1381.94 | 1447.32 | 879.58 | 229.58 | 178.00 | 80.18 | 38.45 | 18.06 | 5.50 | 11.99 |
| 2002 | 470.86 | 1986.33 | 871.01 | 811.85 | 436.75 | 113.56 | 89.95 | 41.91 | 21.01 | 10.35 | 11.38 |
| 2003 | 278.11 | 2280.49 | 1207.66 | 517.03 | 422.02 | 227.08 | 60.95 | 50.57 | 24.96 | 13.31 | 15.50 |
| 2004 | 244.91 | 960.01 | 1209.25 | 617.73 | 205.95 | 166.49 | 92.19 | 25.76 | 22.43 | 11.65 | 14.72 |
| 2005 | 252.99 | 1673.08 | 953.85 | 877.41 | 301.29 | 95.58 | 76.81 | 42.50 | 11.91 | 10.42 | 12.64 |
| 2006 | 258.01 | 1062.59 | 1150.05 | 548.06 | 376.97 | 123.98 | 39.33 | 31.92 | 17.99 | 5.16 | 10.62 |
| 2007 | 413.41 | 1665.42 | 1058.13 | 815.41 | 286.31 | 188.89 | 62.27 | 20.01 | 16.58 | 9.54 | 8.88 |
| 2008 | 291.72 | 1848.93 | 1006.58 | 519.51 | 320.12 | 109.20 | 72.78 | 24.54 | 8.13 | 6.95 | 8.23 |
| 2009 | 262.09 | 1995.48 | 1600.62 | 777.50 | 331.65 | 196.44 | 66.97 | 45.06 | 15.44 | 5.21 | 10.34 |
| 2010 | 389.90 | 1760.86 | 1641.51 | 1229.00 | 507.49 | 212.40 | 128.34 | 45.23 | 31.73 | 11.35 | 12.65 |
| 2011 | 248.46 | 1672.40 | 916.03 | 768.90 | 462.47 | 185.34 | 78.29 | 48.21 | 17.44 | 12.58 | 10.22 |
| 2012 | 212.38 | 1224.19 | 1108.37 | 556.17 | 382.39 | 223.10 | 89.80 | 38.45 | 24.21 | 8.99 | 12.55 |
| 2013 | 522.94 | 1814.13 | 1259.35 | 894.56 | 360.89 | 239.44 | 140.93 | 57.89 | 25.42 | 16.36 | 15.44 |
| 2014 | 344.76 | 1843.04 | 770.76 | 580.92 | 386.95 | 155.51 | 106.50 | 65.75 | 28.67 | 13.44 | 18.96 |
| 2015 | 296.79 | 1031.25 | 779.01 | 302.81 | 186.02 | 117.19 | 46.86 | 32.33 | 20.28 | 9.02 | 10.86 |
| 2016 | 359.13 | 2355.92 | 1166.89 | 759.47 | 240.90 | 139.71 | 88.32 | 36.04 | 25.56 | 16.47 | 17.12 |
| 2017 | 217.58 | 1148.66 | 1139.28 | 574.83 | 314.81 | 96.35 | 56.46 | 36.57 | 15.44 | 11.38 | 16.20 |
| 2018 | 339.75 | 1424.21 | 1129.39 | 893.68 | 339.93 | 174.87 | 53.00 | 31.09 | 20.28 | 8.63 | 16.02 |
| 2019 | 272.54 | 2414.61 | 1352.43 | 925.12 | 593.08 | 215.22 | 111.34 | 34.42 | 20.73 | 13.87 | 18.03 |
| 2020 | 657.60 | 2591.67 | 2458.82 | 1179.97 | 658.38 | 407.12 | 148.26 | 77.55 | 24.30 | 14.79 | 23.99 |

Table 17. Estimated total landings at age in whole weight (1000 lb).

| Year | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1986 | 537.34 | 8251.13 | 3233.32 | 1275.22 | 686.79 | 307.15 | 151.41 | 64.13 | 27.76 | 12.58 | 15.57 |
| 1987 | 511.06 | 3594.17 | 7355.27 | 1709.34 | 596.15 | 304.75 | 132.41 | 65.07 | 28.39 | 13.00 | 16.14 |
| 1988 | 783.02 | 5169.73 | 4085.06 | 5360.53 | 1110.91 | 369.82 | 185.30 | 81.09 | 41.37 | 19.12 | 23.45 |
| 1989 | 611.06 | 5978.66 | 4121.02 | 2305.90 | 2734.88 | 537.86 | 172.47 | 84.55 | 36.91 | 19.06 | 22.14 |
| 1990 | 567.74 | 4893.82 | 4945.96 | 2428.52 | 1238.77 | 1406.21 | 269.78 | 86.29 | 43.31 | 19.72 | 25.69 |
| 1991 | 744.07 | 7156.62 | 6117.54 | 4558.73 | 2038.61 | 995.14 | 1102.91 | 210.51 | 68.38 | 35.32 | 42.09 |
| 1992 | 405.65 | 4818.85 | 4389.63 | 2722.29 | 1840.87 | 786.77 | 374.10 | 410.93 | 79.19 | 26.25 | 33.41 |
| 1993 | 743.23 | 3587.96 | 4713.06 | 3183.92 | 1794.76 | 1145.01 | 463.16 | 208.57 | 218.38 | 40.52 | 32.95 |
| 1994 | 702.28 | 5439.87 | 3118.73 | 2482.33 | 1497.81 | 810.79 | 508.17 | 206.50 | 95.89 | 105.53 | 41.99 |
| 1995 | 517.58 | 3693.29 | 3663.47 | 1365.96 | 984.49 | 568.62 | 299.53 | 186.42 | 77.44 | 37.83 | 67.10 |
| 1996 | 504.03 | 3650.49 | 3502.80 | 2091.08 | 680.04 | 462.98 | 260.18 | 137.10 | 88.20 | 38.80 | 63.50 |
| 1997 | 328.37 | 4155.12 | 3727.08 | 2397.30 | 1262.68 | 389.99 | 259.06 | 145.83 | 79.41 | 54.03 | 74.48 |
| 1998 | 625.70 | 2551.33 | 3939.51 | 2378.86 | 1319.10 | 651.65 | 192.46 | 124.14 | 69.23 | 37.91 | 67.38 |
| 1999 | 544.54 | 5019.13 | 2327.14 | 2439.86 | 1311.47 | 694.17 | 337.04 | 100.71 | 67.73 | 40.08 | 71.92 |
| 2000 | 364.99 | 5272.43 | 5086.35 | 1870.41 | 1777.96 | 917.36 | 480.09 | 236.63 | 73.64 | 52.38 | 100.06 |
| 2001 | 546.22 | 3481.64 | 5235.18 | 4151.39 | 1325.18 | 1200.03 | 610.39 | 322.16 | 163.38 | 52.88 | 121.11 |
| 2002 | 710.02 | 5004.32 | 3150.59 | 3831.74 | 2521.00 | 765.61 | 684.77 | 351.21 | 190.05 | 99.54 | 114.95 |
| 2003 | 419.36 | 5745.42 | 4368.30 | 2440.27 | 2435.98 | 1530.92 | 464.01 | 423.72 | 225.79 | 128.02 | 156.63 |
| 2004 | 369.30 | 2418.63 | 4374.04 | 2915.55 | 1188.79 | 1122.42 | 701.81 | 215.85 | 202.92 | 112.05 | 148.78 |
| 2005 | 381.48 | 4215.13 | 3450.24 | 4141.15 | 1739.10 | 644.39 | 584.75 | 356.12 | 107.75 | 100.24 | 127.73 |
| 2006 | 389.05 | 2677.07 | 4159.94 | 2586.69 | 2175.96 | 835.87 | 299.40 | 267.49 | 162.77 | 49.66 | 107.33 |
| 2007 | 623.38 | 4195.82 | 3827.42 | 3848.54 | 1652.62 | 1273.48 | 474.03 | 167.72 | 149.99 | 91.79 | 89.72 |
| 2008 | 439.89 | 4658.15 | 3640.97 | 2451.96 | 1847.77 | 736.20 | 554.04 | 205.60 | 73.54 | 66.80 | 83.15 |
| 2009 | 395.21 | 5027.37 | 5789.69 | 3669.62 | 1914.37 | 1324.38 | 509.79 | 377.59 | 139.68 | 50.13 | 104.51 |
| 2010 | 587.94 | 4436.27 | 5937.60 | 5800.58 | 2929.33 | 1431.97 | 977.01 | 378.98 | 286.99 | 109.19 | 127.82 |
| 2011 | 374.66 | 4213.40 | 3313.44 | 3629.02 | 2669.46 | 1249.54 | 595.95 | 404.02 | 157.73 | 120.99 | 103.25 |
| 2012 | 320.25 | 3084.21 | 4009.15 | 2624.97 | 2207.25 | 1504.08 | 683.57 | 322.19 | 218.97 | 86.47 | 126.78 |
| 2013 | 788.55 | 4570.48 | 4555.28 | 4222.10 | 2083.12 | 1614.26 | 1072.82 | 485.13 | 229.98 | 157.32 | 156.00 |
| 2014 | 519.87 | 4643.33 | 2787.97 | 2741.80 | 2233.53 | 1048.39 | 810.72 | 550.98 | 259.35 | 129.23 | 191.56 |
| 2015 | 447.54 | 2598.11 | 2817.82 | 1429.21 | 1073.75 | 790.09 | 356.69 | 270.91 | 183.44 | 86.79 | 109.75 |
| 2016 | 541.54 | 5935.47 | 4220.84 | 3584.53 | 1390.54 | 941.92 | 672.30 | 301.96 | 231.23 | 158.40 | 173.01 |
| 2017 | 328.09 | 2893.90 | 4120.96 | 2713.08 | 1817.14 | 649.57 | 429.76 | 306.41 | 139.64 | 109.48 | 163.67 |
| 2018 | 512.31 | 3588.13 | 4085.19 | 4217.94 | 1962.15 | 1178.95 | 403.47 | 260.52 | 183.42 | 82.99 | 161.88 |
| 2019 | 410.97 | 6083.33 | 4891.98 | 4366.35 | 3423.35 | 1450.99 | 847.56 | 288.44 | 187.52 | 133.36 | 182.13 |
| 2020 | 991.60 | 6529.41 | 8893.96 | 5569.19 | 3800.30 | 2744.72 | 1128.64 | 649.81 | 219.81 | 142.27 | 242.36 |

Table 18. Estimated time series of landings in number (1000s) for commercial handline (L.cH), commercial pound net (L.cP), commercial gill net (L.cG), commercial cast net (L.cC), general recreational (L.GR), general recreational discards (D.GR) and shrimp bycatch (D.SB), total landings and total discards.

| Year | L.cH | L.cP | L.cG | L.cC | L.GR | D.GR | D. SB | Total.L | Total.D |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1986 | 43.76 | 156.91 | 3029.99 | 0.00 | 1762.82 | 99.91 | 293.50 | 4993.48 | 393.40 |
| 1987 | 57.43 | 319.35 | 2379.32 | 0.00 | 1584.76 | 10.74 | 246.21 | 4340.86 | 256.95 |
| 1988 | 32.29 | 266.07 | 2074.59 | 0.00 | 2753.65 | 26.28 | 295.15 | 5126.59 | 321.43 |
| 1989 | 19.02 | 344.78 | 2023.18 | 0.00 | 2613.76 | 162.04 | 349.38 | 5000.74 | 511.42 |
| 1990 | 53.04 | 335.96 | 1683.20 | 0.00 | 2606.99 | 164.99 | 270.38 | 4679.19 | 435.38 |
| 1991 | 66.72 | 305.42 | 2327.83 | 0.00 | 3977.42 | 204.54 | 336.07 | 6677.39 | 540.61 |
| 1992 | 22.75 | 255.72 | 1619.31 | 0.00 | 2622.88 | 141.40 | 253.75 | 4520.66 | 395.15 |
| 1993 | 44.21 | 205.91 | 2662.81 | 0.00 | 1579.78 | 119.14 | 268.21 | 4492.71 | 387.36 |
| 1994 | 26.27 | 224.77 | 2389.20 | 0.00 | 1869.73 | 235.69 | 300.31 | 4509.97 | 536.00 |
| 1995 | 98.49 | 137.28 | 2131.71 | 6.91 | 1072.64 | 148.45 | 304.64 | 3447.03 | 453.09 |
| 1996 | 66.88 | 201.05 | 1750.23 | 30.26 | 1403.32 | 225.92 | 247.77 | 3451.74 | 473.69 |
| 1997 | 60.19 | 139.77 | 1689.89 | 96.38 | 1768.91 | 219.43 | 287.51 | 3755.14 | 506.94 |
| 1998 | 69.77 | 73.37 | 1664.24 | 30.99 | 1565.95 | 99.25 | 259.45 | 3404.31 | 358.70 |
| 1999 | 87.52 | 185.80 | 1215.59 | 29.33 | 2400.63 | 300.96 | 290.45 | 3918.87 | 591.41 |
| 2000 | 145.60 | 108.19 | 1165.20 | 164.17 | 3113.00 | 369.63 | 270.72 | 4696.15 | 640.35 |
| 2001 | 160.28 | 121.85 | 1014.81 | 401.46 | 2934.41 | 194.69 | 216.38 | 4632.82 | 411.06 |
| 2002 | 198.59 | 79.08 | 815.66 | 419.93 | 3351.70 | 360.66 | 237.46 | 4864.96 | 598.12 |
| 2003 | 180.68 | 61.99 | 697.47 | 839.64 | 3317.91 | 503.24 | 184.86 | 5097.68 | 688.11 |
| 2004 | 282.13 | 46.64 | 448.47 | 1035.30 | 1758.55 | 209.76 | 180.57 | 3571.09 | 390.32 |
| 2005 | 400.64 | 31.76 | 796.13 | 720.63 | 2359.33 | 308.26 | 195.44 | 4308.49 | 503.70 |
| 2006 | 336.64 | 28.13 | 1033.50 | 702.54 | 1523.89 | 129.57 | 133.24 | 3624.70 | 262.82 |
| 2007 | 369.14 | 33.44 | 1095.14 | 577.59 | 2469.54 | 325.08 | 109.39 | 4544.85 | 434.46 |
| 2008 | 415.91 | 131.35 | 694.74 | 321.72 | 2652.96 | 451.38 | 118.26 | 4216.68 | 569.64 |
| 2009 | 461.29 | 237.30 | 884.32 | 445.01 | 3278.89 | 343.04 | 69.97 | 5306.81 | 413.00 |
| 2010 | 562.27 | 89.66 | 797.50 | 806.49 | 3714.53 | 457.40 | 112.68 | 5970.46 | 570.08 |
| 2011 | 398.66 | 56.07 | 648.94 | 539.00 | 2777.68 | 294.60 | 116.99 | 4420.34 | 411.58 |
| 2012 | 496.34 | 34.76 | 847.97 | 425.19 | 2076.32 | 239.50 | 132.25 | 3880.59 | 371.75 |
| 2013 | 599.94 | 16.56 | 698.57 | 148.01 | 3884.27 | 544.81 | 94.58 | 5347.35 | 639.39 |
| 2014 | 782.93 | 22.88 | 599.27 | 240.39 | 2669.79 | 380.19 | 111.45 | 4315.26 | 491.64 |
| 2015 | 573.92 | 36.92 | 642.60 | 79.39 | 1499.61 | 213.29 | 126.19 | 2832.44 | 339.48 |
| 2016 | 668.95 | 50.89 | 722.46 | 314.35 | 3448.89 | 426.44 | 125.05 | 5205.55 | 551.49 |
| 2017 | 658.00 | 24.39 | 701.11 | 456.49 | 1787.55 | 298.65 | 113.89 | 3627.55 | 412.54 |
| 2018 | 747.54 | 23.53 | 871.03 | 317.09 | 2471.66 | 628.22 | 89.46 | 4430.85 | 717.69 |
| 2019 | 627.99 | 102.19 | 685.74 | 545.80 | 4009.68 | 862.39 | 119.06 | 5971.39 | 981.45 |
| 2020 | 612.61 | 50.51 | 918.60 | 291.61 | 6369.12 | 1058.02 | 117.52 | 8242.46 | 1175.55 |

Table 19. Estimated time series of landings in whole weight (1000 lb) for commercial handline (L.cH), commercial pound net (L.cP), commercial gill net (L.cG), commercial cast net (L.cC), general recreational (L.GR), general recreational discards (D.GR) and shrimp bycatch (D.SB), total landings and total discards.

| Year | L.cH | L.cP | L.cG | L.cC | L.GR | D.GR | D.SB.D | Total.L | Total.D |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1986 | 172.94 | 444.76 | 8996.42 | 0.00 | 4948.29 | 139.81 | 346.09 | 14562.40 | 485.90 |
| 1987 | 234.80 | 1037.53 | 8003.11 | 0.00 | 5050.32 | 11.99 | 244.64 | 14325.76 | 256.62 |
| 1988 | 143.00 | 886.76 | 7246.80 | 0.00 | 8952.84 | 28.63 | 288.59 | 17229.40 | 317.21 |
| 1989 | 87.45 | 1122.29 | 7015.58 | 0.00 | 8399.19 | 192.84 | 363.27 | 16624.51 | 556.10 |
| 1990 | 246.60 | 1123.06 | 5943.68 | 0.00 | 8612.47 | 189.30 | 273.92 | 15925.82 | 463.22 |
| 1991 | 317.49 | 1032.19 | 8362.47 | 0.00 | 13357.78 | 241.77 | 347.74 | 23069.93 | 589.52 |
| 1992 | 110.76 | 874.51 | 5919.04 | 0.00 | 8983.65 | 176.19 | 272.94 | 15887.96 | 449.13 |
| 1993 | 218.42 | 723.76 | 9721.69 | 0.00 | 5467.64 | 124.25 | 254.83 | 16131.51 | 379.08 |
| 1994 | 128.41 | 726.57 | 8159.82 | 0.00 | 5995.10 | 269.98 | 303.90 | 15009.90 | 573.88 |
| 1995 | 462.18 | 438.78 | 7131.85 | 33.99 | 3394.93 | 169.04 | 306.99 | 11461.73 | 476.04 |
| 1996 | 307.42 | 649.03 | 5906.66 | 145.34 | 4470.74 | 253.95 | 247.47 | 11479.19 | 501.42 |
| 1997 | 279.94 | 456.77 | 5895.00 | 463.38 | 5778.24 | 283.15 | 317.63 | 12873.34 | 600.78 |
| 1998 | 328.55 | 254.58 | 5930.33 | 150.62 | 5293.21 | 100.11 | 241.32 | 11957.28 | 341.43 |
| 1999 | 414.60 | 597.95 | 4155.13 | 146.35 | 7639.74 | 351.43 | 297.93 | 12953.78 | 649.36 |
| 2000 | 686.79 | 356.76 | 4106.73 | 796.50 | 10285.53 | 484.28 | 302.65 | 16232.31 | 786.93 |
| 2001 | 769.02 | 432.38 | 3749.34 | 1964.53 | 10294.29 | 208.30 | 209.04 | 17209.55 | 417.34 |
| 2002 | 967.09 | 267.35 | 2902.54 | 2130.53 | 11156.30 | 393.17 | 232.28 | 17423.80 | 625.44 |
| 2003 | 861.87 | 199.92 | 2407.04 | 4171.35 | 10698.26 | 642.94 | 202.66 | 18338.43 | 845.61 |
| 2004 | 1302.40 | 156.72 | 1565.03 | 4934.77 | 5811.21 | 225.09 | 174.79 | 13770.13 | 399.87 |
| 2005 | 1855.04 | 103.68 | 2768.70 | 3471.85 | 7648.83 | 376.75 | 207.22 | 15848.09 | 583.97 |
| 2006 | 1560.11 | 94.64 | 3642.15 | 3363.59 | 5050.75 | 143.33 | 131.63 | 13711.24 | 274.96 |
| 2007 | 1710.53 | 110.34 | 3786.82 | 2797.40 | 7989.42 | 355.39 | 107.20 | 16394.50 | 462.59 |
| 2008 | 1917.57 | 424.09 | 2380.98 | 1548.93 | 8486.50 | 541.26 | 123.64 | 14758.07 | 664.90 |
| 2009 | 2155.50 | 800.48 | 3174.87 | 2130.70 | 11040.81 | 429.28 | 75.50 | 19302.35 | 504.78 |
| 2010 | 2707.29 | 317.82 | 2969.28 | 3965.20 | 13044.09 | 505.46 | 111.23 | 23003.68 | 616.70 |
| 2011 | 1965.91 | 192.87 | 2392.67 | 2733.18 | 9546.84 | 358.76 | 123.70 | 16831.46 | 482.47 |
| 2012 | 2466.91 | 121.87 | 3158.17 | 2155.23 | 7285.70 | 283.99 | 137.15 | 15187.89 | 421.13 |
| 2013 | 2996.30 | 58.56 | 2573.44 | 759.67 | 13547.06 | 572.36 | 90.29 | 19935.03 | 662.65 |
| 2014 | 3855.68 | 74.72 | 2076.45 | 1240.31 | 8669.58 | 441.10 | 113.80 | 15916.73 | 554.90 |
| 2015 | 2697.36 | 120.17 | 2166.49 | 391.05 | 4789.03 | 227.52 | 121.67 | 10164.11 | 349.19 |
| 2016 | 3090.02 | 162.41 | 2443.43 | 1519.37 | 10936.52 | 517.92 | 131.97 | 18151.75 | 649.88 |
| 2017 | 3040.28 | 81.34 | 2463.22 | 2173.46 | 5913.39 | 347.87 | 116.61 | 13671.70 | 464.48 |
| 2018 | 3528.58 | 80.58 | 3134.04 | 1543.05 | 8350.70 | 692.70 | 88.19 | 16636.95 | 780.89 |
| 2019 | 3047.24 | 346.82 | 2506.72 | 2719.72 | 13645.48 | 1126.15 | 132.76 | 22265.97 | 1258.91 |
| 2020 | 3031.76 | 182.15 | 3459.57 | 1468.65 | 22769.95 | 1134.22 | 113.69 | 30912.08 | 1247.91 |

Table 20. Estimated total discards at age in numbers (1000 fish).

| Year | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1986 | 316.49 | 76.91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1987 | 236.17 | 20.78 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1988 | 297.27 | 24.15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1989 | 448.08 | 63.34 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1990 | 386.40 | 48.98 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1991 | 472.83 | 67.78 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1992 | 336.76 | 58.38 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1993 | 359.80 | 27.55 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1994 | 473.95 | 62.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1995 | 405.04 | 48.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1996 | 421.64 | 52.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1997 | 420.12 | 86.82 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1998 | 337.84 | 20.86 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1999 | 515.11 | 76.30 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2000 | 517.09 | 123.26 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2001 | 374.52 | 36.55 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2002 | 536.13 | 61.99 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2003 | 555.66 | 132.45 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2004 | 353.88 | 36.44 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2005 | 423.73 | 79.97 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2006 | 235.51 | 27.30 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2007 | 385.42 | 49.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2008 | 477.02 | 92.61 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2009 | 334.84 | 78.16 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2010 | 501.01 | 69.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2011 | 343.67 | 67.91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2012 | 317.51 | 54.24 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2013 | 576.01 | 63.39 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2014 | 420.90 | 70.74 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2015 | 307.11 | 32.37 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2016 | 458.83 | 92.65 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2017 | 353.73 | 58.81 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2018 | 628.55 | 89.14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2019 | 766.92 | 214.52 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2020 | 1044.65 | 130.89 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

Table 21. Estimated total discards at age in whole weight (1000 lb).

| Year | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1986 | 263.30 | 222.60 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1987 | 196.48 | 60.14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1988 | 247.31 | 69.91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1989 | 372.77 | 183.34 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1990 | 321.45 | 141.77 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1991 | 393.36 | 196.16 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1992 | 280.16 | 168.97 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1993 | 299.33 | 79.75 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1994 | 394.29 | 179.60 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1995 | 336.96 | 139.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1996 | 350.77 | 150.65 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1997 | 349.51 | 251.27 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1998 | 281.05 | 60.37 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1999 | 428.53 | 220.82 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2000 | 430.18 | 356.75 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2001 | 311.57 | 105.77 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2002 | 446.02 | 179.42 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2003 | 462.26 | 383.34 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2004 | 294.40 | 105.47 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2005 | 352.51 | 231.46 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2006 | 195.93 | 79.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2007 | 320.64 | 141.95 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2008 | 396.85 | 268.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2009 | 278.56 | 226.22 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2010 | 416.80 | 199.90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2011 | 285.91 | 196.56 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2012 | 264.14 | 156.99 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2013 | 479.19 | 183.46 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2014 | 350.16 | 204.75 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2015 | 255.49 | 93.70 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2016 | 381.71 | 268.17 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2017 | 294.28 | 170.20 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2018 | 522.91 | 257.98 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2019 | 638.02 | 620.89 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2020 | 869.07 | 378.84 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

Table 22. Estimated status indicators, benchmarks, and related quantities from the base run of the Beaufort catchage model, conditional on estimated current selectivities averaged across fleets. Also presented are median values and measures of precision (standard errors, SE) from the Monte Carlo/Bootstrap ensemble (MCBE) analysis. Rate estimates $(F)$ are in units of $\mathrm{y}^{-1}$; status indicators are dimensionless; and biomass estimates are in units of metric tons or pounds, as indicated. Spawning stock biomass (SSB) is measured as total mature female biomass. The definitions of MSST in this assessment is MSST $=75 \% S S B M S Y$.

| Quantity | Units | Estimate | Median | SE |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $F_{\text {MSY }}$ | $\mathrm{y}^{-1}$ | 0.516 | 0.523 | 0.111 |
| $75 \% F_{\text {MSY }}$ | $\mathrm{y}^{-1}$ | 0.387 | 0.392 | 0.083 |
| $F_{30 \%}$ | $\mathrm{y}^{-1}$ | 0.608 | 0.615 | 0.059 |
| $F_{40 \%}$ | $\mathrm{y}^{-1}$ | 0.410 | 0.414 | 0.038 |
| $B_{\text {MSY }}$ | metric tons | 19588 | 19821 | 2232 |
| SSB $_{\text {MSY }}$ | metric tons | 6406 | 6410 | 1122 |
| MSST $^{\text {MSY }}$ | metric tons | 4804 | 4808 | 842 |
| $R_{\text {MSY }}$ | 1000 lb whole | 8210 | 8351 | 411 |
| $L_{85 \%} F m s y$ | thousands | 22792 | 23392 | 3015 |
| $L_{75 \%} F m s y$ | 1000 lb whole | 8149 | 8287 | 410 |
| $L_{65 \%} F m s y$ | 1000 lb whole | 8024 | 8158 | 408 |
| $F[2018-2020]$ | 1000 lb whole | 7807 | 7932 | 407 |
| $F_{2018-2020} / F_{\text {MSY }}$ | - | 0.40 | 0.39 | 0.05 |
| SSB $_{2020} /$ MSST $^{-1}$ | - | 0.77 | 0.74 | 0.21 |
| SSB $_{2020} /$ SSB $_{\text {MSY }}$ | - | 1.40 | 1.42 | 0.34 |

Table 23. Results from sensitivity runs of the Beaufort Assessment Model. Current F represented by geometric mean of last three assessment
years. Spawning stock was based on total (population) fecundity of mature females. Runs should not all be considered equally plausible.

Run

Base
Bescription

| Table 24. Projection results with fishing mortality rate fixed at $F=F_{\text {current }}$ starting in 2023. Interim period (2021-2022) assumed consta landings based on the average of the last 3 years of the assessment. $F=$ fishing mortality rate (per year), pr.rebuild $=$ proportion of stoch projection replicates with $\mathrm{SSB} \geq \mathrm{SSB}_{\mathrm{MSY}}, S=$ spawning stock ( $m t$ ) at peak spawning time, $R m=$ total removals (landings and dead discard expressed in numbers (1000s) or whole weight (lb). Total removals presented here would need reduction if values are used to develop quotas bas only on landings. The extension base indicates expected values (deterministic) from the base run; the extension med indicates median values the stochastic projections. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | R.b | R.med | F.b | F.med | S.b(mt) | S.med(mt) | L.b(n) | L.med(n) | L.b(w) | L.med(w) | D.b(n) | D.med(n) | D.b(w) | D.med(w) | pr.reb |
| 2021 | 21287 | 21728 | 0.85 | 0.81 | 4761 | 4928 | 6575 | 6471 | 10556 | 10450 | 1777 | 1518 | 842 | 745 | 0.193 |
| 2022 | 20531 | 17043 | 1.10 | 1.03 | 4164 | 4383 | 7342 | 7198 | 10556 | 10441 | 2069 | 1725 | 1016 | 885 | 0.124 |
| 2023 | 18993 | 14749 | 0.40 | 0.39 | 3239 | 3259 | 2843 | 2557 | 3907 | 3732 | 741 | 557 | 375 | 296 | 0.113 |
| 2024 | 21667 | 17148 | 0.40 | 0.39 | 5109 | 4770 | 3459 | 3010 | 4930 | 4456 | 836 | 633 | 416 | 326 | 0.294 |
| 2025 | 22519 | 18049 | 0.40 | 0.39 | 6048 | 5567 | 4012 | 3470 | 5885 | 5225 | 880 | 676 | 447 | 353 | 0.403 |



| Table landin projec expres only o the stoch | 6. Pro s based on repl ed in n landin hastic | ction re n the a ates with bers (1 The ojection |  | th fish of the $\geq$ SSB r whole n base | mortali <br> 3 years <br> Y, $S=$ <br> eight (ll <br> dicates e | y rate fixed of the asse pawning st ). Total rem pected value | at $F=$ ment. <br> $k$ ( $m t$ ) vals pr (deter | $75 \% F_{\mathrm{MSY}}$ <br> $=$ fishing <br> at peak spa sented here inistic) fro | tarting mortality wning tim would $n$ n the ba | 2023. In rate (per $e, R m=$ ed reductio e run; the | rim per ear), pr tal remo if value tension | (2021-2 ebuild $=p$ vals (landi are used med indica | 22) ass oportion gs and develop es media | ned constant of stochasti ad discards quotas base values from | N0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | R.b | R.med | F.b | F.med | S.b(mt) | S.med(mt) | L.b(n) | L.med(n) | L.b(w) | L.med(w) | D.b(n) | D.med(n) | D.b(w) | D.med(w) | pr.reb |
| 2021 | 21287 | 21728 | 0.85 | 0.81 | 4761 | 4928 | 6575 | 6471 | 10556 | 10450 | 1777 | 1518 | 842 | 745 | 0.193 |
| 2022 | 20531 | 17043 | 1.10 | 1.03 | 4164 | 4383 | 7342 | 7198 | 10556 | 10441 | 2069 | 1725 | 1016 | 885 | 0.124 |
| 2023 | 18993 | 14749 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 3239 | 3259 | 2784 | 2667 | 3827 | 3850 | 725 | 582 | 367 | 307 | 0.113 |
| 2024 | 21708 | 17212 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 5149 | 4655 | 3401 | 3117 | 4853 | 4597 | 819 | 661 | 408 | 340 | 0.260 |
| 2025 | 22573 | 18160 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 6116 | 5374 | 3957 | 3573 | 5815 | 5342 | 863 | 704 | 438 | 368 | 0.360 |

### 4.19 Figures

Figure 1. Mean length at age (mm) of the population (purple, solid), females (green, dashed) and the fished population (yellow, dotted).


Figure 2. Observed (open circles) and estimated (solid line) annual age compositions by fleet. In panel definition of series; acomp refers to age compositions, cH to commercial handline, cP to pound nets, $c G$ to gill nets, cC to cast nets, and $G R$ to recreationl.


Figure 2. (cont.) Observed (open circles) and estimated (solid line) annual age compositions by fleet.












Figure 2. (cont.) Observed (open circles) and estimated (solid line) annual age compositions by fleet.
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Figure 2. (cont.) Observed (open circles) and estimated (solid line) annual age compositions by fleet.











Figure 2. (cont.) Observed (open circles) and estimated (solid line) annual age compositions by fleet.









Figure 2. (cont.) Observed (open circles) and estimated (solid line) annual age compositions by fleet.


Figure 3. Top panel is a bubble plot of age composition residuals from commercial handline landings; blue represents overestimates and orange underestimates. Bottom panel shows correlation between predicted and observed values. The year is the approximate midpoint of the pooled annual compositions.


Figure 3. (cont.) Top panel is a bubble plot of age composition residuals from commercial pound net landings; blue represents overestimates and orange underestimates. Bottom panel shows correlation between predicted and observed values.


Figure 3. (cont.) Top panel is a bubble plot of age composition residuals from commercial gill net landings; blue represents overestimates and orange underestimates. Bottom panel shows correlation between predicted and observed values.


Figure 3. (cont.) Top panel is a bubble plot of age composition residuals from commercial cast net landings; blue represents overestimates and orange underestimates. Bottom panel shows correlation between predicted and observed values. The year is the approximate midpoint of the pooled annual compositions.


Figure 3. (cont.) Top panel is a bubble plot of age composition residuals from recreational landings; blue represents overestimates and orange underestimates. Bottom panel shows correlation between predicted and observed values.


Figure 4. Observed (open circles) and estimated (line, solid circles) commercial handline landings (1000 lb whole weight).


Figure 5. Observed (open circles) and estimated (line, solid circles) commercial pound net landings (1000 lb whole weight).


Figure 6. Observed (open circles) and estimated (line, solid circles) commercial gillnet landings (1000 lb whole weight).


Figure 7. Observed (open circles) and estimated (line, solid circles) commercial cast net landings (1000 lb whole weight).


Figure 8. Observed (open circles) and estimated (line, solid circles) recreational landings (1000 fish).


Figure 9. Observed (open circles) and estimated (line, solid circles) recreational discards (1000 fish).


Figure 10. Observed (open circles) and estimated (line, solid circles) discards from shrimp bycatch (1000 fish).


Figure 11. Top Panel: Observed (open circles) and estimated (line, solid circles) index of abundance from Florida commercial handline trip tickets. Bottom panel: Scaled residuals of estimated index of abundance. The model input CVs were modified from the input values by the SDNR weights.


Figure 12. Top Panel: Observed (open circles) and estimated (line, solid circles) index of abundance from MRIP harvested fish. Bottom panel: Scaled residuals of estimated index of abundance. The model input CVs were modified from the input values by the $S D N R$ weights.


Figure 13. Top Panel: Observed (open circles) and estimated (line, solid circles) index of abundance from SEAMAP YOY samples. Bottom panel: Scaled residuals of estimated index of abundance. The model input CVs were modified from the input values by the $S D N R$ weights.


Figure 14. Estimated abundance at age at start of year.


Figure 15. Top panel: Estimated recruitment of age-0 fish. Horizontal dashed line indicates $R_{\text {MSy }}$. Bottom panel: log recruitment residuals.



Figure 16. Estimated biomass at age at start of year.


Figure 17. Selectivity of commercial handline fleet for all years in the model. Year indicates start year of the model.


Figure 18. Selectivity of commercial pound net fleet for all years in the model. Year indicates start year of the model.


Figure 19. Selectivity of commercial gillnet fleet for all years in the model. Year indicates start year of the model.


Figure 20. Selectivities of commercial cast net fleet for all years in the model. Year indicates start year of the model.


Figure 21. Selectivities of general recreational fishery for all years in the model. Year indicates start year of the model.


Figure 22. Selectivities of recreational discard for all years in the model. Year indicates start year of the model.


Figure 23. Selectivities of shrimp fishery discard for all years in the model. Year indicates start year of the model.


Figure 24. Average selectivity from the terminal assessment year weighted by geometric mean Fs from the last three assessment years for landings (top panel) and discards (bottom panel), and used in computation of benchmarks and central-tendency projections.



Figure 25. Estimated fully selected fishing mortality rate (per year) by fishery. cH refers to commercial handline, $c P$ to commercial pound net, $c G$ to commercial gill net, $c C$ to commercial cast net, $G R$ for recreational, GR.D for recreational discards, and SB.D for shrimp bycatch. Full $F$, the maximum $F$ at age summed across fleets, may not equal the sum of fully selected $F$ 's because of dome-shaped selectivities.


Figure 26. Alternative measures of fishing intensity. Top panel shows equilibrium $S P R$ conditional on annual $F$, with a reference line at equilibrium MSY. Bottom panel shows exploitation rate ( $E$ ) computed as number killed divided total abundance (thick black curve), which can be divided into its components of landings (thin green curve) and dead discards (thin blue curve).


Figure 27. Estimated landings in numbers by fishery from the catch-age model. cH refers to commercial handline, $c P$ to commercial pound net, $c G$ to commercial gill net, $c C$ to commercial cast net, and $G R$ for recreational.


|  |
| :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Figure 28. Estimated landings in whole weight by fishery from the catch-age model. cH refers to commercial handline, $c P$ to commercial pound net, $c G$ to commercial gill net, $c C$ to commercial cast net, and $G R$ for recreational. Horizontal dashed line in the top panel corresponds to the point estimate of MSY.


Figure 29. Estimated discards in numbers by fishery from the catch-age model. $S B$ refers to shrimp bycatch, and $G R$ for recreational.


Figure 30. Estimated discards in whole weight by fishery from the catch-age model. $S B$ refers to shrimp bycatch, and $G R$ for recreational.


Figure 31. Top panel: Beverton-Holt spawner-recruit curves, with and without lognormal bias correction. The expected (upper) curve was used for computing management benchmarks. Years within panel indicate year of recruitment generated from spawning biomass one year prior. Bottom panel: log of recruits (number age-0 fish) per spawner (mature female gonad weight) as a function of spawners.


Figure 32. Probability densities of spawner-recruit quantities: Mean recruits (R0, age-0 fish), median recruits, and unfished spawners per recruit. Solid vertical lines represent point estimates or values from the base run of the Beaufort Assessment Model; dashed vertical lines represent medians from the MCBE runs.


Figure 33. Top panel: yield per recruit. Bottom panel: spawning potential ratio (spawning biomass per recruit relative to that at the unfished level), from which the y\% levels provide $F_{y \%}$. Current $F$ ( $F$ cur) is the geometric mean full $F$ from the last 3 years of the assessment. Both curves are based on average selectivity from the end of the assessment period.



Fishing mortality rate (full F)

Figure 34. Top panel: equilibrium landings. The peak occurs where fishing rate is $F_{\mathrm{MSY}}=0.52$ and equilibrium landings are MSY $=8210.19$ (1000 lb). Bottom panel: equilibrium spawning biomass. Both curves are based on average selectivity from the end of the assessment period.



Fishing mortality rate

Figure 35. Equilibrium landings as a function of equilibrium biomass, which itself is a function of fishing mortality rate. The peak occurs where equilibrium biomass is $B_{\mathrm{MSY}}=19588.3 \mathrm{mt}$ and equilibrium landings are MSY $=8210.19$ (1000 lb).


 Solid vertical line represent point estimates from the base run and the dashed vertical line represent the median of the $M C B$ distribution.


Figure 37. Estimated time series relative to benchmarks. Solid line indicates estimates from base run of the Beaufort Assessment Model; dashed lines indicate the median of the MCB trials; gray error bands indicate $5^{\text {th }}$ and $95^{\text {th }}$ percentiles of the MCB trials. Top panel: spawning biomass relative to the spawning stock biomass at MSY. Bottom panel: $F$ relative to $F_{\mathrm{MSY}}$.



Figure 38. Phase plot of terminal status estimates from MCB analysis of the Beaufort Assessment Model. The intersection of crosshairs indicates estimates from the base run; lengths of crosshairs defined by $5^{\text {th }}$ and $95^{\text {th }}$ percentiles.


Figure 39. Phase plot of terminal status estimates from MCB analysis of the Beaufort Assessment Model. The intersection of crosshairs indicates estimates from the base run; lengths of crosshairs defined by $5^{\text {th }}$ and $95^{\text {th }}$ percentiles.


Figure 40. Probability densities of terminal status estimates from MCB analysis of the Beaufort Assessment Model. Solid vertical lines represent point estimates from the base run and dashed vertical lines indicated the median of MCB trials.



Figure 41. Comparison between SEDAR-28 and SEDAR-78 status indicators. Top panel: Apical $F$ relative to $F_{\text {MSy }}$. Bottom panel: spawning biomass relative to MSST.


Figure 42. Spanish mackerel: Sensitivity of results to dropping the commercial handline (cH) index. (sensitivity run S1). Top panel - Ratio of $F$ to $F_{\mathrm{MSY}}$. Bottom panel - Ratio of SSB to $\mathrm{SSB}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$.



Figure 43. Spanish mackerel: Sensitivity of results to estimates of natural mortality M. (sensitivity runs S2 and S3). Top panel - Ratio of $F$ to $F_{\mathrm{MSY}}$. Bottom panel - Ratio of SSB to $\mathrm{SSB}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$.



Figure 44. Spanish mackerel: Sensitivity of results to fixed values of steepness (sensitivity runs S4 and S5). Top panel - Ratio of $F$ to $F_{\mathrm{MSY}}$. Bottom panel - Ratio of SSB to $\mathrm{SSB}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$.



Figure 45. Spanish mackerel: Sensitivity of results to fixed values of general recreational (GR) discard mortality rate. (sensitivity runs $S 6$ and S7). Top panel - Ratio of $F$ to $F_{\mathrm{MSY}}$. Bottom panel - Ratio of SSB to $\mathrm{SSB}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$.



Figure 46. Retrospective analyses. Sensitivity to terminal year of data (sensitivity runs Retro 1-5). Top Panel: Fishing mortality rate, where solid circles show geometric mean of terminal three years, as used to compute fishing status. Middle Panel: Recruitment time series. Bottom Panel: Spawning stock biomass time series.


Figure 47. Retrospective analyses. Sensitivity to terminal year of data (sensitivity runs Retro 1-5). Top panel:Relative fishing mortality rate time series. Bottom panel: Relative spawning stock biomass time series.



Figure 48. Projection results under scenario $1-F=F_{\text {current. }}$. Interim years (2021-2022) assume current landings based on average of the last 3 years of the assessment. Expected values (base run) represented by solid lines with solid circles, medians represented dashed lines with open circles, and uncertainty represented by thin lines corresponding to $5^{\text {th }}$ and $95^{\text {th }}$ percentiles of replicate projections. Horizontal lines mark MSY-related quantities. Spawning stock (SSB) is at time of peak spawning.

Projection: Fishing mortality rate


Projection: Spawning stock (peak spawn)



Figure 49. Projection results under scenario 2—fishing mortality rate fixed at $F=F_{\mathrm{MSY}}$. Interim years (2021-2022) assume current landings based on average of the last 3 years of the assessment. Expected values (base run) represented by solid lines with solid circles, medians represented dashed lines with open circles, and uncertainty represented by thin lines corresponding to $5^{\text {th }}$ and $95^{\text {th }}$ percentiles of replicate projections. Horizontal lines mark MSY-related quantities. Spawning stock (SSB) is at time of peak spawning.

Projection: Fishing mortality rate


Projection: Spawning stock (peak spawn)



Figure 50. Projection results under scenario 3-fishing mortality rate fixed at $F=75 \% F_{\mathrm{MSY}}$. Interim years (20212022) assume current landings based on average of the last 3 years of the assessment. Expected values (base run) represented by solid lines with solid circles, medians represented dashed lines with open circles, and uncertainty represented by thin lines corresponding to $5^{\text {th }}$ and $95^{\text {th }}$ percentiles of replicate projections. Horizontal lines mark MSY-related quantities. Spawning stock (SSB) is at time of peak spawning.

Projection: Fishing mortality rate


Projection: Spawning stock (peak spawn)



## Appendix A Abbreviations and symbols

Table 27. Acronyms and abbreviations used in this report

| Symbol | Meaning |
| :---: | :---: |
| ABC | Acceptable Biological Catch |
| AW | Assessment Workshop (here, for Spanish mackerel) |
| ASY | Average Sustainable Yield |
| $B$ | Total biomass of stock, conventionally on January 1r |
| BAM | Beaufort Assessment Model (a statistical catch-age formulation) |
| cC | Commercial cast net fleet |
| cG | Commercial gillnet fleet |
| cH | Commercial handline fleet |
| cP | Commercial pound net fleet |
| CPUE | Catch per unit effort; used after adjustment as an index of abundance |
| CV | Coefficient of variation |
| DW | Data Workshop (here, for Spanish mackerel) |
| $F$ | Instantaneous rate of fishing mortality |
| $F_{\text {MSY }}$ | Fishing mortality rate at which MSY can be attained |
| FL | Fork length |
| GLM | Generalized linear model |
| GR | General recreational fleet (all MRIP modes and headboat) |
| K | Average size of stock when not exploited by man; carrying capacity |
| kg | Kilogram(s); 1 kg is about 2.2 lb . |
| klb | Thousand pounds; thousands of pounds |
| lb | Pound(s); 1 lb is about 0.454 kg |
| m | Meter(s); 1 m is about 3.28 feet. |
| M | Instantaneous rate of natural (non-fishing) mortality |
| MCBE | Monte Carlo/Boostrap Ensemble, an approach to quantifying uncertainty in model results |
| MFMT | Maximum fishing-mortality threshold; a limit reference point used in U.S. fishery management; often based on $F_{\text {MSY }}$ |
| mm | Millimeter(s); 1 inch $=25.4 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| MRFSS | Marine Recreational Fisheries Statistics Survey, a data-collection program of NMFS, predecessor of MRIP |
| MRIP | Marine Recreational Information Program, a data-collection program of NMFS, descended from MRFSS |
| MSST | Minimum stock-size threshold; a limit reference point used in U.S. fishery management. The SAFMC has defined MSST for Spanish mackerel as $75 \% \mathrm{SSB}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$. |
| MSY | Maximum sustainable yield (per year) |
| mt | Metric ton(s). One mt is 1000 kg , or about 2205 lb . |
| $N$ | Number of fish in a stock, conventionally on January 1 |
| NC | State of North Carolina |
| NMFS | National Marine Fisheries Service, same as "NOAA Fisheries Service" |
| NOAA | National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration; parent agency of NMFS |
| OY | Optimum yield; SFA specifies that OY $\leq$ MSY |
| PSE | Proportional standard error |
| $R$ | Recruitment |
| SAFMC | South Atlantic Fishery Management Council (also, Council) |
| SC | State of South Carolina |
| SCDNR | Department of Natural Resources of SC |
| SDNR | Standard deviation of normalized residuals |
| SEDAR | SouthEast Data Assessment and Review process |
| SFA | Sustainable Fisheries Act; the Magnuson-Stevens Act, as amended |
| SL | Standard length (of a fish) |
| SPR | Spawning potential ratio |
| SSB | Spawning stock biomass; mature biomass of males and females |
| $\mathrm{SSB}_{\text {MSY }}$ | Level of SSB at which MSY can be attained |
| TIP | Trip Interview Program, a fishery-dependent biodata collection program of NMFS |
| TL | Total length (of a fish), as opposed to FL (fork length) or SL (standard length) |
| VPA | Virtual population analysis, an age-structured assessment |
| WW | Whole weight, as opposed to GW (gutted weight) |
| YOY | Young of the year index developed from SEAMAP Coastal Trawl Survey |
| yr | Year(s) |

## Appendix B Parameter estimates from the Beaufort Assessment Model

## \# Number of paramers $=310$ Objective function value $=2973.77904752711$ Maximum gradient component $=0.000879228531802875$ <br> \# Linf:

582.500000000
\# K:
0.598000000000
\# to:
-0.500000000000
\# len_cv_val:
0.120000000000
\# Linf_L:
680.400000000
\# K_L:
0.197000000000
\# to_L:
-2.77000000000
\# len_cv_val_L
-.1200
\# Linf_f:
610.10000000
\# K_f:
0.620000000000
\# to_f:
-0.500000000000
\# len_cv_val_f:
0.120000000000
\# log_Nage_dev:
$0.721044526056-0.110720190214-0.378695642073-0.205830278289-0.170537940725-0.0143846309871-0.00817447823725-0.00507612228893-0.00335125397867-$ 0.00562194911400
\# log_RO:
16.903782342
\# steep:
0.750000000000
\# rec_sigma:
\# rec_sigma:
\# R_autocorr:
\# log_rec_dev:
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}-0.00865809003187 & 0.0291714769012 & 0.259564750534 & 0.0984919110203 & 0.0911762777692 & -0.0743548899332 & -0.424271401592 & 0.0283279495895 & -0.00276351040706\end{array}$
$0.007434507397330 .0843884860589-0.3788220300890 .2870797912660 .205578507604-0.316200835935-0.0008566800581750 .226766295547-0.213472035205$
$0.120534518918-0.117264753350 \quad 0.07745842944810 .3193009402060 .151152100071-0.190832446791-0.0139316912979-0.245812192405-0.3537121133200 .0399669977688$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}-0.0384604000077 & 0.311324618744 & 0.0612312440525 & 0.0302147722828 & 0.245941233356 & -0.255148909990 & -0.0405428281204\end{array}$
\# log_dm_cH_ac:
0.616417221901
\# log_dm_cG_ac
3.13136906789
\# log_dm_cP_ac
2.72105272183
\# log_dm_cC_ac
0.863234858634
\# log_dm_GR_ac:
\# log_dm_GR_ac
\# selpar_A50_ch1
2. 31133913893
\# selpar_slope_cH1:
1.90059331861
. selpar_A50_cG1
1.05395387063
\# selpar_slope_cG1:
2.59234728990
2.59234728990
\# selpar_A502_cG1:
5.09439416195
\# selpar_slope2_cG1:
0.651526163974
\# selpar_szero_cP1:
-3.56604220457
\# selpar_Afull_cP1:
1.00000000000
\# selpar_sigma_cP1:
\# selpar_sigma
6.95993417226
\#.95993417226
\# selpar_A50_-
\# selpar_slope_cC1:
\# selpar_slope_
3.02430762852
\# selpar_szero_C

- 2.38388295999
\# ${ }^{\text {-2.38388295999 }}$ selpar_Afull_GR1:
1.00000000000
\# selpar_sigma_GR1:
10.8603118299
\# log_q_cH:
-9. 20278871724
\# log_q_GR:
-16.4734884449
\# log_q_yOY:
$-16.8794517784$
\# q_RW_log_dev_cH:
0.000000000000 .000000000000 .000000000000 .000000000000 .000000000000 .000000000000 .000000000000 .000000000000 .000000000000 .000000000000 .00000000000 0.000000000000 .000000000000 .000000000000 .000000000000 .000000000000 .000000000000 .000000000000 .000000000000 .000000000000 .000000000000 .00000000000
0.000000000000 .000000000000 .000000000000 .000000000000 .000000000000 .000000000000 .000000000000 .000000000000 .000000000000 .000000000000 .00000000000
0.00000000000
\# q_RW_log_dev_GR
0.000000000000 .000000000000 .000000000000 .000000000000 .000000000000 .000000000000 .000000000000 .000000000000 .000000000000 .000000000000 .00000000000
0.000000000000 .000000000000 .000000000000 .000000000000 .000000000000 .000000000000 .000000000000 .000000000000 .000000000000 .000000000000 .00000000000
0.000000000000 .000000000000 .000000000000 .000000000000 .000000000000 .000000000000 .000000000000 .000000000000 .000000000000 .000000000000 .00000000000
0.00000000000
\# M_constant:
0.350000000000
\# log_avg_F_cH
-3.25353281733
\# log_F_dev_cH
$-0.989767128558-1.06354182479-1.77971475555-2.29855555584-1.31339313645-1.04648442641-1.99538769584-1.20608596824-1.57603216000-0.256652903454$
$-0.773849512885-0.975754357605-0.895806835335-0.719737649762-0.278521273967-0.1992746074750 .105975420020 \quad 0.1109099131180 .5446564937360 .855079192784$

$\begin{array}{lllllllll}1.31336156275 & 1.16792219245 & 1.17261835781 & 1.00524958721 & 1.17130645576\end{array}$
\# log_avg_F_cG:
-2. 20315112118
\# log_F_dev_cG:
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}0.945846321843 & 0.614899245944 & 0.516864570805 & 0.460835547062 & 0.260351133232 & 0.674086135818 & 0.469883414810 & 1.13017244035 & 1.04961058579 & 0.854635228434\end{array}$
$0.5474889214830 .4584184561120 .4568174470250 .0141966049119-0.100614022982-0.121564482772-0.280002259119-0.458013179595-0.884508140192-0.348619945221$
$-0.110568909399-0.118468620458-0.702268230072-0.485179257363-0.439640853711-0.525982421966-0.178913691374-0.244733759126-0.394255993274$
$-0.497921952432-0.558085635417-0.657402104734-0.486841447261-0.707390810147-0.153130336999$
\# log_avg_F_cP
-4.95469365339
 $0.454474886078-0.03932701645200 .613618267125-0.01665489997430 .369765944888-0.0707619700597-0.416244741886-0.571324503409-1.07745510660-1.15384522798$
$-1.067538810200 .121413245719 \quad 0.728598138859-0.0315470724435-0.452569052196-0.818828271694-1.39130044472-1.17466665517-0.812115320171-0.736026445238$
$-1.47604277630-1.52989362282-0.106708737971-0.442118427497$
\# log_avg_F_cC
2.99017317933
\# $\log _{-}$F_dev_cC:
$-3.17207462112-1.84772752390-0.801645546235-2.01430229865-2.06899885985-0.4509986920630 .3902922557400 .5766875270591 .383695256831 .53972049494$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllll}1.15150715377 & 1.07851506501 & 0.845153219692 & 0.187823628744 & 0.373618639996 & 1.00063686522 & 0.756531853588 & 0.585259692070 & -0.357573105301 & 0.298743790186\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllll}-0.919602561587 & 0.285082490450 & 0.496290058620 & 0.00587857441665 & 0.565823500209 & 0.111663142169\end{array}$
\# log_avg_F_GR:
-1.75166100459
\# log_F_dev_GR:
$-0.521561863948-0.4939767219940 .0659331500494-0.0683762975068-0.04882963918920 .4575325464180 .201700698379-0.107623854518-0.0117851998593$
$-0.626826722596-0.448304485958-0.273735529401-0.298937385026-0.1189143664480 .1117361179210 .2544435137850 .3676276248550 .292059990234-0.240328294634$
$-0.0456774712490-0.453691859610-0.0675269305795-0.1526720184350 .08637185997590 .4014309234110 .169597059723-0.01133500338230 .751370017276$
$0.289561502603-0.4198936776960 .201681712723-0.461524257693-0.1697746430470 .2948570124341 .09539249298$
\# log_avg_F_GR_D:
$-4.24134871870$
\# log_F_dev_GR_D: $^{2}$
$\begin{array}{lllllllll}-0.909339342478 & -3.10238748529 & -2.42027369009 & -0.504387548921 & -0.459751182385 & -0.0771863042854 & -0.111601992191 & -0.577308873302 & 0.0902941088002\end{array}$
$-0.398119102519-0.07198114597200 .249684850194-1.044996317920 .02941143831250 .662666416546-0.1381619524010 .2550550509970 .917902110163-0.174298611039$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllll}0.355051739618 & -0.648577990851 & 0.0299420045855 & 0.441850783971 & 0.471326601580 & 0.697762872342 & 0.437493705108 & 0.373292599054 & 0.922392565273 & 0.572066115831\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllll}-0.339390208524 & 0.486359797023 & 0.172015612123 & 0.733097580474 & 1.44345230452 & 1.63664349164\end{array}$
\# log_avg_F_SB_D
-4.41885902934
\# log_F_dev_SB_D:
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}0.483256713898 & 0.273345302567 & 0.236137438148 & 0.525913036036 & 0.285740880937 & 0.679446836986 & 0.749079277502 & 0.460583623773 & 0.583725376560 & 0.570194843079\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllll}0.266275849831 & 0.806973563402 & 0.134248494258 & 0.250424332840 & 0.643307972386 & 0.200352755145 & 0.0748201997507 & 0.200265020569 & -0.0905045472839 & 0.169350014858\end{array}$
$-0.379057143616-0.820779106061-0.633301718105-0.841234367678-0.461965577620-0.2171632648280 .0403529067424-0.600358892446-0.400317289917$
$-0.632159724408-0.472340557051-0.536201497722-0.975235503768-0.245682364194-0.327492884571$
\# F_init_mult:
0.595961359447


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Abbreviations and acronyms used in this report are defined in Appendix A

