South Atlantic U.S. scamp (Mycteroperca phenax) age and length composition from the recreational fisheries

# Fisheries Ecosystems Branch, National Marine Fisheries Service, Southeast Fisheries Science Center, Beaufort, NC 

## SEDAR68-DW-334

10 December 2020


This information is distributed solely for the purpose of pre-dissemination peer review. It does not represent and should not be construed to represent any agency determination or policy.

Please cite this document as:

Fisheries Ecosytems Branch, National Marine Fisheries Service, Southeast Fisheries Science Center, Beaufort, NC. 2020. Discards of scamp (Mycteroperca phenax) for the headboat fishery in the US Gulf of Mexico. SEDAR68-DW33. SEDAR, North Charleston, SC. 16 pp.

South Atlantic U.S. scamp (Mycteroperca phenax) age and length composition from the recreational fisheries

Fisheries Ecosystems Branch, National Marine Fisheries Service, Southeast Fisheries Science Center, 101 Pivers Island Rd, Beaufort, NC 28516<br>October 8, 2019

## 1 Introduction

The SEDAR 68 data workshop developed raw length and age compositions for each of the recreational fisheries where sufficient data were available. The fishery-dependent data collection for lengths and ages may be biased due to sampling protocols, state-specific sampling effort, or other non-random methods. The selection of fish from which to collect ageing structures may be biased, typically towards larger fish, because the selection process is rarely formally randomized. One technique to overcome bias in the length sampling is to weight samples by the associated landings at a spatial and temporal scale at which the bias is expected. Usually this is unknown and samples are weighted at the finest scale available without losing data (e.g. length samples with no associated landings). This document describes how the length data were weighted and how these weightings are extended to the age data. Similar methods have been used in previous SEDAR assessments and completed between the data and assessment workshops.

## 2 Data Description

### 2.1 Lengths

## Stock Identification

The SEDAR 68 Stock ID Workshop found that "Scamp are very difficult to distinguish from yellowmouth grouper, even for trained biologists, and thus much of the assessment data likely represent both species in unknown proportions". It was recommended that the Scamp assessment "be conducted on both Scamp and Yellowmouth Grouper jointly, with the two species treated as a single complex" (SEDAR68-SID-05). As such, the recreational workgroup included both scamp and yellowmouth grouper when providing recreational data for this stock assessment.

## Headboat Survey Biological Sampling

Lengths were collected from 1972 to 2018 by headboat dockside samplers (Table 1). From 1972 to 1975, only North Carolina and South Carolina were sampled whereas Georgia and northeast Florida were sampled beginning in 1976. The Southeast Region Headboat Survey conducted dockside sampling for the entire range of Atlantic waters along the southeast portion of the US from the NC-VA border through the Florida Keys beginning in 1978.

## MRFSS/MRIP Biological Sampling

The MRFSS/MRIP angler intercept survey includes the sampling of fish lengths from the harvested (landed, whole condition) catch (Table 1). Up to 15 of each species landed per angler
interviewed are measured to the nearest millimeter (mm) along a center line (defined as tip of snout to center of tail along a straight line, not curved over body). Weights are typically collected for the same fish measured. When time is constrained a weight may be collected without a length measurement.

### 2.2 Ages

Aging structures and other biological samples are not collected during MRFSS/MRIP assignments because of concerns over the introduction of bias to survey data collection. Biological samples (scales, otoliths, spines, stomachs and gonads) are collected by the SRHS and processed for aging, diet studies, and maturity studies. Aging structures provided from the charter boat and private boat modes were collected ad hoc by MRFSS/MRIP state subcontractors and SRHS port agents.

Annual numbers of scamp sampled for age and the number of annual trips that were sampled from the recreational fishery are reported in Table 2.

## 3 Weighting methods

### 3.1 Lengths

A minimum of 30 fish per region was established to calculate a weighted length composition. The recreational landings estimates for SEDAR 68 were developed at the year and region (2 regions, NC/SC and GA/FL) level in order to consolidate the MRFSS/MRIP and SRHS landings estimates. Therefore, the finest scale to weight the length data was year and region data was by year and region. For each year, the region-specific length composition was multiplied by the proportion of landings from that region. The weighted region-specific length compositions were then combined and scaled to sum to one.

### 3.1.1. Summary of length data treatment

- State/spatial strata cutoff: include region of 30 or more fish sampled
- Region assigned (NC/SC and GA/FL)
- Fleet assigned: 1. Recreational (SRHS and MRIP CH and PR modes)
- Range of lengths: 20 to 122 cm ( 3 cm bins)


### 3.2 Ages

A minimum of 10 fish per region was established to calculate a weighted age composition. For scamp age could not be determined, therefore the increment count was used and will hereafter be referred to as age. The fishery-dependent age composition estimates were weighted to correct biases in age composition due to non-representative sampling. This weighting method was adapted from a technique to reduce bias associated with non-representative age sampling to produce unbiased growth curves (Chih, 2009) and has been previously used in SEDAR assessments. Lengths are recorded for each fish sampled for age. A reweighting value ( $R W$ ) associated with the year $(j)$ and length interval $(i)$ of the age sample was assigned to each age sample by fishery as in the formula:
$R W_{i j}=\frac{L C_{i j}}{O L_{i j} / T O_{j}}$
where $L C_{i j}$ is the weighted length composition value associated with the year $j$ and length interval $i$ for each aged fish, $O L_{i j}$ is the number of aged samples in length interval $i$ and year $j$, and $T O_{j}$ is the total number of aged samples in year $j$. This weighting corrects for a potential sampling bias of age samples relative to length samples (Chih, 2009). The numerator in this method differs slightly from the method used by Chih (2009) in that the length composition is weighted by the landings.

### 3.2.1. Summary of age data treatment

- State/spatial strata cutoff: include region of 10 or more fish sampled
- Region assigned (NC/SC and GA/FL)
- Fleet assigned: 1. Recreational (SRHS and MRIP)
- Range of ages: 0 to 20 ( 1 increment bins)
- Range of lengths: 20 to 122 cm ( 3 cm bins)


## 4 Results

### 4.1 Lengths

The recreational length compositions (Figure 1) showed a wide range of fish ( 20 to 122 cm FL ). In the early-to-mid 1970s fish sampled in the recreational fishery generally ranged from 50 to 100 cm FL, with a small number of samples outside of that range. In the late 1970s to 1980s the distribution shifted toward smaller fish ( 20 to 80 cm FL). Throughout the 1980s to early 1990s the distribution included greater numbers of smaller fish ( 30 to 60 cm FL ). Once the 20 in size limit was put in place in 1992 the minimum size shifted toward 40 cm FL. Throughout the 1990s and 2000s the maximum length captured remained closer to 80 cm FL, before shifting toward 90 cm FL in early 2010s. In the mid-to-late 2010s the maximum size shifted slightly back towards 80 cm FL, with a few samples in the 95 cm FL range.

It's important to note that weighting had limited influence on the length composition (Figure 1), of the recreational fishery, in years that met the 30 fish minimum.

### 4.2 Ages

The weighted age compositions are very similar to the nominal age compositions (Figure 4). In the recreational fishery most fish sampled were between 3 and 10 years.

## 5 Discussion

There is minimal influence when weighting the recreational length or age compositions for scamp in the recreational fishery in years with adequate sample size. However, the weighted compositions are recommended for use as a matter of protocol and to remove whatever minimal bias may be present.
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Table 1. Annual number of fish measured and annual number of trips containing measured scamp in the recreational fishery. A minimum of 30 length measurements was required.

| Year | SRHS |  | MRIP |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fish (n) | Trips (n) | Fish (n) | Trips (n) |
| 1972 | 376 | 108 |  |  |
| 1973 | 381 | 136 |  |  |
| 1974 | 395 | 115 |  |  |
| 1975 | 474 | 131 |  |  |
| 1976 | 851 | 166 |  |  |
| 1977 | 461 | 131 |  |  |
| 1978 | 313 | 112 |  |  |
| 1979 | 184 | 84 |  |  |
| 1980 | 176 | 81 |  |  |
| 1981 | 128 | 79 | 2 | 1 |
| 1982 | 262 | 125 | 2 | 2 |
| 1983 | 449 | 204 | 11 | 2 |
| 1984 | 492 | 221 | 3 | 2 |
| 1985 | 485 | 213 | 3 | 3 |
| 1986 | 427 | 207 | 4 | 2 |
| 1987 | 565 | 264 | 12 | 8 |
| 1988 | 476 | 223 | 46 | 6 |
| 1989 | 363 | 156 | 38 | 23 |
| 1990 | 339 | 135 | 59 | 23 |
| 1991 | 409 | 134 | 26 | 14 |
| 1992 | 279 | 106 | 50 | 26 |
| 1993 | 339 | 126 | 38 | 18 |
| 1994 | 342 | 105 | 52 | 35 |
| 1995 | 370 | 114 | 2 | 2 |
| 1996 | 282 | 102 | 15 | 15 |
| 1997 | 360 | 117 | 12 | 7 |
| 1998 | 393 | 123 | 24 | 15 |
| 1999 | 347 | 94 | 30 | 29 |
| 2000 | 223 | 99 | 44 | 33 |
| 2001 | 141 | 69 | 40 | 33 |
| 2002 | 138 | 60 | 99 | 49 |
| 2003 | 209 | 87 | 75 | 42 |
| 2004 | 74 | 41 | 69 | 35 |
| 2005 | 68 | 35 | 23 | 20 |
| 2006 | 92 | 56 | 54 | 35 |
| 2007 | 131 | 68 | 67 | 28 |
| 2008 | 77 | 40 | 47 | 29 |
| 2009 | 92 | 42 | 43 | 21 |
| 2010 | 59 | 30 | 61 | 25 |
| 2011 | 14 | 13 | 24 | 11 |
| 2012 | 66 | 30 | 18 | 7 |
| 2013 | 115 | 44 | 26 | 12 |
| 2014 | 60 | 33 | 15 | 11 |
| 2015 | 43 | 21 | 5 | 4 |
| 2016 | 82 | 41 | 13 | 12 |
| 2017 | 36 | 24 | 11 | 6 |
| 2018 | 44 | 21 | 11 | 8 |

Table 2. Annual numbers of scamp sampled for age and the number of annual trips containing aged scamp in the recreational fishery. A minimum of 10 aged fish was required.

| Year | SRHS |  | MRIP |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fish (n) | Trips (n) | Fish (n) | Trips (n) |
| 1979 | 5 | 3 | (n) | - |
| 1980 | 41 | 24 | - | - |
| 1981 | 56 | 35 | - | - |
| 1982 | 5 | 5 | - | - |
| 1983 | 7 | 5 | - | - |
| 1984 | 1 | 1 | - | - |
| 1989 | 5 | 3 | - | - |
| 1991 | 1 | 1 | - | - |
| 1993 | 1 | 1 | - | - |
| 1995 | 12 | 3 | - | - |
| 1996 | 125 | 47 | - | - |
| 1997 | 2 | 1 | - | - |
| 2000 | 1 | 1 | - | - |
| 2001 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 4 |
| 2002 | 4 | 3 | 44 | 22 |
| 2003 | 1 | 1 | 60 | 33 |
| 2004 | 3 | 3 | 87 | 42 |
| 2005 | 15 | 12 | 86 | 42 |
| 2006 | 33 | 33 | 59 | 17 |
| 2007 | 46 | 44 | 15 | 5 |
| 2008 | 23 | 22 | - | - |
| 2009 | 59 | 37 | 9 | 3 |
| 2010 | 42 | 28 | 11 | 4 |
| 2011 | 9 | 9 | 1 | 1 |
| 2012 | 46 | 26 | - | - |
| 2013 | 61 | 34 | 2 | 1 |
| 2014 | 42 | 26 | 1 | 1 |
| 2015 | 29 | 17 | - | - |
| 2016 | 55 | 31 | 2 | 1 |
| 2017 | 25 | 17 | 3 | 3 |
| 2018 | 27 | 16 | 5 | 4 |

Figure 1: Scamp nominal and weighted length composition from the recreational fishery.
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Figure 2: Scamp nominal age composition from the recreational fishery.
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