

# Red Grouper Standard Assessment SEDAR In-person Workshop Tuesday Overview

# Life history

Topic	Summary Notes	Decision
Fecundity	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- S42 used batch fecundity at age * proportion female at age * proportion mature at age</li> <li>- Updated batch fecundity at age is sensitive to the 10 new samples</li> <li>- Gonad weight variable</li> </ul>	<p><b><u>Move to batch fecundity as function of length</u></b> Convert batch fecundity at length to age using growth curve</p>
Proportion Female	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Data from NOAA and FWRI have not been combined yet</li> <li>- Do we need to see this?</li> </ul>	Maintain continuity unless we get new data
SSB Metric	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Red Grouper male to female ratio ~30%</li> </ul>	Discuss again with input from FWRI
Hermaphroditism	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Could be parameterized directly</li> </ul>	Research recommendation*

# Life history and Red Tide

Topic	Summary Notes	Decision
Age and Growth	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Updated with new samples, particularly for smaller Red Grouper</li></ul>	<b><u>Use updated curve</u></b>
Length Weight	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Sufficiently large sample size used previously</li></ul>	<b><u>Maintain continuity</u></b>
Natural Mortality	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Continuity uses fixed mortality curve (Hoenig and Lorenzen)</li></ul>	Discuss again tomorrow
Red Tide	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Red tide distribution and biomass distribution overlap can be used to explore age specific mortality</li><li>- Do we want to change how we parameterize the red tide?</li><li>- Can we look into higher resolution validation of sat data (8 days)?</li></ul>	<b><u>Sensitivity to explore for any cumulative effects on age specific effects?</u></b> Research Recommendation*

# Landings and Discards

Topic	Summary Notes	Decision
Landings	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Matching updates for commercial and headboat</li><li>- MRIP to be reviewed via webinar</li></ul>	<p><b><u>Use updated time series for commercial and headboat</u></b> Discuss MRIP via webinar</p>
Discards	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Revised consistent unit of effort between observer and logbook commercial data</li><li>- MRIP and headboat to be reviewed via webinar</li></ul>	<p><b><u>Use revised commercial methodology</u></b> Discuss MRIP and headboat via webinar</p>
Discard Mortality	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Update is nearly identical</li></ul>	<p><b><u>Use most up-to-date estimate</u></b></p>

# Commercial Age Data and Other

Topic	Summary Notes	Decision
Updated Age Data	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Reviewed minor differences between S42 and S61</li><li>- Able to recreate composition data</li></ul>	<b><u>Use updated time series</u></b>
Stratification	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Southern composition tends to be older and larger</li><li>- Possibly stratify model differently north/south</li></ul>	Research Recommendation*
Sample Size	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Continuity practice is to cap at 100</li><li>- ID a new rule of thumb</li><li>- Getting sampling events from raw data would take time</li></ul>	<b>Look into changing capping practice (square root?)</b>
SS3.30	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Could allow better reweighting</li></ul>	Revisit discussion

# Fishery-Dependent Indices and Length Data

Topic	Summary Notes	Decision
Commercial Indices	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Reevaluate effort variable</li> <li>- Develop post-IFQ indices</li> </ul>	Research recommendation*
Recreational Indices	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Continuity suggest that CPUE is at lowest recorded level. Does that look unusual?</li> <li>- Investigate if assumptions appropriate across full time series (ex. targeting, trip length, effects of various regulations, red snapper)</li> <li>- MRIP to be reviewed via webinar</li> </ul>	Research recommendation* Discuss MRIP via webinar
Commercial Discard Lengths	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Some sample size changes but no meaningful change in comp data</li> </ul>	<b>Use updated lengths</b>
Rec Discard Lengths	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Slight difference in sample size, but similar composition</li> <li>- How to combine rec length data?</li> </ul>	<b>Use updated lengths</b> Research recommendation*

# Fishery-Independent Indices and Length Data

Topic	Summary Notes	Decision
Bottom Longline Index	- Continuity model trend recreated	<b>Use updated index</b>
Video Index	- Originally combined naively, needs to incorporate habitat - Programs vary in time and space	<b>Use updated index and methodology</b>
Ground Fish Index	- Summer	<b>Use updated index</b>
Bottom Longline Length Comp	- Nearly identical composition	<b>Use updated data</b>
Video Length Comp	- Plot means and SD of length comp by lab	Consider reweighting data by habitat
Ground Fish Length Comp	- Some years included fall samples	<b>Use updated data and only use summer surveys</b>