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## Summary

This document details the smooth dogfish catch from the Peconic Bay Small Mesh Trawl Survey conducted May through October from 1987 to 2013. Catch per unit effort (CPUE) in number of sharks per 10 minute tow were examined by year. The CPUE was standardized using a two-step delta-lognormal approach that models the proportion of positive catch with a binomial error distribution separately from the positive catch, which is modeled using a lognormal distribution. The standardized relative abundance for smooth dogfish shows a barely discernible increasing trend across the time series with lots of variability and a large peak in abundance during the last three years of the survey. Many of the smooth dogfish caught during this survey are young-of-the-year fish. The trend produced from this survey may not represent the overall population of smooth dogfish in the northwest Atlantic, but would likely provide a good index of recruitment for the species.

## Introduction

The Peconic Bay Small Mesh Trawl Survey is used for long-term monitoring and assessment of annual recruitment of important marine finfish species in New York waters, including weakfish, winter flounder, scup, tautog, bluefish and northern puffer. The survey is also used to meet the Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission (ASMFC) compliance criteria for the Interstate Fishery Management Plan for winter flounder, horseshoe crab and weakfish. In this document, the Peconic Bay time series is modeled to create a standardized index of abundance for smooth dogfish

## Methods

## Sampling gear and survey design

The small mesh trawl survey is conducted in the estuarine waters of Peconic Bay, which lie between the north and south forks of eastern Long Island. The research vessel used throughout the survey is the David H . Wallace, a 10.7 meter lobster-style workboat. At each random stratified location, a 4.9 meter semi-balloon shrimp trawl with a small mesh liner is towed for 10 minutes at approximately 2.5 knots. From 1987 through 1990, nets were rigged using nylon scissors and tow ropes set by hand and retrieved using a hydraulic lobster pot hauler. Following the 1990 sampling season, the research vessel was re-outfitted to include an A-frame, wire cable and hydraulic trawl winches. For the remainder of the study, wire cable was substituted for the nylon scissor and tow ropes, and nets were set and retrieved using hydraulic winches.

Environmental data (temperature, salinity, dissolved oxygen) is recorded at each station at both the surface and bottom. Fish collected in each tow are sorted, identified, counted and measured to the nearest millimeter (fork or total length). Large catches are subsampled, with length measurements taken on a minimum of 30 randomly selected individual fish of each species.

## Data Analysis

Catch per unit effort (CPUE) in number of sharks per 10 minute tow were used to examine the relative abundance of smooth dogfish caught during the Peconic Bay Trawl Survey conducted between 1987 and 2013. The CPUE was standardized using the Lo et al. (2002) method which models the proportion of positive tows separately from the positive catch. Factors considered as potential influences on the CPUE for these analyses were: year (1987-2013), month (May - October), depth interval ( 0 - $2.4 \mathrm{~m}, ~ 2.5-4.9 \mathrm{~m}, 5-7.4 \mathrm{~m}, 7.5-9.9$, and 10+ m), bottom temperature ( $<16,16-18,19-21,22-24,25+^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), and bottom salinity ( $<25,25-26,27-28,29-39,30+$ $\mathrm{ppt})$. The proportion of tows with positive CPUE values was modeled assuming a binomial distribution with a logit link function and the positive CPUE tows were modeled assuming a lognormal distribution.

Models were fit in a stepwise forward manner adding one potential factor at a time after initially running a null model with no factors included (Gonzáles-Ania et al. 2001, Carlson 2002). Each potential factor was
ranked from greatest to least reduction in deviance per degree of freedom when compared to the null model. The factor resulting in the greatest reduction in deviance was then incorporated into the model providing the deviance per degree freedom was reduced by at least $1 \%$ from the less complex model. This process was continued until no additional factors met the criteria for incorporation into the final model. The factor "year" was kept in all final models to allow for calculation of indices. All models in the stepwise approach were fitted using the SAS GENMOD procedure (SAS Institute, Inc.). The final models were then run through the SAS GLIMMIX macro to allow fitting of the generalized linear mixed models using the SAS MIXED procedure (Wolfinger, SAS Institute, Inc). The standardized indices of abundance were based on the year effect least square means determined from the combined binomial and lognormal components.

## Results

A total of 3316 smooth dogfish were caught during 10089 tows from 1987 to 2013. Smooth dogfish ranged in length from 18 to 126 cm FL and size remained consistent across time (Figure 1). The proportion of tows with positive catch (at least one smooth dogfish was caught) was $15 \%$. The stepwise construction of each model and the resulting statistics are detailed in Table 1. Model diagnostic plots reveal that the model fit is acceptable (Figures 2a and 2 b ). The resulting indices of abundance based on the year effect least square means, associated statistics, and nominal indices are reported in Table 2 and are plotted by year in Figures 3 and 4. The standardized relative abundance for smooth dogfish shows a barely discernible increasing trend across the time series with lots of variability and a large peak in abundance during the last three years of the survey.
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Table 1. Results of the stepwise procedure for development of the NY trawl survey catch rate model for smooth dogfish. DF is the degrees of freedom. \%DIF is the percent difference in deviance/DF between each model and the null model. Delta\% is the difference in deviance/DF between the newly included factor and the previous entered factor in the model.

| PROPORTION POSITIVE-BINOMIAL ERROR DISTRIBUTION |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FACTOR | DF | DEVIANCE | DEVIANCEIDF | \%DIFF | DELTA\% |
| NULL | 2186 | 2826.3823 | 1.2929 |  |  |
| DEPTH | 2182 | 2560.3449 | 1.1734 | 9.2428 | 9.2428 |
| MONTH | 2181 | 2645.9768 | 1.2132 | 6.1644 |  |
| TEMP | 2181 | 2665.5988 | 1.2222 | 5.4683 |  |
| YEAR | 2161 | 2716.1299 | 1.2569 | 2.7844 |  |
| SALINITY | 2182 | 2785.5834 | 1.2766 | 1.2607 |  |
| DEPTH + |  |  |  |  |  |
| MONTH | 2177 | 2355.0191 | 1.0818 | 16.3276 | 7.0848 |
| TEMP | 2177 | 2373.9163 | 1.0905 | 15.6547 | 6.4119 |
| YEAR | 2157 | 2445.2507 | 1.1336 | 12.3211 | 3.0784 |
| SALINITY | 2178 | 2534.0537 | 1.1635 | 10.0085 | 0.7657 |
| DEPTH + MONTH + |  |  |  |  |  |
| YEAR | 2152 | 2226.6055 | 1.0347 | 19.9706 | 3.6430 |
| TEMP | 2172 | 2323.4872 | 1.0697 | 17.2635 | 0.9359 |
|  |  |  | (-2) Res Log |  |  |
| FINAL MODEL | AIC | BIC | Likelihood |  |  |
| DEPTH + MONTH + YEAR | 3747.3 | 3751.8 | 3745.3 |  |  |
| Type 3 Test of Fixed Effects |  |  |  |  |  |
| Significance ( $\mathrm{Pr}>\mathrm{Chi}$ ) of |  | DEPTH | MONTH | YEAR |  |
| test of fixed effects for |  | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | 0.0004 |  |
| DF |  | 4 | 5 | 26 |  |
| CHI SQUARE |  | 171.59 | 101.29 | 56.45 |  |
| POSITIVE CATCHES-LOGNORM AL ERROR DISTRIBUTION |  |  |  |  |  |
| FACTOR | DF | DEVIANCE | DEVIANCEIDF | \%DIFF | DELTA\% |
| NULL | 760 | 640.0031 | 0.8421 |  |  |
| YEAR | 735 | 584.6981 | 0.7955 | 5.5338 | 5.5338 |
| MONTH | 755 | 604.0093 | 0.8000 | 4.9994 |  |
| DEPTH | 756 | 616.3590 | 0.8153 | 3.1825 |  |
| TEMP | 755 | 617.7459 | 0.8182 | 2.8381 |  |
| SALINITY | 756 | 639.0548 | 0.8453 | -0.3800 |  |
| YEAR + |  |  |  |  |  |
| MONTH | 730 | 549.5293 | 0.7528 | 10.6044 | 5.0707 |
| DEPTH | 731 | 560.1187 | 0.7662 | 9.0132 | 3.4794 |
| TEMP | 730 | 561.1059 | 0.7686 | 8.7282 | 3.1944 |
| YEAR + MONTH + |  |  |  |  |  |
| DEPTH | 726 | 524.5357 | 0.7225 | 14.2026 | 3.5981 |
| TEMP | 725 | 543.1623 | 0.7492 | 11.0319 | 0.4275 |
|  |  |  | (-2) Res Log |  |  |
| FINAL MODEL | AIC | BIC | Likelihood |  |  |
| YEAR + MONTH + DEPTH | 1080.1 | 1084.1 | 1078.1 |  |  |
| Type 3 Test of Fixed Effects |  |  |  |  |  |
| Significance (Pr>Chi) of Type 3 |  | YEAR | MONTH | DEPTH |  |
| test of fixed effects for each factor |  | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 |  |
| DF |  | 26 | 5 | 4 |  |
| CHI SQUARE |  | 140.95 | 97.86 | 118.88 |  |

Table 2. New York trawl survey smooth dogfish analysis number of tows (n tows), number of sharks (catch), number of model observations per year ( n obs), number of positive model observations per year (obs pos), proportion of positive model observations per year (obs ppos), nominal cpue as catch per 10 minute tow (obs cpue), resulting estimated cpue from the model (est cpue), the lower 95\% confidence limit for the est cpue (LCL), the upper 95\% confidence limit for the est cpue (UCL), and the coefficient of variation for the estimated cpue (CV).

| year | n tows | catch | n obs | obs pos obs ppos obs cpue | est cpue | LCL | UCL | CV |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1987 | 354 | 288 | 28 | 20 | 0.7143 | 10.2857 | 8.2213 | 4.8469 | 13.9448 | 0.2689 |
| 1988 | 426 | 222 | 29 | 19 | 0.6552 | 7.6552 | 8.3198 | 4.7087 | 14.7001 | 0.2905 |
| 1989 | 420 | 70 | 27 | 12 | 0.4444 | 2.5926 | 1.7963 | 0.6880 | 4.6902 | 0.5089 |
| 1990 | 430 | 42 | 28 | 11 | 0.3929 | 1.5000 | 0.9140 | 0.3330 | 2.5083 | 0.5387 |
| 1991 | 398 | 120 | 28 | 16 | 0.5714 | 4.2857 | 3.8892 | 1.8704 | 8.0872 | 0.3786 |
| 1992 | 411 | 159 | 26 | 15 | 0.5769 | 6.1154 | 3.8033 | 1.7394 | 8.3163 | 0.4066 |
| 1993 | 414 | 65 | 27 | 14 | 0.5185 | 2.4074 | 1.8922 | 0.8127 | 4.4056 | 0.4422 |
| 1994 | 428 | 78 | 28 | 15 | 0.5357 | 2.7857 | 1.8195 | 0.8214 | 4.0303 | 0.4139 |
| 1995 | 376 | 157 | 28 | 19 | 0.6786 | 5.6071 | 5.2791 | 2.9948 | 9.3055 | 0.2892 |
| 1996 | 409 | 284 | 30 | 16 | 0.5333 | 9.4667 | 6.6795 | 3.1498 | 14.1647 | 0.3895 |
| 1997 | 379 | 117 | 29 | 14 | 0.4828 | 4.0345 | 2.8234 | 1.1988 | 6.6495 | 0.4487 |
| 1998 | 395 | 113 | 29 | 19 | 0.6552 | 3.8966 | 4.1505 | 2.3495 | 7.3322 | 0.2904 |
| 1999 | 400 | 101 | 30 | 16 | 0.5333 | 3.3667 | 1.7086 | 0.8041 | 3.6303 | 0.3906 |
| 2000 | 420 | 101 | 30 | 19 | 0.6333 | 3.3667 | 3.4297 | 1.8932 | 6.2132 | 0.3038 |
| 2001 | 414 | 94 | 29 | 17 | 0.5862 | 3.2414 | 2.6210 | 1.3040 | 5.2682 | 0.3600 |
| 2002 | 415 | 158 | 30 | 23 | 0.7667 | 5.2667 | 5.8536 | 3.8233 | 8.9619 | 0.2154 |
| 2003 | 393 | 77 | 28 | 18 | 0.6429 | 2.7500 | 4.0121 | 2.1879 | 7.3570 | 0.3103 |
| 2004 | 407 | 69 | 30 | 16 | 0.5333 | 2.3000 | 2.0946 | 0.9882 | 4.4397 | 0.3893 |
| 2005 | 183 | 30 | 15 | 5 | 0.3333 | 2.0000 | 1.2985 | 0.3209 | 5.2538 | 0.7935 |
| 2006 | 245 | 25 | 20 | 9 | 0.4500 | 1.2500 | 0.7826 | 0.2597 | 2.3579 | 0.5962 |
| 2007 | 379 | 44 | 29 | 16 | 0.5517 | 1.5172 | 1.6008 | 0.7636 | 3.3561 | 0.3832 |
| 2008 | 171 | 17 | 14 | 8 | 0.5714 | 1.2143 | 0.9570 | 0.3181 | 2.8792 | 0.5953 |
| 2009 | 383 | 46 | 30 | 15 | 0.5000 | 1.5333 | 1.2948 | 0.5798 | 2.8914 | 0.4185 |
| 2010 | 302 | 98 | 23 | 16 | 0.6957 | 4.2609 | 2.7111 | 1.2846 | 5.7217 | 0.3869 |
| 2011 | 385 | 230 | 29 | 23 | 0.7931 | 7.9310 | 7.6865 | 5.0297 | 11.7465 | 0.2145 |
| 2012 | 390 | 297 | 30 | 22 | 0.7333 | 9.9000 | 11.4723 | 7.2314 | 18.2001 | 0.2339 |
| 2013 | 362 | 214 | 30 | 22 | 0.7333 | 7.1333 | 7.6444 | 4.8239 | 12.1140 | 0.2333 |

Figure 1. Fork lengths (cm) of smooth dogfish caught during the New York trawl survey from 1987-2013.


Figure 2a. New York trawl survey smooth dogfish model diagnostic plots for the binomial component.
Delta lognormal CPUE index $=$ NY smooth dogish 1987-2013
Chisq Residuals propation positive


Delta lognormal CPUE index $=$ NY smooth dogfish 1987-2013
Chisq Residuals proporion positive


Figure 2a continued. New York trawl survey smooth dogfish model diagnostic plots for the binomial component.

Detta lognomal CPUE index $=\mathrm{NY}$ smooth dogfish 1987-2013
Chisq Residuals proporion positive


Delta lognormal CPUE index $=$ NY smooth dogfish 1987-2013
Diagnostic plots: Obs vs Pred Proport Posit


Figure 2b. New York trawl survey smooth dogfish model diagnostic plots for lognormal component.
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Figure 2b continued. New York trawl survey smooth dogfish model diagnostic plots for lognormal component.
Residuals positive CPUEs*Month


Residuals positive CPUEs*Depth


Figure 2b continued. New York trawl survey smooth dogfish model diagnostic plots for lognormal component.


Figure 3. NY trawl survey smooth dogfish nominal (obcpue) and estimated (estcpue) indices with $95 \%$ confidence limits (LCI0, UCI0).
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Figure 4. Plot of the standardized index of abundance over time with a linear trend line


