# SEDAR

## *SouthEast Data, Assessment, and Review*

South Atlantic Fishery Management Council
Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council
Caribbean Fishery Management Council
NOAA Fisheries
Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission
Gulf States Marine Fisheries Commission

4055 Faber Place Drive, Suite 201
North Charleston SC 29405
Phone (843) 571-4366
Fax (843) 769-4520

**SEDAR 21. Highly Migratory Species**

**Sandbar, Dusky, and Blacknose Sharks**

December, 2009

## I. Data Workshop

1. Characterize stock structure and develop a unit stock definition. Provide maps of species and stock distribution.
2. Review, discuss and tabulate available life history information (e.g., age, growth, natural mortality, reproductive characteristics); provide appropriate models to describe growth, maturation, and fecundity by age, sex, or length as applicable. Evaluate the adequacy of available life-history information for conducting stock assessments and recommend life history information for use in population modeling.
3. Provide measures of population abundance that are appropriate for stock assessment. Consider and discuss all available and relevant fishery dependent and independent indices. Document all programs evaluated, addressing program objectives, methods, coverage, sampling intensity, and other relevant characteristics. Provide maps of survey coverage. Develop CPUE and index values by appropriate strata (e.g., age, size, area, and fishery); characterize uncertainty. Evaluate the degree to which available indices adequately represent fishery and population conditions. Consider implications of changes in gear, management, fishing effort, etc. in relationship to the different indices. Recommend which indices are considered statistically adequate and biologically plausible for use in assessment modeling.
4. Characterize commercial and recreational catch by gear. Include both landings and discards, in pounds and number by gear type as feasible. Provide estimates of dead discard proportions by fishery and other strata as appropriate or feasible. Evaluate and discuss the adequacy of available data for accurately characterizing fishery removals by species, area, gear type, and fishery sector. Consider implications of changes in gear, management, fishing effort, etc. in reconstructing historic catches. Provide length and age distributions if feasible. To provide context and spatial scale of species distribution, fishery effort, and data coverage, provide maps of fishery effort and harvest, as available.
5. Provide recommendations for future research in areas such as sampling, fishery monitoring, and stock assessment. Include specific guidance on sampling intensity (number of samples including age and length structures) and appropriate strata and coverage.
6. Develop a spreadsheet of assessment model input data that reflects the decisions and recommendations of the Data Workshop. Review and approve the contents of the input spreadsheet.
7. Prepare the Data Workshop report providing complete documentation of workshop actions and decisions (Section II. of the SEDAR assessment report). Provide a list of tasks that were not completed during the meeting week, who is responsible for completing each task, and when each task will be completed.

**2. Assessment Process**

1. Review data, including any changes since the Data Workshop, and any analyses suggested by the data workshop. Summarize data as used in each assessment model. Provide justification for any deviations from Data Workshop recommendations.
2. Develop population assessment models that are compatible with available data and recommend which model and configuration is deemed most reliable or useful for providing advice. Document all input data, assumptions, and equations.
3. Provide estimates of stock population parameters (fishing mortality, abundance, biomass, selectivity, stock-recruitment relationship, etc); include appropriate and representative measures of precision for parameter estimates.
4. Characterize uncertainty in the assessment and estimated values, considering components such as input data, modeling approach, and model configuration. Provide appropriate measures of model performance, reliability, and 'goodness of fit'.
5. Provide spawning stock fecundity and stock-recruitment evaluations, including figures and tables of complete parameters.
6. Provide estimates for benchmark and biological reference points, consistent with the Consolidated HMS FMP, proposed FMPs and Amendments, other ongoing or proposed management programs, and National Standards. This may include: evaluating existing reference points, estimating benchmarks or alternative benchmarks, as appropriate, and recommending proxy values.
7. Provide declarations of stock status based on the status determination criteria.
8. Provide stochastic projections of stock status at various harvest or exploitation levels for various timeframes.
9. Project future stock conditions (biomass, abundance, and exploitation) and develop rebuilding schedules, if warranted. Provide the estimated generation time for each unit stock. Stock projections shall be developed in accordance with the following:
    A) If stock is overfished:
        F=0, F=current, F=Fmsy, Ftarget (OY),
        F=Frebuild (max that rebuild in allowed time)
    B) If stock is undergoing overfishing:
        F=0, F=Fcurrent, F=Fmsy, F= Ftarget (OY),
        F=Freduce (different reductions in F that could prevent overfishing, as appropriate)
    C) If stock is neither overfished nor undergoing overfishing:
        F=Fcurrent, F=Fmsy, F=Ftarget (OY)
10. Provide recommendations for future research and data collection (field and assessment); be as specific as practicable in describing sampling design and sampling intensity and emphasize items which will improve future assessment capabilities and reliability.
11. Prepare an accessible, documented, labeled, and formatted spreadsheet containing all model parameter estimates and all relevant population information resulting from model estimates and any projection and simulation exercises. Include all data included in assessment report tables and all data that support assessment workshop figures.
12. Complete the Assessment Workshop Report (Section III of the SEDAR Stock Assessment Report). Provide a list of tasks that were not completed, who is responsible for completing each task, and when each task will be completed.

**3. Review Workshop**

1. Evaluate the adequacy, appropriateness, and application of data used in the assessment.
2. Evaluate the adequacy, appropriateness, and application of methods used to assess the stock.
3. Recommend appropriate estimates of stock abundance, biomass, and exploitation.
4. Evaluate the methods used to estimate population benchmarks and stock status(*e.g., MSY, Fmsy, Bmsy, MSST, MFMT, or their proxies*); recommend appropriate management benchmarks, provide estimated values for management benchmarks, and declare stock status, consistent with the stock status determination criteria, benchmark, and biological reference points in the Consolidated HMS FMP, proposed FMPs and Amendments, other ongoing or proposed management programs, and National Standards.
5. Evaluate the adequacy, appropriateness, and application of the methods used to project future population status, rebuilding timeframe, and generation time; recommend appropriate estimates of future stock condition (e.g., exploitation, abundance, biomass).
6. Evaluate the adequacy, appropriateness, and application of methods used to characterize the uncertainty in estimated parameters. Provide measures of uncertainty for estimated parameters. Ensure that the implications of uncertainty in technical conclusions are clearly stated.
7. Ensure that stock assessment results are clearly and accurately presented in the Stock Assessment Report and that reported results are consistent with Review Panel recommendations. If there are differences between the AW and RW due to reviewer's requests for changes and/or additional model runs, etc., describe those reasons and results.
8. Evaluate the SEDAR Process as applied to the reviewed assessments and identify any Terms of Reference that were inadequately addressed by the Data or Assessment Workshops.
9. Consider the research recommendations provided by the Data and Assessment workshops and make any additional recommendations or prioritizations warranted. Clearly denote research and monitoring needs that could improve the reliability of future assessments. Recommend an appropriate interval for the next assessment, and whether a benchmark or update assessment is warranted.
10. Prepare a Peer Review Summary summarizing the Panel's evaluation of the stock assessment and addressing each Term of Reference. Provide a list of tasks that were not completed, who is responsible for completing each task, and when each task will be completed. Complete and submit the Final Summary Report within 3 weeks of workshop conclusion.

The review panel may request additional sensitivity analyses, evaluation of alternative assumptions, and correction of errors identified in the assessments provided by the assessment workshop panel; the review panel may not request a new assessment. Additional details regarding the latitude given the review panel to deviate from assessments provided by the assessment workshop panel are provided in the *SEDAR Guidelines* and the *SEDAR Review Panel Overview and Instructions*.

** The panel shall ensure that corrected estimates are provided by addenda to the assessment report in the event corrections are made in the assessment, alternative model configurations are recommended, or additional analyses are prepared as a result of review panel findings regarding the TORs above.**