

# Productivity Susceptibility Analysis

## Part 1: Method Characterization

Assessment Category: Risk Analysis/Vulnerability

- PSA is a risk assessment method used in resource prioritization to identify which species are most at risk of overexploitation.
- Utilizes existing literature and expert knowledge to score species using 22 attributes of productivity (such as natural mortality rate) and fishery susceptibility (such as release mortality rate)
- Scores are combined across all attributes to calculate a total species risk
- Variable weights can be given to multiple scores for each attribute which are sampled via monte-carlo bootstrap to calculate a total risk distribution

# Productivity Susceptibility Analysis

## Part 1: Method Characterization

Data Inputs: Species biology, geographic range, fishery interaction

- 10 Productivity attributes and 12 Susceptibility attributes are scored
- Scores of 1, 2, and 3 represent low, moderate, or high values for a given attribute
  - High productivity (score 3) represents lower risk
  - High susceptibility (score 3) represents higher risk
- Score weights facilitate characterizing knowledge uncertainty
- A total weight for each attribute also allows species attribute prioritization

# Productivity Susceptibility Analysis

## Part 1: Method Characterization

| Productivity Attributes  | High(3)   | Moderate(2)   | Low(1)     |
|--------------------------|-----------|---------------|------------|
| ● R (intrinsic increase) | >0.5      | 0.5 – 0.16    | <0.16      |
| ● Maximum age            | <10 years | 10 – 30 years | >30 years  |
| ● Maximum size           | <60 cm    | 60 – 150 cm   | >150 cm    |
| ● VonBert (K)            | >0.25     | 0.15 – 0.25   | <0.15      |
| ● Natural Mortality (M)  | >0.4      | 0.2 – 0.4     | <0.2       |
| ● Measured fecundity     | >10e4     | 10e2 – 10e4   | <10e2      |
| ● Recruitment            | frequent  | moderate      | infrequent |
| ● Age at maturity        | <2 years  | 2 – 4 years   | >4 years   |
| ● Mean trophic level     | <2.5      | 2.5 – 3.5     | >3.5       |

# Productivity Susceptibility Analysis

## Part 1: Method Characterization

| Susceptibility Attributes      | Low(1)                                    | Moderate(2)                       | High(3)                     |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| ● Management strategy          | catch limits and proactive accountability | catch limits and reactive measure | no catch limits             |
| ● Areal overlap                | <25% stock in fished area                 | 25 – 50%                          | >50%                        |
| ● Geographic concentration     | Stock in >50% of its range                | 25-50%                            | <25%                        |
| ● Vertical overlap             | <25% stock in fished depths               | 25 – 50%                          | >50%                        |
| ● Spawning stock biomass       | $B/B_0 > 0.4$                             | $B/B_0 0.25 - 0.4$                | $B/B_0 < 0.25$              |
| ● F/M                          | <0.5                                      | 0.5 – 1                           | >1                          |
| ● Seasonal Migration           | decrease fishery overlap                  | no impact                         | increase fishery overlap    |
| ● Schooling and other behavior | decrease catchability                     | no impact                         | increase catchability       |
| ● Morphology/gear selectivity  | Low                                       | Moderate                          | High                        |
| ● Post release survival        | >67%                                      | 33-67%                            | <33%                        |
| ● Fishery desirability/value   | High                                      | Moderate                          | Low                         |
| ● Fishery impact to ESF        | Minimal                                   | Significant but mitigated         | Significant and unmitigated |

# Productivity Susceptibility Analysis

## Part 1: Method Characterization

Assessment Output: Other Control Rule Metric

- Does not provide management advice just risk characterization

Management Measure: Other

- Characterizes risk to inform species assessment prioritization

Assessment Tier: Pre-assessment Stock Prioritization (SP)

# Productivity Susceptibility Analysis

<https://connect.fisheries.noaa.gov/psa/>

# Productivity Susceptibility Analysis

## Part 2: Method Evaluation

The required data are currently available for some number of Caribbean species based on the data reviews provided in the Data Webinars.

- Data are available to score many attributes for many species

Current data align with the necessary spatial and temporal coverage, extent, and resolution needed for the method.

- Basic categorization of values from low to high risk

The method is comprised of analyses that rely on solid theoretical ground.

- Original approach has been used in dozens of published applications for thousands of species

The method is not sensitive if data or parameters are limited or missing.

- Ability to incorporate uncertainty could increase robustness of method to missing data

The method effectively characterizes significant sources of uncertainty.

- Monte-carlo capabilities now allow uncertainty in parameter scores to be incorporated

# Productivity Susceptibility Analysis

## Part 2: Method Evaluation

The method, in one application, has the ability to cover multiple taxa simultaneously.

- Partially. Inputs only cover one taxa or group at a time. Can be plotted simultaneously after input.

The method considers broader aspects of ecosystem productivity, structure, and/or function including biodiversity and/or trophic flows.

- No, primarily single species focused.

The method accounts for impacts to the taxa including environmental dynamics or changing ocean conditions.

- Many existing categories in susceptibility could be adapted to consider broader environmental factors.

The method considers impacts of broader societal, cultural, indigenous, and economic conditions.

- Yes through fishery desirability.

The method produces comprehensive reference points relative to actionable management by the CFMC (e.g., biological, ecosystem, and/or economic).

- Method is targeted at species prioritization, produces a vulnerability reference point that can be used to prioritize and justify the need for more intensive assessment methods.

# Productivity Susceptibility Analysis

## Part 3: Method Characterization

### Method practicality

- Relatively fast to implement with sufficient expert knowledge. Uncertainty would be best characterized by a group implementation effort

### Method bottleneck or caveats

- Basic categorization of species from low to high risk so that limited assessment resources can be targeted at most at risk species

### Expected method timeline

- Fast to implement once required information has been collected

### Required data acquisition, preparation, or analyses

- Requires relevant literature data to be collected and knowledgeable experts to be available to score attributes without published data