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## Introduction

Turner et al. (2004) presented and index of abundance of age 1 red snapper in the Gulf of Mexico which was used in modeling the age composition of red snapper taken in recreational and commercial finfish fisheries. That index was derived by averaging age 1 fall and summer survey indices. The index presented here is calculated in a slightly different manner and coefficients of variation are calculated. These indices are comparable to the indices used for age modeling by Goodyear (1995) and Schirripa and Legault (1999).

The modeling of the age composition was conducted under either of two stock structure assumptions: (1) that one stock of red snapper exists in United States waters in the Gulf of Mexico or (2) that two stocks exist separated by the Mississippi River. Therefore the indices are calculated for the same strata.

## Methods

There are two red snapper catch per unit effort series from trawl surveys, one based on surveys conducted in the summer, $C P U E_{\text {summer }}$, and the other based on surveys conducted in the fall, $C P U E_{\text {fall }}$. Random samples of the catches from both surveys have been aged since 1981, so for those years it is possible to develop an index for age 1 red snapper by multiplying the overall catch per unit effort series by the proportion of the catch that is age one ( $p$ ):
(1) $\quad I=p C P U E$.

Since $p$ and CPUE are effectively independent, the variance of $I$ is
(2) $\quad V(I)=p^{2} V(C P U E)+C P U E^{2} V(p)$.

The variance of CPUE is obtained by the usual methods employed when standardizing indices of abundance. Inasmuch as $p$ is determined from a random sample of the catch with sample size $n$ with $a$ ages, the variance of $p$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(p)=(p(1-p)+0.1 / a) / n \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The term $0.1 / a$ is used to prevent the expression from tending to zero as $p$ approaches zero.

For years prior to 1981 , or where the sample size was very small ( $<10$ ), the value of $p$ was computed from the samples for all years combined (effectively a weighted average)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{p}=\frac{\sum_{y} n_{1, y}}{\sum_{y} n_{y}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n_{1, y}$ is the number of age 1 animals in the sample and $n_{y}$ is the total sample size for any
given year $y$. The effective variance used in this case was

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(p)=(\bar{p}(1-\bar{p})+0.1 / a) / 10 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

For some applications it is desirable to have a single index for each area that goes back as far in time as possible. One way to do this is to simply sum the absolute catch per unit effort observations from each season:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\text {combined }}=I_{\text {summer }}+I_{\text {fall }} \quad, \text { for } y \geq 1982 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Prior to 1981 however, there were no surveys conducted during the summer. Thus, in order to create a continuous index from 1972 to 2003 with a consistent scale, one must inflate the fall index appropriately,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\text {combined }} \approx I_{\text {fall }}(1+\bar{R}) \quad, \text { for } y<1982 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\bar{R}$ is the average ratio of the values of the summer and fall indices:

$$
\bar{R}=\frac{\sum_{y=1982}^{2002} I_{\text {Summer }, y}}{\sum_{y=1982}^{2002} I_{\text {fall }, y}}
$$

This approach approximates the index that would have been obtained if each season (fall and summer) had been sampled equally and allows the season with the greater catches of age 1 to be the most influential.

Inasmuch as the covariance between the independent summer and fall observations is thought to be negligible, the variance of the sum ought to be equal to the sum of the variances
(9) $\quad V\left(I_{\text {combined }}\right) \approx\left\{\begin{array}{lc}V\left(I_{\text {summer }}\right)+V\left(I_{\text {fall }}\right) & y \geq 1982 \\ (1+\bar{R})^{2} V\left(I_{\text {fall }}\right)+I_{\text {fall }}^{2} V(R) & y<1982\end{array}\right.$

Here $V\left(I_{\text {summer }}\right)$ and $V\left(I_{\text {fall }}\right)$ follow from equations 1-5 and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V(R) \approx \frac{1}{\bar{I}_{\text {Fall }}^{2}} \frac{\sum_{y=1982}^{2002}\left(I_{\text {summer }, y}-R I_{\text {fall }, y}\right)^{2}}{N-1} \\
& \bar{I}_{\text {Fall }}=\frac{\sum_{y=1982}^{2002} I_{\text {fall }, y}}{N}
\end{aligned}
$$

(10)
where $N$ is the number of years when surveys were conducted both in the fall and summer

## Results

The calculated indices and their coefficients of variation are presented in Tables 1 and 2. The age 1 index values were very similar to those presented by Turner et al. (2004) when re-scaled to their means (Turner et al. did not present age 0 indices).
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Table 1. Indices of abundance of age 0 red snapper derived from the combined fall and summer SEAMAP groundfish surveys.

| year$1972$ |  |  |  |  | index | CV |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | index | CV | index | CV |  |  |
|  | 79.571 | 0.949 | 44.566 | 0.367 | 95.901 | 1.731 |
| 1973 | 22.671 | 0.928 | 9.778 | 0.293 | 34.605 | 1.667 |
| 1974 | 15.137 | 0.928 | 10.773 | 0.288 | 13.724 | 1.680 |
| 1975 | 20.443 | 0.925 | 10.624 | 0.295 | 27.510 | 1.675 |
| 1976 | 16.689 | 0.924 | 10.875 | 0.281 | 17.602 | 1.667 |
| 1977 | 18.794 | 0.924 | 12.082 | 0.292 | 20.167 | 1.670 |
| 1978 | 37.939 | 0.930 | 8.243 | 0.295 | 76.156 | 1.670 |
| 1979 | 15.961 | 0.925 | 5.615 | 0.290 | 27.254 | 1.670 |
| 1980 | 46.052 | 0.929 | 15.474 | 0.302 | 80.378 | 1.672 |
| 1981 | 38.878 | 0.935 | 36.551 | 0.291 | 16.800 | 1.675 |
| 1982 | 38.843 | 0.931 | 35.305 | 0.277 | 19.392 | 1.667 |
| 1983 | 15.023 | 0.927 | 8.384 | 0.312 | 18.731 | 1.680 |
| 1984 | 7.125 | 0.938 | 5.602 | 0.312 | 5.333 | 1.699 |
| 1985 | 12.874 | 0.983 | 3.358 | 0.396 | 23.843 | 1.778 |
| 1986 | 12.822 | 1.063 | 6.743 | 0.593 | 15.108 | 1.969 |
| 1987 | 3.031 | 1.088 | 3.058 | 0.307 | 3.205 | 0.181 |
| 1988 | 5.281 | 0.124 | 4.785 | 0.290 | 5.378 | 0.128 |
| 1989 | 17.003 | 0.099 | 22.158 | 0.197 | 16.323 | 0.107 |
| 1990 | 15.939 | 0.097 | 18.402 | 0.174 | 15.669 | 0.104 |
| 1991 | 19.726 | 0.096 | 24.186 | 0.161 | 19.263 | 0.103 |
| 1992 | 5.186 | 0.133 | 3.907 | 0.309 | 5.291 | 0.136 |
| 1993 | 11.038 | 0.116 | 9.757 | 0.199 | 11.086 | 0.123 |
| 1994 | 30.450 | 0.094 | 6.277 | 0.201 | 32.843 | 0.096 |
| 1995 | 28.539 | 0.095 | 15.690 | 0.175 | 29.820 | 0.098 |
| 1996 | 11.106 | 0.109 | 6.221 | 0.206 | 11.577 | 0.114 |
| 1997 | 23.075 | 0.098 | 13.611 | 0.186 | 23.951 | 0.101 |
| 1998 | 11.312 | 0.120 | 4.162 | 0.269 | 11.899 | 0.123 |
| 1999 | 20.255 | 0.104 | 11.224 | 0.193 | 21.102 | 0.108 |
| 2000 | 15.753 | 0.104 | 14.718 | 0.191 | 15.703 | 0.111 |
| 2001 | 13.804 | 0.111 | 4.291 | 0.258 | 14.617 | 0.114 |
| 2002 | 12.283 | 0.111 | 7.178 | 0.212 | 12.792 | 0.115 |
| 2003 | 14.681 | 0.110 | 8.315 | 0.225 | 15.223 | 0.114 |

Table 2. Indices of abundance of age 1 red snapper derived from the combined fall and summer SEAMAP groundfish surveys.

| year | Gulf wide |  | east |  | west |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | index | CV | index | CV | index | CV |
| 1972 | 34.630 | 1.233 | 21.337 | 0.865 | 44.511 | 1.925 |
| 1973 | 9.867 | 1.217 | 4.681 | 0.836 | 16.062 | 1.868 |
| 1974 | 6.588 | 1.216 | 5.158 | 0.834 | 6.370 | 1.880 |
| 1975 | 8.897 | 1.214 | 5.087 | 0.837 | 12.768 | 1.875 |
| 1976 | 7.263 | 1.214 | 5.207 | 0.832 | 8.170 | 1.868 |
| 1977 | 8.179 | 1.213 | 5.784 | 0.836 | 9.360 | 1.870 |
| 1978 | 16.512 | 1.218 | 3.946 | 0.836 | 35.347 | 1.870 |
| 1979 | 6.947 | 1.215 | 2.688 | 0.835 | 12.650 | 1.870 |
| 1980 | 20.042 | 1.217 | 7.409 | 0.839 | 37.306 | 1.873 |
| 1981 | 16.920 | 1.222 | 17.499 | 0.835 | 7.798 | 1.875 |
| 1982 | 16.871 | 0.431 | 12.005 | 0.536 | 15.109 | 0.421 |
| 1983 | 6.635 | 0.421 | 4.698 | 0.485 | 7.269 | 0.644 |
| 1984 | 3.182 | 0.448 | 2.144 | 0.535 | 3.074 | 0.517 |
| 1985 | 6.138 | 0.429 | 2.120 | 0.494 | 7.827 | 0.781 |
| 1986 | 3.010 | 0.747 | 2.066 | 0.715 | 3.344 | 1.224 |
| 1987 | 5.287 | 0.296 | 2.837 | 0.325 | 5.297 | 0.157 |
| 1988 | 4.712 | 0.120 | 2.459 | 0.393 | 4.880 | 0.123 |
| 1989 | 3.205 | 0.150 | 5.276 | 0.395 | 3.091 | 0.159 |
| 1990 | 13.974 | 0.125 | 8.852 | 0.232 | 14.402 | 0.129 |
| 1991 | 5.964 | 0.140 | 4.619 | 0.240 | 6.075 | 0.146 |
| 1992 | 5.976 | 0.132 | 5.208 | 0.249 | 6.043 | 0.137 |
| 1993 | 6.219 | 0.120 | 3.215 | 0.239 | 6.618 | 0.122 |
| 1994 | 10.013 | 0.117 | 8.276 | 0.193 | 10.182 | 0.123 |
| 1995 | 7.408 | 0.127 | 2.179 | 0.327 | 7.824 | 0.129 |
| 1996 | 11.174 | 0.125 | 5.986 | 0.201 | 11.688 | 0.130 |
| 1997 | 7.779 | 0.132 | 5.538 | 0.227 | 7.987 | 0.136 |
| 1998 | 4.849 | 0.156 | 4.228 | 0.254 | 5.022 | 0.161 |
| 1999 | 3.396 | 0.139 | 3.075 | 0.290 | 3.451 | 0.143 |
| 2000 | 6.894 | 0.129 | 9.264 | 0.199 | 6.689 | 0.136 |
| 2001 | 4.254 | 0.141 | 4.415 | 0.236 | 4.367 | 0.145 |
| 2002 | 5.336 | 0.145 | 3.259 | 0.274 | 5.583 | 0.150 |
| 2003 | 4.974 | 0.252 | 1.794 | 0.554 | 4.971 | 0.272 |

