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## Background

The Marine Resources Monitoring, Assessment and Prediction program (MARMAP) has conducted fishery-independent research on reef fish species of the continental shelf and shelf edge between Cape Hatteras, North Carolina, and St. Lucie Inlet, Florida, for over 40 years. Although the MARMAP program has used various gear types and methods of deployment since its inception, since 1990 chevron traps have been the primary gear deployed to allow for analyses of long-term changes in relative abundance, age compositions, length frequencies, and other information regarding reef fish species on live-bottom and/or hard-bottom habitats. In 2008, with a first field season in 2009, the Southeast Area Monitoring and Assessment Program, South Atlantic Region (SEAMAP-SA) provided funding to a project called the "Reef Fish Complement" to assist with the expansion of the geographical sampling coverage of the MARMAP fishery-independent chevron trap survey. Again in 2010, with the formation of the Southeast Fishery-Independent Survey (SEFIS), additional funds were provided to, among other things, expand the geographical coverage and sampling intensity of the MARMAP fisheryindependent chevron trap survey. Collectively, we now refer to these three surveys combined reef fish monitoring efforts from 2010 to present as the Southeast Reef Fish Survey (SERFS).

## Objective

This report presents a standardized relative abundance index of Vermilion Snapper derived from the MARMAP/SERFS chevron trap survey during the years 1990-2016. The standardized index accounts for annual sampling distribution shifts with respect to covariates that affect catch of Vermilion Snapper in chevron traps.

Also provided are annual age compositions of Vermilion Snapper captured by chevron trap. This information is critical at informing the selectivity pattern at age of Vermilion Snapper by chevron traps.

Data presented in this report are based on the combined SERFS database accessed on January 06, 2017.

## Methods

## Survey Design and Gear

(see Smart et al. 2015 for full description)

## Sampling area

- Cape Hatteras, NC, to St. Lucie Inlet, FL
- General increase in sampling intensity (\# of annual chevron trap deployments) through time
- Gradual shift regarding the spatial coverage of samples through time
- More geographic coverage in southern and northern latitudes in later years
- Sampling depths range from 13 to 218 m
- Generally less than 100 m


## Sampling season

- May through September
- Limited earlier and later sampling in some years


## Survey Design

- Simple random sample survey design
- Annually, randomly selected stations from a chevron trap universe of confirmed live-bottom and/or hard-bottom habitat stations
- No two stations are randomly selected that are closer than 200 m from each other
- Minimum distance is typically closer to 400 m
- Traps deployed on suspected live-bottom and/or hard-bottom in a given year (reconnaissance) are evaluated based on catch and/or video or photographic evidence of bottom type for inclusion in the universe in subsequent years
- If added to the known habitat universe, data from the reconnaissance deployment is included in index development


## Sampling Gear - Chevron Traps

(see Collins 1990 and MARMAP 2009 for descriptions that are more complete)

- Arrowhead shaped, with a total interior volume of $0.91 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$
- Constructed of $35 \times 35 \mathrm{~mm}$ square mesh plastic-coated wire with a single entrance funnel ("horse neck")
- Baited with a combination of whole or cut clupeids (Brevoortia or Alosa spp., family Clupeidae), with Brevoortia spp. most often used
- Four whole clupeids on each of four stringers suspended within the trap
- Approximately 8 clupeids placed loose in the trap
- Soak time of approximately 90 minutes


## Oceanographic Data

- Hydrographic data collected via CTD during soaking of a "set" (typically 6 traps, but may be less) of chevron traps deployed at the same time
- Bottom temperature $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ is defined as the temperature of the deepest recording within 5 m of the bottom


## Data Filtering/Inclusion

Chevron trap data $($ Gear $=324)$ were limited to:

- Projects conducting monitoring efforts
- P05-MARMAP
- T59 - SEAMAP-SA Reef Fish Complement
- T60-SEFIS
- Reef fish monitoring samples
- Data source $=$ "Tag-MARMAP" - represents special historic MARMAP cruises that were used to tag various species of fish
- Because standard sampling procedures were not used (e.g. not all fish were measured for length frequency) these samples are excluded from index development
- Traps that fished properly (i.e., appropriate catch IDs)
- 0-no catch
- 1-catch with finfish
- 2-catch without finfish
- 8-Species catch subsampled for Length Frequency
- Traps on live-bottom and/or hard-bottom habitat (i.e., appropriate station types)
- Random -randomly-selected live-bottom stations
- NonRandom - non-randomly sampled live-bottom station (a.k.a haphazard or opportunistic sample)
- ReconConv - reconnaissance deployments that were subsequently converted into live-bottom chevron trap stations
- Null - traps for which there is no station code value
- Use of station codes is fairly new, with MARMAP historically using only the catch ID (see above) to indicate randomly-selected stations
- N/A - Station type not assigned
- Monitoring - Station whose sampling selection (random, nonrandom) is not known, but is part of overall station universe
- Traps with soak times that were neither extremely short nor long which often indicates an issue with the deployment not captured elsewhere (included 45-150 minutes)
- SERFS targets a soak time of 90 minutes for all chevron trap deployments
- For Vermilion Snapper specifically, only the depths at which Vermilion Snapper have ever been captured by any of the monitoring programs (included 10-104 m)
- Excluded any chevron trap samples missing covariate information
- Excluded all traps sampled prior to 1990


## Standardized Index Model Formulation

## Model Basics

- Response variable - Catch/Trap hour (CPUE)
- Dependent variables
- Year
- Covariates
- Depth, latitude $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{N}\right)$, bottom temperature $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$, and season
- Summary of covariate bins and inclusion in sub-models available Table 1
- Model structure - Delta GLM
- Annual year effect coefficients of variation (CVs) and standard errors (SE) computed using bootstrapping
- Software used
- R (Version 3.1.0; R Development Core Team 2014)


## Age Composition

- Aging methods - sagittal otoliths were removed from Vermilion Snapper to serve as the aging structure
- Ages presented here are calendar age based on increment counts with an estimated increment formation on September 1
- Only fish with age samples taken are included in the age compositions
- Prior to 2008, selection of fish retained for aging were sub-sampled based on length bins. From 2008 and on, selection of fish retained for aging was either complete ( $100 \%$ retained) or randomly sub-sampled. To correct age compositions prior to 2008, we corrected the number of fish in each age bin based on the abundance and length frequency in each trap according to the method developed for SEDAR 25 Black Sea Bass (Ballenger et al., 2011)


## Results

## Sampling Summary

A total of 14,713 chevron trap samples from 1990-2016 were retained and used in the development of the relative abundance index (Table 2)

- Proportion of traps positive for Vermilion Snapper averaged 0.275 per year
- On average 1,370 Vermilion Snapper caught annually from which age estimates were obtained


## Model Selection

(see Table 3)
Final Index
(see Table 4 and Figure 1)

## Diagnostics

- Residuals of covariates available in Figures 2 and 3


## Age Composition

- Age composition by numbers and percentages in Tables 5 and 6 , respectively
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Tables
Table 1: Delta-GLM covariates (and bins used) used in the development of standardized chevron trap CPUE indices. Only species for which delta-GLM standardized CPUE indices based on chevron trap catches are included.


Table 2: Number of chevron trap collections made by the MARMAP/SERFS fishery-independent reef fish surveys, the number of included collections in the index development, and the average and range of the covariates depth, temperature, and latitude encountered by year. Please note that the SEAMAP-SA Reef Fish and SEFIS fishery-independent research projects did not begin until 2009 and 2010, respectively.

| Year | Total Collections | Included Collections | Depth (m) |  | Temperature ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) |  | Latitude ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ ) |  | Date |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Avg | Range | Avg | Range | Avg | Range | Avg | Range |
| 1990 | 354 | 313 | 33.9 | 17-93 | 22 | 18.2-27.8 | 32.4 | 30-34 | 5/28 | 4/23-8/9 |
| 1991 | 305 | 272 | 34.1 | 17-95 | 24.9 | 15.9-27.5 | 32.62 | 31-35 | 8/3 | 6/11-9/24 |
| 1992 | 324 | 288 | 34 | 17-62 | 21.3 | 15.3-24.5 | 32.74 | 30-34 | 6/2 | 3/31-8/13 |
| 1993 | 542 | 392 | 34.9 | 16-94 | 22.8 | 17.7-28.5 | 32.34 | 30-34 | 6/23 | 5/10-8/13 |
| 1994 | 468 | 390 | 39.1 | 16-93 | 22.8 | 18.1-26.9 | 32.27 | 31-34 | 6/23 | 5/9-10/26 |
| 1995 | 545 | 383 | 32.9 | 16-60 | 24.5 | 20.1-28.3 | 31.86 | 30-34 | 7/21 | 5/3-10/25 |
| 1996 | 642 | 361 | 38.2 | 14-100 | 22 | 14.2-27 | 32.28 | 28-34 | 7/4 | 4/29-9/16 |
| 1997 | 533 | 401 | 39.5 | 15-97 | 22.8 | 16.8-28 | 31.95 | 28-35 | 7/11 | 5/5-9/29 |
| 1998 | 523 | 426 | 39.6 | 14-92 | 21.5 | 9.5-28.6 | 32.02 | 27-35 | 6/26 | 5/5-8/18 |
| 1999 | 347 | 215 | 36.8 | 15-75 | 22.9 | 17.9-28.8 | 31.68 | 27-34 | 7/20 | 6/2-9/28 |
| 2000 | 383 | 299 | 36.3 | 15-101 | 23.9 | 18-28.5 | 32.22 | 29-34 | 7/18 | 5/16-10/19 |
| 2001 | 325 | 252 | 37.8 | 14-91 | 23.5 | 16-29.2 | 32.29 | 28-34 | 7/24 | 5/23-10/24 |
| 2002 | 336 | 244 | 37.7 | 13-94 | 24.2 | 15.2-28.3 | 31.85 | 28-34 | 7/25 | 6/17-9/24 |
| 2003 | 286 | 224 | 39.8 | 16-92 | 18.9 | 13.4-25.1 | 32.04 | 27-34 | 7/21 | 6/3-9/22 |
| 2004 | 341 | 282 | 40.6 | 14-91 | 20.9 | 16.7-25.8 | 32.2 | 29-34 | 6/22 | 5/5-10/28 |
| 2005 | 357 | 315 | 37.6 | 15-69 | 22.9 | 18-28.5 | 32 | 27-34 | 7/13 | 5/3-10/19 |
| 2006 | 332 | 297 | 38.1 | 15-94 | 22.4 | 15-26.6 | 32.22 | 27-34 | 7/20 | 6/6-9/28 |
| 2007 | 361 | 337 | 38 | 15-92 | 23.2 | 15.3-28.9 | 32.13 | 27-34 | 7/18 | 5/21-9/24 |
| 2008 | 354 | 303 | 38 | 15-92 | 21.9 | 15.2-27.2 | 32.13 | 27-35 | 7/11 | 5/5-9/30 |
| 2009 | 464 | 404 | 36.3 | 14-91 | 22.6 | 15.4-27.2 | 32.19 | 27-35 | 7/21 | 5/6-10/8 |
| 2010 | 1051 | 705 | 38.5 | 14-92 | 22.1 | 12.3-29.4 | 31.44 | 27-35 | 8/6 | 5/4-10/27 |
| 2011 | 1014 | 699 | 40.5 | 14-93 | 21.6 | 14.8-28.8 | 30.88 | 27-35 | 7/27 | 5/19-10/26 |
| 2012 | 1393 | 1153 | 40.5 | 15-98 | 22.1 | 12.9-27.8 | 31.88 | 27-35 | 7/12 | 4/24-10/10 |
| 2013 | 1561 | 1360 | 38.2 | 15-100 | 22.1 | 12.4-28.1 | 31.25 | 27-35 | 7/15 | 4/24-10/4 |
| 2014 | 1520 | 1470 | 39.2 | 15-103 | 23.4 | 16.1-29.3 | 31.91 | 27-35 | 7/10 | 4/23-10/21 |
| 2015 | 1523 | 1448 | 39.1 | 16-104 | 22.6 | 13.6-28.4 | 31.87 | 27-35 | 7/4 | 4/21-10/22 |
| 2016 | 1537 | 1480 | 40.6 | 17-104 | 23.9 | 15.5-29.3 | 32.11 | 27-35 | 8/3 | 5/4-10/26 |

Table 3: Summary of the backwards selection of covariates from Bernoulli Sub-model and Lognormal Sub-model, including degrees of freedom, deviance, and Akaike's Information Criteria (AIC) values for Vermilion Snapper.

| Removed | df | Deviance | AIC |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bernoulli Sub-model |  |  |
| <none> |  |  |  |
| season | 13852 |  |  |
| temperature | 2 | 13932 | 13972 |
| latitude | 5 | 13989 | 14020 |
| depth | Lognormal Sub-Model | 14075 |  |
|  | 1 | 14450 | 14530 |
| season |  | 14824 | 14894 |
| <none> | 5 | 5231.6 |  |
| latitude | 2 | 5230.4 | 11445 |
| temperature | 10 | 528.8 | 11446 |
| depth |  | 5418.9 | 11473 |

Table 4: Chevron trap nominal CPUE and Delta-GLM standardized CPUE for Vermilion Snapper and information associated with chevron trap sets included in standardized CPUE calculation. Both indices were normalized to the long-term average. CV = coefficient of variation, Positive = proportion of included collections positive for the species of interest, $\mathrm{n}=$ number of collections which captured individuals, Normalized = CPUE (number of fish*trap-1*hr-1) normalized to its mean value over the time series, and the lower and upper $95 \%$ confidence intervals (CI) calculated from the normalized standard error.

|  | Nominal CPUE |  |  | Delta-GLM Standardized CPUE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | CPUE | CV | Normalized | Positive | n | CPUE | CV | Normalized | Lower Cl | Upper Cl |
| 1990 | 1.13 | 0.20 | 0.51 | 0.27 | 86 | 0.55 | 0.18 | 0.54 | 0.35 | 0.73 |
| 1991 | 7.28 | 0.13 | 3.26 | 0.52 | 142 | 3.44 | 0.15 | 3.36 | 2.37 | 4.35 |
| 1992 | 3.29 | 0.20 | 1.48 | 0.36 | 105 | 1.14 | 0.17 | 1.11 | 0.73 | 1.49 |
| 1993 | 2.05 | 0.12 | 0.92 | 0.32 | 126 | 1.31 | 0.14 | 1.28 | 0.93 | 1.64 |
| 1994 | 5.12 | 0.11 | 2.30 | 0.45 | 175 | 2.44 | 0.14 | 2.38 | 1.74 | 3.02 |
| 1995 | 2.81 | 0.13 | 1.26 | 0.35 | 135 | 1.72 | 0.15 | 1.68 | 1.20 | 2.16 |
| 1996 | 4.17 | 0.20 | 1.87 | 0.34 | 121 | 1.14 | 0.17 | 1.11 | 0.73 | 1.49 |
| 1997 | 2.03 | 0.22 | 0.91 | 0.24 | 96 | 0.72 | 0.18 | 0.71 | 0.46 | 0.96 |
| 1998 | 1.66 | 0.18 | 0.75 | 0.26 | 110 | 0.71 | 0.16 | 0.69 | 0.47 | 0.92 |
| 1999 | 1.93 | 0.19 | 0.87 | 0.33 | 70 | 1.10 | 0.2 | 1.08 | 0.66 | 1.49 |
| 2000 | 3.47 | 0.16 | 1.56 | 0.35 | 104 | 1.45 | 0.18 | 1.41 | 0.91 | 1.92 |
| 2001 | 3.00 | 0.20 | 1.34 | 0.33 | 83 | 1.35 | 0.18 | 1.32 | 0.85 | 1.78 |
| 2002 | 3.99 | 0.15 | 1.79 | 0.42 | 102 | 1.95 | 0.17 | 1.91 | 1.26 | 2.55 |
| 2003 | 0.43 | 0.26 | 0.19 | 0.14 | 31 | 0.45 | 0.25 | 0.44 | 0.22 | 0.66 |
| 2004 | 0.77 | 0.18 | 0.34 | 0.24 | 67 | 0.65 | 0.18 | 0.63 | 0.41 | 0.85 |
| 2005 | 1.41 | 0.20 | 0.63 | 0.25 | 79 | 0.68 | 0.18 | 0.66 | 0.43 | 0.90 |
| 2006 | 0.76 | 0.23 | 0.34 | 0.18 | 54 | 0.40 | 0.21 | 0.39 | 0.23 | 0.56 |
| 2007 | 2.34 | 0.19 | 1.05 | 0.24 | 80 | 1.02 | 0.19 | 0.99 | 0.63 | 1.36 |
| 2008 | 2.17 | 0.18 | 0.97 | 0.24 | 74 | 0.96 | 0.2 | 0.94 | 0.57 | 1.31 |
| 2009 | 2.32 | 0.18 | 1.04 | 0.24 | 97 | 1.03 | 0.18 | 1 | 0.65 | 1.36 |
| 2010 | 1.84 | 0.14 | 0.83 | 0.27 | 187 | 0.59 | 0.14 | 0.58 | 0.43 | 0.73 |
| 2011 | 1.70 | 0.15 | 0.76 | 0.2 | 137 | 0.61 | 0.15 | 0.59 | 0.42 | 0.76 |
| 2012 | 0.56 | 0.13 | 0.25 | 0.15 | 171 | 0.34 | 0.12 | 0.33 | 0.25 | 0.41 |
| 2013 | 0.52 | 0.15 | 0.23 | 0.13 | 178 | 0.21 | 0.13 | 0.21 | 0.16 | 0.26 |
| 2014 | 0.6 | 0.13 | 0.27 | 0.15 | 222 | 0.29 | 0.11 | 0.28 | 0.22 | 0.34 |
| 2015 | 0.9 | 0.12 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 288 | 0.50 | 0.11 | 0.48 | 0.38 | 0.59 |
| 2016 | 1.91 | 0.09 | 0.86 | 0.26 | 387 | 0.92 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 0.73 | 1.07 |

Table 5: Age composition of Vermilion Snapper collected by the MARMAP/SERFS chevron trap survey from 1990-2016. Ages are calendar ages and composition is in number of fish in each year corresponding to a given age. The total number of fish per year (Fish) and the number of traps which caught fish that had age samples taken (Traps) are also included.

| Age | Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 18 | 15 | 99 | 76 | 46 | 20 | 4 | 67 | 57 | 35 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 109 | 20 | 83 |
| 2 | 110 | 536 | 190 | 378 | 1335 | 392 | 645 | 575 | 562 | 321 | 602 | 501 | 708 | 90 | 67 | 217 | 73 | 761 | 161 | 117 | 39 | 42 | 84 | 46 | 190 | 448 | 412 |
| 3 | 280 | 1322 | 140 | 352 | 862 | 623 | 637 | 395 | 338 | 190 | 654 | 255 | 454 | 79 | 150 | 143 | 102 | 136 | 313 | 287 | 279 | 93 | 81 | 131 | 81 | 340 | 485 |
| 4 | 343 | 490 | 450 | 134 | 682 | 349 | 975 | 255 | 127 | 85 | 131 | 336 | 227 | 42 | 76 | 199 | 61 | 72 | 42 | 336 | 130 | 469 | 43 | 69 | 170 | 136 | 365 |
| 5 | 40 | 393 | 276 | 218 | 293 | 203 | 301 | 377 | 67 | 23 | 126 | 115 | 255 | 17 | 51 | 77 | 81 | 67 | 28 | 29 | 106 | 185 | 170 | 41 | 109 | 375 | 148 |
| 6 | 35 | 99 | 172 | 94 | 208 | 59 | 338 | 95 | 98 | 37 | 45 | 48 | 73 | 22 | 24 | 40 | 22 | 119 | 39 | 31 | 15 | 74 | 46 | 120 | 73 | 161 | 228 |
| 7 | 16 | 111 | 64 | 77 | 98 | 70 | 86 | 132 | 45 | 52 | 36 | 24 | 32 | 2 | 20 | 14 | 11 | 49 | 51 | 39 | 12 | 36 | 55 | 26 | 210 | 156 | 98 |
| 8 | 10 | 64 | 35 | 35 | 78 | 46 | 100 | 12 | 67 | 26 | 41 | 23 | 21 | 2 | 10 | 24 | 4 | 14 | 26 | 71 | 11 | 18 | 4 | 23 | 96 | 282 | 113 |
| 9 | 4 | 46 | 6 | 23 | 25 | 28 | 36 | 31 | 22 | 18 | 17 | 14 | 11 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 42 | 8 | 20 | 7 | 4 | 42 | 87 | 168 |
| 10 | 4 | 10 | 11 | 8 | 15 | 12 | 29 | 17 | 14 | 8 | 11 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 12 | 3 | 7 | 3 | 20 | 6 | 3 | 5 | 40 | 44 |
| 11 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 13 | 2 | 8 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 17 | 22 |
| 12 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 7 | 3 | 4 |
| 13 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 1 |
| 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Fish | 843 | 3079 | 1345 | 1339 | 3604 | 1795 | 3191 | 1898 | 1381 | 781 | 1772 | 1404 | 1833 | 276 | 411 | 791 | 416 | 1274 | 676 | 973 | 611 | 967 | 511 | 472 | 1103 | 2072 | 2174 |
| Traps | 108 | 153 | 111 | 128 | 177 | 135 | 170 | 119 | 113 | 80 | 114 | 95 | 121 | 41 | 70 | 80 | 57 | 83 | 70 | 85 | 114 | 115 | 140 | 107 | 165 | 295 | 394 |

Table 6: Age composition of Vermilion Snapper collected by the MARMAP/SERFS chevron trap survey from 1990-2016. Ages are calendar ages and composition is in percentage of fish in each year corresponding to a given age. The total number of fish per year (Fish) and the number of traps which caught fish that had age samples taken (Traps) are also included.

| Age | Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 1.9 | 5.6 | 5.4 | 2.5 | 7.3 | 1.0 | 8.5 | 13.7 | 2.8 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 9.9 | 1.0 | 3.8 |
| 2 | 13.1 | 17.4 | 14.1 | 28.2 | 37.0 | 21.8 | 20.2 | 30.3 | 40.7 | 41.1 | 34.0 | 35.7 | 38.6 | 32.6 | 16.3 | 27.4 | 17.6 | 59.7 | 23.8 | 12.0 | 6.4 | 4.3 | 16.4 | 9.8 | 17.2 | 21.6 | 19.0 |
| 3 | 33.2 | 42.9 | 10.4 | 26.3 | 23.9 | 34.7 | 20.0 | 20.8 | 24.5 | 24.3 | 36.9 | 18.2 | 24.8 | 28.6 | 36.5 | 18.1 | 24.5 | 10.7 | 46.3 | 29.5 | 45.7 | 9.6 | 15.9 | 27.8 | 7.3 | 16.4 | 22.3 |
| 4 | 40.7 | 15.9 | 33.5 | 10.0 | 18.9 | 19.4 | 30.6 | 13.4 | 9.2 | 10.9 | 7.4 | 23.9 | 12.4 | 15.2 | 18.5 | 25.2 | 14.7 | 5.7 | 6.2 | 34.5 | 21.3 | 48.5 | 8.4 | 14.6 | 15.4 | 6.6 | 16.8 |
| 5 | 4.7 | 12.8 | 20.5 | 16.3 | 8.1 | 11.3 | 9.4 | 19.9 | 4.9 | 2.9 | 7.1 | 8.2 | 13.9 | 6.2 | 12.4 | 9.7 | 19.5 | 5.3 | 4.1 | 3.0 | 17.4 | 19.1 | 33.3 | 8.7 | 9.9 | 18.1 | 6.8 |
| 6 | 4.2 | 3.2 | 12.8 | 7.0 | 5.8 | 3.3 | 10.6 | 5.0 | 7.1 | 4.7 | 2.5 | 3.4 | 4.0 | 8.0 | 5.8 | 5.1 | 5.3 | 9.3 | 5.8 | 3.2 | 2.5 | 7.7 | 9.0 | 25.4 | 6.6 | 7.8 | 10.5 |
| 7 | 1.9 | 3.6 | 4.8 | 5.8 | 2.7 | 3.9 | 2.7 | 7.0 | 3.3 | 6.7 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 0.7 | 4.9 | 1.8 | 2.6 | 3.9 | 7.5 | 4.0 | 2.0 | 3.7 | 10.8 | 5.5 | 19.0 | 7.5 | 4.5 |
| 8 | 1.2 | 2.1 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.2 | 2.6 | 3.1 | 0.6 | 4.9 | 3.3 | 2.3 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 2.4 | 3.0 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 3.9 | 7.3 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 0.8 | 4.9 | 8.7 | 13.6 | 5.2 |
| 9 | 0.5 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 1.7 | 0.7 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 2.3 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 4.3 | 1.3 | 2.1 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 3.8 | 4.2 | 7.7 |
| 10 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 2.1 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 1.9 | 2.0 |
| 11 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 1.0 |
| 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| 13 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| 15 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| 16 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Fish | 843 | 3079 | 1345 | 1339 | 3604 | 1795 | 3191 | 1898 | 1381 | 781 | 1772 | 1404 | 1833 | 276 | 411 | 791 | 416 | 1274 | 676 | 973 | 611 | 967 | 511 | 472 | 1103 | 2072 | 2174 |
| Traps | 108 | 153 | 111 | 128 | 177 | 135 | 170 | 119 | 113 | 80 | 114 | 95 | 121 | 41 | 70 | 80 | 57 | 83 | 70 | 85 | 114 | 115 | 140 | 107 | 165 | 295 | 394 |

Figures


Figure 1: Chevron trap normalized Delta-GLM standardized CPUE (error bars $=95 \%$ CI) for Vermilion Snapper from 1990-2016. The dotted line represents the mean value for the time series.


Figure 2: Diagnostic plots for the Bernouli submodel of the Delta-GLM standardized chevron trap CPUE index of Vermillion Snapper. A) Frequency of proportion positive trap sets over the time series and residuals are plotted for the covariates B) Year; C) Depth; D) Season; E) Latitude; F) Temperature.


Figure 3: Diagnostic plots for positive trap sets of the Delta-GLM standardized chevron trap CPUE index of Vermillion Snapper. Residuals are plotted for the covariates A) Year; B) Depth; C) Temperature; D) Latitude.
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