Population Structure and Genetic Diversity of Red Snapper (*Lutjanus campechanus*) in the U.S. South Atlantic and Connectivity with Red Snapper in the Gulf of Mexico

John R. Gold and David S. Portnoy

SEDAR41-RD32

11 July 2014



1	POPULATION STRUCTURE AND GENETIC DEMOGRAPHY OF RED
2	SNAPPER (LUTJANUS CAMPECHANUS) IN THE U.S. SOUTH ATLANTIC
3	AND CONNECTIVITY WITH RED SNAPPER IN THE GULF OF MEXICO
4	
5	JOHN R. GOLD AND DAVID S. PORTNOY
6	Center for Biosystematics and Biodiversity
7	Texas A&M University
8	College Station, Texas77843-2258
9	
10	FINAL REPORT
11	
12	MARFIN GRANT NO. NA10NMF4330114
13	
14	
15	NOVEMBER 2013
16	
17	

18 I. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Genetic population structure of red snapper, Lutjanus campechanus, sampled from five 19 20 localities along the southeastern coast of the United States (Atlantic) and from three localities in the northeastern Gulf of Mexico (eastern Gulf) was assessed using genotypes at16 nuclear-21 22 encoded microsatellites and 590 base pairs of the mitochondrialy encoded (mtDNA) ND4 gene. Genotypes at all 16 microsatellites in all localities sampled did not deviate significantly from the 23 24 expectations of Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium, following Bonferroni correction. MtDNA haplotypes at the eight sample localities consisted primarily of two common haplotypes and 25 numerous rare haplotypes. Conventional approaches (i.e., global exact tests, pairwise tests of F_{ST} 26 or $\Phi_{ST} = 0$, hierarchical analysis of molecular variance) to test homogeneity of microsatellite 27 28 alleles and genotypes and mtDNA haplotypes between and among localities and between pooled localities in the Atlantic versus pooled localities in the eastern Gulf, were non-significant. 29 Mantel tests of a correlation between genetic and geographic distance (significant for mtDNA 30 but non-significant for microsatellites) and a nearest-neighbor analysis of mtDNA suggested an 31 32 isolation-by distance effect, possibly reflecting female philopatry or limited spatial geographic movement of females relative to males. A metric of selective neutrality of mtDNA haplotypes 33 34 was significant at seven of the localities prior to Bonferroni correction and remained significant following correction at two localities, suggesting possible demographic differences among the 35 localities. A Bayesian approach to estimation of F-statistics produced significant, non-zero 36 estimates of the parameters θ^{I} and θ^{II} (reflecting historical and contemporaneous variance in 37 microsatellite allele frequencies, respectively) among sample localities in the Atlantic, among 38 39 sample localities in the eastern Gulf, and between sample localities in the Atlantic (pooled) versus sample localities in the eastern Gulf (pooled). Attempts to estimate contemporaneous 40 effective size largely resulted in infinite point estimates or infinitely bounded confidence 41 intervals. Estimates of average, long-term genetic effective size (N_{eLT}) among localities ranged 42 from 826 to 2,111 but did not differ significantly from one another; estimates of N_{eLT} for 43 localities from the Atlantic (pooled) and localities from the Gulf (pooled) were 3,930 and 4,114, 44 45 respectively. The estimate of N_{eLT} for all eight localities (pooled) was 6,267. The sum of the estimates of N_{eLT} for the five localities in the Atlantic was 6,450, considerably larger that the 46 47 global estimate (3,930) for the region. This is consistent with the 'propagule pool' model where migrants come primarily from a nearby subpopulation or stock and where a metapopulation is 48

49 subdivided into groups with different demographic rates (e.g., average survival and/or reproduction). The sum of the estimates of N_{eLT} for the three localities in the eastern Gulf was 50 4,414, close to the global estimate (4,114) for that region, suggesting few demographic 51 differences among the three eastern-Gulf localities. The sum of the estimates of N_{eLT} for the two 52 regions (8,005) is larger than the global estimate for all eight localities (6,267), suggesting that 53 the two regions differ demographically. Estimates of average, long-term migration rates (m)54 between the two regions were 0.0033 (Gulf into the Atlantic) and 0.0021 (Atlantic into the Gulf) 55 and did not differ significantly from one another. Because the estimates of N_{eLT} and of m for the 56 two regions did not differ, we used an average estimate of N_{eLT} (4,022) and of m (0.0027) to 57 generate a long-term mN_e estimate of 10.86, the effective number of migrants moving in either 58 direction from one region to the other. Estimates of N_{eLT} represent a weighted harmonic mean of 59 effective size (N_e) over a period of $4N_e$ generations, with greater weight on more recent 60 generations and on smaller values of N_e . The estimate of m (0.33%) between the two regions is 61 considerably less than the 10% rate, suggested for contemporaneous migration, beyond which 62 populations are not considered to be demographically independent. Results of the study are 63 64 consistent with slight genetic and demographic heterogeneity among localities within the two regions, particularly within the Atlantic, and between the two regions. The heterogeneity may 65 66 reflect the metapopulation structure hypothesized previously for red snapper in the northern Gulf. In summary, there is evidence that genetic and demographic heterogeneity occurs among 67 68 red snapper across the geographic region surveyed. The signal, however, is weak and precludes definition of geographic boundaries of subpopulations or stocks. More robust genetic 69 70 approaches (e.g., RADseq) that utilize next-generation sequencing to screen thousands of genetic markers, and have the capability to identify genomic regions under selection are the next logical 71 72 step in assessing population structure, genetic demography, and connectivity of red snapper 73 across its range in U.S. waters.

74

75 **II. PURPOSE**

Red snapper *Lutjanus campechanus* (Poey 1860) have historically supported important
commercial and recreational fisheries along the Atlantic Coast of the southeastern United States
(hereafter Atlantic) and the northern (U.S.) coast of the Gulf of Mexico (hereafter Gulf) (Allman
and Grimes 2002). Commercial landings of red snapper in the Gulf, for example, averaged 2.6

80 million pounds between 2007 and 2011, with an average dockside value of \$9.6 million; while recreational fishing in the Gulf in 2011 involved > 375,000 target trips and \$52.8 million in 81 82 output impact (GMFMC 2013). Until recently, the major focus in terms of management has been red snapper in the Gulf, where the stock has been considered over-fished and to be 83 undergoing overfishing since at least the late 1980s when the initial rebuilding plan was 84 formulated (Strelcheck and Hood 2007). Although red snapper in the Gulf remain over-fished 85 (NOAA 2012; SEDAR 2013), an assessment of red snapper in the U.S. South Atlantic indicated 86 that red snapper are not only overfished since 1960 but that overfishing is occurring at several 87 times the sustainable level (SEDAR 2008). Factors impacting the decline in red snapper are 88 89 thought to include high mortality due to directed fisheries, habitat alteration and degradation and mortality of juveniles taken as unintentional harvest (bycatch) in the shrimp fishery, which 90 appears to have been a factor in the Gulf (Schirripa and Legault 1997; Christman 1997; Ortiz et 91 al. 2000). 92

93 Red snapper resources in the Atlantic and Gulf are currently managed as separate stocks (Cowan 2011). Genetics studies of adult red snapper, utilizing both nuclear and mitochondrial 94 95 DNA (mtDNA) markers, have found little evidence of significant population structure across the northern Gulf (Gold et al. 1997: Pruett et al. 2005; Saillant and Gold 2006); Saillant et al. (2010), 96 97 however, did detect a significant, positive spatial autocorrelation of microsatellite genotypes among age 0 fish sampled within a geographic range of 50–100 km. The lone genetic study of 98 99 red snapper from both the Atlantic and the Gulf (Garber et al. 2004) involved sequences of the hypervariable, mitochondrial (mtDNA) control region among four localities in the Gulf (140 100 101 fish) and one locality in the Atlantic (35 fish). No differences in mtDNA haplotype frequencies were detected, consistent with the null hypothesis that red snapper in the five localities 102 103 comprised a single, genetic population. MtDNA haplotype diversities (the probability of 104 randomly sampling different haplotypes) in the localities sampled, however, ranged from 0.936 to 1.000 (average across localities of 0.973), indicating that most samples were comprised of 105 unique, singleton mtDNA haplotypes. Effective testing of statistical homogeneity (the null 106 107 hypothesis) was thus seriously constrained, leaving equivocal the question of whether red 108 snapper from the two regions comprised a unit stock.

Alternatively, life-history data, results of tagging, and/or otolith microchemistry indicate
there could be different stocks both within the Gulf and between the Gulf and Atlantic.

111 Significant differences in red snapper reproductive biology (Jackson et al. 2007), growth rate (Fischer et al. 2004), and effective population size (Saillant and Gold 2006) have been found 112 among localities in the Gulf, and Brown-Peterson et al. (2009) found differences in reproductive 113 biology between red snapper sampled along the east coast of Florida and the Dry Tortugas. 114 115 Studies of red snapper in the Gulf, based on tagging and/or ultrasonic telemetry, have been more 116 equivocal as some (Fable 1980; Szedlmayer 1997; Schroepfer and Szedlmayer 2006; Strelcheck et al. 2007) have shown relatively high site fidelity, while others (Watterson et al. 1998; 117 Patterson et al. 2001; Patterson and Cowan 2003) have reported lower site fidelity. However, 118 119 there is little to no evidence from tagging studies for movement of red snapper between the 120 Atlantic and the Gulf, and what limited data there are indicate high site fidelity, at least in the 121 Atlantic. Burns et al. (2004) tagged and released roughly 5,000 red snapper in the Atlantic and 122 Gulf (~40% were released in the Atlantic between Cape Canaveral, Florida, to Georgia). Approximately 44% of the more than 400 recaptures were taken within less than 2 km of the 123 124 tagging site and only 2% or so of the recaptures had moved more than ≥ 160 km. Two smaller 125 studies carried out in the Atlantic also indicated relatively little movement away from the tagging site (SEDAR 2008). 126

In this study, we used nuclear-encoded microsatellites and sequences of mtDNA to assess
genetic population structure of red snapper sampled in the Atlantic and the eastern Gulf.
Characterizing population structure is essential because failure to recognize population structure
within an exploited fishery may lead to over-exploitation and depletion of a localized, undetected
stock and result in the loss of unique genetic resources inherent in that stock (Carvalho and
Hauser 1994; Begg et al. 1999; Hilborn et al. 2003). Loss of genetic resources can compromise
long-term sustainability (Hilborn et al. 2003), and for fisheries undergoing rebuilding, failure to

134 recognize cryptic stocks can result in failure to anticipate recruitment in those non-identified units (Ruzzante et al. 1999). We also attempted to estimate the effective number of breeders (N_b) 135 and the average, long-term effective (N_{eLT}) size at each sample locality. N_b is an estimate of the 136 effective number of breeding individuals in a subpopulation (Waples 1990), while N_{eLT} reflects 137 the long-term, relative effects of genetic drift and selection. As long-term sustainability requires 138 139 maintenance of sufficient genetic resources (Allendorf and Waples 1996), stocks with small N_{b} and/or N_e potentially may suffer reduced capacity to respond to changing or novel environmental 140 pressures (Frankham 1995; Higgins and Lynch 2001). Finally, we estimated the average, long-141 142 term migration rates between the Gulf and the Atlantic.

143

144 III. APPROACH

A total of 669 adult red snapper were sampled dockside between 2008 and 2011 from boats 145 146 fishing offshore of North Carolina (NC), South Carolina (SC), Georgia (GA), Daytona, Florida 147 (DA), and Melbourne, Florida (ML) in the U.S. South Atlantic (hereafter Atlantic), and offshore of Sarasota, Florida (SA), the Florida Middle Grounds (MG), and Panama City, Florida (PC) in 148 149 the eastern Gulf of Mexico (hereafter Gulf). Approximate fishing localities are indicated in 150 Figure 1. Tissue samples (fin clips) were obtained by personnel from several state or federal agencies (see Acknowledgements), fixed in 10% DMSO buffer (Seutin et al. 1991), and mailed 151 152 to our laboratory in College Station. DNA was extracted following a modified chelex extraction protocol (Estoup et al. 1996); following final centrifugation, 1 µL of the supernatant was used as 153 the template in subsequent polymerase-chain-reaction (PCR) amplification. 154

All fish were genotyped at 16 nuclear-encoded microsatellites, following multiplex PCR protocols described in Renshaw et al. (2006) and using PCR primers described in Bagley and Geller (1998) and Gold et al. (2001). Amplicons were electrophoresed and visualized on 6%

158	polyacrylamide gels, using an ABI Prism 377 automated sequencer (Applied Biosystems).
159	Allele-calling was conducted manually, using GENESCAN v.3.1.2 (Applied Biosystems Inc.,
160	Warrington, UK) and GENOTYPER v.2.5 (Perkin Elmer). A fragment of the mitochondrially-
161	encoded NADH dehydrogenase subunit 4 (ND4) gene was amplified for 20 individuals from
162	each locality, using primers ND4LB (Bielawski and Gold 2002) and NAP2 (Arevalo et al. 1994).
163	Thirty microliter PCR reactions consisted of 1x reaction buffer, 1.45 mM MgCl ₂ , 0.25 mM of
164	each dNTP, 30 pmol of each primer, 0.1 U/ μ L <i>Taq</i> polymerase, and 2 μ L of DNA template.
165	Reaction conditions consisted of an initial denaturation at 95 °C for 3 min, followed by 35 cycles
166	of 95 °C for 30 s, 50 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 45 s, and a final extension at 72 °C for 10 min.
167	Amplified products were purified with ExoSAP-IT TM PCR cleanup kit (GE Healthcare,
168	Piscataway, NJ, USA) and sequenced bi-directionally, using BigDye Terminator v.3.1 Cycle
169	Sequencing Kit (Applied Biosystems). Five microliter sequencing reactions consisted of 10–50
170	ng of template, 0.5 μ L of BigDye master mix, 0.875 μ L of BigDye 5x reaction buffer, and 32
171	pmol of forward or reverse primer. Sequencing conditions consisted of denaturation at 96°C for
172	1 min followed by 25 cycles of 96°C for 10 s, 50°C for 5 s, and 60°C for 4 min. Amplifications
173	were electrophoresed on an ABI 3100 Sequencer (Applied Biosystems) through 50 cm
174	capillaries. Sequence chromatograms were aligned and trimmed to a common 590 base pair
175	region, using SEQUENCHER 4.8 (Gene Codes Corporation).
176	Number of alleles, allelic richness, unbiased gene diversity (expected heterozygosity), and
177	the inbreeding coefficient F_{IS} (Weir and Cockerham1984)) were calculated for each
178	microsatellite in each locality, using FSTAT v.2.9.3.2 (Goudet 2001). Conformance to
179	expectations of Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) was tested for each microsatellite in each
180	locality, using exact tests as implemented in GENEPOP v.4.0.7 (Raymond & Rousset 1995;

181 Rousset 2008). Parameters of the Markov Chain employed in estimation were 10,000 182 dememorizations, 1,000 batches, and 10,000 iterations per batch. Sequential Bonferroni correction (Rice 1989) was applied for all multiple tests performed simultaneously. Possible 183 occurrence of scoring error due to stuttering, large allele dropout, and/or null alleles was 184 evaluated for each microsatellite in each locality, using MICROCHECKER (van Oosterhout et al. 185 2004). Likelihood-ratio tests of genotypic disequilibrium between pairs of microsatellite within 186 each locality were carried out using ARLEQUIN v.3.5 (Excoffier & Lischer 2010). Homogeneity 187 of allelic richness and unbiased gene diversity among localities was assessed using Friedman 188 189 rank tests, as implemented in R (R Core Team 2013). For mtDNA sequences, number of 190 haplotypes, haplotype diversity (h), and nucleotide diversity (π) were obtained for each sample, using ARLEQUIN. Selective neutrality of mtDNA sequences was assessed using Fu and Li's 191 (1993) D^* and F^* statistics and Fu's (1997) F_S statistic, as implemented in DNASP v.5 (Librado 192 & Rozas 2009) and ARLEQUIN, respectively. 193

Tests of homogeneity of allele and genotype distributions (microsatellites) and haplotype 194 distribution (mtDNA) among localities employed exact tests as implemented in GENEPOP; exact 195 probabilities were estimated using the same Markov chain approach as above for tests of HWE. 196 197 The degree of divergence in microsatellites and mtDNA between pairs of localities was estimated as F_{ST} and Φ_{ST} , respectively, using ARLEQUIN. For mtDNA, Φ_{ST} values were 198 estimated under a Tamura-Nei substitution model (Tamura and Nei 1993) with a gamma shape 199 200 parameter of 0.198, as selected by JMODELTEST v.2.1.1 (Guindon and Gascuel 2003, Darriba et al. 2012). Significance of F_{ST} and Φ_{ST} values between pairs of localities was assessed by 201 permuting individuals between localities 10,000 times. Correction for multiple testing involved 202 sequential Bonferroni adjustment. Hierarchical analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA), as 203

204 implemented in ARLEOUIN, was conducted for both microsatellites and mtDNA by grouping Atlantic localities (NC, SC, GA, DA, and ML) and Gulf localities (SA, MG, and PC) separately; 205 significance of the between-group component of variance was assessed by permuting sample 206 localities between groups 10,000 times. Mantel tests, implemented in ARLEQUIN, were used to 207 208 test for correlation between genetic distance and geographic distance for both microsatellites and mtDNA. Distance matrices contained pairwise measures of genetic distance, coded as $F_{ST}/1-F_{ST}$ 209 (microsatellites) or $\Phi_{ST}/1$ - Φ_{ST} (mtDNA), and linear coastline geographic distance, and were 210 permuted 10,000 times to assess significance. Hudson's (2000) S_{nn} test was applied to the 211 212 mtDNA data set to determine whether 'nearest neighbor' haplotypes (in terms of sequence identity) were sampled within the same locality more often than would be expected in a 213 panmictic population. The test was performed considering each sample locality separately, the 214 215 Atlantic sample localities pooled, and the Gulf sample localities pooled. To visualize relationships among haplotypes between the Atlantic and Gulf, a minimum-spanning network of 216 mtDNA haplotypes was constructed using NETWORK v.4.6.11 (http://www.fluxus-217 engineering.com/). 218

Two alternative approaches to testing spatial genetic homogeneity, based on microsatellite 219 220 data, were used to assess between/among population divergence. The first employed the Bayesian framework in HICKORY v 1.1 (Holsinger and Lewis 2002). This approach relaxes the 221 assumption that allele frequencies are uncorrelated among populations, an assumption that does 222 223 not necessarily hold when a small to moderately large number of populations are sampled (Song et al. 2006). Under this framework, HICKORY estimates a number of parameters, including: θ' , 224 which corresponds to Wright's (1951) F_{ST} and reflects variance in allele frequencies across 225 evolutionary time, and θ^{II} , an analogue to Weir and Cockerham's (1984) θ and which reflects 226

227	contemporaneous variation between/among populations. HICKORY also provides estimates of
228	rho (ρ), the among-population correlation of allele frequencies (Holsinger and Lewis 2002).
229	Microsatellite data were separated into three partitions for separate HICKORY runs: (i) the five
230	sample localities from the Atlantic; (ii) the three sample localities from the Gulf; and (iii) all
231	sample localities from the Atlantic (pooled) and all sample localities from the Gulf (pooled).
232	Each partition was were run in duplicate under the 'full' model in HICKORY, with a burn-in
233	period of 500,000 steps, followed by 2 x 10^8 steps, with samples taken every 100 steps. The R
234	package BOA (Smith 2005) was used to ensure convergence of posterior distributions, combine
235	chains between replicates, and compute 95% HPD estimates for combined chains.
236	Estimates of the effective number of breeders (N_b) were generated for each sample locality,
237	using microsatellite data and the linkage disequilibrium method implemented in LDNE (Waples
238	2006, Waples & Do 2008). Rare alleles below a frequency of 0.02 were excluded from
239	calculations, following Portnoy et al. (2009); confidence intervals were obtained by jackknifing.
240	Estimates of average, long-term effective population size (N_{eLT}) for each sample locality and for
241	Atlantic localities (pooled) and Gulf localities (pooled) and estimates of average, long-term
242	migration rate (m) between the Atlantic and Gulf were generated using microsatellite data and
243	MIGRATE-N. A random subsample ($n = 50$; the smallest individual sample size) was drawn from
244	each locality and replicate runs were combined to generate parameter estimates of theta (θ) and
245	<i>M</i> (mutation-scaled migration rate), where $\theta = 4N_e\mu$ (N_e is the average, long-term effective
246	population size $[N_{eLT}]$ and μ is the modal mutation rate across all microsatellites per generation)
247	and $M = m/\mu$. Estimates of μ were obtained using the Bayesian coalescent approach
248	implemented in MSVAR v1.3 (Beaumont 1999, Storz and Beaumont 2002). BOA (Smith 2005)
249	was used to estimate the 95% highest posterior density (HPD) interval for the modal value of μ .

Lower and upper bounds for N_{eLT} and *m* were estimated using 95% HPD intervals of θ and *M* generated by MIGRATE-N. Estimates of *m* (migration rate) were generated only for pooled sampled from the Atlantic versus pooled samples from the Gulf.

253

IV. FINDINGS

Summary statistics for microsatellite data are given in Appendix 1. The mean $(\pm SE)$ 255 number of alleles across microsatellites ranged from 7.44 \pm 1.11(PA) to 9.69 \pm 1.12 (ML); mean 256 (\pm SE) allelic richness ranged from 7.40 \pm 1.11 (PC) to 8.12 \pm 0.97 (ML); and mean (\pm SE) gene 257 diversity ranged from 0.583 ± 0.05 (PC) to 0.596 ± 0.05 (ML). Significant deviation from HWE 258 259 equilibrium prior to Bonferroni correction was found in 13 of 128 tests; no significant deviations 260 were found following correction. Possible null alleles, as inferred by MICROCHECKER, were detected at Lca107 (NC), Ra6 (SA), Lca43 (MG), and Prs221 (PC). Following Bonferroni 261 correction, two pairwise tests of genotypic disequilibrium were significant: Lca20-Lca107 and 262 263 Lca20-Prs328, both in SC. Friedman rank tests of homogeneity across sample localities in allelic richness (A_R) and gene diversity (H_E) were non-significant (A_R: $\chi^2_{[7,15]} = 4.01$, P = 0.778; 264 H_E : $\chi^2_{[7,15]} = 3.12$, P = 0.874). Summary statistics for mtDNA data also are given in Appendix 1. 265 A total of 39 haplotypes were found among the 160 individuals surveyed. Estimates of 266 haplotype (h) and nucleotide (π) diversity ranged from 0.658 (PC) to 0.905 (ML) and 0.050 267 (MG) to 0.185 (ML), respectively. Fu & Li's (1993) D* and F* statistics were negative in all 268 269 sample localities and significant prior to but not following Bonferroni correction in SC and MG; all other D^* and F^* statistics did not differ significantly from zero. Fu's (1997) F_S statistic was 270 271 negative and significant prior to Bonferroni correction in all sample localities except GA; following correction, F_S statistics differed significantly from zero only in SC and SA. 272

Global exact tests of homogeneity in microsatellite allele and genotype distributions and in 273 mtDNA haplotype distribution across all 16 microsatellites were non-significant (allelic: P =274 0.072; genotypic: P = 0.150; haplotypic: P = 0.347). Pairwise estimates of F_{ST} (microsatellites) 275 and Φ_{ST} (mtDNA) are given in Table 1; none of the pairwise comparisons F_{ST} or Φ_{ST} values 276 differed significantly from zero. Hierarchical AMOVA revealed that the component of molecular 277 variance allocated to between regions (Atlantic versus Gulf) was non-significant for both 278 279 microsatellites (% variance = 0.02, P = 0.319) and mtDNA (% variance = 1.21, P = 0.106). Mantel tests of correlation between genetic and geographic distances were non-significant (P =280 0.161) for microsatellites, but significant (P = 0.023) for mtDNA. Hudson's nearest-neighbor 281 test (mtDNA only) was non-significant (P = 0.191) when considering all sample localities 282 separately, but significant (P = 0.038) when samples were pooled within Gulf and Atlantic 283 284 regions. The minimum-spanning network of mtDNA haplotypes by regional locality (Gulf and/or Atlantic) is presented in Figure 2. The distribution of haplotypes in all eight sample 285 localities (Appendix 2) consisted primarily of two common haplotypes (#2 and #4) and 286 287 numerous rare haplotypes. A total of 21 haplotypes were unique to the Atlantic, while 12 haplotypes were unique to the Gulf. At least four putative clades of two or three haplotypes were 288 found in the Atlantic; none were found in the Gulf. 289 Bayesian analysis of population structure, using HICKORY, produced estimates of θ^{I} and θ^{II} 290

(Table 2) that differed significantly from zero among sample localities in the Atlantic, among sample localities in the Gulf, and between sample localities in the Atlantic (pooled) versus sample localities in the Gulf (pooled). Estimate(s) θ^{I} were an order of magnitude greater than estimates of θ^{II} . For all three approaches, mean estimates of ρ from replicate runs were greater than 0.95 and differed significantly from zero.

296 Estimates of N_b (not shown but available upon request) for seven of the eight sample localities had infinite upper bounds. The lone exception was SC where the upper bound was 297 greater than 10,000. Estimates (and 95% confidence limits) of theta (θ) and estimates of average 298 long-term effective size (N_{eLT}) for each sample locality, for localities from the Atlantic (pooled) 299 and localities from the Gulf (pooled) and for all eight localities (pooled) are given in Table 3; 300 N_{eLT} values were estimated according to $\theta = 4N_e\mu$ and a modal value of μ , obtained from MSVAR, 301 of 3.00 x 10⁻⁴. Estimates of N_{eLT} across sample localities ranged from 826.15 (PC) to 2,111.28 302 (SA); none of the estimates of N_{eLT} differed significantly from one another. Estimates of N_{eLT} for 303 304 Atlantic localities (pooled) and Gulf localities (pooled) were 3,930.50 and 4,114.07, respectively, and did not differ significantly from another. The estimate of N_{eLT} for all eight localities pooled 305 was 6,267.08. Finally, the estimate of average, long-term migration rate (m) from the Atlantic to 306 307 the Gulf was 0.21% (95% CI: 0.04% - 0.77%), while the rate from the Gulf to the Atlantic was 0.33% (95% CI: 0.07% – 1.11%). Because the estimates of N_{eLT} and of m for the two regions did 308 not differ, we used an average estimate of N_{eLT} (4,022) and of m (0.0027) to generate an average, 309 long-term estimate of 10.86 (mN_e) , the effective number of migrants moving in either direction 310 from one region to the other. 311

312

313 V. EVALUATION

314 Conventional approaches (i.e., global exact tests, pairwise tests of F_{ST} or $\Phi_{ST}=0$,

hierarchical AMOVA) to test spatial homogeneity of microsatellite alleles and genotypes and

316 mtDNA haplotypes between and among localities and between pooled localities in the Atlantic

versus pooled localities in the Gulf, were non-significant. We also found no differences in levels

of (microsatellite) variability among localities. These findings are consistent with most prior

genetics studies (Gold et al. 1997, Heist and Gold 2000, Gold et al. 2001; Pruett et al. 2005;

320 Saillant and Gold 2006) of adult red snapper in the northern Gulf where significant spatial 321 heterogeneity of alleles, genotypes, or haplotypes was not detected. Spatial genetic differences do exist in the Gulf, however, as Saillant et al. (2010) found significant heterogeneity in 322 323 microsatellite allele and genotype distributions among age 0 fish sampled at small spatial scales in the western and central Gulf and a significant, positive spatial autocorrelation of microsatellite 324 325 genotypes within the geographic range 50-100 km. These findings were consistent with a metapopulation model suggested by Pruett et al. (2005) and Saillant et al. (2006). In the latter, 326 significant differences in variance effective size (N_{eV}) also were reported across localities and 327 328 were correlated with findings of differences in growth rates (Fischer et al., 2004) and in size and age of female maturation (Jackson e al. 2007). The lone genetic study of red snapper sampled 329 from both the Atlantic and Gulf (Garber et al. 2004) involved sequences of the hypervariable, 330 331 mitochondrial (mtDNA) control region sampled from four localities in the Gulf (140 fish) and one locality in the Atlantic (35 fish). No differences in mtDNA haplotype frequencies were 332 detected, consistent with the null hypothesis that red snapper from the five localities comprised a 333 334 single, genetic population. Most of the mtDNA haplotypes in that study, however, were singleton (unique) mtDNA haplotypes, seriously constraining the power to test the null 335 336 hypothesis of homogeneity.

Other approaches used to test spatial genetic homogeneity within and between the two regions (Atlantic and Gulf) were not consistent with a single, well-mixed genetic unit. Mantel tests revealed a weak but significant correlation between genetic and geographic distance in mtDNA haplotypes, but not microsatellite genotypes, and analysis of mtDNA haplotypes indicated that 'nearest-neighbor' haplotypes were sampled within the same geographic locality more often than would be expected in a randomly sampled panmictic population. These results

343 indicate that mtDNA haplotypes are not distributed uniformly across the two regions and that female-mediated gene flow, but not necessarily male-mediated, could be negatively correlated 344 with coastline geographic distance. This pattern of isolation by distance would then suggest 345 346 either female philopatry or limited spatial geographic movement of females relative to males. Most examples of philopatry, including female philopatry, in non-anadromous, marine fishes not 347 348 restricted to coral reefs involve sharks (Heuter et al. 2004; Keeney et al 2005) although there is evidence of philopatry in two estuarine-dependent sciaenids (Gold et al. 1999; Thorrold et al. 349 2001). Pruett et al. (2005) used nested-clade analysis of red snapper mtDNA haplotypes from 350 351 the Gulf and found historically restricted, female gene flow due to isolation by distance. Alternatively, Gold et al. (1997) did not find evidence of a significant spatial autocorrelation 352 (also indicative of isolation by distance) of mtDNA haplotypes from adult red snapper sampled 353 354 over three years at nine localities in the Gulf stretching from northern through the Gulf to the Florida panhandle. The isolation-by-distance of mtDNA haplotypes found in this study covered 355 the area from the Florida panhandle to the North Carolina coast, including samples from the west 356 357 and east coasts of Florida, possibly suggesting reduced (female) gene flow around peninsular Florida. In addition, a network of mtDNA haplotypes revealed at least four clades of two or 358 three haplotypes occurring in the Atlantic but not the Gulf, and Fu's (1997) F_S metric was 359 negative and significant before Bonferroni correction at seven of the eight localities sampled and 360 at the samples from South Carolina (Atlantic) and Sarasota (Gulf) following correction. The 361 362 difference in number of small clades detected may stem in large part from the difference in sample size (477 individuals from the Atlantic vs. 191 individuals from the Gulf). The F_{s} 363 approach, however, detects population growth and/or genetic hitch-hiking (Fu 1997), and 364 365 negative F_S values (based on mtDNA data) indicate either a 'selective sweep' or a recent

reduction in female effective size, both of which can result in an excess of rare mtDNA variants
over those expected under a neutral model (Kaplan et al. 1989). Together, these observations
suggest geographic limits to red snapper female gene flow and possible demographic differences
affecting female effective size.

Bayesian estimates of the population parameters θ^{I} (long-term heterogeneity) and θ^{II} 370 (contemporaneous heterogeneity) were significant and non-zero among localities in the Atlantic, 371 372 among localities in the Gulf, and between Atlantic localities (pooled) and Gulf localities (pooled). Allele frequencies in all comparison groups were highly correlated ($\rho > 0.95$) and 373 significantly different from zero, violating the assumption of uncorrelated allele frequencies 374 implicit in approaches estimating parameters such as Φ_{ST} (Song et al. 2006). That both θ^{I} and θ^{II} 375 were significantly different than zero suggests that the pattern of genetic heterogeneity that exists 376 377 today within the Atlantic, within the Gulf, and between the two likely reflects patterns that have existed historically. Estimates of θ^{I} , however, were more than an order of magnitude greater 378 than estimates of θ^{II} . Song et al. (2006) found that large estimates of θ^{I} may reflect a tendency 379 for estimators of θ^{II} to overestimate homogenizing effects of long-term gene flow, particularly if 380 allele frequencies between populations are highly correlated, suggesting that values of θ^{II} 381 estimated here could be underestimates. Regardless, the non-zero estimates of θ^{I} and θ^{II} are 382 consistent with genetic heterogeneity of red snapper within the Atlantic, within the Gulf, and 383 between the two. 384

Estimates of the effective number of breeders (N_b) for all but one of the localities sampled had infinite upper bounds, suggesting a uniformly large N_b across the localities sampled (Waples and Do 2010). However, estimates of N_b generated from adult samples are difficult to interpret because they are influenced by the effective number of breeders (N_b) that generated each cohort

389 in a sample (Waples 2005). Red snapper can live for over 50 years (Wilson and Nieland 2001) 390 and mature sexually between age two and four (Schirripa and Legault 1997; Fitzhugh et al. 2004). Almost all fish sampled here were adults, meaning that fish in our samples from different 391 cohorts could have shared a parent or parents, severely compromising estimation of N_b . 392 Estimates of average, long-term genetic effective size (N_{eLT}) among localities ranged from 393 826 to 2,111 but did not differ significantly from one another; estimates of N_{eLT} for localities 394 from the Atlantic (pooled) and localities from the Gulf (pooled), respectively, were 3,930 and 395 4,114, respectively. The estimate of N_{eLT} for all eight localities (pooled) was 6,267. The sum of 396 397 the estimates of N_{eLT} for the five localities in the Atlantic was 6,450, considerably larger that the global estimate (3,930) for the region. This is consistent with a 'propagule pool' model (Waples 398 399 2010) where migrants come primarily from a nearby subpopulation or stock and where a 400 metapopulation is subdivided into groups with different demographic rates (e.g., average survival and/or reproduction) (Waples 2010). The sum of the estimates of N_{eLT} for the three localities in 401 the eastern Gulf was 4,414, close to the global estimate (4,114) for that region, perhaps 402 403 suggesting fewer demographic differences among the three eastern Gulf localities. The sum of the estimates of N_{eLT} for the two regions (8,005) is larger than the global estimate for all eight 404 405 localities (6,267), suggesting that the two regions differ demographically. These comparisons also are consistent with genetic and demographic heterogeneity among localities within the two 406 regions, particularly within the Atlantic, and between the two regions. 407 408 Estimates of average, long-term migration rates (m) between the two regions were 0.0033 (Gulf into the Atlantic) and 0.0021 (Atlantic into the Gulf) and did not differ significantly from 409 410 one another. The parameter *m* is defined as the proportion of individuals in a subpopulation that

411 are migrants from an outside subpopulation, and is most often expressed as the parameter mN_e ,

412 the effective number of migrants entering a subpopulation each generation (Mills and Allendorf 1996). Because the estimates of N_{eLT} and of m for the two regions did not differ, we used an 413 average estimate of N_{eLT} (4,022) and of m (0.0027) to generate a long-term mN_e estimate of 10.86 414 which, theoretically, is the effective number of migrants moving in either direction from one 415 region to the other. Estimates of N_{eLT} represent a weighted harmonic mean of effective size (N_e) 416 over a period of 4Ne generations, with greater weight on more recent generations and on smaller 417 values of N_e (Beerli 2009; Hare et al. 2011). A final point to note is that the estimate of m 418 (0.33%) between the two regions is considerably less than the 10% rate, suggested for 419 420 contemporaneous migration (Waples 2010), beyond which populations are not considered to be 421 demographically independent.

To summarize, there is evidence that genetic and demographic heterogeneity occurs among 422 423 red snapper across the geographic region surveyed and that the species in U.S. waters is not distributed spatially as a single, panmictic population (stock). The heterogeneity may reflect the 424 metapopulation structure previously hypothesized for red snapper in the northern Gulf (Pruett et 425 426 al. 2005; Saillant and Gold 2006) and reinforced by the study of Saillant et al. (2010) of age 0 fish. The genetic signal, however, is weak and precludes straightforward definition of 427 428 geographic boundaries of individual subpopulations or stocks. This is fairly typical for marine fishes with large population densities and high dispersal capability assayed with selectively 429 neutral genetic markers such as microsatellites (Portnoy and Gold 2012). More robust genetic 430 431 approaches (e.g., RADseq) that utilize next-generation sequencing and allow genome-wide surveys of variation in single-nucleotide polymorphisms (Davey et al. 2011) is the next logical 432 step in assessing population structure, genetic demography, and connectivity of red snapper (and 433 434 other species) across its (their) range in U.S. waters. These new approaches have the capability

- to identify genetic markers (genome regions) that affect fitness on local scales and to quantify
- 436 allele frequencies at these markers across geographic space (Nielsen et al. 2009; Allendorf et al.
- 437 2010).
- 438

439 VI. TABLES

440 Table 1. Estimates of pairwise F_{ST} (microsatellites, upper diagonal) and Φ_{ST} (mtDNA, lower 441 diagonal) between all eight sample localities.

	NC	SC	GA	DA	ML	SA	MG	PC
NC	0	0.001	0.001	0.001	0	0	0.002	-0.001
SC	0.046	0	-0.001	-0.001	0	-0.001	0	0.001
GA	-0.023	0.036	0	0.001	-0.001	-0.001	0	0.002
DA	0.005	-0.018	-0.004	0	0	0.002	0	0.002
ML	-0.024	0.02	-0.036	-0.076	0	0	0	-0.001
SA	0.015	0.027	0.011	-0.023	-0.025	0	0.001	-0.001
MG	0.012	-0.028	0.018	-0.012	-0.087	-0.04	0	0
PC	0.092	0.056	0.059	-0.04	-0.025	-0.019	-0.025	0

Table 2. Global estimates of θ^I , θ^{II} , and ρ estimated using the Bayesian framework in HICKORY. θ^I is an estimate of FST that corresponds to allele frequency variance across evolutionary time; θ^{II} is a measure of contemporaneous differentiation among sample

locations, and ρ is the average correlation of allele frequencies among sample locations.

446

Samples		$ heta^I$			$ heta^{II}$			ρ	
	2.5%	Mode	97.5%	2.5%	Mode	97.5%	2.50%	Mean	97.50%
Within Atlantic	0.0375	0.0579	0.0641	0.0015	0.0017	0.0020	0.9552	0.9670	0.9759
Within Gulf	0.0491	0.0666	0.0861	0.0009	0.0011	0.0016	0.9750	0.9821	0.9877
Gulf/Atlantic (pooled)	0.0387	0.0548	0.0695	0.0007	0.0009	0.0010	0.9779	0.9843	0.9893

		θ		Ne_{LT}
	2.5%	Mode	97.5%	Mode
NC	0.86	1.61	2.36	1,343.54
SC	0.68	1.37	2.06	1,143.26
GA	0.52	1.21	1.90	1,009.74
DA	1.06	1.73	2.42	1,443.68
ML	1.08	1.81	2.58	1,510.44
SA	1.40	2.53	3.96	2,111.28
MG	1.08	1.77	2.44	1,477.06
PC	0.40	0.99	1.54	826.15
GULF	3.84	4.93	5.90	4,114.07
ATLANTIC	3.72	4.71	6.02	3,930.48
ALL	6.56	7.51	8.48	6,267.08

Table 3. Estimates and 95% confidence intervals for theta (θ), obtained from MIGRATE-N.

Estimates of N_{eLT} were generated from the relationship $\theta = 4N_{eLT}\mu$.



- 454 VII. FIGURES
- 456 Figure 1. Approximate sampling localities for red snapper in the U.S. Atlantic and eastern Gulf457 of Mexico.





Figure 2. Minimum-spanning network of ND4 mtDNA haplotypes: gray, haplotypes found in the Atlantic; black, haplotypes found in the Gulf. Each node (small circle) represents a unique haplotype; sizes of nodes are scaled to reflect the relative frequency of each haplotype. Lengths of lines connecting haplotypes reflect number of single-base substitutions between haplotypes; the shortest line is one base-pair substitution. Small nodes indicated by very small circles are inferred mtDNA haplotypes. Dotted lines surround putative clades found in the Atlantic.

- 469
- 470



471

473 VII: ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

- 474 We thank C. Collier and M. Duval (North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural
- 475 Resources), M. Reichert (South Carolina Department of Natural Resources), K. Knowlton and S.
- 476 Woodward (Georgia Department of Natural Resources), J. Bogdan, B. Kalmeyer, K. Kowal, B.
- 477 McMichael, and E. Sanders (Florida Wildlife Research Institute), and K. Brennan and B.
- 478 Walling (National Marine Fisheries Service) for providing tissue samples.

480 VIII. REFERENCES

- Allendorf F. W., Hohenlohe P. A, and Luikart, G. (2010) Genomics and the future of
 conservation genetics. Nature Reviews Genetics 11: 697–709.
- 483 Allendorf F. W. and Waples R. S. (1996) Conservation and genetics of salmonid fishes. In:
- 484 Conservation Genetics: Case Histories From Nature (J. C. Avise and J. L. Hamrick, eds.),
 485 Chapter8: 238–280, Chapman and Hall, NY.
- Allman R. J., and Grimes C. B. (2002) Temporal and spatial dynamics of spawning, settlement,
- 487 and growth of gray snapper (*Lutjanus griseus*) from the West Florida shelf as determined
 488 from otolith microstructures. Fisheries Bulletin 100: 391–403.
- 489 Arèvalo E., Davis S. K., and Sites J. W. (1994) Mitochondrial DNA sequence divergence and

490 phylogenetic relationships among eight chromosome races of the *Sceloporus grammicus*

- 491 complex (Phrynosomatidae) in central Mexico. Systematic Biology 43: 387–418.
- Bagley M. and Geller J. (1998) Characterization of microsatellite loci in the vermilion snapper
 Rhomboplites aurorubens (Percoidei: Lutjanidae). Molecular Ecology 7: 1089–1090.
- Beaumont M. A. (1999) Detecting population expansion and decline using microsatellites.
 Genetics 153: 2013–2029.
- Beerli P. (2006) Comparison of Bayesian and maximum-likelihood inference of population
 genetic parameters. Bioinformatics 22: 341–345.
- 498 Beerli P. (2009) How to use MIGRATE or why are Markov chain Monte Carlo programs
- difficult to use. Population Genetics for Animal Conservation 17: 42–79.
- Beerli P. and Felsenstein J. (2001) Maximum likelihood estimation of a migration matrix and
 effective population sizes in *n* subpopulations by using a coalescent approach. Proceedings
 of the National Academy of Science 98: 4563–4568.
- Beerli P. and Palczewski M. (2010) Unified framework to evaluate panmixia and migration
 direction among multiple sampling locations. Genetics 185: 313–26.
- Begg G. A., Friedland K. D., and Pearce J. B. (1999) Stock identification and its role in stock
 assessment and fisheries management: an overview. Fisheries Research 43: 1–8.
- Bielawski J. P. and Gold J. R. (2002) Mutation patterns of mitochondrial H-and L-strand DNA in
 closely related cyprinid fishes. Genetics 161: 1589–1597.

509	Brown-Peterson N. J., Burns K. M., and Overstreet R. M. (2009) Regional differences in Florida
510	red snapper reproduction. Proceedings of the Gulf and Caribbean Fisheries Institute 61: 149-
511	155.

- Burns K. M., Parnell N. F. and, Wilson R. R. (2004) Partitioning release mortality in the
- undersized red snapper bycatch: comparison of depth vs. hooking effects. Mote Technical
 Report 932: 1-43.
- 515 Carvalho G. R. (1994) Molecular genetics and the stock concept in fisheries. Reviews in Fish
 516 Biology and Fisheries 4: 326-350.
- 517 Christman, M. C. (1997). Peer review of red snapper (*Lutjanus campechanus*) research and
- 518 management in the Gulf of Mexico: statistics review. National Oceanic and Atmospheric
- 519 Administration, National Marine Fisheries Service, Office of Science and Technology,
- 520 Silver Spring, Maryland.
- 521 Cowan J. H., Grimes C. B., Patterson W. F., Walters C. J., Jones A. C., Lindberg W. J., Sheehy
- D. J., Pine W. E., Powers J. E., and Campbell M. D. (2011) Red snapper management in the
 Gulf of Mexico: science-or faith-based? Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries 21: 187–
 204.
- Darriba D., Taboada G. L., Doallo R., and Posada D. (2012) jModelTest 2: more models, new
 heuristics and parallel computing. Nature Methods 9: 772.
- 527 Davey J. W., Hohenlohe P. A., Etter P. D., Boone J. Q., Catchen J. M., and Blaxter M. L. (2011)
 528 Genome-wide genetic marker discovery and genotyping using next-generation sequencing.
 529 Nature Reviews Genetics 12: 499–510.
- Estoup A., Largiader C. R., Perrot E., and Chourrout D. (1996) Rapid one-tube DNA extraction
 for reliable PCR detection of fish polymorphic markers and transgenes. Molecular Marine
 Biology and Biotechnology 5: 295–298.
- 533 Excoffier L. and Lischer H. (2010) Arlequin suite ver 3.5: a new series of programs to perform
- population genetics analyses under Linux and Windows. Molecular Ecology Resources 10:
 535 564–567.
- 536 Fable Jr W. A. (1980) Tagging studies of red snapper (*Lutjanus campechanus*) and vermilion
- 537 snapper (*Rhomboplites aurorubens*) off the South Texas coast. Contributions in Marine
- 538 Science 23.

- 539 Fischer A. J., Baker M. S., and Wilson C. A. (2004) Red snapper (*Lutjanus campechanus*)
- demographic structure in the northern Gulf of Mexico based on spatial patterns in growth
 rates and morphometrics. Fisheries Bulletin 102: 593–603.
- 542 Fitzhugh G. R., Duncan M. S., Collins L. A., Walling W. T., and Oliver D. W. (2004)
- 543 Characterization of red snapper (*Lutjanus campechanus*) reproduction: for the 2004 Gulf of
- 544 Mexico SEDAR. NMFS, SEFSC, Panama City, Florida, Contribution: 1–4.
- Frankham R. (1995) Effective population size/adult population size ratios in wildlife: a review.
 Genetical Research 66: 95–107.
- Fu Y.-X. (1997) Statistical tests of neutrality of mutations against population growth, hitchhiking
 and background selection. Genetics 147: 915–925.
- 549 Fu Y. X. and Li W. H., (1993) Statistical tests of neutrality of mutations. Genetics 133: 693–709.
- Gallaway, B. J., Longnecker, M., Cole, J. G., and Meyer, R. M. (1998) Estimates of shrimp
- trawl bycatch of red snapper, *Lutjanus campechanus*, in the Gulf of Mexico. In: Fishery
- 552 Stock Assessment Models, pp. 817–839. Ed. by F. Funk, T. J. Quinn, J. Heifetz, J. N.
- Ianelli, J. E. Powers, J. F. Schweigert, and P. J. Sullivan. Alaska Sea Grant College
- 554 Program, Fairbanks, AK. 1054 pp.
- 555 GMFMC (Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council (2013) Framework action to set the
- ⁵⁵⁶ 2013 red snapper commercial and recreational quotas and modify the recreational bag limit
- 557 including draft environmental assessment, regulatory impact review, and regulatory
- 558 flexibility act analysis. Available at: http://www.gulfcouncil.org/docs/amendments/
- 559 Red%20Snapper%20Framework%20Action%20to%20Set%202013%20Quotas.pdf
- Garber A. F., Tringali M. D., and Stuck K. C. (2004) Population structure and variation in red
- snapper (*Lutjanus campechanus*) from the Gulf of Mexico and Atlantic coast of Florida as
 determined from mitochondrial DNA control region sequence. Marine Biotechnology 6:
- 563 175–85.
- Gold J. R., Pak E., and Richardson L. R. (2001) Microsatellite variation among red snapper
 (*Lutjanus campechanus*) from the Gulf of Mexico. Marine Biotechnology 3: 293–304.
- 566 Gold J. R., Richardson L. R., and Turner T. F. (1999) Temporal stability and spatial divergence
- of mitochondrial DNA haplotype frequencies in red drum (*Sciaenops ocellatus*) from coastal
- regions of the western Atlantic Ocean and Gulf of Mexico. Marine Biology 133: 593–602.

- Gold J. R., Sun F., and Richardson L. R. (1997) Population Structure of Red Snapper from the
 Gulf of Mexico as Inferred from Analysis of Mitochondrial DNA. Transactions of the
 American Fisheries Society 126: 386–396.
- Guindon S., and Gascuel O. (2003) A simple, fast, and accurate algorithm to estimate large
 phylogenies by maximum likelihood. Systematic Biology 52: 696–704.
- Hare M. P., Nunney L., Schwartz M. K., Ruzzante D. E., Burford M., Waples R. S., Ruegg K.,
 and Palstra F. (2011) Understanding and estimating effective population size for practical
 application in marine species management. Conservation Biology 25: 438–449.
- Heist E. J. and Gold J. R. (2000) DNA microsatellite loci and genetic structure of red snapper in
 the Gulf of Mexico. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 129: 469–475.
- Higgins K., and Lynch M. (2001) Metapopulation extinction caused by mutation accumulation.
 Proceedings of the National Academy of Science 98: 2928–2933.
- Hilborn R., Quinn T. P., Schindler D. E., and Rogers D. E. (2003) Biocomplexity and fisheries
 sustainability. Proceedings of the National Academy of Science 100: 6564.
- Holsinger K. E., and Lewis P. O. (2003) Hickory: a package for analysis of population genetic
 data v1. 1. Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Connecticut,
- 585 Storrs, CT. Available at: http://darwin.eeb.uconn.edu/hickory/documentation/Hickory.pdf
- Hudson R. R. (2000) A new statistic for detecting genetic differentiation. Genetics 155: 2011–
 2014.
- Hueter R. E., Heupel M. R., Heist E. J., and Keeney D. B. (2004) The implications of philopatry
 in sharks for the management of shark fisheries. Journal of Northwest Atlantic Fisheries
 Science 35: 239–247.
- Jackson M. W., Cowan J. H. Jr., Nieland D. L., Patterson W., and Fitzhugh G. R. (2007)
- 592 Demographic differences in northern Gulf of Mexico red snapper reproductive maturation:
 593 implications for the unit stock hypothesis. American Fisheries Society Symposium 60.
- American Fisheries Society, 5410 Grosvenor Ln. Ste. 110 Bethesda MD 20814-2199 USA.
- Kaplan N. L., Hudson R. R., and Langley C. H. (1989) The "hitchhiking effect" revisited.
 Genetics 123: 887–899.
- 597 Keeney D. B., Heupel M. R., Hueter R. E., and Heist E. J. (2005) Microsatellite and
- 598 mitochondrial DNA analyses of the genetic structure of blacktip shark (*Carcharhinus*

- *limbatus*) nurseries in the northwestern Atlantic, Gulf of Mexico, and Caribbean Sea.
 Molecular Ecology 14: 1911–1923.
- Librado P and Rozas J. (2009) DnaSP v5: a software for comprehensive analysis of DNA
 polymorphism data. Bioinformatics 25: 1451–1452.
- Mills L. S. and Allendorf F. W. (1996) The one-migrant-per-generation rule in conservation and
 management. Conservation Biology 10: 1509–1518.
- Nielsen E. E., Hemmer-Hansen J., Larsen P. F., and Bekkevold D. (2009) Population genomics
 of marine fishes: identifying adaptive variation in space and time. Molecular Ecology 18:
 3128–50.
- NOAA (2012) Status of stocks: Report on the status of U.S. fisheries for 2011 Report to
 Congress. National Marine Fisheries Service. Available at: http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov/.
- 610 van Oosterhout C., Hutchinson W. F., Wills D. P. M., and Shipley P. (2004) Micro-Checker:
- Software for Identifying and Correcting Genotyping Errors in Microsatellite Data.
 Molecular Ecology Notes 4: 535–538.
- Ortiz, M., C. M. Legault, and N. M. Ehrhardt (2000) An alternative method for estimating
 bycatch from the U.S. shrimp trawl fishery in the Gulf of Mexico, 1972–1995. Fisheries
 Bulletin 98:583–599.
- Patterson W. F. and Cowan J. H. (2003) Site fidelity and dispersion of red snapper associated
 with artificial reefs in the northern Gulf of Mexico. American Fisheries Society Symposium
 36: 181–193.
- Patterson III W. F., Watterson J. C., Shipp R. L., and Cowan, J. H., Jr. (2001) Movement of
 tagged red snapper in the northern Gulf of Mexico. Transactions of the American Fisheries
 Society 130: 533–545.
- Portnoy D. S. and Gold J. R. (2013) Finding geographic population structure in marine fish
 species with high gene flow. Gulf and Caribbean Fisheries Institute 65: 384–389.
- Portnoy D. S., McDowell J. R., McCandless C. T., Musick J. A., and Graves J. E. (2009)
- Effective size closely approximates the census size in the heavily exploited western Atlantic population of the sandbar shark, *Carcharhinus plumbeus*. Conservation Genetics 10: 1697–
- 627 1705.

- Pruett C. L., Saillant E., and Gold J. R. (2005) Historical population demography of red snapper
 (*Lutjanus campechanus*) from the northern Gulf of Mexico based on analysis of sequences
 of mitochondrial DNA. Marine Biology 147: 593–602.
- Raymond M. and Rousset F. (1995) GENEPOP (version 1.2): population genetics software for
 exact tests and ecumenicism. Journal of Heredity 86: 248–249.
- 633 Renshaw M. A., Saillant E., Bradfield S. C., and Gold J. R. (2006) Microsatellite multiplex
- panels for genetic studies of three species of marine fishes: red drum (*Sciaenops ocellatus*),
- red snapper (*Lutjanus campechanus*), and cobia (*Rachycentron canadum*). Aquaculture 253:
 731–735.
- 637 Rice W. R. (1989) Analyzing tables of statistical tests. Evolution 43: 223–225.
- Rousset F. (2008) genepop'007: a complete re-implementation of the genepop software for
 Windows and Linux. Molecular Ecology Resources 8: 103–106.
- 640 Ruzzante D. E., Taggart C. T., and Cook D. (1999) A review of the evidence for genetic
- structure of cod (*Gadus morhua*) populations in the NW Atlantic and population affinities of
 larval cod off Newfoundland and the Gulf of St. Lawrence. Fisheries Research 43: 79–97.
- 643 Saillant E., Bradfield S. C., and Gold J. R. (2010) Genetic variation and spatial autocorrelation
- among young-of-the-year red snapper (*Lutjanus campechanus*) in the northern Gulf of
 Mexico. ICES Journal of Marine Science 67: 1240–1250.
- 646 Saillant E. and Gold J. R. (2006) Population structure and variance effective size of red snapper
- 647 (*Lutjanus campechanus*) in the northern Gulf of Mexico. Fisheries Bulletin 104: 136–148.
- 648 Schirripa M. J. and Legault C. M. (1998) Status of red snapper in the US waters of the Gulf of
- 649 Mexico. NMFS, Sustainable Fisheries Division Contribution SFD-99/00-75: 30–98.
- 650 Schroepfer R. L. and Szedlmayer S. T. (2006) Estimates of residence and site fidelity for red
- 651 snapper *Lutjanus campechanus* on artificial reefs in the northeastern Gulf of Mexico.
- Bulletin of Marine Science 78: 93–101.
- 653 SEDAR (2008) Stock Assessment Report 1 (SAR 1) South Atlantic Red Snapper. The South
- Atlantic Fishery Management Council, 4055 Faber Place #201, North Charleston, SC 29405.
- Available at: http://www.sefsc.noaa.gov/sedar/Sedar_Workshops.jsp?WorkshopNum=15
- 656 SEDAR (2013) SEDAR 31 Gulf of Mexico Red Snapper Stock Assessment Report. SEDAR,
- N. Charleston, SC. 1103 pp. Available at:
- http://www.sefsc.noaa.gov/sedar/Sedar_Workshops.jsp?WorkshopNum=31
 - 31

- Seutin G., White B. N., and Boag P. T. (1991) Preservation of avian blood and tissue samples for
 DNA analyses. Canadian Journal Zoology 69: 82–90.
- Smith B. J., (2007) BOA: An R Package for MCMC Output Convergence Assessment and
 Posterior Inference. Journal of Statistical Software 21: 1-37.
- 663 Song S., Dey D. K., and Holsinger K. E. (2006) Differentiation among populations with
- migration, mutation, and drift: implications for genetic inference. Evolution 60: 1–12.
- 665 Storz J. F. and Beaumont M. A. (2002) Testing for genetic evidence of population expansion and
- contraction: an empirical analysis of microsatellite DNA variation using a hierarchical
 Bayesian model. Evolution 56: 154–166.
- 668 Strelcheck A. J., Hood P. B., Patterson W., Cowan J.H. Jr., Fitzhugh G. R., and Nieland D. L.
- 669 (2007) Rebuilding red snapper: Recent management activities and future management
- 670 challenges. American Fisheries Society Symposium 60. American Fisheries Society, 5410
- Grosvenor Ln. Ste. 110 Bethesda MD 20814-2199 USA.
- Szedlmayer S. T. (1997) Ultrasonic telemetry of red snapper, *Lutjanus campechanus*, at artificial
 reef sites in the northeast Gulf of Mexico. Copeia 1997: 846–850.
- R Core Team (2013) R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation
- 675 for Statistical Computing. Vienna, Austria. Available at: http://www.R-project.org.
- Tamura, K. and Nei, M. (1993) Estimation of the number of nucleotide substitutions in the
- 677 control region of mitochondrial DNA in humans and chimpanzees. Molecular Biology and
 678 Evolution 10: 512–526.
- Thorrold S. R., Latkoczy C., Swart P. K., and Jones C. M. (2001) Natal homing in a marine fish
 metapopulation. Science 291: 297–299.
- Waples R. S. (1990) Conservation genetics of Pacific salmon II. Effective population size and
 the rate of loss of genetic variability. Journal of Heredity 81: 267–276.
- Waples R. S. (2005) Genetic estimates of contemporary effective population size: to what time
 periods do the estimates apply? Molecular Ecology 14: 3335–3352.
- Waples R. S. (2006) A bias correction for estimates of effective population size based on linkage
 disequilibrium at unlinked gene loci. Conservation Genetics 7: 167–184.
- 687 Waples R. S. (2010) Spatial-temporal stratifications in natural populations and how they affect
- understanding and estimation of effective population size. Molecular Ecology Resources 10:
- 689 785–796.

- Waples R. S and, Do C. (2008) LDNE: a program for estimating effective population size from
 data on linkage disequilibrium. Molecular Ecology Resources 8: 753–756.
- Waples R. S. and Do C. (2010) Linkage disequilibrium estimates of contemporary Ne using
- highly variable genetic markers: a largely untapped resource for applied conservation andevolution. Evolutionary Applications 3: 244–262.
- Watterson J. C., Patterson W. F., Shipp R. L., and Cowan J. H. (1998) Movement of red snapper,
 Lutjanus campechanus, in the north central Gulf of Mexico: Potential effects of hurricanes.
- 697 Gulf of Mexico Science 16: 92–104.
- Weir B. S. and, Cockerham C. C. (1984) Estimating F-statistics for the analysis of population
 structure. Evolution: 1358–1370.
- Wilson C. A. and Nieland D. L. (2001) Age and growth of red snapper, *Lutjanus campechanus*,
- from the northern Gulf of Mexico off Louisiana. Fisheries Bulletin 99: 653-664.
- Wright S. (1951) The genetical structure of populations. Annals of Eugenics 15: 323–354.

Appendix 1: Summary statistics for microsatellite and mtDNA loci at each locality. For microsatellites: n = number of individuals sampled, #A = number of alleles, AR = allelic richness, H_E = expected heterozygosity, P_{HW} = probability of conformance to Hardy-Weinberg expectations, and F_{IS} = inbreeding coefficient. For mtDNA: n = number of individuals sampled, #H = number of unique haplotypes observed, h = haplotype diversity, $\pi =$ nucleotide diversity, D^* and F^* from Fu and Li (1993), and F_S from Fu (1997). \ddagger indicates a significant value (P < 0.05).

Sample		NC	SC	GA	DA	ML	SA	MG	PC
Microsatellite									
Lca20	n	93	84	101	98	101	48	97	46
	#A	4	5	5	4	5	5	5	3
	AR	3.80	4.05	3.72	3.30	4.25	4.93	4.03	2.98
	H_E	0.190	0.179	0.208	0.162	0.177	0.196	0.174	0.198
	P_{HW}	0.009	1.000	0.063	0.115	0.116	0.410	0.101	0.852
	F_{IS}	0.152	-0.067	0.094	0.182	0.049	0.150	-0.009	-0.099
Lca22	п	93	84	101	96	100	47	97	46
	#A	14	12	12	12	13	9	11	8
	AR	12.06	10.35	11.03	11.10	10.90	8.95	9.45	7.98
	H_E	0.751	0.726	0.727	0.783	0.725	0.693	0.752	0.761
	P_{HW}	0.265	0.629	0.210	0.077	0.304	0.032	0.230	0.661
	F_{IS}	0.055	-0.034	0.087	0.082	0.062	-0.043	0.040	-0.028
Lca43	п	93	85	101	98	101	48	97	46
	#A	7	8	9	9	9	7	8	7
	AR	6.40	7.00	7.61	7.58	7.80	6.99	7.34	6.94
	H_E	0.491	0.581	0.539	0.526	0.583	0.560	0.560	0.589
	P_{HW}	0.597	0.127	0.123	0.486	0.366	0.745	0.011	0.196
	F _{IS}	0.080	-0.013	0.026	-0.029	-0.018	0.033	0.190	-0.107
Lca64	n	93	84	101	98	101	48	97	46
	#A	11	12	11	12	9	8	9	6
	AR	9.17	10.23	8.67	9.48	7.80	7.81	7.62	5.98
	H_E	0.789	0.791	0.782	0.801	0.774	0.713	0.770	0.736
	P_{HW}	0.075	0.792	0.880	0.953	0.808	0.468	0.177	0.384
	F_{IS}	-0.077	0.007	0.013	-0.032	-0.036	0.065	0.023	-0.094
Lca91	n	93	85	101	98	101	47	97	46
	#A	6	7	6	7	6	6	7	4
	AR	5.19	5.89	5.65	5.91	5.17	5.96	5.60	3.98
	H_E	0.577	0.565	0.613	0.579	0.573	0.627	0.562	0.557
	P_{HW}	0.310	0.966	0.252	0.982	0.049	0.012	0.514	0.824
	F_{IS}	-0.025	0.042	0.046	-0.041	0.118	0.049	0.028	0.103

Lca107	n	93	84	98	98 10	101	48	97	46
	#A	9	8	9	10	10	9	10	10
	AR	8.46	7.98	8.41	9.15	9.22	8.93	9.36	9.96
	H_E	0.776	0.801	0.781	0.814	0.781	0.775	0.800	0.767
	P_{HW}	0.145	0.714	0.034	0.912	0.272	0.119	0.495	0.027
	F_{IS}	0.099	0.019	0.059	0.035	0.023	-0.021	0.021	0.065
Prs55	n	93	84	101	98	101	48	97	46
	#A	7	5	6	4	7	4	6	4
	AR	5.50	4.06	5.27	3.45	5.19	3.93	4.60	3.98
	H_E	0.271	0.265	0.253	0.256	0.297	0.193	0.251	0.255
	P_{HW}	0.100	0.272	0.753	0.426	0.942	1.000	0.867	0.611
	F_{IS}	0.166	-0.032	-0.057	0.082	-0.034	-0.077	-0.110	0.062
Prs137	n	93	85	101	98	99	47	97	46
	#A	13	12	11	11	12	12	11	8
	AR	10.24	9.78	9.09	9.34	9.63	11.74	8.83	7.96
	H_E	0.732	0.680	0.721	0.676	0.720	0.694	0.682	0.676
	P_{HW}	0.013	0.613	0.130	0.513	0.032	0.714	0.054	0.102
	F_{IS}	0.105	0.049	0.066	0.019	0.031	0.049	0.063	0.131
Prs221	n	93	84	101	98	101	48	97	46
	#A	12	12	12	13	15	13	16	11
	AR	10.67	10.23	10.78	10.93	11.93	12.68	12.54	10.91
	H_E	0.766	0.772	0.800	0.767	0.803	0.807	0.797	0.771
	P_{HW}	0.366	0.360	0.522	0.185	0.532	0.625	0.440	0.388
	F_{IS}	0.032	0.060	0.022	0.082	0.075	-0.007	0.056	0.069
Prs240	n	92	81	101	98	100	47	97	46
	#A	18	18	18	19	18	18	20	18
	AR	17.03	16.79	15.90	16.61	16.88	17.83	17.13	17.87
	H_E	0.902	0.901	0.889	0.899	0.892	0.902	0.907	0.875
	P_{HW}	0.269	0.298	0.983	0.681	0.850	0.926	0.022	0.042
	F_{IS}	-0.037	0.054	-0.002	-0.022	-0.009	-0.038	0.034	0.056
Prs248	n	93	84	101	98	101	48	97	46
	#A	18	17	20	23	18	14	19	16
	AR	15.30	14.29	15.50	16.60	14.64	13.80	16.05	15.89
	H_E	0.872	0.868	0.888	0.865	0.877	0.880	0.869	0.879
	P_{HW}	0.644	0.337	0.319	0.952	0.407	0.403	0.906	0.551
	F_{IS}	0.001	-0.056	0.052	-0.050	-0.005	0.029	0.015	0.035
Prs260	n	93	85	101	98	101	48	97	46
	#A	5	3	5	5	3	4	3	3

	AR	3.93	3.00	4.52	4.17	3.00	3.94	3.00	3.00
	H_E	0.305	0.397	0.398	0.439	0.394	0.379	0.357	0.393
	P_{HW}	0.064	0.218	0.309	0.017	0.814	1.000	1.000	0.400
	F_{IS}	0.118	-0.187	-0.019	-0.047	-0.029	-0.044	-0.011	-0.050
Prs275	n	93	85	101	98	101	48	97	45
	#A	6	8	6	7	10	5	7	5
	AR	5.34	6.64	5.05	5.75	7.33	4.94	5.36	5.00
	H_E	0.609	0.579	0.564	0.610	0.632	0.603	0.595	0.608
	P_{HW}	0.837	0.511	0.392	0.272	0.930	0.849	0.539	0.446
	F_{IS}	-0.095	-0.057	0.016	-0.121	-0.050	-0.002	0.099	0.050
Prs282	n	93	85	101	98	101	48	97	46
	#A	12	12	11	11	11	11	11	13
	AR	9.561	10.688	9.188	9.787	9.677	10.681	10.176	12.912
	H_E	0.599	0.66	0.636	0.64	0.684	0.565	0.655	0.693
	P_{HW}	0.270	0.443	0.399	0.895	0.261	0.630	0.594	0.006
	F_{IS}	0.049	0.091	0.082	-0.004	0.073	0.079	-0.022	0.027
Prs328	n	92	84	101	98	101	48	97	46
	#A	3	4	4	4	6	3	4	3
	AR	3.00	3.98	3.45	3.63	4.58	3.00	3.83	3.00
	H_E	0.547	0.567	0.568	0.531	0.559	0.516	0.546	0.563
	P_{HW}	0.243	0.487	0.106	0.856	0.930	0.592	0.702	0.842
	F_{IS}	-0.173	-0.008	0.128	0.039	-0.010	0.153	-0.075	-0.042
Prs333	n	93	85	101	98	101	46	97	46
	#A	5	5	7	5	7	5	6	6
	AR	4.85	4.09	5.08	3.76	5.53	4.98	5.01	5.96
	H_E	0.382	0.277	0.336	0.361	0.395	0.401	0.330	0.414
	P_{HW}	0.104	0.700	0.925	1.000	0.361	0.424	0.604	0.305
	F_{IS}	-0.040	-0.148	-0.032	-0.019	0.073	0.078	0.000	0.212
Ra6	n	92	85	101	98	101	48	97	46
	#A	7	7	7	6	7	7	7	7
	AR	6.44	5.95	5.72	5.41	6.02	6.88	6.03	6.96
	H_E	0.392	0.401	0.384	0.461	0.355	0.506	0.384	0.409
	P_{HW}	0.202	0.134	0.325	0.994	0.467	0.049	0.126	0.958
	F_{IS}	0.085	0.120	-0.032	-0.018	-0.003	0.218	0.140	-0.169
mtDNA		20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
ND4	n	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
	#H	8		0 70 4	8	11	10	0 711	6
	п	0.758	0.884	0.784	0.805	0.905	0.842	0./11	0.658

π	0.091	0.128	0.106	0.066	0.185	0.095	0.050	0.051
D^*	-2.392	-2.666 [‡]	-1.441	-1.719	-1.211	-2.232	-2.616 [‡]	-2.258
F^*	-2.534	-2.889 [‡]	-1.582	-1.887	-1.472	-2.390	-2.713 [‡]	-2.333
F_S	-3.376 [‡]	-6.037 [‡]	-1.413	-3.277 [‡]	-4.584 [‡]	-5.039 [‡]	-3.054 [‡]	-2.446 [‡]

Haplotype	NC	SC	GA	DA	ML	SA	MG	PC
#1	1				2			
#2	9	6	8	7	4	6	10	11
#3	1							
#4	5	4	5	6	5	6	5	5
#5	1							
#6	1	1		1		1		
#7	1			1	1			1
#8	1				1			
#9		1						
#10		1						
#11		2						
#12		1						
#13		1	1			1		
#14		1		1				
#15		1						
#16		1						1
#17			3		2			
#18			1					
#19			1					
#20			1		1			
#21				2				
#22				1				
#23				1				
#24					1			
#25					1			
#26					1			
#27					1			
#28						1		
#29						1		
#30						1		
#31						1		
#32						1		
#33						1	1	
#34							1	
#35							1	
#36							1	
#37							1	
#38								1
#39								1

Appendix 2: Spatial distribution of mitochondrial (ND4) haplotypes.