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#### Abstract

The Southeast Fisheries Science Center Mississippi Laboratories and state partners have conducted groundfish surveys since 1972 in the northern Gulf of Mexico during the summer and fall under several sampling programs. In 1987, both groundfish surveys were brought under the Southeast Area Monitoring and Assessment Program (SEAMAP). These fisheries independent data were used to develop abundance indices for king mackerel (Scomberomorus cavalla). Separate indices were produced using the fall SEAMAP groundfish survey data and combined (summer and fall) SEAMAP groundfish survey data. A continuity run was also produced that mirrored the methodology used for SEDAR 16. Annual abundance indices were showing an increase during SEDAR 16 through 2007. Subsequently, since 2007, the indices are showing a decline in king mackerel abundance.


## Introduction

The Southeast Fisheries Science Center (SEFSC) Mississippi Laboratories and state partners have conducted standardized groundfish surveys under the Southeast Area Monitoring and Assessment Program (SEAMAP) in the Gulf of Mexico (GOM) since 1987. Prior to 1987, the summer survey was conducted under SEAMAP protocols; however, the fall survey operated independent of SEAMAP and dates back to 1972. The Southeast Area Monitoring and Assessment Program is a collaborative effort between federal, state and university programs, designed to collect, manage and distribute fishery independent data throughout the region. The primary objective of this trawl survey is to collect data on the abundance and distribution of demersal organisms in the northern GOM. This survey, which is conducted semi-annually (summer and fall), provides an important source of fisheries independent information on many commercially and recreationally important species throughout the GOM. The purpose of this document is to provide abundance indices for king mackerel (Scomberomorus cavalla).

## Methodology

## Survey Design

The survey methodologies and descriptions of the datasets used herein have been presented in detail by Nichols (2004) and Pollack and Ingram (2010). A change to the survey design was implemented between the summer and fall surveys of 2008. Prior to the fall survey of 2008, the basic structure of the groundfish surveys (i.e. 1987- summer of 2008) follows a stratified random station location assignment with strata derived from depth zones (5-6, 6-7, 7-8, 8-9, 9-10, 10-11, $11-12,12-13,13-14,14-15,15-16,16-17,17-18,18-19,19-20,20-22,22-25,25-30,30-35,35-$ $40,40-45,45-50$ and 50-60 fathoms), shrimp statistical zones (between $88^{\circ}$ and $97^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ longitude, statistical zones from west to east: 21-20, 19-18, 17-16, 15-13 and 12-10), and time of day (i.e. day or night). Survey methodology prior to 1987 was presented in detail by Nichols (2004).

Starting in the fall of 2008 and continuing until the present, station allocation is randomized within each shrimp statistical zone with a weighting by area. Other notable changes included a standardized 30 minute tow and dropping the day/night stratification. The main purpose of these changes was to increase the sample size of each survey and expand the survey into the waters off of Florida. Recently, a new modification was added to the survey design, a depth stratification of 5-20 fathoms and $20-60$ fathoms.

## Data

A total of 17,919 stations were sampled from 1972-2012 with 7767 and 10,595 stations sampled during the summer and fall survey, respectively (Tables 1 and 2). Trawl data was obtained from the MSLABS trawl unit leader (Gilmore Pellegrin) and combined with data from the Gulf States Marine Fisheries Commission (GSMFC) database, which contains data collected by state agencies/partners from Alabama, Florida, Louisiana, Mississippi and Texas.

## Data Exclusions

Data was limited to only those stations that did not indicate a problem with the tow, and were outside of shrimp statistical zone 12, and between 5 and 60 fathoms. In addition, data collected by Texas was excluded because of the use of a different gear type ( 20 foot shrimp trawl vs. the 40 foot shrimp trawl). Data from shrimp statistical zones 2-9 were excluded from the analysis due to the limited sampling (only during the last 5 years). It also became necessary to exclude this data because of the limited catch of king mackerel (6 stations with positive catch) caused the models not to converge.

## Index Construction

Delta-lognormal modeling methods were used to estimate relative abundance indices for king mackerel (Lo et al. 1992). The main advantage of using this method is allowance for the probability of zero catch (Ortiz et al. 2000). The index computed by this method is a mathematical combination of yearly abundance estimates from two distinct generalized linear models: a binomial (logistic) model which describes proportion of positive abundance values (i.e. presence/absence) and a lognormal model which describes variability in only the nonzero abundance data (Lo et al. 1992).

The delta-lognormal index of relative abundance $\left(I_{y}\right)$ as described by Lo et al. (1992) was estimated as:
(1) $\quad I_{y}=c_{y} p_{y}$,
where $c_{y}$ is the estimate of mean CPUE for positive catches only for year $y$, and $p_{y}$ is the estimate of mean probability of occurrence during year $y$. Both $c_{y}$ and $p_{y}$ were estimated using generalized linear models. Data used to estimate abundance for positive catches (c) and probability of occurrence $(p)$ were assumed to have a lognormal distribution and a binomial distribution, respectively, and modeled using the following equations:
(2) $\ln (c)=X \beta+\varepsilon$
and

$$
\begin{equation*}
p=\frac{e^{\mathrm{X} \beta+\varepsilon}}{1+e^{\mathrm{X} \beta+\varepsilon}}, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively, where $c$ is a vector of the positive catch data, $p$ is a vector of the presence/absence data, $X$ is the design matrix for main effects, $\beta$ is the parameter vector for main effects, and $\varepsilon$ is a vector of independent normally distributed errors with expectation zero and variance $\sigma^{2}$. Therefore, $c_{y}$ and $p_{y}$ were estimated as least-squares means for each year along with their corresponding standard errors, $\mathrm{SE}\left(c_{y}\right)$ and $\mathrm{SE}\left(p_{y}\right)$, respectively. From these estimates, $I_{y}$ was calculated, as in equation (1), and its variance calculated as:
(4) $\quad V\left(I_{y}\right) \approx V\left(c_{y}\right) p_{y}^{2}+c_{y}^{2} V\left(p_{y}\right)+2 c_{y} p_{y} \operatorname{Cov}(c, p)$,
where:
(5) $\left.\quad \operatorname{Cov}(c, p) \approx \rho_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{p}} \mid \operatorname{SE}\left(c_{y}\right) \operatorname{SE}\left(p_{y}\right)\right]$,
and $\rho_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{p}}$ denotes correlation of $c$ and $p$ among years.
The submodels of the delta-lognormal model were built using a backward selection procedure based on type 3 analyses with an inclusion level of significance of $\alpha=0.05$. Binomial submodel performance was evaluated using AIC, while the performance of the lognormal submodel was evaluated based on analyses of residual scatter and QQ plots in addition to AIC. Variables that could be included in the submodels were:

## Submodel Variables (Continuity)

Year: 1972 - 2012
Shrimp Statistical Zone: 21-20, 19-18, 17-16, 15-13 and 12-10
Depth Zone: 5-6, 6-7, 7-8, 8-9, 9-10, 10-11, 11-12, 12-13, 13-14, 14-15, 15-16, 16-17, $17-18,18-19,19-20,20-22,22-25,25-30,30-35,35-40,40-45,45-50$ and 50-60 fathoms

## Submodel Variables (Fall SEAMAP Groundfish Survey)

Year: 1972 - 2012
Region: Texas, West Louisiana, East Louisiana, Mississippi/Alabama, West Florida, East Florida (Figure 1)
Depth: 5-60 (continuous)
Time of Day: Day, Night

# Submodel Variables (Summer and Fall SEAMAP Groundfish Survey) 

Year: 1972 - 2012
Region: Texas, West Louisiana, East Louisiana, Mississippi/Alabama, West Florida, East Florida (Figure 1)
Depth: 5-60 (continuous)
Time of Day: Day, Night
Season: Summer, Fall

During SEDAR 16, only the fall SEAMAP groundfish survey data (Ingram 2008) was used to construct the index, however, no mention was made as to why only this data set was used. Therefore, we decided to produce two indices in addition to the continuity run. One index was constructed using the fall dataset, with a different set of variables from the continuity run that took into account the changes in survey design over time. The second index used both the summer and fall data in a combined index. The difference in the area variables between the continuity run and other runs was due to the design of the fall survey prior to 1987. During these years, the areas of East Louisiana and Mississippi/Alabama (Figure 1) were considered the primary sampling area, areas directly west and east of the primary were designated the secondary sampling areas. East Florida and Texas were not sampled during these early years. A variable representing survey design (Early: 1972-1986, Old: 1987-2007 and New: 2008-2012) was considered for inclusion in the submodels. However, when this variable was added to the submodels, the models failed to converge, thus it was removed.

## Results and Discussion

## Age and Size

The distribution of king mackerel is presented in Figure 2, with seasonal/annual abundance and distribution presented in the Appendix Figure 1. The total number of king mackerel captured ranged from 0 to 144 in the summer (Table 3) and 0 to 110 in the fall (Table 4). Of the 1225 king mackerel captured during the summer survey, a total of 757 were measured from 1987 2012 with an average total length of 184 mm . While during the fall survey 1129 king mackerel were captured, with 894 measured, with an average total length of 239 mm . The length frequency distribution of king mackerel captured is shown in Figures 3 and 4. Aging of otoliths (42) from 2009 to 2012 by the NFMS Panama City Laboratory revealed that the majority of king mackerel collected during the survey were age 0 (37), ranging in size from 120 mm to 354 mm . There were also five age 1 king mackerel collected ranging in size from 384 mm to 470 mm and one age 5 fish ( 855 mm ).

## Continuity Model

For the continuity run, the variables: year, shrimp statistical zone and depth zone were used in the submodels to replicate the methodology used in SEDAR 16. Year, shrimp statistical zone and depth zone were retained in both the binomial and lognormal submodels. Table 5 summarizes the final set of variables used in the submodels and their significance. The diagnostic plots for the binomial and lognormal submodels indicated the distribution of the
residuals is approximately normal. Annual abundance indices are presented in Table 6 and Figure 4, with a comparison between the index values from SEDAR 16 and the continuity run in Figure 5.

## SEAMAP Fall Groundfish

For the Fall SEAMAP abundance index of king mackerel, the nominal CPUE and number of stations with a positive catch are presented in Figure 6. Year, region, time of day and depth were retained in both the binomial and lognormal submodels. A summary of the factors used in the analysis is presented in Appendix Table 1. Table 7 summarizes the final set of variables used in the submodels and their significance. The AIC for the binomial and lognormal submodels were $66,270.5$ and 1108.3, respectively. The diagnostic plots for the binomial and lognormal submodels are shown in Figures 7-9, and indicated the distribution of the residuals is approximately normal. Annual abundance indices are presented in Table 8 and Figure 10.

## SEAMAP Summer and Fall Groundfish

For the combined (summer and fall) SEAMAP abundance index of king mackerel, the nominal CPUE and number of stations with a positive catch are presented in Figure 11. Year, region, season, time of day and depth were retained in both the binomial and lognormal submodels. A summary of the factors used in the analysis is presented in Appendix Table 2. Table 9 summarizes backward selection procedure used to select the final set of variables used in the submodels and their significance. The AIC for the binomial and lognormal submodels were 113,935.6 and 1773.4, respectively. The diagnostic plots for the binomial and lognormal submodels are shown in Figures 12-14, and indicated the distribution of the residuals is approximately normal. Annual abundance indices are presented in Table 10 and Figure 15.
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Table 1. Number of stations sampled by shrimp statistical zone during the Summer SEAMAP groundfish survey from 1982-2012.

| Year | Shrimp Statistical Zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 |  |
| 1982 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14 | 36 | 24 | 26 | 8 | 1 | 11 | 30 | 10 | 3 | 23 | 186 |
| 1983 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 19 | 8 | 26 |  | 6 | 16 | 19 | 25 | 24 | 21 | 5 | 17 | 191 |
| 1984 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13 | 36 | 10 | 16 | 16 | 22 | 17 | 15 | 23 | 28 | 14 | 210 |
| 1985 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 | 48 | 11 | 27 | 12 | 10 | 7 | 7 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 165 |
| 1986 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17 | 49 | 4 | 20 | 14 | 8 | 11 | 8 | 11 | 14 | 6 | 162 |
| 1987 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 27 | 58 | 8 | 34 | 21 | 25 | 20 | 16 | 25 | 28 | 19 | 281 |
| 1988 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17 | 46 | 10 | 14 | 9 | 19 | 24 | 14 | 25 | 28 | 23 | 229 |
| 1989 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 21 | 30 | 8 | 13 | 18 | 25 | 7 | 15 | 20 | 29 | 24 | 210 |
| 1990 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 65 | 18 | 31 | 17 | 23 | 16 | 20 | 23 | 24 | 20 | 257 |
| 1991 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 44 | 16 | 41 | 13 | 23 | 22 | 24 | 18 | 23 | 26 | 250 |
| 1992 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 44 | 2 | 36 | 30 | 20 | 25 | 12 | 31 | 26 | 20 | 247 |
| 1993 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 44 | 22 | 29 | 19 | 24 | 19 | 14 | 29 | 24 | 22 | 246 |
| 1994 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 60 | 12 | 27 | 28 | 25 | 17 | 20 | 22 | 26 | 22 | 259 |
| 1995 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 42 | 12 | 26 | 24 | 22 | 23 | 13 | 27 | 26 | 21 | 236 |
| 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 46 | 14 | 34 | 19 | 22 | 18 | 17 | 21 | 26 | 25 | 242 |
| 1997 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 42 | 4 | 26 | 22 | 22 | 23 | 10 | 28 | 26 | 26 | 229 |
| 1998 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 34 | 6 | 28 | 27 | 25 | 18 | 14 | 22 | 36 | 17 | 227 |
| 1999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 43 | 11 | 31 | 26 | 20 | 23 | 13 | 25 | 32 | 20 | 244 |
| 2000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 43 | 11 | 27 | 19 | 19 | 27 | 8 | 29 | 31 | 21 | 235 |
| 2001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 34 | 15 | 24 | 28 | 13 | 3 | 10 | 9 | 17 | 21 | 174 |
| 2002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 44 | 15 | 34 | 21 | 27 | 19 | 15 | 25 | 29 | 22 | 251 |
| 2003 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 42 | 17 | 26 | 8 | 2 | 17 | 20 | 22 | 26 | 23 | 203 |
| 2004 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 38 | 19 | 28 | 21 | 20 | 25 | 21 | 19 | 25 | 21 | 237 |
| 2005 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 31 | 10 | 9 | 23 | 16 | 21 | 5 | 28 | 22 | 27 | 192 |
| 2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 45 | 17 | 29 | 16 | 20 | 23 | 17 | 23 | 31 | 18 | 239 |
| 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 40 | 12 | 10 | 23 | 22 | 23 | 7 | 29 | 32 | 21 | 219 |
| 2008 |  |  | 1 | 8 | 11 | 6 | 11 | 8 | 11 | 42 | 24 | 19 | 27 | 23 | 22 | 17 | 24 | 21 | 29 | 304 |
| 2009 |  |  | 36 | 23 | 29 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 24 | 67 | 25 | 20 | 36 | 39 | 46 | 53 | 33 | 29 | 23 | 534 |
| 2010 |  | 31 | 26 | 21 | 26 | 10 | 12 | 14 | 15 | 22 | 5 | 20 | 16 | 21 | 33 | 34 | 27 | 27 | 19 | 379 |
| 2011 | 11 | 24 | 22 | 20 | 29 | 2 | 15 | 11 | 8 | 16 | 7 | 14 | 17 | 24 | 29 | 29 | 18 | 21 | 13 | 330 |
| 2012 | 12 | 39 | 33 | 29 | 30 | 19 | 16 | 17 | 13 | 16 | 7 | 15 | 17 | 25 | 29 | 27 | 20 | 20 | 15 | 399 |
| Total | 23 | 94 | 118 | 101 | 125 | 53 | 76 | 87 | 199 | 1273 | 376 | 740 | 611 | 626 | 643 | 549 | 699 | 746 | 628 | 7767 |

Table 2. Number of stations sampled by shrimp statistical zone during the Fall SEAMAP groundfish survey from 1972-2012.

|  | Shrimp Statistical Zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | Total |
| 1972 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 | 55 | 27 | 41 | 34 | 17 |  |  |  |  |  | 184 |
| 1973 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11 | 17 | 98 | 34 | 71 | 39 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 272 |
| 1974 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 | 92 | 35 | 73 | 31 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 243 |
| 1975 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 93 | 33 | 80 | 35 | 32 | 7 |  |  |  |  | 280 |
| 1976 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 108 | 42 | 79 | 56 | 22 |  |  |  |  |  | 307 |
| 1977 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 97 | 31 | 76 | 38 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 242 |
| 1978 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 36 | 101 | 32 | 67 | 58 | 25 |  |  |  |  |  | 319 |
| 1979 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 109 | 35 | 72 | 55 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 273 |
| 1980 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 24 | 85 | 22 | 70 | 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 233 |
| 1981 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 21 | 85 | 33 | 66 | 49 | 25 |  |  |  |  |  | 279 |
| 1982 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 21 | 102 | 41 | 72 | 37 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 273 |
| 1983 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17 | 82 | 35 | 63 | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 222 |
| 1984 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 82 | 32 | 64 | 47 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 226 |
| 1985 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 30 | 63 | 23 | 37 | 53 | 32 | 10 | 20 | 20 | 19 | 19 | 326 |
| 1986 |  |  |  |  |  | 20 | 10 | 25 | 34 | 13 | 27 | 14 | 27 | 35 | 26 | 23 | 22 | 21 | 297 |
| 1987 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13 | 22 | 29 | 29 | 26 | 17 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 18 | 3 | 202 |
| 1988 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 | 27 | 10 | 28 | 24 | 18 | 26 | 19 | 21 | 31 | 20 | 232 |
| 1989 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 43 | 16 | 31 | 23 | 22 | 20 | 17 | 22 | 25 | 26 | 245 |
| 1990 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 52 | 20 | 22 | 27 | 22 | 19 | 18 | 22 | 19 | 27 | 248 |
| 1991 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 45 | 16 | 32 | 18 | 20 | 25 | 24 | 19 | 25 | 22 | 246 |
| 1992 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 32 | 15 | 31 | 14 | 25 | 18 | 17 | 27 | 30 | 18 | 227 |
| 1993 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 70 | 14 | 35 | 19 | 26 | 18 | 16 | 25 | 28 | 18 | 269 |
| 1994 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 49 | 17 | 24 | 27 | 25 | 20 | 21 | 23 | 24 | 20 | 250 |
| 1995 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 39 | 14 | 29 | 24 | 24 | 19 | 14 | 26 | 30 | 19 | 238 |
| 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 43 | 11 | 36 | 21 | 17 | 28 | 13 | 25 | 29 | 24 | 247 |
| 1997 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 43 | 18 | 31 | 20 | 26 | 19 | 18 | 23 | 22 | 24 | 244 |
| 1998 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 43 | 28 | 50 | 14 | 34 | 11 | 15 | 24 | 29 | 22 | 270 |
| 1999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 42 | 9 | 38 | 18 | 29 | 18 | 12 | 28 | 29 | 22 | 245 |
| 2000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 42 | 10 | 27 | 28 | 20 | 26 | 12 | 30 | 25 | 21 | 241 |
| 2001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 21 | 14 | 30 | 22 | 26 | 20 | 14 | 27 | 28 | 23 | 225 |
| 2002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 49 | 16 | 27 | 26 | 22 | 23 | 14 | 26 | 30 | 21 | 255 |
| 2003 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 74 | 20 | 20 | 21 | 24 | 22 | 20 | 23 | 26 | 23 | 274 |
| 2004 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 43 | 6 | 23 | 24 | 17 | 27 | 14 | 24 | 30 | 21 | 229 |
| 2005 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 43 | 21 | 30 | 18 | 33 | 18 | 14 | 23 | 24 | 27 | 251 |
| 2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 46 | 7 | 22 | 14 | 18 | 28 | 13 | 23 | 33 | 19 | 224 |
| 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 31 | 15 | 27 | 26 | 18 | 28 | 17 | 20 | 18 | 26 | 226 |


| Year | Shrimp Statistical Zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 |  |
| 2008 |  |  | 15 | 14 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 34 | 16 | 28 | 34 | 42 | 46 | 44 | 19 | 36 | 20 | 363 |
| 2009 |  | 20 | 21 | 25 | 11 | 21 | 13 | 12 | 47 | 12 | 23 | 23 | 30 | 49 | 47 | 31 | 36 | 22 | 443 |
| 2010 |  | 9 | 27 | 27 | 18 | 16 | 11 | 14 | 16 | 7 | 15 | 18 | 26 | 31 | 29 | 18 | 19 | 14 | 315 |
| 2011 |  |  |  |  |  | 9 | 11 | 6 | 11 | 6 | 15 | 17 | 27 | 31 | 28 | 21 | 19 | 15 | 216 |
| 2012 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 6 | 17 | 10 | 7 | 4 | 9 | 5 | 11 | 13 | 19 | 22 | 22 | 13 | 14 | 11 | 194 |
| Total | 2 | 32 | 69 | 72 | 50 | 80 | 66 | 277 | 2302 | 840 | 1672 | 1162 | 812 | 679 | 553 | 641 | 718 | 568 | 10595 |

Table 3. Summary of the king mackerel length data collected during Summer SEAMAP groundfish surveys conducted between 1982 and 2012. (Note that prior to 1987, no length data for king mackerel is available.)

| Survey Year | Number of Stations | Number Collected | Number Measured | Minimum Fork Length (mm) | Maximum Fork Length (mm) | Mean Fork <br> Length (mm) | Standard <br> Deviation (mm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1982 | 186 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1983 | 191 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1984 | 210 | 37 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1985 | 165 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1986 | 162 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1987 | 281 | 23 | 11 | 133 | 175 | 162 | 11 |
| 1988 | 229 | 6 | 6 | 75 | 208 | 126 | 49 |
| 1989 | 210 | 143 | 47 | 90 | 368 | 175 | 48 |
| 1990 | 257 | 66 | 39 | 88 | 805 | 162 | 127 |
| 1991 | 250 | 106 | 48 | 108 | 525 | 186 | 114 |
| 1992 | 247 | 2 | 1 | 475 | 475 | 475 | . |
| 1993 | 246 | 9 | 7 | 142 | 466 | 264 | 140 |
| 1994 | 259 | 144 | 71 | 118 | 414 | 163 | 33 |
| 1995 | 236 | 122 | 101 | 113 | 560 | 166 | 60 |
| 1996 | 242 | 12 | 9 | 76 | 780 | 231 | 252 |
| 1997 | 229 | 9 | 4 | 88 | 448 | 335 | 167 |
| 1998 | 227 | 48 | 17 | 65 | 269 | 118 | 56 |
| 1999 | 244 | 15 | 6 | 106 | 197 | 145 | 38 |
| 2000 | 235 | 31 | 30 | 65 | 1123 | 276 | 184 |
| 2001 | 174 | 38 | 33 | 120 | 158 | 140 | 11 |
| 2002 | 251 | 26 | 26 | 129 | 441 | 209 | 52 |
| 2003 | 203 | 5 | 5 | 221 | 425 | 271 | 87 |
| 2004 | 237 | 134 | 79 | 104 | 420 | 160 | 43 |
| 2005 | 192 | 18 | 18 | 120 | 402 | 260 | 73 |
| 2006 | 239 | 30 | 30 | 94 | 501 | 256 | 135 |
| 2007 | 219 | 95 | 72 | 85 | 255 | 171 | 49 |
| 2008 | 304 | 74 | 69 | 77 | 452 | 177 | 109 |
| 2009 | 534 | 4 | 4 | 69 | 367 | 254 | 142 |
| 2010 | 379 | 15 | 15 | 147 | 266 | 209 | 29 |
| 2011 | 330 | 6 | 6 | 75 | 492 | 336 | 150 |
| 2012 | 399 | 3 | 3 | 350 | 544 | 420 | 107 |
| Total Number of Years | Total Number of Stations | Total Number Collected | Total Number Measured |  |  | Overall Mean Fork Length (mm) |  |
| 31 | 7767 | 1225 | 757 |  |  | 184 |  |

Table 4. Summary of the king mackerel length data collected during Fall SEAMAP groundfish surveys conducted between 1972 and 2012. (Note that prior to 1988, no length data for king mackerel is available.)

| Survey Year | Number of Stations | Number Collected | Number Measured | Minimum Fork Length (mm) | Maximum Fork Length (mm) | Mean Fork <br> Length (mm) | Standard <br> Deviation (mm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1972 | 184 | 39 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1973 | 272 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1974 | 243 | 34 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1975 | 280 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1976 | 307 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1977 | 242 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1978 | 319 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1979 | 273 | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1980 | 233 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1981 | 279 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1982 | 273 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1983 | 222 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1984 | 226 | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1985 | 326 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1986 | 297 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1987 | 202 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1988 | 232 | 15 | 13 | 101 | 314 | 201 | 50 |
| 1989 | 245 | 9 | 9 | 115 | 400 | 219 | 105 |
| 1990 | 248 | 54 | 24 | 208 | 465 | 325 | 70 |
| 1991 | 246 | 9 | 8 | 315 | 593 | 416 | 92 |
| 1992 | 227 | 12 | 11 | 119 | 193 | 147 | 24 |
| 1993 | 269 | 86 | 53 | 108 | 408 | 271 | 73 |
| 1994 | 250 | 29 | 29 | 181 | 418 | 304 | 56 |
| 1995 | 238 | 27 | 11 | 108 | 720 | 273 | 166 |
| 1996 | 247 | 19 | 17 | 124 | 330 | 225 | 66 |
| 1997 | 244 | 34 | 28 | 122 | 368 | 211 | 78 |
| 1998 | 270 | 28 | 22 | 160 | 368 | 262 | 52 |
| 1999 | 245 | 24 | 24 | 138 | 432 | 273 | 90 |
| 2000 | 241 | 16 | 16 | 111 | 353 | 192 | 71 |
| 2001 | 225 | 37 | 31 | 100 | 392 | 249 | 90 |
| 2002 | 255 | 43 | 43 | 120 | 377 | 215 | 68 |
| 2003 | 274 | 110 | 104 | 97 | 777 | 214 | 82 |
| 2004 | 229 | 73 | 73 | 132 | 451 | 260 | 76 |
| 2005 | 251 | 65 | 69 | 137 | 536 | 235 | 81 |
| 2006 | 224 | 47 | 47 | 86 | 458 | 188 | 78 |


| Survey Year | Number of Stations | Number Collected | Number Measured | Minimum <br> Fork Length (mm) | Maximum <br> Fork Length (mm) | Mean Fork <br> Length (mm) | Standard <br> Deviation (mm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2007 | 226 | 104 | 100 | 159 | 392 | 267 | 60 |
| 2008 | 363 | 12 | 12 | 206 | 393 | 270 | 48 |
| 2009 | 443 | 88 | 88 | 115 | 377 | 197 | 62 |
| 2010 | 315 | 32 | 32 | 118 | 858 | 241 | 152 |
| 2011 | 216 | 3 | 3 | 220 | 638 | 384 | 223 |
| 2012 | 194 | 27 | 27 | 125 | 325 | 208 | 55 |
| Total Number of Years | Total Number of Stations | Total Number Collected | Total Number Measured |  |  | Overall Mean <br> Fork Length (mm) |  |
| 31 | 10595 | 1129 | 894 |  |  | 239 |  |

Table 5. Summary of backward selection procedure for building delta-lognormal submodels for king mackerel Fall SEAMAP groundfish survey (continuity run) index of relative abundance from 1972 to 2012.

| Model Run \#1 | Binomial Submodel Type 3 Tests (AIC 62472.8) |  |  |  |  |  | Lognormal Submodel Type 3 Tests (AIC 1075.5) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Effect | Num $D F$ | Den $D F$ | Chi- <br> Square | F Value | Pr > ChiSq | $\operatorname{Pr}>F$ | Num DF | Den DF | F Value | Pr $>$ F |
| Year | 36 | 9156 | 170.81 | 4.74 | <. 0001 | $<.0001$ | 36 | 372 | 1.13 | 0.2817 |
| Shrimp Statistical Zone | 4 | 9156 | 111.90 | 27.98 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | 4 | 372 | 5.53 | 0.0002 |
| Depth Zone | 22 | 9156 | 50.82 | 2.31 | 0.0005 | 0.0005 | 22 | 372 | 6.46 | <. 0001 |

Table 6. Indices of king mackerel abundance developed using the delta-lognormal model for Fall SEAMAP groundfish survey (continuity run) from 1972-2012. The nominal frequency of occurrence, the number of samples ( $N$ ), the DL Index (number per trawl-hour), the DL indices scaled to a mean of one for the time series, the coefficient of variation on the mean (CV), and lower and upper confidence limits (LCL and UCL) for the scaled index are listed.

| Survey Year | Frequency | $N$ | DL Index | Scaled Index | CV | LCL | UCL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1972 | 0.05435 | 184 | 0.60976 | 3.49575 | 0.36505 | 1.72315 | 7.09182 |
| 1973 | 0 | 272 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 1974 | 0.01646 | 243 | 0.22593 | 1.29525 | 0.57364 | 0.44574 | 3.76377 |
| 1975 | 0 | 280 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 1976 | 0.00326 | 307 | 0.01176 | 0.06741 | 1.09620 | 0.01141 | 0.39843 |
| 1977 | 0 | 242 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 1978 | 0.00940 | 319 | 0.15031 | 0.86171 | 0.66590 | 0.25654 | 2.89447 |
| 1979 | 0.02198 | 273 | 0.19325 | 1.10787 | 0.47492 | 0.44954 | 2.73030 |
| 1980 | 0.00429 | 233 | 0.01128 | 0.06465 | 1.09687 | 0.01093 | 0.38240 |
| 1981 | 0.00717 | 279 | 0.03490 | 0.20010 | 0.79900 | 0.04908 | 0.81578 |
| 1982 | 0.00366 | 273 | 0.01649 | 0.09455 | 1.09896 | 0.01595 | 0.56057 |
| 1983 | 0 | 222 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 1984 | 0.01770 | 226 | 0.14380 | 0.82442 | 0.57827 | 0.28160 | 2.41360 |
| 1985 | 0.01534 | 326 | 0.04782 | 0.27417 | 0.52561 | 0.10210 | 0.73624 |
| 1986 | 0.00749 | 267 | 0.08853 | 0.50753 | 0.79532 | 0.12513 | 2.05853 |
| 1987 | 0.00495 | 202 | 0.01079 | 0.06184 | 1.09950 | 0.01042 | 0.36687 |
| 1988 | 0.04310 | 232 | 0.10918 | 0.62591 | 0.36663 | 0.30764 | 1.27342 |
| 1989 | 0.01633 | 245 | 0.07126 | 0.40853 | 0.57001 | 0.14142 | 1.18017 |
| 1990 | 0.08065 | 248 | 0.25313 | 1.45116 | 0.26217 | 0.86651 | 2.43028 |
| 1991 | 0.02846 | 246 | 0.03798 | 0.21773 | 0.43920 | 0.09400 | 0.50433 |
| 1992 | 0.02643 | 227 | 0.05157 | 0.29562 | 0.46945 | 0.12109 | 0.72172 |
| 1993 | 0.09665 | 269 | 0.40925 | 2.34623 | 0.22822 | 1.49503 | 3.68208 |
| 1994 | 0.04400 | 250 | 0.15194 | 0.87104 | 0.35008 | 0.44127 | 1.71938 |
| 1995 | 0.02941 | 238 | 0.10623 | 0.60900 | 0.43492 | 0.26490 | 1.40005 |
| 1996 | 0.04049 | 247 | 0.10403 | 0.59641 | 0.36700 | 0.29295 | 1.21422 |
| 1997 | 0.06148 | 244 | 0.20101 | 1.15240 | 0.29976 | 0.64093 | 2.07202 |
| 1998 | 0.05926 | 270 | 0.17489 | 1.00265 | 0.29075 | 0.56717 | 1.77250 |
| 1999 | 0.06531 | 245 | 0.17300 | 0.99183 | 0.29204 | 0.55969 | 1.75761 |
| 2000 | 0.03320 | 241 | 0.08830 | 0.50623 | 0.40881 | 0.23061 | 1.11125 |
| 2001 | 0.07556 | 225 | 0.24912 | 1.42820 | 0.28348 | 0.81904 | 2.49043 |
| 2002 | 0.05490 | 255 | 0.21611 | 1.23897 | 0.31329 | 0.67188 | 2.28472 |
| 2003 | 0.13139 | 274 | 0.43358 | 2.48571 | 0.19581 | 1.68642 | 3.66383 |
| 2004 | 0.11790 | 229 | 0.38108 | 2.18474 | 0.22403 | 1.40341 | 3.40107 |
| 2005 | 0.11952 | 251 | 0.25269 | 1.44865 | 0.21365 | 0.94942 | 2.21040 |


| Survey Year | Frequency | $N$ | DL Index | Scaled Index | CV | LCL | UCL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2006 | 0.09375 | 224 | 0.27676 | 1.58665 | 0.25645 | 0.95779 | 2.62841 |
| 2007 | 0.15487 | 226 | 0.46227 | 2.65021 | 0.19720 | 1.79317 | 3.91685 |
| 2008 | 0.01238 | 323 | 0.03996 | 0.22907 | 0.57330 | 0.07887 | 0.66527 |
| 2009 | 0.08133 | 332 | 0.26181 | 1.50095 | 0.23091 | 0.95147 | 2.36775 |
| 2010 | 0.08696 | 207 | 0.20096 | 1.15208 | 0.27970 | 0.66542 | 1.99463 |
| 2011 | 0.01531 | 196 | 0.05432 | 0.31141 | 0.65971 | 0.09359 | 1.03619 |
| 2012 | 0.04895 | 143 | 0.14885 | 0.85337 | 0.44041 | 0.36764 | 1.98084 |

Table 7. Summary of backward selection procedure for building delta-lognormal submodels for king mackerel Fall SEAMAP groundfish survey index of relative abundance from 1972 to 2012.

| Model Run \#1 | Binomial Submodel Type 3 Tests (AIC 66270.5) |  |  |  |  |  | Lognormal Submodel Type 3 Tests (AIC 1108.3) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Effect | $\begin{gathered} \text { Num } \\ D F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Den } \\ D F \end{gathered}$ | ChiSquare | F Value | Pr $>$ ChiSq | $\operatorname{Pr}>F$ | Num DF | Den DF | F Value | Pr $>$ F |
| Year | 36 | 9177 | 171.16 | 4.75 | <. 0001 | $<.0001$ | 36 | 393 | 0.92 | 0.6059 |
| Time of Day | 1 | 9177 | 185.76 | 185.76 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | 1 | 393 | 7.44 | 0.0067 |
| Region | 3 | 9177 | 119.58 | 39.86 | <. 0001 | $<.0001$ | 3 | 393 | 4.16 | 0.0064 |
| Depth | 1 | 9177 | 14.83 | 14.83 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 1 | 393 | 89.92 | <. 0001 |

Table 8. Indices of king mackerel abundance developed using the delta-lognormal model for Fall SEAMAP groundfish survey 1972-2012. The nominal frequency of occurrence, the number of samples ( $N$ ), the DL Index (number per trawl-hour), the DL indices scaled to a mean of one for the time series, the coefficient of variation on the mean (CV), and lower and upper confidence limits (LCL and UCL) for the scaled index are listed.

| Survey Year | Frequency | $N$ | DL Index | Scaled Index | CV | LCL | UCL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1972 | 0.05435 | 184 | 0.40897 | 3.63637 | 0.37664 | 1.75512 | 7.53405 |
| 1973 | 0 | 272 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 1974 | 0.01646 | 243 | 0.16693 | 1.48425 | 0.58348 | 0.50274 | 4.38203 |
| 1975 | 0 | 280 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 1976 | 0.00326 | 307 | 0.01032 | 0.09178 | 1.11184 | 0.01526 | 0.55203 |
| 1977 | 0 | 242 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 1978 | 0.00940 | 319 | 0.06872 | 0.61105 | 0.66941 | 0.18095 | 2.06349 |
| 1979 | 0.02198 | 273 | 0.12166 | 1.08178 | 0.48303 | 0.43289 | 2.70333 |
| 1980 | 0.00429 | 233 | 0.01018 | 0.09050 | 1.11377 | 0.01501 | 0.54548 |
| 1981 | 0.00717 | 279 | 0.02117 | 0.18821 | 0.81063 | 0.04543 | 0.77973 |
| 1982 | 0.00366 | 273 | 0.01008 | 0.08960 | 1.11141 | 0.01490 | 0.53864 |
| 1983 | 0 | 222 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 1984 | 0.01770 | 226 | 0.10388 | 0.92367 | 0.58902 | 0.31009 | 2.75133 |
| 1985 | 0.01534 | 326 | 0.02897 | 0.25755 | 0.53210 | 0.09488 | 0.69912 |
| 1986 | 0.00749 | 267 | 0.03687 | 0.32787 | 0.80891 | 0.07933 | 1.35510 |
| 1987 | 0.00495 | 202 | 0.00532 | 0.04726 | 1.11218 | 0.00786 | 0.28438 |
| 1988 | 0.04310 | 232 | 0.07237 | 0.64350 | 0.38275 | 0.30718 | 1.34806 |
| 1989 | 0.01633 | 245 | 0.02877 | 0.25578 | 0.58545 | 0.08636 | 0.75754 |
| 1990 | 0.08065 | 248 | 0.14906 | 1.32541 | 0.27964 | 0.76563 | 2.29447 |
| 1991 | 0.02846 | 246 | 0.02414 | 0.21468 | 0.45246 | 0.09056 | 0.50890 |
| 1992 | 0.02643 | 227 | 0.03329 | 0.29599 | 0.48531 | 0.11799 | 0.74256 |
| 1993 | 0.09665 | 269 | 0.24181 | 2.15002 | 0.24622 | 1.32348 | 3.49274 |
| 1994 | 0.04400 | 250 | 0.07387 | 0.65679 | 0.36499 | 0.32378 | 1.33228 |
| 1995 | 0.02941 | 238 | 0.06380 | 0.56726 | 0.45088 | 0.23995 | 1.34102 |
| 1996 | 0.04049 | 247 | 0.05801 | 0.51581 | 0.38201 | 0.24656 | 1.07911 |
| 1997 | 0.06148 | 244 | 0.10509 | 0.93441 | 0.31733 | 0.50291 | 1.73613 |
| 1998 | 0.05926 | 270 | 0.13020 | 1.15768 | 0.30761 | 0.63448 | 2.11232 |
| 1999 | 0.06531 | 245 | 0.10714 | 0.95265 | 0.30799 | 0.52173 | 1.73946 |
| 2000 | 0.03320 | 241 | 0.04916 | 0.43709 | 0.42315 | 0.19411 | 0.98421 |
| 2001 | 0.07556 | 225 | 0.15706 | 1.39653 | 0.30097 | 0.77496 | 2.51665 |
| 2002 | 0.05490 | 255 | 0.13522 | 1.20231 | 0.32811 | 0.63428 | 2.27906 |
| 2003 | 0.13139 | 274 | 0.29962 | 2.66405 | 0.21271 | 1.74915 | 4.05749 |
| 2004 | 0.11790 | 229 | 0.23184 | 2.06138 | 0.24436 | 1.27344 | 3.33688 |
| 2005 | 0.11952 | 251 | 0.17426 | 1.54943 | 0.23269 | 0.97885 | 2.45260 |


| Survey Year | Frequency | $N$ | DL Index | Scaled Index | CV | LCL | UCL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2006 | 0.09375 | 224 | 0.16668 | 1.48200 | 0.27365 | 0.86584 | 2.53664 |
| 2007 | 0.15487 | 226 | 0.32550 | 2.89422 | 0.21618 | 1.88757 | 4.43771 |
| 2008 | 0.01238 | 323 | 0.02835 | 0.25210 | 0.58416 | 0.08530 | 0.74509 |
| 2009 | 0.08133 | 332 | 0.19730 | 1.75430 | 0.24341 | 1.08572 | 2.83460 |
| 2010 | 0.08696 | 207 | 0.14495 | 1.28886 | 0.29720 | 0.72028 | 2.30627 |
| 2011 | 0.01531 | 196 | 0.03996 | 0.35528 | 0.67280 | 0.10467 | 1.20596 |
| 2012 | 0.04895 | 143 | 0.13075 | 1.16257 | 0.45478 | 0.48845 | 2.76708 |

Table 9. Summary of backward selection procedure for building delta-lognormal submodels for king mackerel combined (summer and fall) SEAMAP groundfish survey index of relative abundance from 1972 to 2012.

| Model Run \#1 | Binomial Submodel Type 3 Tests (AIC 113935.6) |  |  |  |  |  | Lognormal Submodel Type 3 Tests (AIC 1773.4) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Effect | $\begin{gathered} \text { Num } \\ \text { DF } \end{gathered}$ | Den DF | ChiSquare | $F$ Value | Pr $>$ ChiSq | $\operatorname{Pr}>F$ | Num DF | Den DF | $F$ Value | $\operatorname{Pr}>F$ |
| Year | 36 | 16099 | 188.21 | 5.23 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | 36 | 603 | 1.67 | 0.0096 |
| Region | 3 | 16099 | 152.43 | 269.06 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | 3 | 603 | 7.71 | <. 0001 |
| Season | 1 | 16099 | 62.18 | 50.81 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | 1 | 603 | 23.77 | <. 0001 |
| Time of Day | 1 | 16099 | 269.06 | 62.18 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | 1 | 603 | 5.19 | 0.0231 |
| Depth | 1 | 16099 | 66.69 | 66.69 | $<.0001$ | <. 0001 | 1 | 603 | 88.63 | $<.0001$ |

Table 10. Indices of king mackerel abundance developed using the delta-lognormal model for combined (summer and fall) SEAMAP groundfish survey 1972-2012. The nominal frequency of occurrence, the number of samples ( $N$ ), the DL Index (number per trawl-hour), the DL indices scaled to a mean of one for the time series, the coefficient of variation on the mean (CV), and lower and upper confidence limits (LCL and UCL) for the scaled index are listed.

| Survey Year | Frequency | $N$ | DL Index | Scaled Index | CV | LCL | UCL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1972 | 0.05435 | 184 | 0.39213 | 3.31528 | 0.38069 | 1.58847 | 6.91932 |
| 1973 | 0 | 272 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 1974 | 0.01646 | 243 | 0.15528 | 1.31281 | 0.58528 | 0.44338 | 3.88709 |
| 1974 | 0 | 280 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 1976 | 0.00326 | 307 | 0.00780 | 0.06594 | 1.09666 | 0.01115 | 0.38997 |
| 1977 | 0 | 242 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 1978 | 0.00940 | 319 | 0.06102 | 0.51591 | 0.66846 | 0.15299 | 1.73968 |
| 1979 | 0.02198 | 273 | 0.11041 | 0.93345 | 0.48546 | 0.37199 | 2.34239 |
| 1980 | 0.00429 | 233 | 0.00846 | 0.07151 | 1.09687 | 0.01209 | 0.42297 |
| 1981 | 0.00717 | 279 | 0.01909 | 0.16139 | 0.80603 | 0.03920 | 0.66440 |
| 1982 | 0.00218 | 459 | 0.00634 | 0.05362 | 1.09427 | 0.00909 | 0.31624 |
| 1983 | 0 | 389 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 1984 | 0.01835 | 436 | 0.22053 | 1.86447 | 0.41697 | 0.83712 | 4.15260 |
| 1985 | 0.01222 | 491 | 0.03591 | 0.30361 | 0.48659 | 0.12076 | 0.76334 |
| 1986 | 0.00466 | 429 | 0.03292 | 0.27833 | 0.80400 | 0.06780 | 1.14259 |
| 1987 | 0.01656 | 483 | 0.06871 | 0.58088 | 0.42075 | 0.25907 | 1.30245 |
| 1988 | 0.02386 | 461 | 0.05976 | 0.50524 | 0.36217 | 0.25036 | 1.01960 |
| 1989 | 0.04396 | 455 | 0.18735 | 1.58399 | 0.27591 | 0.92148 | 2.72283 |
| 1990 | 0.05743 | 505 | 0.15871 | 1.34182 | 0.23089 | 0.85064 | 2.11664 |
| 1991 | 0.03831 | 496 | 0.10984 | 0.92863 | 0.28078 | 0.53527 | 1.61107 |
| 1992 | 0.01688 | 474 | 0.02384 | 0.20154 | 0.42070 | 0.08989 | 0.45185 |
| 1993 | 0.06019 | 515 | 0.17964 | 1.51873 | 0.22292 | 0.97769 | 2.35918 |
| 1994 | 0.03536 | 509 | 0.12326 | 1.04211 | 0.28676 | 0.59394 | 1.82845 |
| 1995 | 0.05274 | 474 | 0.20153 | 1.70383 | 0.24863 | 1.04400 | 2.78069 |
| 1996 | 0.03067 | 489 | 0.07205 | 0.60914 | 0.31187 | 0.33120 | 1.12032 |
| 1997 | 0.04017 | 473 | 0.07253 | 0.61320 | 0.27997 | 0.35399 | 1.06219 |
| 1998 | 0.03823 | 497 | 0.11233 | 0.94969 | 0.27985 | 0.54837 | 1.64472 |
| 1999 | 0.03885 | 489 | 0.09879 | 0.83526 | 0.27980 | 0.48234 | 1.44640 |
| 2000 | 0.04622 | 476 | 0.06364 | 0.53806 | 0.26181 | 0.32150 | 0.90048 |
| 2001 | 0.06015 | 399 | 0.17876 | 1.51131 | 0.25249 | 0.91923 | 2.48474 |
| 2002 | 0.04150 | 506 | 0.11482 | 0.97073 | 0.26691 | 0.57444 | 1.64042 |
| 2003 | 0.07966 | 477 | 0.21455 | 1.81392 | 0.20459 | 1.20985 | 2.71959 |
| 2004 | 0.08155 | 466 | 0.23577 | 1.99336 | 0.20367 | 1.33192 | 2.98328 |
| 2005 | 0.08804 | 443 | 0.15127 | 1.27893 | 0.20193 | 0.85744 | 1.90760 |


| Survey Year | Frequency | $N$ | DL Index | Scaled Index | CV | LCL | UCL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2006 | 0.07559 | 463 | 0.14773 | 1.24899 | 0.21133 | 0.82225 | 1.89721 |
| 2007 | 0.12584 | 445 | 0.33507 | 2.83288 | 0.17036 | 2.01984 | 3.97320 |
| 2008 | 0.02921 | 582 | 0.07903 | 0.66812 | 0.29581 | 0.37436 | 1.19240 |
| 2009 | 0.03989 | 727 | 0.11799 | 0.99755 | 0.22985 | 0.63366 | 1.57041 |
| 2010 | 0.05830 | 446 | 0.11856 | 1.00236 | 0.24365 | 0.62007 | 1.62036 |
| 2011 | 0.02041 | 392 | 0.02897 | 0.24490 | 0.42066 | 0.10924 | 0.54901 |
| 2012 | 0.02305 | 347 | 0.07198 | 0.60853 | 0.42127 | 0.27115 | 1.36570 |



Figure 1. Combined areas for the Fall SEAMAP groundfish survey.


Fall SEAMAP Groundfish - King Mackerel - 1972-2012


Figure 2. Stations sampled from 1982 to 2012 during the Summer (top) and from 1972 to 2012 during the Fall (bottom) SEAMAP Groundfish Survey with the CPUE for king mackerel.


Figure 3. Length frequency histograms for king mackerel captured Summer (top) and Fall (bottom) SEAMAP Groundfish surveys from 1987-2012.

## SEAMAP Fall Grounfish (Continuity) King Mackerel Gulf of Mexico 1972 to 2012 Obsenved and Standardized CPUE (95\% CI)



Figure 4. Annual index of abundance for king mackerel from the Fall SEAMAP Groundfish Survey (Continuity Run) from 1982 - 2012. (Note that the survey has been conducted annually since 1972, in 1973, 1975, 1977 and 1983 no king mackerel were captured during the survey.)


Figure 5. Comparison of annual indices of abundance from the SEDAR 16 (Ingram 2008) and the continuity run from the Fall SEAMAP Groundfish survey.


Figure 6. Annual trends for king mackerel captured during Fall SEAMAP Groundfish Surveys from 1972 to 2012 in A. nominal CPUE and B. proportion of positive stations.


Figure 7. Diagnostic plots for binomial component of the king mackerel Fall SEAMAP Groundfish Survey model: A. the Chi-Square residuals by year, B. the Chi-Square residuals by region, and $\mathbf{C}$. the Chi-Square residuals by time of day.


Figure 8. Diagnostic plots for lognormal component of the king mackerel Fall SEAMAP Groundfish Survey model: A. the frequency distribution of $\log ($ CPUE ) on positive stations and B. the cumulative normalized residuals (QQ plot).


Figure 9. Diagnostic plots for lognormal component of the king mackerel Fall SEAMAP Groundfish Survey model: A. the Chi-Square residuals by year, and B. the Chi-Square residuals by area.

SEAMAP Fall Grounfish King Mackerel Gulf of Mexico 1972 to 2012 Obsenved and Standardized CPUE (95\% CI)


Figure 10. Annual index of abundance for king mackerel from the Fall SEAMAP Groundfish Survey from 1972 - 2012. (Note that the survey has been conducted annually since 1972, in 1973, 1975, 1977 and 1983 no king mackerel were captured during the survey.)


Figure 11. Annual trends for king mackerel captured during combined (summer and fall) SEAMAP Groundfish Surveys from 1972 to 2012 in A. nominal CPUE and B. proportion of positive stations.


Figure 12. Diagnostic plots for binomial component of the king mackerel combined (summer and fall) SEAMAP Groundfish Survey model: A. the Chi-Square residuals by year, B. the ChiSquare residuals by region, C. the Chi-Square residuals by season and $\mathbf{D}$. the Chi-Square residuals by time of day.


Figure 13. Diagnostic plots for lognormal component of the king mackerel combined (summer and fall) SEAMAP Groundfish Survey model: A. the frequency distribution of $\log (C P U E)$ on positive stations and $\mathbf{B}$. the cumulative normalized residuals (QQ plot).


Figure 14. Diagnostic plots for lognormal component of the king mackerel combined (summer and fall) SEAMAP Groundfish Survey model: A. the Chi-Square residuals by year, B. the ChiSquare residuals by region, C. the Chi-Square residuals by season and $\mathbf{D}$. the Chi-Square residuals by time of day.

## SEAMAP Grounfish King Mackerel Gulf of Mexico 1972 to 2012 Obsenved and Standardized CPUE (95\% CI)



Figure 15. Annual index of abundance for king mackerel from the Fall SEAMAP Groundfish Survey from 1972 - 2012. (Note that the survey has been conducted annually since 1972, in 1973, 1975, 1977 and 1983 no king mackerel were captured during the survey.)

## Appendix

Appendix Table 1. Summary of the factors used in constructing the king mackerel abundance index from the Fall SEAMAP groundfish survey data.

| Factor | Level | Number of Observations | Number of Positive Observations | Proportion Positive | Mean CPUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | 1972 | 184 | 10 | 0.05435 | 0.43750 |
| Year | 1974 | 243 | 4 | 0.01646 | 0.27984 |
| Year | 1976 | 307 | 1 | 0.00326 | 0.01303 |
| Year | 1978 | 319 | 3 | 0.00940 | 0.03762 |
| Year | 1979 | 273 | 6 | 0.02198 | 0.13187 |
| Year | 1980 | 233 | 1 | 0.00429 | 0.00858 |
| Year | 1981 | 279 | 2 | 0.00717 | 0.02151 |
| Year | 1982 | 273 | 1 | 0.00366 | 0.00733 |
| Year | 1984 | 226 | 4 | 0.01770 | 0.10619 |
| Year | 1985 | 326 | 5 | 0.01534 | 0.09816 |
| Year | 1986 | 267 | 2 | 0.00749 | 0.04494 |
| Year | 1987 | 202 | 1 | 0.00495 | 0.00958 |
| Year | 1988 | 232 | 10 | 0.04310 | 0.14893 |
| Year | 1989 | 245 | 4 | 0.01633 | 0.09642 |
| Year | 1990 | 248 | 20 | 0.08065 | 0.32374 |
| Year | 1991 | 246 | 7 | 0.02846 | 0.03541 |
| Year | 1992 | 227 | 6 | 0.02643 | 0.08274 |
| Year | 1993 | 269 | 26 | 0.09665 | 0.63811 |
| Year | 1994 | 250 | 11 | 0.04400 | 0.24005 |
| Year | 1995 | 238 | 7 | 0.02941 | 0.20327 |
| Year | 1996 | 247 | 10 | 0.04049 | 0.14034 |
| Year | 1997 | 244 | 15 | 0.06148 | 0.24788 |
| Year | 1998 | 270 | 16 | 0.05926 | 0.19160 |
| Year | 1999 | 245 | 16 | 0.06531 | 0.24078 |
| Year | 2000 | 241 | 8 | 0.03320 | 0.11513 |
| Year | 2001 | 225 | 17 | 0.07556 | 0.27677 |
| Year | 2002 | 255 | 14 | 0.05490 | 0.52632 |
| Year | 2003 | 274 | 36 | 0.13139 | 0.72186 |
| Year | 2004 | 229 | 27 | 0.11790 | 0.44598 |
| Year | 2005 | 251 | 30 | 0.11952 | 0.37612 |
| Year | 2006 | 224 | 21 | 0.09375 | 0.55615 |
| Year | 2007 | 226 | 35 | 0.15487 | 0.72602 |


| Factor | Level | Number of <br> Observations | Number of <br> Positive Observations | Proportion <br> Positive | Mean CPUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | 2008 | 323 | 4 | 0.01238 | 0.07416 |
| Year | 2009 | 332 | 27 | 0.08133 | 0.51626 |
| Year | 2010 | 207 | 18 | 0.08696 | 0.29685 |
| Year | 2011 | 196 | 3 | 0.01531 | 0.03034 |
| Year | 2012 | 143 | 7 | 0.04895 | 0.30374 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Time of Day | Day | 4502 | 380 | 0.08441 | 0.44019 |
| Time of Day | Night | 4717 | 46 | 0.01166 | 0.03476 |
| Region | ELA | 3114 | 59 | 0.01477 | 0.06906 |
| Region | MS | 2175 | 245 | 0.02713 | 0.21654 |
| Region | TX | 2480 | 85 | 0.09879 | 0.46184 |
| Region | WLA | 1450 |  | 0.05862 | 0.21675 |

Appendix Table 2. Summary of the factors used in constructing the king mackerel abundance index from the combined (summer and fall) SEAMAP groundfish survey data.

| Level | Number of <br> Observations | Number of <br> Positive Observations | Proportion <br> Positive | Mean CPUE |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | 1972 | 184 | 10 | 0.05435 | 0.43750 |
| Year | 1974 | 243 | 4 | 0.01646 | 0.27984 |
| Year | 1976 | 307 | 1 | 0.00326 | 0.01303 |
| Year | 1978 | 319 | 3 | 0.00940 | 0.03762 |
| Year | 1979 | 273 | 6 | 0.02198 | 0.13187 |
| Year | 1980 | 233 | 279 | 1 | 0.00429 | 00.00858


| Factor | Level | Number of <br> Observations | Number of <br> Positive Observations | Proportion <br> Positive | Mean CPUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | 2008 | 582 | 17 | 0.02921 | 0.23766 |
| Year | 2009 | 727 | 29 | 0.03989 | 0.24126 |
| Year | 2010 | 446 | 26 | 0.05830 | 0.20460 |
| Year | 2011 | 392 | 8 | 0.02041 | 0.04560 |
| Year | 2012 | 347 | 8 | 0.02305 | 0.13091 |
| Region | ELA | 4819 | 90 |  |  |
| Region | MS | 3613 | 70 | 0.01868 | 0.17124 |
| Region | TX | 5035 | 351 | 0.01937 | 0.18914 |
| Region | WLA | 2675 | 135 | 0.05047 | 0.31444 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 0.48197 |
| Season | Fall | 9219 | 635 | 0.04719 | 0.23275 |
| Season | Summer | 6923 |  |  | 0.03048 |
|  |  | 7859 | 851 | 0.38000 |  |
| Time of Day | Day | Night | 8283 |  | 0.07011 |

Appendix Figure 1. Annual survey effort and catch of king mackerel from the SEAMAP groundfish survey during the summer (1982-2012) and fall (1972-2012).
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## Addendum to SEDAR38-DW-02

During the SEDAR 38 Data Workshop, several concerns were raised regarding the relative abundance indices produced from the SEAMAP groundfish survey data. The main concern was whether the lack of coverage in the western gulf during the early years of the fall survey had an effect on the overall index. This may be warranted since occurrences and CPUE of king mackerel from this area seem to drive the overall frequency of occurrence and CPUE (Addendum Figure 1). The other concern was whether the summer survey data should be included in the index. After examining these issues, our recommendation is for a combined summer and fall index from 1987 to 2012.

The index was constructed following the methodology outlined in the main section of this document. The only difference in the methodology was the survey variable was added to the submodels.

## Submodel Variables (Summer and Fall SEAMAP Groundfish Survey)

Year: 1972 - 2012<br>Region: Texas, West Louisiana, East Louisiana, Mississippi/Alabama Depth: 5-60 (continuous)<br>Time of Day: Day, Night<br>Season: Summer, Fall<br>Survey: Old (1987 - 2008 (summer)), New (2008 (fall) - 2012)

For the combined (summer and fall) SEAMAP abundance index of king mackerel, the nominal CPUE and number of stations with a positive catch are presented in Addendum Figure 2. Year, region, season, survey, time of day and depth were retained in the binomial submodel. While year, region, season, time of day and depth were retained in the lognormal submodel. A summary of the factors used in the analysis is presented in Addendum Table 1. Addendum Table 2 summarizes backward selection procedure used to select the final set of variables used in the submodels and their significance. The AIC for the binomial and lognormal submodels were 83722.8 and 1672.2, respectively. There was a slight increase in the AIC between the two model runs for the lognormal submodel, however, since survey was not significant ( $P=0.5827$ ), this increase was deemed acceptable. The diagnostic plots for the binomial and lognormal submodels are shown in Addendum Figures 3-5, and indicated the distribution of the residuals is approximately normal. Annual abundance indices are presented in Addendum Table 3 and Addendum Figure 6.

Addendum Table 1. Summary of the factors used in constructing the king mackerel abundance index from the Fall SEAMAP groundfish survey data.

| Factor | Level | Number of Observations | Number of Positive Observations | Proportion Positive | Mean CPUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | 1987 | 483 | 8 | 0.01656 | 0.15147 |
| Year | 1988 | 461 | 11 | 0.02386 | 0.14594 |
| Year | 1989 | 455 | 20 | 0.04396 | 0.69983 |
| Year | 1990 | 505 | 29 | 0.05743 | 0.40159 |
| Year | 1991 | 496 | 19 | 0.03831 | 0.71214 |
| Year | 1992 | 474 | 8 | 0.01688 | 0.05404 |
| Year | 1993 | 515 | 31 | 0.06019 | 0.38239 |
| Year | 1994 | 509 | 18 | 0.03536 | 0.59748 |
| Year | 1995 | 474 | 25 | 0.05274 | 0.73947 |
| Year | 1996 | 489 | 15 | 0.03067 | 0.17914 |
| Year | 1997 | 473 | 19 | 0.04017 | 0.15044 |
| Year | 1998 | 497 | 19 | 0.03823 | 0.23536 |
| Year | 1999 | 489 | 19 | 0.03885 | 0.20403 |
| Year | 2000 | 476 | 22 | 0.04622 | 0.16034 |
| Year | 2001 | 399 | 24 | 0.06015 | 0.31812 |
| Year | 2002 | 506 | 21 | 0.04150 | 0.41236 |
| Year | 2003 | 477 | 38 | 0.07966 | 0.43981 |
| Year | 2004 | 466 | 38 | 0.08155 | 0.75653 |
| Year | 2005 | 443 | 39 | 0.08804 | 0.33368 |
| Year | 2006 | 463 | 35 | 0.07559 | 0.37667 |
| Year | 2007 | 445 | 56 | 0.12584 | 0.69190 |
| Year | 2008 | 582 | 17 | 0.02921 | 0.23766 |
| Year | 2009 | 727 | 29 | 0.03989 | 0.24126 |
| Year | 2010 | 446 | 26 | 0.05830 | 0.20460 |
| Year | 2011 | 392 | 8 | 0.02041 | 0.04560 |
| Year | 2012 | 347 | 8 | 0.02305 | 0.13091 |
| Region | ELA | 3162 | 70 | 0.02214 | 0.22303 |
| Region | MS | 2295 | 53 | 0.02309 | 0.19178 |
| Region | TX | 4640 | 346 | 0.07457 | 0.50780 |
| Region | WLA | 2392 | 133 | 0.05560 | 0.35059 |


| Factor | Level | Number of <br> Observations | Number of <br> Positive Observations | Proportion <br> Positive | Mean CPUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Survey | New | 2117 | 75 | 0.03543 | 0.16717 |
| Survey | Old | 10372 | 527 | 0.05081 | 0.38433 |
| Season | Summer | 6200 |  |  | 0.03323 |

Addendum Table 2. Summary of backward selection procedure for building delta-lognormal submodels for king mackerel combined (summer and fall) SEAMAP groundfish survey index of relative abundance from 1972 to 2012.

| Model Run \#1 | Binomial Submodel Type 3 Tests (AIC 83722.8) |  |  |  |  |  | Lognormal Submodel Type 3 Tests (AIC 1671.3) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Effect | Num DF | Den $D F$ | Chi- <br> Square | $F$ Value | Pr $>$ ChiSq | Pr $>$ F | Num DF | Den DF | F Value | Pr $>$ F |
| Year | 25 | 12456 | 125.35 | 5.01 | <. 0001 | $<.0001$ | 25 | 569 | 1.83 | 0.0088 |
| Region | 3 | 12456 | 155.09 | 51.70 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | 3 | 569 | 6.65 | 0.0002 |
| Season | 1 | 12456 | 64.74 | 64.74 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | 1 | 569 | 19.11 | <. 0001 |
| Survey | 1 | 12456 | 4.71 | 4.71 | 0.0300 | 0.0300 | 1 | 569 | 0.30 | 0.5827 |
| Time of Day | 1 | 12456 | 247.15 | 247.15 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | 1 | 569 | 4.71 | 0.0304 |
| Depth | 1 | 12456 | 59.18 | 59.18 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | 1 | 569 | 89.18 | <. 0001 |
| Model Run \#2 | Binomial Submodel Type 3 Tests (AIC 83722.8) |  |  |  |  |  | Lognormal Submodel Type 3 Tests (AIC 1672.2) |  |  |  |
| Effect | Num $D F$ | Den $D F$ | Chi- <br> Square | $F$ Value | Pr $>$ ChiSq | $\operatorname{Pr}>F$ | Num DF | Den DF | $F$ Value | Pr $>\mathrm{F}$ |
| Year | 25 | 12456 | 125.35 | 5.01 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | 25 | 570 | 1.82 | 0.0094 |
| Region | 3 | 12456 | 155.09 | 51.70 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | 3 | 570 | 6.69 | 0.0002 |
| Season | 1 | 12456 | 64.74 | 64.74 | <. 0001 | $<.0001$ | 1 | 570 | 18.84 | <. 0001 |
| Survey | 1 | 12456 | 4.71 | 4.71 | 0.0300 | 0.0300 |  | Droppe |  |  |
| Time of Day | 1 | 12456 | 247.15 | 247.15 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | 1 | 570 | 4.84 | 0.0282 |
| Depth | 1 | 12456 | 59.18 | 59.18 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | 1 | 570 | 88.99 | <. 0001 |

Addendum Table 3. Indices of king mackerel abundance developed using the delta-lognormal model for combined (summer and fall) SEAMAP groundfish survey 1972-2012. The nominal frequency of occurrence, the number of samples ( $N$ ), the DL Index (number per trawl-hour), the DL indices scaled to a mean of one for the time series, the coefficient of variation on the mean (CV), and lower and upper confidence limits (LCL and UCL) for the scaled index are listed.

| Survey Year | Frequency | $N$ | DL Index | Scaled Index | CV | LCL | UCL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1987 | 0.01656 | 483 | 0.04673 | 0.48229 | 0.46478 | 0.19915 | 1.16800 |
| 1988 | 0.02386 | 461 | 0.03920 | 0.40458 | 0.41109 | 0.18356 | 0.89173 |
| 1989 | 0.04396 | 455 | 0.12707 | 1.31135 | 0.33307 | 0.68548 | 2.50866 |
| 1990 | 0.05743 | 505 | 0.10670 | 1.10113 | 0.29477 | 0.61819 | 1.96137 |
| 1991 | 0.03831 | 496 | 0.07400 | 0.76364 | 0.33736 | 0.39602 | 1.47250 |
| 1992 | 0.01688 | 474 | 0.01568 | 0.16177 | 0.46609 | 0.06665 | 0.39265 |
| 1993 | 0.06019 | 515 | 0.12042 | 1.24274 | 0.28791 | 0.70675 | 2.18521 |
| 1994 | 0.03536 | 509 | 0.08200 | 0.84621 | 0.34261 | 0.43462 | 1.64760 |
| 1995 | 0.05274 | 474 | 0.13597 | 1.40322 | 0.30984 | 0.76586 | 2.57102 |
| 1996 | 0.03067 | 489 | 0.04790 | 0.49432 | 0.36516 | 0.24362 | 1.00303 |
| 1997 | 0.04017 | 473 | 0.04807 | 0.49609 | 0.33684 | 0.25752 | 0.95567 |
| 1998 | 0.03823 | 497 | 0.07463 | 0.77016 | 0.33659 | 0.39997 | 1.48296 |
| 1999 | 0.03885 | 489 | 0.06541 | 0.67506 | 0.33692 | 0.35037 | 1.30064 |
| 2000 | 0.04622 | 476 | 0.04274 | 0.44107 | 0.32098 | 0.23578 | 0.82508 |
| 2001 | 0.06015 | 399 | 0.11955 | 1.23375 | 0.31366 | 0.66857 | 2.27670 |
| 2002 | 0.04150 | 506 | 0.07705 | 0.79513 | 0.32552 | 0.42149 | 1.50002 |
| 2003 | 0.07966 | 477 | 0.14296 | 1.47532 | 0.27345 | 0.86227 | 2.52425 |
| 2004 | 0.08155 | 466 | 0.15820 | 1.63262 | 0.27274 | 0.95549 | 2.78961 |
| 2005 | 0.08804 | 443 | 0.10099 | 1.04223 | 0.27150 | 0.61139 | 1.77666 |
| 2006 | 0.07559 | 463 | 0.09957 | 1.02754 | 0.27889 | 0.59440 | 1.77630 |
| 2007 | 0.12584 | 445 | 0.22714 | 2.34401 | 0.24590 | 1.44378 | 3.80556 |
| 2008 | 0.02921 | 582 | 0.08546 | 0.88188 | 0.30241 | 0.48805 | 1.59351 |
| 2009 | 0.03989 | 727 | 0.15832 | 1.63387 | 0.25861 | 0.98224 | 2.71780 |
| 2010 | 0.05830 | 446 | 0.17300 | 1.78536 | 0.29441 | 1.00300 | 3.17800 |
| 2011 | 0.02041 | 392 | 0.04408 | 0.45485 | 0.45941 | 0.18957 | 1.09134 |
| 2012 | 0.02305 | 347 | 0.10657 | 1.09981 | 0.45969 | 0.45816 | 2.64011 |



Addendum Figure 1. Frequency of occurrence (top) and CPUE (bottom) for king mackerel from the summer and fall SEAMAP groundfish survey for the core survey area (blue, 87 to 92 degrees west), expanded survey area (red, west of 92 degrees) and combined survey areas (green).


Addendum Figure 2. Annual trends for king mackerel captured during combined (summer and fall) SEAMAP Groundfish Surveys from 1987 to 2012 in A. nominal CPUE and B. proportion of positive stations.


Addendum Figure 3. Diagnostic plots for binomial component of the king mackerel combined (summer and fall) SEAMAP Groundfish Survey model: A. the Chi-Square residuals by year, B. the Chi-Square residuals by region, C. the Chi-Square residuals by season, D. the Chi-Square residuals by time of day and $\mathbf{E}$. the Chi-Square residuals by survey.


Addendum Figure 4. Diagnostic plots for lognormal component of the king mackerel combined (summer and fall) SEAMAP Groundfish Survey model: A. the frequency distribution of $\log$ (CPUE) on positive stations and B. the cumulative normalized residuals (QQ plot).


Addendum Figure 5. Diagnostic plots for lognormal component of the king mackerel combined (summer and fall) SEAMAP Groundfish Survey model: A. the Chi-Square residuals by year, B. the Chi-Square residuals by region, C. the Chi-Square residuals by season and D. the Chi-Square residuals by time of day.


Addendum Figure 6. Annual index of abundance for king mackerel from the combined (summer and fall) SEAMAP Groundfish Survey from 1987 - 2012.

