Standardized indices of abundance for bonnethead and Atlantic sharpnose sharks from the Georgia Department of Natural Resources ecological monitoring trawl surveys

Camilla T. McCandless, Jim Page, and Carolyn N. Belcher

SEDAR34-WP-35

16 July 2013



This information is distributed solely for the purpose of pre-dissemination peer review. It does not represent and should not be construed to represent any agency determination or policy.

Please cite this document as:

McCandless, C.T., J. Page, and C.N. Belcher. 2013. Standardized indices of abundance for bonnethead and Atlantic sharpnose sharks from the Georgia Department of Natural Resources ecological monitoring trawl surveys. SEDAR34-WP-35. SEDAR, North Charleston, SC. 19 pp.

SEDAR 34 DATA WORKSHOP DOCUMENT

Standardized catch rates for bonnetheads and Atlantic sharpnose sharks from the Georgia Department of Natural Resources Ecological Monitoring Trawl Survey

Camilla T. McCandless NOAA/NMFS/NEFSC Apex Predators Investigation 28 Tarzwell Drive Narragansett, RI 02882

Jim Page Carolyn N. Belcher Georgia Department of Natural Resources Coastal Resources Division One Conservation Way, Suite 300 Brunswick, GA 31520

> cami.mccandless@noaa.gov jim.page@dnr.state.ga.us carolyn.belcher@dnr.state.ga.us

June 2013

Workshop Draft not to be cited without permission of authors

Summary

This document details the shark catches from the Georgia Department of Natural Resources (GADNR) Ecological Monitoring Trawl Survey conducted from 2003-2011. Catch per unit effort (CPUE) in number of sharks per tow hour were used to examine age 1+ bonnethead and Atlantic sharpnose shark relative abundance in Georgia's coastal waters. The CPUE was standardized using a two-step delta-lognormal approach that models the proportion of positive catch with a binomial error distribution separately from the positive catch, which is modeled using a lognormal distribution. The standardized indices of abundance from the GADNR trawl survey show no apparent overall trends in age 1+ bonnethead and Atlantic sharpnose shark relative abundance across survey years.

Introduction

The GADNR Ecological Monitoring Trawl Survey, formerly known as the Shrimp Assessment Survey, is conducted year round in six of GA's commercially important estuarine systems. Small coastal sharks are caught during the warmer months of the survey. This paper analyzes a subset of the GADNR trawl survey database excluding the time of year (December-March) in which the capture of small coastal sharks is not likely.

Methods Sampling Gear and Data Collection

The GADNR trawl survey is conducted monthly at 42 fixed sites in six of Georgia's estuarine systems (Wassaw, Ossabaw, Sapelo, St. Simons, St. Andrew, Cumberland). This bottom trawl survey is conducted with a 60ft shrimp trawler (R/V *Anna*) pulling a single 40' Flat trawl net ($1^{7}/_{8}$ '' mesh), equipped with tickler chain and 5 ft wooden doors. Within each sampled estuary, three sectors are sampled (beaches, sounds, rivers/creeks), all of which are in state waters (0 - 3 miles). In each sector, at least two stations are sampled, resulting in a minimum of six stations per estuary.

Upon arriving at a designated fixed sample site, the crew uses a double-drum winch to deploy the net from a single outrigger on the starboard side of the vessel. Ample scope is provided for the net based on the depth at the sampled station. Once the net has been let out with ample scope, the winch is stopped or "dogged off". It is at this point when the winch is dogged off that the tow time officially begins. After the winch is dogged off the net will be towed for a standardized 15 minutes. After 15 minutes of towing, the winch is be reengaged, at which point the tow time officially ends. After the net is retrieved via the winch, the cod end of the net is brought onboard the vessel. Only the biota in the cod end of the net is sampled and data recorded. After the bag is untied and the contents of the cod end are dumped onto the back deck, all biota are separated to the species level. Data, including lengths and weights, are recorded for each species.

Data Analysis

Catch per unit effort (CPUE) in number of sharks per tow hour was used to examine the relative abundance of age 1+ bonnethead and Atlantic sharpnose sharks in Georgia's coastal waters. For the purposes of this SEDAR process, male bonnetheads smaller than 37 cm fork length (FL), female bonnetheads smaller than 36 cm FL, male Atlantic sharpnose smaller than 38 cm fork length, and female Atlantic sharpnose smaller than 43 cm fork length were considered to be young-of the-year sharks and excluded from analyses. The CPUEs were standardized using the Lo et al. (2002) method which models the proportion of positive sets separately from the positive catch. After initial exploratory analysis, factors considered as potential influences on the catch from GADNR trawl tows were year (2003 - 2011), month (April-November), depth (<20 m, 20+ m),

salinity (<30 ppt, 30+ ppt), temperature (<25 degC, 24+ degC), and area (Wassaw, Ossabaw, Sapelo, St. Simons, St. Andrew, and Cumberland sound systems). The proportion of sets with positive catch values was modeled assuming a binomial distribution with a logit link function and the positive catch sets were modeled assuming a lognormal distribution.

Models were fit in a stepwise forward manner adding one potential factor at a time after initially running a null model with no factors included (Gonzáles-Ania et al. 2001, Carlson 2002). Each potential factor was ranked from greatest to least reduction in deviance per degree of freedom when compared to the null model. The factor resulting in the greatest reduction in deviance was then incorporated into the model provided the effect was significant at $\alpha = 0.05$ based on a Chi-Square test, and the deviance per degree freedom was reduced by at least 1% from the less complex model. This process was continued until no additional factors met the criteria for incorporation into the final model. The factor "year" was kept in all final models, regardless of its significance, to allow for calculation of indices. All models in the stepwise approach were fitted using the SAS GENMOD procedure (SAS Institute, Inc.). The final models were then run through the SAS GLIMMIX macro to allow fitting of the generalized linear mixed models using the SAS MIXED procedure (Wolfinger, SAS Institute, Inc.). The standardized indices of abundance were based on the year effect least square means determined from the combined binomial and lognormal components.

Results

GADNR trawl survey – age 1+ bonnetheads

A total of 856 age 1+ bonnetheads were caught during 2964 trawl tows from 2003 to 2011. The size range of bonnetheads caught by year is displayed in Figure 2. The proportion of sets with positive catch (at least one age 1+ bonnethead caught) was 14%. The stepwise construction of each model and the resulting statistics for the mixed models are detailed in Table 1. Some model diagnostic plots reveal that the model fit may be acceptable, but the histogram for the lognormal model residuals on positive catch rates and the Q-Q plot indicates that the positive catch data are not normally distributed (Figures 3a and 3b). The resulting indices of abundance based on the year effect least square means, associated statistics and nominal indices are reported in Table 2 and are plotted by year in Figure 4.

GADNR trawl survey - age 1+ Atlantic sharpnose sharks

A total of 1715 age 1+ Atlantic sharpnose sharks were caught during 2964 trawl tows from 2003 to 2011. The size range of Atlantic sharpnose sharks caught by year is displayed in Figure 5. The proportion of sets with positive catch (at least one age 1+ A. sharpnose shark caught) was 20%. The stepwise construction of

each model and the resulting statistics for the mixed models are detailed in Table 3. Model diagnostic plots reveal that the model fit is acceptable (Figures 6a and 6b). The resulting indices of abundance based on the year effect least square means, associated statistics and nominal indices are reported in Table 4 and are plotted by year in Figure 7.

References

Carlson J.K. 2002. A fishery-independent assessment of shark stock abundance for large coastal species in the northeast Gulf of Mexico. Panama City Laboratory Contribution Series 02-08. 26pp.

González-Ania, L.V., C.A. Brown, and E. Cortés. 2001. Standardized catch rates for yellowfin tuna (*Thunnus albacares*) in the 1992-1999 Gulf of Mexico longline fishery based upon observer programs from Mexico and the United States. Col. Vol. Sci. Pap. ICCAT 52:222-237.

Lo, N.C., L.D. Jacobson, and J.L. Squire. 1992. Indices of relative abundance from fish spotter data based on delta-lognormal models. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 49:2515-2526.

Table 1. Results of the stepwise procedure for development of the GADNR trawl survey catch rate model for age 1+ bonnetheads. %DIF is the percent difference in deviance/DF between each model and the null model. Delta% is the difference in deviance/DF between the newly included factor and the previous entered factor in the model.

PROPORTION POSIT	PROPORTION POSITIVE-BINOMIAL ERROR DISTRIBUTION								
FACTOR	DF	DEVIANCE	DEVIANCE/DF	%DIFF	DELTA%	CHISQ	PR>CHI		
null	1165	1299.4202	1.1154						
month	1157	1125.2282	0.9725	12.8115	12.8115	174.19	<.0001		
temp	1164	1221.4906	1.0494	5.9172		77.93	<.0001		
year	1157	1244.4807	1.0756	3.5682		54.94	<.0001		
area	1160	1253.8747	1.0809	3.0931		45.55	<.0001		
sal	1164	1281.2095	1.1007	1.3179		18.21	<.0001		
depth	1164	1297.4279	1.1146	0.0717		1.99	0.1581		
month +									
area	1152	1077.9177	0.9357	16,1108	3.2993	47.31	<.0001		
vear	1149	1075 6228	0.9361	16 0750	3 2634	49.61	< 0001		
eal	1156	1110 6218	0.0685	13 1702	0.3586	5.61	0.0170		
Sai	1150	1119.0210	0.9005	13.1702	0.3366	5.01	0.0179		
temp	1156	1122.0904	0.9707	12.9729	0.1614	3.14	0.0765		
month +area+									
year	1144	1026.7400	0.8975	19.5356	6.5627	51.18	<.0001		
FINAL MODEL: mo	onth + are	a + year							
AIC 1	336.8	BIC	1337.3	(-2) Res LL 1292.8					
	Type 3	Test of Fixed	Effects						
Fixed effect		month	area	year					
Significance (Pr>Chi)		< 0001	< 0001	< 0001					
Significance (FIZC		5.0001	5.0001						
DF	,	8	5	8					
DF CHI SQUARE	,	8	5 48.88	8					
DF CHI SQUARE	,	8 171.13	5 48.88	8 51.18					
DF CHI SQUARE	,	8 171.13	5 48.88	8 51.18					
DF CHI SQUARE		8 171.13	5 48.88	8 51.18					
DF CHI SQUARE	-LOGNORM	8 171.13	5 48.88 TRIBUTION	8 51.18		01115.0	PD, 610		
DF CHI SQUARE POSITIVE CATCHES- FACTOR	LOGNORN	8 171.13 IAL ERROR DIS DEVIANCE	5 48.88 TRIBUTION DEVIANCE/DF	8 51.18 %DIFF	DELTA%	CHISQ	PR>CHI		
DF CHI SQUARE POSITIVE CATCHES- FACTOR null	LOGNORN DF 363	8 171.13 IAL ERROR DIS <u>DEVIANCE</u> 171.2962	5 48.88 TRIBUTION <u>DEVIANCE/DF</u> 0.4719	8 51.18 %DIFF	DELTA%	CHISQ	PR>CHI		
DF CHI SQUARE POSITIVE CATCHES- FACTOR null year	-LOGNORM DF 363 355	8 171.13 IAL ERROR DIS DEVIANCE 171.2962 163.2398	5 48.88 TRIBUTION <u>DEVIANCE/DF</u> 0.4719 0.4598	8 51.18 %DIFF 2.5641	DELTA% 2.5641	CHISQ 17.54	PR>CHI 0.0250		
DF CHI SQUARE POSITIVE CATCHES FACTOR null year month	LOGNORN DF 363 355 356	8 171.13 IAL ERROR DIS <u>DEVIANCE</u> 171.2962 163.2398 166.1798	5 48.88 TRIBUTION <u>DEVIANCE/DF</u> 0.4719 0.4598 0.4668 0.4668	8 51.18 %DIFF 2.5641 1.0807	DELTA% 2.5641	CHISQ 17.54 11.04	PR>CHI 0.0250 0.1370		
DF CHI SQUARE POSITIVE CATCHES FACTOR null year month depth	LOGNORN DF 363 355 356 362 250	8 171.13 IAL ERROR DIS <u>DEVIANCE</u> 171.2962 163.2398 166.1798 169.2934	5 48.88 TRIBUTION <u>DEVIANCE/DF</u> 0.4719 0.4598 0.4668 0.4677 0.4620	8 51.18 %DIFF 2.5641 1.0807 0.8900	DELTA% 2.5641	CHISQ 17.54 11.04 4.28 7.27	PR>CHI 0.0250 0.1370 0.0385 0.2315		
DF CHI SQUARE POSITIVE CATCHES- FACTOR null year month depth area	LOGNORN DF 363 355 356 362 358 262	8 171.13 IAL ERROR DIS <u>DEVIANCE</u> 171.2962 163.2398 166.1798 169.2934 167.9102	5 48.88 TRIBUTION <u>DEVIANCE/DF</u> 0.4719 0.4598 0.4668 0.4667 0.4690 0.4690	8 51.18 %DIFF 2.5641 1.0807 0.8900 0.6145 0.2321	DELTA% 2.5641	CHISQ 17.54 11.04 4.28 7.27 4.97	PR>CHI 0.0250 0.1370 0.0385 0.2015 0.116		
DF CHI SQUARE POSITIVE CATCHES- FACTOR null year month depth area temp	LOGNORN DF 363 355 356 362 358 362 200	8 171.13 IAL ERROR DIS <u>DEVIANCE</u> 171.2962 163.2398 166.1798 169.2934 167.9102 170.4189	5 48.88 TRIBUTION <u>DEVIANCE/DF</u> 0.4719 0.4598 0.4668 0.4668 0.4677 0.4690 0.4708	8 51.18 %DIFF 2.5641 1.0807 0.8900 0.6145 0.2331	DELTA% 2.5641	CHISQ 17.54 11.04 4.28 7.27 1.87 0.45	PR>CHI 0.0250 0.1370 0.0385 0.2015 0.1716 0.0200		
DF CHI SQUARE POSITIVE CATCHES- FACTOR null year month depth area temp sal	LOGNORM DF 363 355 356 362 358 362 362 362	8 171.13 IAL ERROR DIS DEVIANCE 171.2962 163.2398 166.1798 169.2934 167.9102 170.4189 171.2248	5 48.88 TRIBUTION <u>DEVIANCE/DF</u> 0.4719 0.4598 0.4668 0.4677 0.4690 0.4708 0.4730	8 51.18 %DIFF 2.5641 1.0807 0.8900 0.6145 0.2331 -0.2331	DELTA% 2.5641	CHISQ 17.54 11.04 4.28 7.27 1.87 0.15	PR>CHI 0.0250 0.1370 0.0385 0.2015 0.1716 0.6969		
DF CHI SQUARE POSITIVE CATCHES- FACTOR null year month depth area temp sal	LOGNORN DF 363 355 356 362 358 362 362 362	8 171.13 IAL ERROR DIS DEVIANCE 171.2962 163.2398 166.1798 169.2934 167.9102 170.4189 171.2248	5 48.88 TRIBUTION <u>DEVIANCE/DF</u> 0.4719 0.4598 0.4668 0.4677 0.4690 0.4708 0.4730	8 51.18 %DIFF 2.5641 1.0807 0.8900 0.6145 0.2331 -0.2331	DELTA% 2.5641	CHISQ 17.54 11.04 4.28 7.27 1.87 0.15	PR>CHI 0.0250 0.1370 0.0385 0.2015 0.1716 0.6969		
DF CHI SQUARE POSITIVE CATCHES- FACTOR null year month depth area temp sal FINAL MODEL: yea	LOGNORM DF 363 355 356 362 358 362 362 362	8 171.13 IAL ERROR DIS DEVIANCE 171.2962 163.2398 166.1798 169.2934 167.9102 170.4189 171.2248	5 48.88 TRIBUTION <u>DEVIANCE/DF</u> 0.4719 0.4598 0.4668 0.4677 0.4690 0.4708 0.4730	8 51.18 %DIFF 2.5641 1.0807 0.8900 0.6145 0.2331 -0.2331	DELTA% 2.5641	CHISQ 17.54 11.04 4.28 7.27 1.87 0.15	PR>CHI 0.0250 0.1370 0.0385 0.2015 0.1716 0.6969		
DF CHI SQUARE POSITIVE CATCHES- FACTOR null year month depth area temp sal FINAL MODEL: year	LOGNORM DF 363 355 356 362 358 362 362 362	8 171.13 IAL ERROR DIS <u>DEVIANCE</u> 171.2962 163.2398 166.1798 169.2934 167.9102 170.4189 171.2248	5 48.88 TRIBUTION <u>DEVIANCE/DF</u> 0.4719 0.4598 0.4668 0.4677 0.4690 0.4708 0.4730	8 51.18 %DIFF 2.5641 1.0807 0.8900 0.6145 0.2331 -0.2331	DELTA% 2.5641	CHISQ 17.54 11.04 4.28 7.27 1.87 0.15	PR>CHI 0.0250 0.1370 0.0385 0.2015 0.1716 0.6969		
DF CHI SQUARE POSITIVE CATCHES- FACTOR null year month depth area temp sal FINAL MODEL: year AIC 7	LOGNORM DF 363 355 356 362 358 362 362 362 362	8 171.13 IAL ERROR DIS <u>DEVIANCE</u> 171.2962 163.2398 166.1798 169.2934 167.9102 170.4189 171.2248 BIC	5 48.88 TRIBUTION <u>DEVIANCE/DF</u> 0.4719 0.4598 0.4668 0.4677 0.4690 0.4708 0.4730	8 51.18 %DIFF 2.5641 1.0807 0.8900 0.6145 0.2331 -0.2331 (-2) Res LL	DELTA% 2.5641	CHISQ 17.54 11.04 4.28 7.27 1.87 0.15	PR>CHI 0.0250 0.1370 0.0385 0.2015 0.1716 0.6969		
DF CHI SQUARE POSITIVE CATCHES- FACTOR null year month depth area temp sal FINAL MODEL: yea AIC 7	LOGNORM DF 363 355 356 362 358 362 362 362 362 362	8 171.13 IAL ERROR DIS DEVIANCE 171.2962 163.2398 166.1798 169.2934 167.9102 170.4189 171.2248 BIC	5 48.88 TRIBUTION <u>DEVIANCE/DF</u> 0.4719 0.4598 0.4668 0.4677 0.4690 0.4708 0.4730	8 51.18 %DIFF 2.5641 1.0807 0.8900 0.6145 0.2331 -0.2331 (-2) Res LL	DELTA% 2.5641 741.1	CHISQ 17.54 11.04 4.28 7.27 1.87 0.15	PR>CHI 0.0250 0.1370 0.0385 0.2015 0.1716 0.6969		
DF CHI SQUARE POSITIVE CATCHES- FACTOR null year month depth area temp sal FINAL MODEL: year AIC 7	LOGNORM DF 363 355 356 362 358 362 362 362 362 362 362	8 171.13 IAL ERROR DIS <u>DEVIANCE</u> 171.2962 163.2398 166.1798 169.2934 167.9102 170.4189 171.2248 BIC	5 48.88 TRIBUTION <u>DEVIANCE/DF</u> 0.4719 0.4598 0.4668 0.4677 0.4690 0.4708 0.4708 0.4730	8 51.18 %DIFF 2.5641 1.0807 0.8900 0.6145 0.2331 -0.2331 -0.2331	DELTA% 2.5641 741.1	CHISQ 17.54 11.04 4.28 7.27 1.87 0.15	PR>CHI 0.0250 0.1370 0.0385 0.2015 0.1716 0.6969		
DF CHI SQUARE POSITIVE CATCHES- FACTOR null year month depth area temp sal FINAL MODEL: yea AIC 7	LOGNORM DF 363 355 356 362 358 362 362 362 362 362 362	8 171.13 MAL ERROR DIS <u>DEVIANCE</u> 171.2962 163.2398 166.1798 169.2934 167.9102 170.4189 171.2248 BIC BIC	5 48.88 TRIBUTION <u>DEVIANCE/DF</u> 0.4719 0.4598 0.4668 0.4677 0.4690 0.4708 0.4708 0.4730 800.1	8 51.18 %DIFF 2.5641 1.0807 0.8900 0.6145 0.2331 -0.2331 (-2) Res LL	DELTA% 2.5641	CHISQ 17.54 11.04 4.28 7.27 1.87 0.15	PR>CHI 0.0250 0.1370 0.0385 0.2015 0.1716 0.6969		
DF CHI SQUARE POSITIVE CATCHES- FACTOR null year month depth area temp sal FINAL MODEL: yea AIC 7 Fixed effect Significance (Pr>C	LOGNORM DF 363 355 356 362 358 362 362 362 362 r 61.1 <u>Type 3</u>	8 171.13 MAL ERROR DIS <u>DEVIANCE</u> 171.2962 163.2398 166.1798 169.2934 167.9102 170.4189 171.2248 BIC <u>Test of Fixed</u> year 0.0250	5 48.88 TRIBUTION <u>DEVIANCE/DF</u> 0.4719 0.4598 0.4668 0.4677 0.4690 0.4708 0.4730 800.1	8 51.18 %DIFF 2.5641 1.0807 0.8900 0.6145 0.2331 -0.2331 (-2) Res LL	DELTA% 2.5641	CHISQ 17.54 11.04 4.28 7.27 1.87 0.15	PR>CHI 0.0250 0.1370 0.0385 0.2015 0.1716 0.6969		
DF CHI SQUARE POSITIVE CATCHES- FACTOR null year month depth area temp sal FINAL MODEL: yea AIC 7 Fixed effect Significance (Pr>C DF	LOGNORM DF 363 355 356 362 358 362 362 362 362 7 61.1 Type 3	8 171.13 MAL ERROR DIS <u>DEVIANCE</u> 171.2962 163.2398 166.1798 169.2934 167.9102 170.4189 171.2248 BIC STest of Fixed year 0.0250 8	5 48.88 TRIBUTION <u>DEVIANCE/DF</u> 0.4719 0.4598 0.4668 0.4677 0.4690 0.4708 0.4730 800.1	8 51.18 %DIFF 2.5641 1.0807 0.8900 0.6145 0.2331 -0.2331 (-2) Res LL	DELTA% 2.5641	CHISQ 17.54 11.04 4.28 7.27 1.87 0.15	PR>CHI 0.0250 0.1370 0.0385 0.2015 0.1716 0.6969		
DF CHI SQUARE POSITIVE CATCHES- FACTOR null year month depth area temp sal FINAL MODEL: yea AIC 7 Fixed effect Significance (Pr>C DF CHI SQUARE	LOGNORM DF 363 355 356 362 358 362 362 362 362 362 362 362 362	8 171.13 IAL ERROR DIS <u>DEVIANCE</u> 171.2962 163.2398 166.1798 169.2934 167.9102 170.4189 171.2248 BIC <u>Test of Fixed</u> year 0.0250 8 114.29	5 48.88 TRIBUTION <u>DEVIANCE/DF</u> 0.4719 0.4598 0.4668 0.4677 0.4690 0.4708 0.4730 800.1 Effects	8 51.18 %DIFF 2.5641 1.0807 0.8900 0.6145 0.2331 -0.2331 (-2) Res LL	DELTA% 2.5641	CHISQ 17.54 11.04 4.28 7.27 1.87 0.15	PR>CHI 0.0250 0.1370 0.0385 0.2015 0.1716 0.6969		

Table 2. GADNR trawl survey age 1+ bonnethead analysis number of model observations per year (obs n), number of positive model observations per year (obs pos), proportion of positive model observations per year (obs ppos), nominal cpue as sharks per tow hour (obs cpue), resulting estimated cpue from the model (est cpue), the lower 95% confidence limit for the est cpue (LCI), the upper 95% confidence limit for the est cpue (UCI), and the coefficient of variation for the estimated cpue (CV).

 year	n obs	obs pos	obs ppos	obs cpue	estcpue	LCI	UCI	CV
 2003	136	41	0.3015	2.7941	3.1847	2.3087	4.3931	0.1619
2004	126	21	0.1667	2.1270	2.3650	1.4937	3.7448	0.2329
2005	171	10	0.0585	0.7251	0.9221	0.4679	1.8172	0.3492
2006	315	55	0.1746	1.2305	1.5913	1.1958	2.1177	0.1436
2007	292	40	0.1370	2.0040	1.7236	1.2291	2.4171	0.1703
2008	334	32	0.0958	0.6225	0.8106	0.5513	1.1917	0.1945
2009	256	59	0.2305	2.0814	2.7136	2.0784	3.5429	0.1339
2010	275	53	0.1920	1.3966	1.4885	1.1020	2.0105	0.1512
2011	321	60	0.1869	1.6616	1.8546	1.4130	2.4343	0.1366

Table 3. Results of the stepwise procedure for development of the GADNR trawl survey catch rate model for age 1+ Atlantic sharpnose sharks . %DIF is the percent difference in deviance/DF between each model and the null model. Delta% is the difference in deviance/DF between the newly included factor and the previous entered factor in the model.

PROPORTION POSITIVE-BINOMIAL ERROR DISTRIBUTION								
FACTOR	DF	DEVIANCE	DEVIANCE/DF	%DIFF	DELTA%	CHISQ	PR>CHI	
null	1165	1756.6761	1.5079					
month	1157	1009.1709	0.8722	42.1580	42.1580	747.51	<.0001	
temp	1164	1388.7391	1.1931	20.8767		367.94	<.0001	
sal	1164	1701.1651	1.4615	3.0771		55.51	<.0001	
year	1157	1717.2028	1.4842	1.5717		39.47	<.0001	
area	1160	1730.4216	1.4917	1.0743		26.25	<.0001	
depth	1164	1756.6485	1.5091	-0.0796		0.03	0.8681	
month +								
year	1149	963.8226	0.8388	44.3730	2.2150	45.35	<.0001	
area	1152	968.5211	0.8407	44.2470	2.0890	40.65	<.0001	
sal	1156	1000.9273	0.8659	42.5758	0.4178	8.24	0.0041	
temp	1156	1004.3444	0.8688	42.3834	0.2255	4.83	0.0280	
month +year +								
area	1144	921.2945	0.8053	46.5946	4.2112	42.53	<.0001	

FINAL MODEL: month + year + area

AIC 98.4 BIC 132.6 (-2) Res LL 88.5

<u>Ty</u>	Type 3 Test of Fixed Effects				
Fixed effect	month	year			
Significance (Pr>Chi)	<.0001	0.0360			
DF	8	4			
CHI SQUARE	38.43	10.28			

POSITIVE CATCHES-LOGNORMAL ERROR DISTRIBUTION

FACTOR	DF	DEVIANCE	DEVIANCE/DF	%DIFF	DELTA%	CHISQ	PR>CHI
null	490	364.2118	0.7433				
month	483	330.9504	0.6852	7.8165	7.8165	47.02	<.0001
year	482	340.1013	0.7056	5.0720		33.63	<.0001
temp	489	356.0585	0.7281	2.0449		11.12	0.0009
sal	489	361.6411	0.7396	0.4978		3.48	0.0622
depth	489	363.8630	0.7441	-0.1076		0.47	0.4928
area	485	337.6607	0.7990	-7.4936		37.31	<.0001
month +							
year	475	300.3882	0.6324	14.9200	7.1035	47.57	<.0001
temp	482	330.0166	0.6847	7.8838	0.0673	1.39	0.2389

FINAL MODEL: month + year

AIC 239.0	BIC 254.4	(-2) Res LL 225.0
Type 3 Te	st of Fixed Effects	

Fixed effect	month	area	year
Significance (Pr>Chi)	<.0001	<.0001	0.2250
DF	8	5	4
CHI SQUARE	114.38	78.52	5.67

Table 4. GADNR trawl survey age 1+ Atlantic sharpnose shark analysis number of model observations per year (obs n), number of positive model observations per year (obs pos), proportion of positive model observators per year (obs pos), nominal cpue as sharks per tow hour (obs cpue), resulting estimated cpue from the model (est cpue), the lower 95% confidence limit for the est cpue (LCL), the upper 95% confidence limit for the est cpue (UCL), and the coefficient of variation for the estimated cpue (CV).

year	n obs	obs pos	obs ppos	obs cpue	estcpue	LCI	UCI	CV
2003	136	37	0.2721	3.9412	6.1762	3.9784	9.5882	0.2226
2004	126	37	0.2937	5.4286	7.7411	5.1358	11.6680	0.2073
2005	171	12	0.0702	1.0994	2.0943	0.9497	4.6181	0.4114
2006	315	81	0.2571	2.8558	4.1455	3.0910	5.5598	0.1476
2007	292	60	0.2055	2.0285	3.2053	2.2582	4.5496	0.1765
2008	334	84	0.2515	2.7660	4.5139	3.3823	6.0242	0.1451
2009	256	62	0.2422	6.6580	10.2605	7.5258	13.9890	0.1559
2010	276	57	0.2065	2.5094	2.6676	1.8152	3.9202	0.1943
2011	321	68	0.2118	1.8656	3.2621	2.3426	4.5425	0.1667

Figure 1. Georgia's coastline with the labeled sound systems. Sampling areas for the GADNR trawl surveys are located in the Wassaw, Ossabaw, Sapelo, St. Simons, St. Andrew, and Cumberland sound systems







Figure 3a. Age 1+ bonnethead model diagnostic plots for the binomial component.

Chisq Residuals proportion positive 6 ¢ ¢ 5 \diamond ¢ 0 4 0 ٥ \diamond $\hat{\hat{\otimes}}$ ¢ 3 \$ 8 \diamond reschi 0 \$ 0 \$ ¢ ¢ 2. 8 8 8 \diamond ¢ 88 0000 ŝ 8 00000000 1 ٥ 8 8 8 0 -2 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 year

Delta lognormal CPUE index = GA trawl age 1+ bonnethead 2003-2011

Figure 3a continued. Age 1+ bonnethead model diagnostic plots for the binomial component.



Delta lognormal CPUE index = GA trawl age 1+ bonnethead 2003-2011 Chisq Residuals proportion positive





Figure 3a continued. Age 1+ bonnethead model diagnostic plots for the binomial component.



Delta lognormal CPUE index = GA trawl age 1+ bonnethead 2003-2011 Diagnostic plots: 1) Obs vs Pred Proport Posit

Figure 3b. Age 1+ bonnethead model diagnostic plots for lognormal component.











Figure 4. GADNR trawl survey age 1+ bonnethead nominal (obcpue) and estimated (estcpue) indices with 95% confidence limits (LCI0), UCI0).





Figure 5. Fork lengths (cm) of Atlantic sharpnose sharks caught during the GADNR trawl survey from 2003-2011.







Delta lognormal CPUE index = GA trawl age 1+ A. sharpnose 2003-2011 Chisq Residuals proportion positive





Figure 6a continued. Age 1+ Atlantic sharpnose shark model diagnostic plots for the binomial component.



Delta lognormal CPUE index = GA trawl age 1+ A. sharpnose 2003–2011 Chisq Residuals proportion positive

Delta lognormal CPUE index = GA trawl age 1+ A. sharpnose 2003-2011 Diagnostic plots: 1) Obs vs Pred Proport Posit





Figure 6b. Age 1+ Atlantic sharpnose shark model diagnostic plots for the lognormal component.

Delta lognormal CPUE index = GA trawl age 1+ A. sharpnose 2003-2011 Residuals positive CPUEs*Year



Figure 6b continued. Age 1+ Atlantic sharpnose shark model diagnostic plots for the lognormal component.



Residuals positive CPUEs*Month







Figure 6b continued. Age 1+ Atlantic sharpnose shark model diagnostic plots for the lognormal component.

Figure 7. GADNR trawl survey age 1+ Atlantic sharpnose shark nominal (obcpue) and estimated (estcpue) indices with 95% confidence limits (LCI0), UCI0).



Delta lognormal CPUE index = GA trawl age 1+ A. sharpnose 2003-2011 Nominal and Estimated CPUE (95% Cl)