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#### Abstract

Standardized catch rates were generated from the Southeast headboat survey trip records (logbooks) for 1995-2011. The analysis included areas from central North Carolina through south Florida. The index is meant to describe population trends of fish in the size/age range of fish landed by headboat vessels. Data filtering and subsetting steps were applied to the data to model trips that were likely to have directed gray triggerfish effort.


## Background

The headboat fishery in the south Atlantic includes for-hire vessels. The fishery uses hook and line gear, generally targets hard bottom reefs as the fishing grounds, and generally targets multiple species in the snapper-grouper complex. One of the key characteristics defining a headboat from other recreational fishing such as charter boats is the number of anglers. Prior to 2000 headboats were defined as vessels carrying 15 or more recreational anglers. This criteria changed to 7 or more passengers in 2000 in the Atlantic (Ken Brennan, pers. comm. Dec. 2011).

Headboats in the south Atlantic are sampled from North Carolina to the Florida Keys.
Data have been collected since 1972, but logbook reporting did not start until 1973. In addition, only North Carolina and South Carolina were included in the earlier years of the data set. In 1976, data were collected from North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, and northern Florida, and starting in 1978, data were collected from southern Florida (Areas 1-17, Figure 1).

Variables reported in the data set include year, month, day, area, location, trip type, number of anglers, species, catch, and vessel id. Biological data and discard data were recorded for some trips in some years.

Until 1980, there was no category for gray triggerfish on the catch record form for all south Atlantic states. Until 1980, captains had to write in species in blanks provided on the form.

A 12" minimum size limit for gray triggerfish has been in place since 1995 in Florida.
Headboat records were examined to determine if sufficient data exists to develop a standardized index of abundance for south Atlantic gray triggerfish.

## Data treatment

Data from 1972-1979 were dropped from the analysis because the data collected included only North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia and north Florida. Data from area 1 (Figure 1) were excluded as this area was not recorded during most of the time series. The minimum number of anglers per vessel was set at 6 , which excluded the lower $0.1 \%$ of trips. These trips were excluded because they were possibly misreported and likely don't reflect the behavior of headboats in general.

The index working group (IWG) discussed the starting year for this index (Table 2), summarized below:

- Although data were reported throughout the 1980s, the CPUE during that time period was considered unreliable as a measure of abundance. This was due to increases in desirability to keep gray triggerfish throughout the 1980s, and the fact that the headboat logbooks contained no information on discards during that period.
- Many regulatory changes of snapper-grouper species were implemented in 1992, and they may have affected targeting of gray triggerfish. In addition, a 12 -inch size limit was implemented in 1995 in state and federal waters off the east coast of Florida. For this reason, the index was computed starting in 1995.


## Subsetting trips

Trips to be included in the computation of the index need to be determined based on effort directed at gray triggerfish. Effort can be determined directly for trips which had positive gray triggerfish catches, but some trips likely directed effort at gray triggerfish, but were unsuccessful at landing gray triggerfish. Given that information on directed effort for trips without gray triggerfish harvest is not available, another method must be used to compute total effort. In order to determine effort that was likely directed at gray triggerfish and which trips should be used to compute an index, the method of Stephens and MacCall (2004) was applied. The Stephens and MacCall method uses multiple logistic regression to estimate a probability for each trip that the focal species was caught, given other species caught on that trip. Species compositions differ across the south Atlantic; thus, the method was applied separately for two different regions: north (areas 2-10) and south (areas 11, 12, and 17; Shertzer et al. 2009). To avoid computation errors, the number of species in each analysis was limited to those species that occurred in $1 \%$ or more of trips. The most general model therefore included all species in the snapper-grouper complex which occurred in $1 \%$ or more of trips as main effects, excluding red porgy. Red porgy was removed because of regulation changes, which could erroneously remove trips likely to have caught gray triggerfish in recent years. A backwards stepwise AIC procedure (Venables and Ripley 1997) was then used to perform further selection among possible species as predictor variables. In this procedure, a generalized linear model with Bernoulli response was used to relate presence/absence of gray triggerfish in headboat trips to presence/absence of other species (Figure 2 - Figure 5).

## Model Input

## Response and explanatory variables

CPUE - catch per unit effort (CPUE) has units of fish/angler-hour and was calculated as the number of gray triggerfish caught divided by the number of anglers multiplied by the number of trip hours.

Year - Because year is the explanatory variable of interest, it was necessarily included in the analysis. A summary of the total number of trips with gray triggerfish effort per year and area is provided in Table 1.

Area - Areas were pooled into regions of North Carolina ( $\mathrm{NC}=2,3,9,10$ ), South Carolina ( $\mathrm{SC}=4,5$ ), Georgia and North Florida ( $\mathrm{GNFL}=6,7,8$ ), and south Florida ( $\mathrm{sFL}=11,12,17$ ).

Season - The seasons were defined as winter (January, February, March), spring (April, May, June), summer (July, August, September) and fall (October, November, December).

Party - Five categories for the number of anglers on a boat were considered in the standardization process. The categories included: $\leq 16$ anglers, 16-22 anglers, 23-31 anglers, 3245 anglers, and $>45$ anglers. The minimum number of anglers per vessel was set at 6 , which excluded the lower $0.5 \%$ of trips. These trips were excluded because they were possibly misreported and likely don't reflect the behavior of headboats in general.

Trip Type - Trip types of half and full day trips were included in the analysis. Three-quarter day trips were pooled with half-day trips $(<10 \%)$. Multi-day trips were removed because most were in Florida and likely targeting deepwater species for some portion of the trip. The codes for first and second half-day trips designation for day and night trips were combined.

## Standardization

CPUE was modeled using the delta-glm approach (Lo et al. 1992; Dick 2004; Maunder and Punt 2004). In particular, fits of lognormal and gamma models were compared for positive CPUE. Also, the combination of predictor variables was examined to best explain CPUE patterns (both for positive CPUE and or positive CPUE). Jackknife estimates of variance were computed using the 'leave one out' estimator (Dick 2004). All analysis were performed in the R programming language, with much of the code adapted from Dick (2004).

## BERNOULLI SUBMODEL

One component of the delta-GLM is a logistic regression model that attempts to explain the probability of either catching or not catching gray triggerfish on a particular trip. First, a model was fit with all main effects in order to determine which effects should remain in the binomial component of the delta-GLM. Stepwise AIC (Venables and Ripley1997) with a backwards selection algorithm was then used to eliminate those that did not improve model fit. In this case, the stepwise AIC procedure did not remove any predictor variables (Appendix 1). Recognizable patterns were not apparent in the quantile residuals (Figures 6-10).

## POSITIVE CPUE SUBMODEL

Then, to determine predictor variables important for predicting positive CPUE, the positive portion of the model was fitted with all main effects using both the lognormal and gamma distributions. Stepwise AIC (Venables and Ripley1997) with a backwards selection algorithm was then used to eliminate those that did not improve model fit. All predictor variables were modeled as fixed effects (and as factors rather than continuous variables).

Both components of the model were then fit together (with the code adapted from Dick 2004) using the lognormal and gamma distributions and compared them using AIC. With CPUE as the dependent variable, the lognormal distribution outperformed the gamma distribution with lower AIC values when all factors were included and when using only those factors that were selected in the previous step (Appendix 1).

Thus, the lognormal model with all factors was used for computing the positive component of the index, and the binomial with all factors was used for computing the Bernoulli component of the index. Standard model diagnostics (Figures 6-10) appeared reasonable.

## Index

The distribution of CPUE for the index is presented in Figure 9 and the QQ plot of the residuals (Figure 10). The index is presented in Table 3 and in Figure 11.
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Table 1. Number of gray triggerfish headboat trips by area including positive trips prior to subsetting (pos.raw) and positive and zero trips following Stephens \& MacCall (SM) method.

|  | NC |  |  |  | SC |  |  |  | GF |  |  |  | SF |  |  |  | Total.SM |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | pos.raw | Pos.SM | 0.SM | \% | pos.raw | Pos.SM | 0.SM | \% | pos.raw | Pos.SM | 0.SM | \% | pos.raw | Pos.SM | 0.SM | \% | pos.raw | Pos.SM | 0.SM | \% |
| 1995 | 417 | 120 | 84 | 59\% | 763 | 366 | 382 | 49\% | 1059 | 564 | 657 | 46\% | 1216 | 238 | 937 | 20\% | 3455 | 1288 | 2060 | 38\% |
| 1996 | 452 | 121 | 82 | 60\% | 671 | 331 | 384 | 46\% | 702 | 325 | 562 | 37\% | 776 | 130 | 543 | 19\% | 2601 | 907 | 1571 | 37\% |
| 1997 | 279 | 103 | 64 | 62\% | 545 | 299 | 290 | 51\% | 623 | 351 | 285 | 55\% | 533 | 86 | 408 | 17\% | 1980 | 839 | 1047 | 44\% |
| 1998 | 451 | 137 | 90 | 60\% | 740 | 379 | 457 | 45\% | 1224 | 767 | 522 | 60\% | 815 | 155 | 587 | 21\% | 3230 | 1438 | 1656 | 46\% |
| 1999 | 370 | 135 | 114 | 54\% | 579 | 353 | 472 | 43\% | 1335 | 760 | 536 | 59\% | 532 | 103 | 310 | 25\% | 2816 | 1351 | 1432 | 49\% |
| 2000 | 375 | 149 | 106 | 58\% | 555 | 346 | 563 | 38\% | 761 | 378 | 679 | 36\% | 531 | 137 | 290 | 32\% | 2222 | 1010 | 1638 | 38\% |
| 2001 | 271 | 114 | 125 | 48\% | 436 | 264 | 558 | 32\% | 789 | 466 | 612 | 43\% | 667 | 184 | 418 | 31\% | 2163 | 1028 | 1713 | 38\% |
| 2002 | 334 | 137 | 65 | 68\% | 535 | 313 | 460 | 40\% | 680 | 414 | 582 | 42\% | 686 | 197 | 261 | 43\% | 2235 | 1061 | 1368 | 44\% |
| 2003 | 308 | 110 | 78 | 59\% | 466 | 160 | 379 | 30\% | 783 | 386 | 499 | 44\% | 524 | 123 | 226 | 35\% | 2081 | 779 | 1182 | 40\% |
| 2004 | 507 | 214 | 86 | 71\% | 646 | 290 | 396 | 42\% | 1233 | 578 | 259 | 69\% | 914 | 310 | 341 | 48\% | 3300 | 1392 | 1082 | 56\% |
| 2005 | 318 | 119 | 116 | 51\% | 388 | 188 | 363 | 34\% | 954 | 468 | 305 | 61\% | 746 | 180 | 361 | 33\% | 2406 | 955 | 1145 | 45\% |
| 2006 | 284 | 115 | 70 | 62\% | 435 | 220 | 430 | 34\% | 1043 | 510 | 319 | 62\% | 582 | 134 | 261 | 34\% | 2344 | 979 | 1080 | 48\% |
| 2007 | 298 | 103 | 62 | 62\% | 626 | 313 | 464 | 40\% | 1115 | 532 | 307 | 63\% | 542 | 94 | 201 | 32\% | 2581 | 1042 | 1034 | 50\% |
| 2008 | 317 | 106 | 81 | 57\% | 462 | 195 | 395 | 33\% | 998 | 450 | 437 | 51\% | 1622 | 596 | 532 | 53\% | 3399 | 1347 | 1445 | 48\% |
| 2009 | 245 | 80 | 85 | 48\% | 507 | 220 | 455 | 33\% | 1515 | 691 | 346 | 67\% | 2198 | 884 | 614 | 59\% | 4465 | 1875 | 1500 | 56\% |
| 2010 | 312 | 95 | 88 | 52\% | 634 | 246 | 404 | 38\% | 1556 | 563 | 184 | 75\% | 2440 | 903 | 648 | 58\% | 4942 | 1807 | 1324 | 58\% |
| 2011 | 262 | 88 | 69 | 56\% | 551 | 193 | 314 | 38\% | 1451 | 376 | 124 | 75\% | 2385 | 830 | 564 | 60\% | 4649 | 1487 | 1071 | 58\% |
| total | 5800 | 2046 | 1465 | 58\% | 9539 | 4676 | 7166 | 39\% | 17821 | 8579 | 7215 | 54\% | 17709 | 5284 | 7502 | 41\% | 50869 | 20585 | 23348 | 47\% |

Table 2. Progression of discussion of subsetting method leading to recommended index for the headboat logbook data.

| run | Progression leading to recommended index | Comments |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 1976-2011, Stephens \& MacCall | no data from sFL until 1980 |
| 2 |  | gray triggerfish was not listed to species on the logbook form until 1980 <br> identified shift in desirability from the late 1980's to the early 1990's, |
|  |  | identified shift in species composition pre- and post 1992 |
| 3 | 1992-2011, Stephens \& MacCall | due to 12" minimum size in FL beginning in 1995, group decided to start index in 1995 |
| 4 | 1995-2011, Stephens \& MacCall |  |

Table 3. The relative nominal CPUE, number of trips, standardized index, and CV for the gray triggerfish headboat logbook data in the south Atlantic.

|  | Relative <br> nominal <br> CPUE | N |  | Proportion <br> N positive | Standardized <br> index |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1995 | 0.81 | 3264 | 0.37 | 0.62 | CV <br> (index) |
| 1996 | 1.06 | 2412 | 0.35 | 0.64 | 0.05 |
| 1997 | 1.14 | 1845 | 0.44 | 0.97 | 0.05 |
| 1998 | 0.95 | 2994 | 0.45 | 0.80 | 0.04 |
| 1999 | 0.78 | 2702 | 0.48 | 0.72 | 0.04 |
| 2000 | 0.76 | 2541 | 0.36 | 0.48 | 0.05 |
| 2001 | 0.64 | 2644 | 0.36 | 0.46 | 0.05 |
| 2002 | 0.86 | 2264 | 0.41 | 0.57 | 0.05 |
| 2003 | 0.83 | 1852 | 0.38 | 0.58 | 0.06 |
| 2004 | 1.26 | 2278 | 0.54 | 1.35 | 0.04 |
| 2005 | 0.79 | 1976 | 0.44 | 0.81 | 0.05 |
| 2006 | 0.71 | 1930 | 0.46 | 0.89 | 0.05 |
| 2007 | 1.01 | 1974 | 0.49 | 1.02 | 0.05 |
| 2008 | 1.00 | 2691 | 0.47 | 1.11 | 0.04 |
| 2009 | 1.23 | 3289 | 0.55 | 1.66 | 0.03 |
| 2010 | 1.65 | 3041 | 0.57 | 1.98 | 0.03 |
| 2011 | 1.54 | 2482 | 0.58 | 2.35 | 0.03 |



Figure 1. Map of headboat sampling area definition. These areas were pooled into regions of North Carolina ( $\mathrm{NC}=2,3,9,10$ ), South Carolina ( $\mathrm{SC}=4,5$ ), Georgia and North Florida ( $\mathrm{GNFL}=6,7,8$ ), and south Florida ( $\mathrm{sFL}=11,12,17$ ).

Figure 2. Estimates of species-specific regression coefficients from Stephens and MacCall method applied to headboat data from areas in the northern region (excludes areas 11, 12, and 17), as used to estimate each trip's probability of catching the focal species.
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Figure 3. Estimates of species-specific regression coefficients from Stephens and MacCall method applied to headboat data from areas in the southern region (includes areas 11, 12, and 17), as used to estimate each trip's probability of catching the focal species.
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Figure 4. Absolute difference between observed and predicted number of positive trips from Stephens and MacCall method applied to headboat data from the northern region (excludes areas 11, 12, and 17). Left and right panels differ only in the range of probabilities shown.



Figure 5. Absolute difference between observed and predicted number of positive trips from Stephens and MacCall method applied to headboat data from the southern region (includes areas 11,12 , and 17). Left and right panels differ only in the range of probabilities shown.


Figure 6. Total effort with gray triggerfish by area.


Figure 7. Total effort with gray triggerfish by season.


Figure 8. CPUE binomial residuals for year, area, season, trip type and party size.


Standarized (quantile) residuals


Figure 8. Continued.


Standarized (quantile) residuals


Figure 8. Continued.


Figure 9. The lognormal distribution of catch for the south Atlantic gray triggerfish headboat logbook during 2005-2011.


Figure 10. QQ plot residuals for gray triggerfish CPUE.


Figure 11. The standardized and nominal CPUE index with error bars at (+/-) 2 standard deviations (nominal by area below) computed for gray triggerfish in the south Atlantic using the headboat logbook data during 2005-2011.


Appendix 1. The stepwise AIC output for the lognormal (a), the gamma (b) distributions, binomial component (c) and the AIC comparison (d).
a)

Start: AIC=58183.47
$\log$ (cpue) $\sim$ year + area + anglers + type + season
Df Deviance AIC
<none> 2304158183

- season 32333458421
- type $1 \quad 2338958471$
- anglers 42386558853
- year $\quad 16 \quad 2397058914$
- area 32669761016
b)

Start: AIC=-84931.58
cpue $\sim$ year + area + anglers + type + season
Df Deviance AIC
<none> 24232-84932

- type $1 \quad 24411$-84861
- season 3 24541-84812
- year 16 25186-84576
- anglers 4 25416-84458
- area 3 28934-83025
c)

Start: AIC=50760.82
cpue $\sim$ year + area + anglers + type + season
Df Deviance AIC
<none> 5070550761

- season 35074550795
- area 35082450874
- anglers 45111151159
- year $16 \quad 5298653010$
- type $1 \quad 5493654990$
> bin.fit=glm.step
d)

AIC comparison
GTF_hb1\$aic
[,1]
AIC.binomial $5.076082 \mathrm{e}+04$
AIC.gamma -8.521383e+04
shape.mle 9.263921e-01
> GTF_hb2\$aic
[,1]
AIC.binomial 50760.821815
AIC.lognormal -89781.179098
sigma.mle 1.093522

