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Trends in Gulf of Mexico
Red Snapper Population Dynamics, $1979-85$

## Introduction

The Gulf of Mexico (GM) red snapper, Lutjanus campechanus, is exploited both commercially and recreationally. Nominal U.S. GM commercial catches ranged between 4.3 and 8.1 million pounds frow 1964 to 1985. Estimated recreational catches by U.S. fishermen varied between 1.5 to 6.0 million fish from 1979 to 1985. Catches of red snapper by U.S. sport fishermen and foreign nationals (1.e., Cuba and Mexico) previous to 1979 are not known although recreational catch information exists for specific geographical areas (see Gulf of Mexico Reef Fish Fishery Management Plan (GM FMP, 1981).

Biological studies of red snapper are numerous. The species range extends throughout the Gulf of Mexico to the Yucatan Pennisula, into Atlantic waters along the southeastern U.S. to Bermuda, and along the northern coast of Cuba. Several synopses of the literature on western Atlantic lutjanids exist (Tashiro 1979, Dennis (unpublished) 1984, Grimes 1985, Leis 1985). These studies addressed systematics, maturation, reproduction, early development, age and growth, and adult movements and distribution. The taxonomic status of the adult red snapper, reviewed several times (Jordan and Swain 1885, Jordan and Fesler 1893, Jordan and Evermann 1898, Hildebrand and Ginsburg 1925, Ginsburg 1930 - all cited in Rivas 1966), is summarized here. About ten snapper species historically have been marketed as red snapper; however, only five of these have been considered as 'true' red snapper (L. aya, L. blackfordii, L. campechanus, L. purpureus and $\underline{L}$. vivanus). Rivas (1966) revised the western Atlantic species of Lutjanus and concluded that:

1. two species of allopatric red snapper occur - L. campechanus (Gulf of Mexico red snapper) and $L$. purpureus (Caribbean red snapper).
2. L. vivanus (silk snapper) occurs with both species of red snappers and is their closest phylogenetic relative.
3. L. blackfordil is synonymous with L. campechanus, the latter specific title recognized as the oldest and most valid.
4. the snapper referred to as 느 sya (Bloch 1790) was probably not a lutjanid.

This study deals only with U.S. Gulf of Mexico L. campechanus which is referred to as red snapper throughout this paper.

The complete population dynamics of the GM red snapper resource has not been previously addressed. Information needed to investigate historical trends in population abundance and exploitation levels of the entire resource is not available. Population studies thus far have reported nominal U.S. commercial catches and estimates of sport catches and investigated trends in recreational catch per unit of effort in the GM (Cummings and Chewning 1986), addressed aspects of yield per recruit (Waters and Huntsman 1984), and provided results of fitting production models to historical catch (landings) and effort data for isolated geographical regions (Gazey and Galloway 1980).

## History of the Fishery

Documentation exists describing development of the GM commercial red snapper fishery (Stearns 1885, Jarvis 1935, Camber 1955, Moe 1963, Carpenter 1965). A brief account taken from these sources is summarized below. The initial fishery began about 1835. New England vessels sailed to the northwestern $G M$ and $f i s h e d i n s i d e$ the 40 fim contour between Mobile, Alabama and Pensacola, Florida (Figure 1). Grounds reportedly having fishable con* centrations of red snapper were discovered off the Florida Middle Grounds by 1850 and off Texas (The "Western" grounds or "Galveston Lumps") and Tortugas, Florida by around 1880 and 1890. According to the available information,

American vessels fished the Campeche Banks off Mexico ("Eastern", "Arcas", and "Between the Reefs" grounds) beginning around 1891.

The early fishery captured fish mainly by handine using various baits (e.g., squid, lady fish, spanish mackerel, blue runner, mullet, menhaden, and shrimp) and preserved the catch primarily in live wells. Ice was also used to preserve the catch; however, this was not a widespread practice until around 1895 because of costs. Vessels used in the early fishery were mainly two types of sail -powered craft:

> 1. "smacks": Gloucester and Portland vessels about $50-100$ feet  in length and from $30-60$ tons in size, having a  crew capacity of about 12 persons, and capable  of making trips $2-4$ weeks long.
2. "chings": Small vessels about $30-40$ feet long and about $10-20$ ton size, holding a crew of up to 7 , and making trips of up to about one week long.

Changes likely affecting production in the early fishery occurred in the early $1920^{\prime}$ s with the introduction of gasoline and diesel powered engines to the fleet. According to historical accounts of the fishery, conversion from a $100 \%$ sail -powered fleet to one completely auxillary powered occurred by 1945 , but as late as the $1960^{\prime}$ s many vessels in the fleet still used some sail power in addition to the main engine. Other operational changes to the fishery which may or may not have affected production were:

1. Addition of vessels to the fleet with greater horsepower, crew capacity, and catch-holding capacity
2. Introduction of more efficient fishing gear (i.e., power and hand driven reels) in the 1950's
3. Discovery of other unfished and productive grounds using modern navigation instruments (sonar and LORAN).

Records indjcate the fleet may have contained as many as 100 full-time snapper boats in 1935 and, although few boats were added between 1935 and 1955, as many as 300 vessels may have been in operation in 1965.

The present commercial red snapper fishery remains mainly a rod and reel fishing operation (employing one armed bandit gear (bicycle rigs) or electric reels). Catches of red snapper by stationary gear (bottom longline and buoy), although apparently unsuccessful in the early fishery, were a major portion of removals between 1981 and 1985. The precjse number of full-time vessels in the present fishery is unknown because vessels participating in the red snapper fishery of the $1980^{\prime}$ s also operste in other GM fisheries (directed shrimping, hook and line and bottom longlining for groupers, and tuna fisheries). Information obtained from those familar with the fishery regarding the number of vessels presently participating in the U.S. Gulf of Mexico red snapper fishery is given (Table 1).

## Objectives

This study investigates the population dynamics of U.S.-managed red snapper resources in the Gulf of Mexico. Estimates of numbers caught at size are developed, 1979-85, from annual reported commercial catches in total weight, estimates of recreational catches in numbers, size frequency samples from comercial and sport catches, and wejght-length relations. Information derived from age and growth studies (Parrack 1986a) is used to convert estimated annual densities of catch by length interval (size) to annual catch at age densities. These annual catch at age densfties and, red snapper stock abundance indices based on catch per unjt of effort samples from commercial (bottom longline and rod and reel) and recreational (headboat) fisheries are used to investigate trends in historical red snapper atock abundance and exploitation levels.

## Stock Structure

Information is not available to determine with reasonable certainty the number of or geographical boundaries of red snapper stocks in the Gulf of Mexico. Federal management in the GM is restricted under the Fishery Conservation Management Act (FCMA) to catches taken from within the U.S. Fishery Conservation Zone (FCZ) (corresponding to GM statistical reporting zones 1-21). Historically, the fishery operated inside the 100 fathom contour (GM Reef Fish FMP 1981) corresponding approximately with the outer edge of the continental shelf.

Evidence exists indicating adult and juvenile red snapper undergo movements mainly from near-shore to offshore (Beaumariage 1969, Wade 1978, Fable 1980, Holt and Arnold 1982, Rosman 1983). Results from these studies suggest limited movements from shallow to deeper waters may occur (thought by some to be associated with nearing of winter) and a general seaward migration has been observed for some regions (off Alabama). The majority of the studiea presented results showing virtually little movement outside the release area (home reef system). A few investigators reported movements of individual fish that were considered significant (i.e., > 5 nm and up to 150 nm ) (Table 2). Although this study does not consider transboundary movements, possible movement outside the area of management by the U.S. (statistical reporting zones $1-21$ ) seems likely.

In consideration that alongshore movement is very limited and general knowledge of those familiar with the fishery that isolated densities in the vicinity of bottom obstructions are coman, locations of commonly known snapper grounds were charted and studied (Figure 1). This information indicated five general areas in the GM, three on the east of the Mississippi outfall and two on the west. An analysis of available growth data (Parrack 1986b)
indicated strong differences in growth between the eastern and western GM; therefore, this study assumed two stocks, the east (statistical zones 1-12) and the west (statistical zones 13-21). Within each of these, major grounds existed where gear -year -quarter specific aize samples from catches were similar. These grounds include: Tortugas (statistical zones 1-5), the Midde Grounds (statistical zones $6-10$ ) and the Mississippi River delta region (areas 11 and 12) In the Eastern Gulf, and the Galveston Lumps and north central GM (Western grounds) (statistical zones 13-18) and the Western grounds (zones 19-21) in the Western Gulf.

## Catches

Reported commercial catches (in weight), estimates of sport catches (in numbers), size frequency (length) samples, and weight-length relations were used to estimate numbers caught at age of historical red snapper catches since 1979. Data were not available to determine numbers captured by all fisheries that exploited GM red snapper before 1979. Data sources for the catches and samples of the catches (commercial and sport), estimation procedures for determining numbers captured at length interval, and methodology used to convert numbers at length to numbers at age were similar for the eastern and western stocks. Sources of catches and size frequency samples and procedures used to estimate annual age specific catches of the commercial and sport fisheries are given below and address the two stocks together. This study addressed historical trends in stock abundance and exploitation levels of U.S. managed red snapper resources. Total numbers captured were estimated only for U.S. GM catches from atatistical reporting zones $1-21$ and these estimates then used to develop estimates of annual numbers in the catches by age.

## Commercial Catches

Nominal U.S. catches (in weight) were available from the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), Southeast Fisheries Center (SEFC), Economics and Statistics Office (ESO). Information available for all catches excluding eatches by Florida fishermen was the year, month, county landed, statistical reporting zone, gear of capture, and whole weight caught (pounds). Catches from Florida were reported in gutted weight and were available by two reporting stratifications:

1. year -month of capture and county landed or
2. year captured, county landed, gear of capture, and statistical reporting zone.

Florida's reported red snapper catches from the second partitioning were used in this study and were raised to whole weights using the NFS, Washington, D.C., conversion factor of 1.08 .

The magnitude of non-reporting and the degree of mixed species reporting in the commercial catches are unknown. Landings by Florida west coast fishermen ranged from 41 to 70 percent of the $1979-85$ total landings (see Table 4); red snapper, mangrove, lane, yellowtail, vermillion, mutton, and white snapper have been reported separately in these landings since 1960 (Fisheries Statistics of the U.S.). Some existing information suggests the amount of mixed species reporting may be small for Texas rod and reel catches (Curmings and Chewning 1986). Catches by trawl gear contribute insignificantly to the total red snapper catch (in weight) (about $3=4$ percent per year since 1979) (see Table 5), thus this source of error is likely small.

An attempt was made to further quantify the magnitude of uixed species reporting in the rod and reel catches. Field personnel involved with collection
and reporting of the commercial catch statistics were contacted. Information obtained indicated historical rod and reel snapper catches landed in Mississippi contained significant quantities of vermillion snapper, Rhomboplites aurorubens (beeliners), since about 1980 (Hermes Hague, personal commication). According to the information obtained, the magnitude of beeliner landings in Mississippi before 1980 was probably insignificant. Prior to that time a limited market for beeliners existed 80 landings of this species may not have been large because catches were discouraged. Data were obtained from the NMFS port agent in Mississippi to quantify the magnitude of vermillion snapper catches included in historical Mississippi red snapper landings for 1984 and 1985 (Table 3). These data were used to adjust the historical reported commercial catches (in weight) of red snapper for 1984 and 1985 and are believed to be the best information avallable.

Annual Gulf of Mexico red snapper commercial catches (by U.S. fishermen) ranged from 4.3 to 7.1 million pounds and averaged 5.4 million pounds during 1979-85 (Table 4). These removals are given by type of fishery (rod and reel, longline, trawl, other) and general fishing grounds (i.e., Tortugas, Middle Grounds, Delta, Galveston Lumps, Western) (Table 5). Catches from 1964 to the present are also given (Table 1 of the Appendix). Red snapper catches from the Eastern Gulf stock declined by about half (in weight) over the seven year period, 1979-1985, while reported catches (in weight) from the Western Gulf stock increased by about one-third. Peak catches occurred in 1983 at about 2.8 and 4.3 million pounds (east, west stock). The reported 1985 catches were 57 and 28 percent below the 1983 values (east, west). Removals by rod and reel
fishermen dominated the seven year catch period. Hook and line gear has been the primary snapper gear fished throughout the Gulf of Mexico eince 1950 (Cummings and Chewning 1986). Catches from longlining operations contributed secondy in importance to red snapper removals. The GM directed bottom longline fishery for snappers and groupers began in 1980 and catches of red snapper peaked in 1983 for the east stock ( 429 thousand pounds) and 1985 for the west stock ( 816 thousand pounds). Annual reported landings by longline gear ranged between 5 and 22 percent of the total Gulf catch during 1980-85. Catches by trawl gear are an insjgnificant portion of annual catches for both stocks ranging from $1.7 \%$ to $3.6 \%$ (east stock) and from $3.3 \%$ to $5.6 \%$ (west stock) of the total catches for each stock over the seven year period. In 1985, 67 and 85 percent of the total rod and reel and longline catch (respectively) were from the west stock.

Recreational Catches
Estimates of U.S. recreational red snapper catches were obtained from the NMFS, National Fishery Statistics Program (NFSP), Washington, D.C., for 1979 to 1985. These data were available by year, two-month catch interval (wave), state caught, fishery (i.e., charter/party, private-rental, man-made/structure/ beach-bank), and area off shore catch partitions (Table 6). Estimated numbers caught from this source include separate estimates of fish landed whole, fish caught and released, and fish used for bait, discarded, etc. The sport catch estimates used in this study are all three of the above estimates combined. Estimated standard errors and the coefficjent of variation of estimated numbers caught from this source were available by year for the total GM sport catch.

Estimates of numbers caught by U.S. sport fishermen are not available from this source for the 1981 January through February catch interval (wave 1) for all states. The red snapper sport catch during wave 11981 was estimated for each year -state-fishery-offshore area catch partition by assigning the average proportion of the wave 1 sport catch over the entire historical period (i.e., 1979, 1980, 1982, 1983, 1984, and 1985) to the 1981 year total. Estimates of numbers caught provided from this program also do not include removals by the charter/party or the private-rental fisheries in Texas for 1982, 1983, or 1984. The sport catches of these recreational fishing sectors were obtained directly from published estimates of harvest determined from recreational fishing surveys carried out by the Texas Parks and Wildiffe Department (TPWD) and are believed to be conservative estimates of the true catches (Table 7). Published harvest estimates from the TPWD include numbers caught by headboats and party boats (privately owned for hire fishing vessels usually carrying $>8$ or $\leq 8$ fishermen respectively) and of fishermen from individually owned small boats (privaterental fishery) by specific geographical areas of the Texas coast for a yearseason catch period. Information on the standard error of these estimates is available for some estimates. Estimates of sport catches for the 1985 November-December catch interval (wave 6) were not available from the NMFS, NFSP at the time this assessment was performed. No attempt was made to estimate these catches; therefore, the 1985 sport catches should be viewed as preliminary values.

Estimated U.S. GM sport catches ranged from 1.5 to 6.0 million fish annually, 1979-85, with fishermen from Louisiana annually having the largest catches with the single exception of 1979 (Table 8). The charter/party (or party/headboat) and private rental sectors were the main contributors to the red snapper sport catches during 1979-1985 based on the data in Tables 7 and 8.

Estimates of sport catches obtained from the NMFS, NFSP are summarized by year, state caught, and area offshore catch reporting partitions (Table 9). Total sport catches of the two stocks ranged from 0.7 to 3.1 and 0.7 to 3.5 allion fish (east, west) annually over the seven year period with peak estimates occurring in 1979 and 1981 (east, west) (Table 10). During all years estimated sport catches from the west were higher than east stock sport catches.

Mortality from causes other than directed commercial and sport snapper fisheries (i.e., discards, incidental catch by the shrimp fleet) may have occurred during the period under investigation in this study (1979-85). Comprehensive information on such removals does not exist. The amount of discarded catch is believed to be negligible for purposes of this study. It is certain that mortality is imposed by the GM directed shrimp fishery; however, the exact magnitude and size composition of red snapper captured incidentally as a by-catch in the GM directed shrimp fishery are unknown. Some information on total weight and total numbers of red snapper caught (in shrimp trawls) is available from research trawl burveys and from by-catch samples for specific geographical areas (Nelson et al. 1982, Pellegrin et al. unpubl.). Information does not exist to quantify the precise age structure of such catches and it is not known to what extent individuals from such catches are available (recruited) to the comercial and recreational snapper fisheries. Although the magnitude of this indirect mortality and the subsequent effect on the population have not been precisely estimated, the likelihood of a significant effect cannot be dismissed.

Size Frequency Samples of the Catches
Randomly collected samples from GM U.S. commercial and recreational red snapper catches were obained from several sources including NMFS, SEFC port agents, other federal laboratories, state agencies, private individuals,

NMFS State-Federal Cooperative Statistics Program (the CSBSP), and the MFS Marine Recreational Fishermen Statistics Survey (MRFSS) for catches from 1972-85 (Table 11). For each individual fish sampled the year and month captured, day sampled, general location of capture (or port of landing), and one or more observed measures of length (fork, total, or standard (mm)) or weight (grams or pounds) were recorded. For the majority of the samples obtained type of fishing gear used was known (e.g., commercial (hook and line, bottom longline, vertical longline (buoy fishing), recreational (headboat, party boat, private, other)). It was possible to assign nearly all samples to a statistical reporting grid (see Figure 1) from location of capture data given and state landed was always known for those samples not assigned to a statistical grid. These latter samples were mainly from sport catches, were few in number, and could usually be designated as taken from a catch of the east or west stock easily. Recorded also for many samples were offshore area (in miles) and depth of fishing information. The latter, depth of fishing, was not retained for estimating total numbers in the catches. Depth information was not included for the catches and the reliability of this information on the samples was unknown. Information regarding the distance offshore of samples was not retained either for estimation of numbers in the catch. The majority of the commercial catches (in wefght and frequency) were reported taken either > 10 wiles from shore or else the location was not reported (Table 12). Recreational catch estimates were avallable by area offshore partitions; however, the majority of the sport catch samples obtained in this study were reported taken from $>10$ wiles from shore (Table 13). Information provided from TPWD publications indicates catches of red enapper by headboat fishermen are the major portion of annual red snapper catches in Texas and, the majority of such catches are taken from fishing grounds located in the FCZ (i.e., $>10$ wiles from shore) (Osburn and Ferguson 1985,
1986). Some samples included information on sex, however, the majority did not. Analysis results of red snapper age and growth studies indicated growth was Iikely not different between sexes (Futch and Bruger 1976, Nelson 1980, Zastrow 1984, Parrack 1986a). Purther support for not retaining sex specificity within samples for use in determining numbers in the catch at length interval (by sex) regards the frequency of males and females in catches (or samples). Available information indicates observed sex ratios in historical catches are not grossly different from 1:1 (Table 14).

Plots of the individusi length frequency samples by year month-fishing grounds-gear partitions were made and visually inspected to identify if likely errors (keypunch) existed or if gross outliers were present. These plots were inspected also to identify general patterns in size structure of catches within year, month (and quarter), fishing grounds, and gear catch partitions.

Size frequency samples were obtained for 1970-85, however, information on the catches was incomplete prior to 1979 so only samples from 1979 forward used in assessment of historical abundance are discussed here. Numbers of red snapper sampled for length from sport and commercial catches ranged from about 5,000 fish to 12,000 fish from 1979-85 (Table 15). Samples were obtained from commercial rod and reel and longline (bottom and buoy) catches and from all three recreational fisheries (private-rental, charter/party (headboat), other). Only a very few samples were reported from trawl catches. Numbers of fish sampled by the Florida Department of Natural Resources, the TPWD, and the NMFS State-Federal Cooperative Statistics Programs made up the majority of samples. The size (length) range of fish sampled varied widely depending upon the specific fishery (i.e., commercial or aport), fishing grounds, year, and/or season. Casual inspection of the size frequency plots (available upon request) indicated a large number of small fish ( $\leq 40 \mathrm{~cm}$ fork length) in the samples and
that the majority of these were from sport catches. These plots indicate the comercial rod and reel fishery captured fish of a wide size range. Sampling intensity was highly variable between years for both stocks and is not unexpected since intensive sampling of the GM reef fish fisheries began only in the mid-1980's with placement of an FMP. The sample sizes shown here indicate namely:

> 1. red snapper commercial catches for many individual year month-fishing ground-gear partitions were poorly sampled or were not sampled at all for both stocks and
> 2. recreational catches from both stocks were reasonably well sampled for wost year-fishing ground-quarter catch partitions.

Few samples included size information on weight and not length, so the latter measure of size (length) was used to estimate total numbers in the commercial catches and numbers at length interval from sport and commercial catches. Little size frequency information was lost by excluding samples without length data from the red snapper historical size frequency data base used to determine annual numbers in the catch by length interval for 1979-85. All fishing grounds and all months of the year were represented in the samples, however, not all year-month-fishing ground-gear catch partitions were sampled (Table 15). All length samples were converted to fork length (cm) for use in estimating total numbers in the catch using length conversion formulae developed by Parrack (1986b) (Table 16).

## Estimation of Numbers Caught at Size and Age

Reported commercial catches (in weight) and estimates of sport catches (in numbers) were combined to estimate total numbers in the catch by length interval since 1979. Prior to 1979 comprehensive information on sport catches and removals by foreign nationals did not exist. Estimates of total annual numbers caught were developed separately for the east and west stocks, 1979-85,
for commercial and sport catches using annual reported commercial catches (in weight), estimates of recreational catches (in numbers), length samples of the 1979-85 catches, and weight-length relations. Batimates of total numbers in the catches were distributed over length and then over age. General methodology and estimation procedures for determining numbers caught (for comercial catches), numbers at length interval and at age were similar for both stocks and are addressed below. Details of estimating numbers at length and at age are given separately following this general overview.

First the total numbers in each reported commercial catch were determined by dividing the reported weight caught by an estimate of the average weight of an individual in the catch, the latter determined from the average size (length) In the catch and an appropriate weight-length equation. Since the exact size structure of the catches was unknown, samples (described above, p. 11) were used to estimate numbers canght. Samples were not available for all year*monthfishing ground-gear catch partitions, sn substitutions were made for many catches. Substitions were also made in cases when a matching sample existed for a year-quarter-fishing ground-gear specific catch, but was rejected because: (1) the sample size was considered extremely low (< 25 fish), (2) the length sample appeared truncated, or (3) the sample was grossly different from other samples of the same gear or quarter or area partition. Substitutions were made by selecting samples from nearby quarters, similar gears, and nearby fishing grounds (Table 17). Then, the estimated total numbers in the catch were distributed over length according to the numbers at length in the sample. Recreational catches were reported in total numbers, so after selection of an appropriate length sample(s), these values were simply distributed over length interval in the same manner. Then, the individual densities of numbers in the catch by length interval were trangformed to densities of numbers at age using
analysis results of red snapper age and growth investigations (Parrack 1986a). Two methods were used to convert length densities to age densities. First the von Bertalanffy growth functions developed by Parrack (1986a) were inverted and age determined for each length in the catch at length density. The stochastic eethod described by Shepherd (1985) was employed to obtain a second set of annual catch at age estimates. Finally, the individual densities of catch at age (resulting from the two methods) were combined across quarter of the year, fishing gear, and fishing grounds within year for each stock to develop annual catch at age tables by year for each method and these results were studied.

## Estimation of Numbers at Length

Temporal and spatial resolution within year of the catches and of samples were considered sufficient to use quarter of the year-major fishing area-gear catch partitions for determining total numbers in the commercial catches. This temporal and spatial resolution was considered the largest which would still have a reasonable probability of reflecting accurate size structure of catches, and the minimum which would ensure a likelihood of obtaining reasonable sample sizes. Age and growth studies indicated red snapper growth slowed down after age 2 and averaged about 2 cm per quarter thereafter (Parrack 1986a); thus, within year resolution of quarters seemed adequate for reflecting within year catch biometrics. In addition samples of the catches were unavailable for many year month-fishing area-gear catch partitions for which catches occurred (see Table 15). Age and growth studies indicated growth differed between the Eastern and Western Gulf, and inspection of plots of size frequency samples auggested the size structure of catches varied between major fishing grounds. Therefore, the five major fishing grounds (Figure 1) were used as the smallest
spatial resolution for assigning samples to catches. Inspection of plots of individual size frequency samples indicated the size composition of catches differed between the commercial and sport fisheries. Six major gear types present in the samples and in the catches (commercial (rod and reel, trawl, longline, trap, other) and sport) were designated as the smallest resolution In fishing gear for assigning samples.

Estimates of sport catches obtained from the NMFS, NFSP were not available for the charter and party sectors separately and, although length samples used In this study were separated for these two fisheries, no attempt was made in this study to separate the NMFS, NFSP catch estimates for those fisheries. Published harvest estimates obtained from the TPWD publications were provided separately for these fishing sectors (i.e., headboat (party), party (charter)) in Texas. In this study sport samples were combined across all sport gears within year -quarter-fishing ground partitions as were sport catch estimates and numbers at length interval of recreational red snapper catches determined.

The catches were combined within year-quarter-major fishing ground and gear (commercial-rod and reel, trawl, longline, other) catch partitions, and one or more length samples assigned to each catch. Total numbers in the catch were computed for the commercial catches from the equation below:

$$
c=\frac{W}{1=\sum_{m i n}^{\max }\left(p_{1} a l^{b}\right)}
$$

Equation 1
where

$$
\begin{aligned}
C= & \text { estimated catch (in numbers) } \\
W= & \text { reported catch (In weight) } \\
\mathrm{P}_{1}= & \text { proportion of catch sample of length } 1 \\
& \text { (fork length ( } \mathrm{cm} \text { )) }
\end{aligned}
$$

and
min and max refer to the minimum and maximum observed lengths in the sample, and a and b are quarter specific weight-length equation constants (Table 16)
and then the estimated total catch (C) (recreational, sport) was proportioned over length as

$$
c_{1}=c * \frac{f_{1}}{\sum_{1=1}^{n} f_{1}} \quad \quad \text { Equation } 2
$$

where
f refers to frequency at length interval.

Here, quarter of the year -specific weight length relations (Table 16) were used. Separate weight-length relations were not available for all year-quarter-fishing grounds partitions for which a catch was reported. Results of weight-length investigations indicated the variability in weight at length was low and the use of quarter-specific weight-length equations adequately described the weightlength relationship (Parrack 1986b). It is almost certain, however, thet year to year variation exists. Finally, the individual length-specific catches were combined within year and gear for each stock across quarter of the year and fishing ground partitions to obtain annual densities of numbers caught at length interval for each stock.

A number of catches required special attention. Such catches were ones for which the quarter of capture was not known. These were commercial catches reported by Florids and some of the Texas headboat and private/rental sport catch estimates, published by the TPWD, during 1982, 1983, and 1984. In addition to not knowing quarter captured, a few of the TPWD published harvest estimates covered a year-season catch interval that included two years. These were special cases and were handled conservatively by assigning one or more
samples to each, and then distributing the entire catch over quarter (or year and quarter) directly according to the distribution of the numbers in the samples. In the case of Florida catches, samples from the entire year were used. For the Texas sport catches, samples from the year-season reporting period were used. If the estimated catch included two years (e.g., September 82 May 83), then samples from 1982 (September-December) and 1983 (January-May) were used to estimate the catch at length for that catch. This procedure of allocating the catch to quarter of the year was not optimal, however, it was reasonable and may be more logical than distributing the catch evenly across the time interval or simply assigning the catch to an arbitrary time period of the year. Available information suggests that Florida's commercial red snapper catches are taken during all months of the year (Table 18); however, the distribution of catches within year is not available by gear and fishing grounds or by either of these partitions alone (see Comercial Catches, p. 7 for a review of information available for catches).

The rationale used in assigning samples to catches was summarized by computing "sizing method" fractions for each stock, year, and fishery (commercial, sport). Here, catches were combined within year and stock across quarter of the year and gear (within commercial and sport sectors). Then the proportions of those catches assigned substitute samples (according to substitution categories given in Table 17) or assigned matching samples from the same year -quarter-fishing ground-gear catch partition were computed. These results provide a simple way of summarizing the logic used here to estimate numbers at length interval (Tables 19-22), and illustrate objectively the strengths and weaknesses of the size frequency database. Optimally, it would have been desirable for all year-quarter-fishing ground-gear catches to have been sampled; however, this was not the case for this resource and should be recognized.

Calculated sampling proportions indicate the majority of year-quarter* fishing ground-sport catches were sampled in terms of frequency of catches and In terms of total numbers of the annual sport catches (Tables 19 and 22). Very few substitutions were required for recreational catches of either stock with .the exception of 1984 and 1985 for catches from the east stock (Delta region). Comercial catches (from both stocks) were moderately well sampled (in terms of weight) during $1979,1980,1984$ and 1985 , however, comercial gears were much less intensively sampled during all years than the recreational fishery. Between 1980 and 1983 all commercial red snapper fisheries in the eastern Gulf of Mexico were only moderately sampled. Commercial catches from the rod and reel fishery off the Florida Middle Grounds were reasonably sampled during 1979 and 1980 (Table 15). Trawl catches from both stocks were either lightly sampled or not sampled at all in most years with regard to quarter of the year and fishing grounds. Sample sizes of available catch samples show that within year or within quarter or within fishing grounds partitions (but not in combination), both fisheries (i.e., commercial, sport) were sampled. These results also indicate that many commercial gear-year-quarter-fishing ground catches were not sampled, with 1983 being one of the least sampled years during the seven year period.

Estimated total numbers of red snapper captured by sport and commercial fishermen combined ranged from 0.9 to 3.2 and 1.4 to 4.3 million fish annually during 1979-85 (east, west stock) (Table 23). These estimates (and Table 10) indicate peak commercial catches occurred in 1983 for both stocks at about 900 thousand (east) and 1.4 million (west) fish. As was the case for reported commercial fields (see Table 5), estimates of numbers caught (by commercial gears) from the west stock are mach higher during all years of the study period.

The lowest estimated commercial catch (in numbers) on record during the period occurred during 1985 for the west stock and in 1981 for the east stock. In terms of weight caught, the lowest (comercial) catches during the seven year period were 1985 and 1979 for the east and west stocks respectively. Reported numbers caught (estimates of) by eport fishermen are about $2 \mathbf{- 3}$ times higher than estimated numbers of fish captured by commercial fishermen (see Tables 10 and 23). The variability of sport estimates is probably not low. Estimated coefficients of variation for the NMFS, NFSP sport catches indicate annual estimates may vary by as much as 26 to 72 percent over the entire combined GM (i.e., Florida, Alabama, Mississippi, Louisiana, and Texas) (Table 24) catches. General information exists concerning the variability of sport catches estimates; however, no attempt was made in this initial investigation to adjust individual yearestatewave-fishery catch partition catch estimates because precision of the individual estimates is unknown (NMFS 1984, 1985a,b, 1986). Estimated annual numbers caught by length interval (Tables 25 and 26, Figures 2 and 3) at best provide information on general trends in size composition of historical red snapper catches. These estimates are in error due to: 1. inaccuracies in the commercial catches (i.e., misreporting, non-reporting), 2. variability in recreational catches, 3. estimation error from determining numbers caught in comercial catches from reported weight, and 4 errors introduced from the rationale used to size catches and, therefore, should be used with caution. A consistent observation frow these results is the dominance of small fish in the total annual catches and the lack of large numbers of old fish.

## Estimation of Numbers at Age

Estimates of annual numbers at age in U.S. GM red snapper catches, 1979-85, were developed for the east and west stocks (separately) from estimates of
numbers caught by length interval developed in this study. Age-length keys were not available for all year -quarter catches of either stock, however, growth Information was present from the eastern and western GM and provided a way of transforming length frequency to age frequency. Two methods were used to trans: form annual densities of numbers caught at length interval (within quarter, gear, and fishing ground catch partitions) to numbers caught at age. Estimates of catch at age were developed using two assumptions of red snapper growth based on results of growth investigations (Parrack 1986a):

1. growth differed between the eastern and western Gulf of Mexico.
2. within year resolution of quarters was sufficient for describing within year growth.

Inspection of size frequency samples indicated fish as small as 10 cm and as large as 120 cm were present in the catches, however, the majority of individuals sampled were between 15 and 95 cm in length corresponding to young of the year (age $0+$ ) to age 16. Estimation of numbers at age was carried out assuming 15 discrete age groups and a $16+$ category assigned to individuals estimated to be age 16 or greater.

The first method employed to convert length densities to age densities was referred to as the growth equation method. The von Bertalanffy function

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{a}=L_{\infty}\left(1-e^{-k}\left(a-t_{\phi}\right)\right) \tag{1934}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation 3
where,
$a=a g e$ and $k, L \infty$ and $t_{\downarrow}$ are equation parameters derived for the eastern and western GM red snapper stocks by non-linear least squares methods (Parrack 1986b) (Table 16).
was inverted to give the following deterninistic equation for estianting age from length.

$$
a=\frac{1}{k} * \ln \left(\frac{L \infty}{L_{\infty}-L_{t}}\right)+t_{\phi} \quad \text { Equation } 4
$$

The densities of catch in numbers at length interval were combined across fishing grounds within year, quarter, and gear partitions for each stock. Then the equation (above) was used to estimate age for each length specific catch and that age subtracted from the reported catch year to establish year of birth (cohort). Here, fish whose birth time computed as falling between the first and fourth quarters were designated as belonging to that year's year class. This procedure was repeated until age was determined for all lengths of a catch and until all length densities were converted to age densities. The age specific catch densities were then summed up over quarter and gear within year and stock to give numbers caught at age and year for the two stocks (Tables 27-28).

The use of the above method to transform length frequency to age frequency Introduces several biases into the resulting estimates of numbers at age (Bartoo and Parker 1983):

1. estimation bias in $L \infty$ requiring observed lengths $>L$ be owitted from calculations or dealt with individually.
2. as lengths approach the maximum determined by the von Bertalanffy equation ( $I_{\infty}$ ) the method gives unreasonable old ages.
3. the deterministic age produced from model parameters for length $\mathcal{L}$ is not the only age that exists (for $\mathcal{L}$ ) and may not be the most probable.
4. bias introduced frow reversing the independent variable between the von Bertalanffy equation and the inverted form (equation 4).

Shepherd (1985) suggests a non-linear least squares system that accounts for the variance of length given age and thus avoids bias due to the above problems. The method estimates age frequency by minimizing the expression

$$
S S=\sum_{\ell}^{1}\left(N_{1}-\left(\sum_{j} \operatorname{Pr}(L: j)\right)_{e^{N}} N_{j}\right)^{2} \quad \quad \text { Equation } 5
$$

with respect to the $N_{j}$ (Numbers at age $j$ ) where the numbers at length ( $N_{j}$ ) are established for catches and the probability of length given age ( $\operatorname{Pr}(1: 1)$ ) from
ageing studies. Use of this non-linear method suggested by Shepherd (1985) prevents the resulting estimates of the $N_{j}$ from being negative as frequently occurs with the linear least squares method (Bartoo and Parker 1983). Information on the variance of length at age was available from growth analysis results of Parrack (1986a) and was used to construct separate matrices of the probability of length at age for each quarter of the year for each stock. Results of that study suggested the coefficient of variability (CV) of observed length (at expected length) was similar for the two regions ( 0.0748 (west), 0.0664 (east)) and was 0.0713 for the two areas combined. The length specific catches were combined over fishing grounds within year-quarter-gear catch partitions for each stock as was done for the growth equation method. Then, for each length frequency non-linear least squares fitting procedures (Levenberg 1944, Marquardt 1963) were used to find least squares estimates of age frequency ( $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{j}}$ ) in equation 5 (assuming a single $C V$ for the two stocks). As before, 15 specific age groups were assumed and a 16 plus group assigned to that part of the catch age 16 or greater. Birth year and cohort were established by subtracting the estimated age from the reported catch year and assigning all fish falling between the 1 st and fourth quarters to that year's cohort as before. The age specific catch densities were summed up over gear and quarter within year and stock partitions to estimate numbers killed at age (Tables 29-30).

These two methods yield somewhat similar catch tables. The annual age specific catches from the stochastic method were considered more probabilistic than those derived from growth equations alone and therefore were used in all further investigations in this study. These estimates indicate annual catches of both stocks were dominated by age 1-3 fish throughout the time period, 1979-85. These age groups comprised > 40\% of annual catches from both stocks. Length frequencies indicated small fish were consistently caught by the sport
fishery while catches from comercial hook and line gear were characteristically of a broad size range. Estimates of annual catches by this fishery (aport) are much larger than corresponding annual estimates for the commercial fishery (bee Tables 10 and 23). These results indicate catches are mainly composed of age 1-3 fish and sport catches are the largest proportion of annual total removals from both stocks (from 40-84 and 46-75 percent between 1979 and 1985; east, west stock) (see Tables 2 and 3 of the Appendix).

Catch Per Effort Indices of Abundance
Several sets of catch per unit (CPUE) samples were used to index abundance for both the east and west stocks (Table 31). Some of the indices were in terms of weight caught and others were in terms of numbers caught. In the east, recreational charterboat, comercial bottom longline and rod and reel (combined), and shrimp trawl by-catch samples were available. In the west recreational charterboat and headboat samples, the latter taken by the TPWD from the Texas recreational fishery existed. Simple averages were computed for the first quarter samples in some cases, and in others, the results of catch per unft of effort standardization analyses (Robson 1966) were used to provide indices of CPUE. Finally, the resulting CPUE abundance indices were appraised by investigating the ability of each to index abundance trends resident in the GM red snapper age-specific catches developed in this study.

The CPUE abundance indices were appraised with linear least squares techniques according to the analysis method developed by Parrack (1985). The procedure minimizes the squared difference between the observed indices of CPUE and virtual population analyais (VPA) (Fry 1949) stock size estimates of abundance (i.e., the residual sums of squares) with respect to the fishing wortality rate (terminal F) in the last year of catch (1985), a constant rate of change
due to factors other than the reported catch ( X ), and a proportionality constant between VPA stock abundance and the CPUE index of stock abundance. Optimally, such abundance indices extend over a reasonable time interval, are spatially inclusive of a major portion of the resource's distribution, and include inforation on the size (or age) structure to which the index applies. The procedure yields diagnostic statistics which quantify the ability of the index to reflect abundance trends resident within the catch at age table. These include the amount of variation present in the observed abundance indices explained from VPA stock size abundance estimates, the response surface from the minimization search, and residual plots of the results (i.e., observed - expected abundance indices). This diagnostic procedure does not identify the "answer" to what actual reality may exist; however, it does provide an objective method of judging a particular set of abundance data and allows selection between sets. Analyses of the CPUE indices were carried out separately for the east and west stocks since results of red snapper age and growth studies indicated growth differed between the eastern and western GM (Parrack 1986a), and results of mark and release studies showed very little movement occurs outside the home reef Bystem (see Table 2).

## East Stock

Observations of CPUE from the east stock were available from three GM fisheries. Dealer sales records of individual bottom longline and rod and reel fishing trips in the eastern Gulf were collected during 1980-1985 during the first quarter of the calendar year. Size frequency (weight) samples from those trips indicated catches were composed mainly of fish $>2$ pounds (Figure 4)
and that the CPUE might index the abundance of ages $3+$ (see Table 32 ) in the east stock catches (see Table 16). Annual quarter 1 indices were computed as the simple average of pounds caught per fishing trip within the first quarter (Table 31). The 1981 and 1982 indices appeared different from any of the other years and were considered questionable. Further study of the individual catch per trip observations indicated during 1981 and 1982 red snapper contributed insignificantly to the total catch $(57,8,8,60,61,59$ percent annually, 1980-85), suggesting those trips may not have been directed towards red snapper. The eastern GM bottom longline fishery for reef fishes (groupers and snapper) began in 1980 and was mainly directed towards yellowedge grouper (Ephinephelus flavolimbatus) (Ms. Debby Fable personal communication). Three separate analyses of these CPUE data were performed using these longline and rod and reel catch per trip data. The first included all years (1980-1985) in the analysis, the second included only observations from 1980 and 1983-1985, and the third included only 1983-1985 observations. Such interviews were also available from trips of shrimp vessels that landed snapper as a by-catch during 1980-1985; however, 1982 was excluded frow the dataset because only one catch per trip observation was present during quarter 1 . These interviews included quarter 1 samples during 1980-81 and 1983-85 (Table 31). Weight-frequency samples from those catches suggested fish captured by shrimp trawls during the first quarter probably included ages 1 and 2 (Figure 5, Table 32). As before, simple averages of weight landed per trip were computed to yield annual quarter 1 indices (Table 31). Samples of cacch per fishing hour frow daily fishing logs of charterboat catches standardized for year ( $1983,1984,1985$ ), month ( 1 -12 individually), and area (Florida, Alabama) following the method described by Robson (1966) and performed previously on these data (see Cumnings and Chewning 1986 for
results) were also re-investigated (Table 31). These samples were generously made available from the SEFC, NMFS, Panama City Laboratory, Panama City, Florida. Collection procedures for those samples have been described (Brusher et al. 1984; Williams et al. 1984; Williams et al. 1985). Size (length) samples from charterboat catches (Figure 6) indicated those catches were aajnly composed of age 1 and 2 fish (see Table 32).

Results: The east stock CPUE analyses indicated the commercial bottom longline and rod and reel combined CPUE (Figure 7) indexed the abundance of age $3+$ fish from historical catches in a reasonable manner (Table 33). Although results of the three separate analyses (of these indices were somewhat different, the CPUE data were consjdered useful in indexing stock abundance. The residual distributions that resulted did not show evidence of a year trend, and the probability of a positive correlation between the observed index and estimated stock abundance was 0.83 (1980-1985 entire), 0.95 (1980, 1983-1985 data), and 0.95 (1983-1985 data). The shrimp trawl by-cftch CPUE was also correlated with the abundance of ages 1 and $2(\operatorname{Pr}(r h o>0)=0.91$.$) ; however, a very$ strong $U$-shaped trend in the residuals with time destroyed its usefulness as an index of stock abundance. Likewise, the charterboat CPUE was correlated with the abundance of age 1 and $2 \mathrm{fj} \mathrm{sh}(\operatorname{Pr}($ rho $>0)>.99$.$) . This CPUE set was$ characterized by a strong linear trend in the residuals with time which precluded its use in further analyses. Callbration results of two CPUE sets investigated here (i.e., the 1983-1985 bottom longline and rod and reel combined samples and the shrimp by-catch observations) predicted relatively high loss rates due to other causes.

## West Stock

Samples of catch per fishing hour from dajly logs of charterboat catches off Louisiana, Mississippf, and Texas during 1982-1985 were available from the NMFS,

SEFC, PCL, Panama City, Florida. These specific samples were analyzed using general linear regression estimation techniques as were applied to the east stock charterboat samples to provide annual standardized CPUE sbundance indices adjusted for year (1982, 1983, 1984, 1985), area (Louisiana, Mississippi, Texas), and month (1-12 separately) for the western Gulf (Table 31). Size frequency samples from charterboat catches in these areas indicated the age structure was of age 1 and 2 fish (Figure 8, Table 32). At-sea interview samples from Texas privately owned recreational headboats have been collected and computerized by the TPWD. Analysis results from investigation of these samples exist, however, some of the published CPUE indices provided by the TPWD cover a time interval which includes two years (e.g., mean catch rate of headboats, September 1981-1982, as reported by McEachron 1984, page 11). Because it was important to isolate out those samples taken during the first quarter of the year to develop annual CPUE abundance indices for adjusting VPA stock size estimates, the published TPWD estimates were not used. A reqrest was made of the TPWD to make available for this assessment size frequency and CPUE samples from all of the Texas recreational fisheries. The original field interview forms for these headboat samples were copied and re-computerized for this study. Size frequency samples collected from headboat catches during 1979-1985 indicated that both large and amall fish were captured (figure 9). Annual CPUE Indices for the headboat samples were developed as follows. First, the average CPUE during the first quarter was computed. Then, the proportion of the annual sport catch that was age $3+$ was computed (from Table 28 and Table 3 of the Appendix) and the average headboat catch per trip was partitioned accordingly into two parts, ages 1 and 2 and ages $3+$, to index the abundance of each of these two groups (Table 31).

Results: Diagnostic information from calibrations performed for these three CPUE datasets (Figure 10) for the west stock is given in Table 33. Although the sums of squares surface for the TPWD headboat age $3+$ index indicated the minimia occurred within a reasonable parameter range $\left(\mathrm{F}_{85}=0.27, \mathrm{X}=0.13\right.$ ) the residusis were poorly distributed. The probability that a positive correlation existed (between observed CPUE and age 1 and 2 stock size was unacceptably low ( $\operatorname{Pr}$ (rho $>0.0$ ) $>.69$ ). The intercept of the relation between estimated and observed abundance was about 2.5 million fish rather than zero. The TPWD headboat age 1-2 index exhibited well distributed residuals and a high probability of positive correlation ( $P r=0.97$ ), however, the minimum sums of squares occurred outside reasonable ranges of parameter values $(X=-2.99)$. The NMFS, PCL charterboat CPUE indexed the abundance of age 1 and 2 fish very well. The probability of a positive correlation was $\mathrm{hj} \mathrm{gh}(\operatorname{Pr}=0.99)$. The residuals were evenly distributed and did not indicate year trends. The sums of squares surface (of observed abundance minus expected abundance) minimized at Full F85 = 0.08 and $X=0.61$.

## Stock Abundance and Stock Production

Estimates of annual age specific catches, CPUE stock abundance indices, and VPA methodology were used to investigate trends in historical red snapper stock abundance and production since 1979. Estjmates of the annual catch at age (commercial and sport) were developed from estimates of the numbers caught by length interval, von Bertalanffy growth functions, estimates of the variance of length given age, and a stochastic method of deteraining age from length. Analysis results of CPUE indjces developed from charterboat catches (west stock) and commercial bottom longline and rod and reel (combined) catches (east stock)
indjcated observed abundance indices from those samples correlated reasonably well with the stock abundance trends resident in catch at age data. Those data were used to calibrate historical abundance and exploitation rates. All of the CPUE sets investigated here were found to be positively correlated with the annual age-specific catches. Some sets were considered more reasonable than others, based on the ability to reflect abundance trends present in the annual catches (the bottom longline and rod and reel (combined) and the age 1 and 2 charterboat).

The CPUE sets and catch at age data are temporally limited considering the extensive history of exploitation. Catches are also qualitatively uncertain due to reporting problems. These uncertainties include lack of information regarding misreporting in commercial catches, species mix problems, lack of quantitative information on removals by the directed shrimp fishery (both the magnitude and size structure of), and low precision in the recreational catch estimates. In addition, the estimated annusl catches contajn estimation error introduced in determining length from wefght and age from length. The annual estimates of catch at age, although developed from all avallable data, likely contajn additional error introduced by the catch sizing process (i.e., determining numbers in the catch by length interval) - namely from the lack of size frequency samples for all year-month-fishing ground-gear catch partitions that existed. The time period for which catch statistics are available (1979-1985) is very short, and the quantitative uncertainty that is resident within the annual length-specific and age-specific catches may be large. These limitations are recognized to exist in the basic data used to determine historical levels in population abundance and exploftation; however, the estimated annual age specific catches, in addjtion to the observed CPUE stock abundance indices,
still provide information on general trends in recent population characteristics of Gulf of Mexico red snapper stocks.

Historical abundance trends were investigated separately for the east and west stocks employing the age specific catches developed from stochastic age determination methodology (Tables 29 and 30), CPUE abundance information (Table 31), and VPA methodology. Complete information regarding estimation of numbers at length and at age, sources of size frequency (length) samples, and methods and results of CPUE analyses was given earlier in this report. A least squares technique was used in thjs gtudy to "tune" or "calibrate" VPA parameter estimates to CPUE abundance indjces (Parrack 1985) and thus to derive historical population characteristics in abundance and exploitation levels.

This technique was applied earlier in this study in judging the ability of CPUE indices to reflect abundance trends present in the annual age specific catches. Those results indicated several of the data sets were more useful than others in predjcting observed abundance and might be appropriate for use in standardizing results of VPA calculations. The tuning method developed by Parrack (1985) yields least squares estimates of age-specific stock sizes and fishing mortality rates with respect to fishing mortality rate during the last year of recorded catch (1985 in this study), the loss rate ( $X$ ) due to all other causes other than reported catches, and a proportionality constant assumed to hold between VPA stock abundance and observed abundance indices. Summary results of the calibration include plots of observed-expected stock abundance (residuals), the probability of a positive correlation coefficient between estimated stock abundance and observed'CPUE, and the sums of squares surface at the minimia. The method can be modified to allow the mortality rate from causes other than catches (i.e., X) to be fixed if information on the magnitude
of this death rate is known. The true magnitude of this death rate is unknown; however, results of movement studies indicate migration rates are probably very low for red snapper (Table 2), so this loss rate may include natural mortality (M) alone. Estimates of $M$ from traditional analyses of catch and fishing effort data or from marking experiments do not exist. Estimates of natural mortality for this species based on life history characteristics (i.e., $L$,, water temperature) according to Pauly (1980) at water temperature of $22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ are 0.29 (west stock) and 0.27 (east stock). In this study, VPA calculations were made at fixed levels of $X(0.1,0.2$, and 0.3$)$ in addition to determining the magnitude of this parameter by least squares estimation.

In an attempt to further investigate historical changes in U.S. GM red snapper resources, estimates of annual production were computed for each stock. Annual stock production was calculated as the sum of recruitment biomass, accumulation from growth (and death), and annual stock yield (fishing). In addition, the net change in annual stock biomass was computed as ending year biomass minus beginning year biomass (excluding recruits). These calculations were made using VPA estimates of stock sizes developed in this study, von Bertalanffy growth functions (Parrack 1986a), wefght-length relations (Parrack 1986b), estimates of weight at age (Table 32), and estimates of annual recruitment estimated by VPA. Estimated stock sizes, developed from VPA calibrations that employed the CPUE abundance indices developed for ages 3 plus from the 1980 and 1983-85 bottom longline and rod and reel (east stock) and charterboat samples (west stock), assuming a loss rate from causes other than catches of 0.2 (east) and 0.3 (west) (Appendix Tab1es 6 and 7 and 14 and 15), were used to develop annual production information for each stock. The tuning method used In this study to obtain final VPA starting parameter estimates (i.e., F's and

N's) for determining VPA population sizes and fishing mortality rates at age does not estimate starting $\mathrm{F}^{\prime}$ s for partially recruited ages in the last year of catch, so VPA stock size calculations were not made for ages 1 and 2 (east) or for age 1 (west) in 1985. Estimates of partial recruitment rates (partial F's) for those ages were computed as the simple average F -ratio during 1979-1983 for each partially recruited age to the first fully recruited age.

## East Stock Results

Information on red snapper stock abundance from the eastern Gulf of Mexico, Independent of the annual age specjfic catches, was available from three fisheries (commercial rod and reel and bottom longline (combined), shrimp bycatch, and the recreational charterboat) (Figure 7). Results of analyzing those CPUE data sets (see Catch Per Unit of Effort Abundance Indices, p. 27 and Table 33) indicated the bottom longline and rod and reel CPUE observations performed reasonably well in reflecting trends in stock abundance of age $3+$ fish resident in the catch at age data. The remaining CPUE indices investigated for that stock (shrimp by-catch and charterboat), although correlated with VPA stock size estimates, were rejected for use in investigating trends in stock abundance based on appearance of residuals and/or the range of parameter estimates. The comercial bottom longline and rod and reel CPUE was used to calibrate VPA stock size estimates of age $3+$ fish for levels of $M$ of $0.1,0.2$, and 0.3.

These results indjcate a decline in both adult and recruiting population sizes in recent years from the 1979 level. This observation was consistently observed for all three loss rates (due to $X$ ) that were investigated as well as from results of the least squares estimated $X$. Recruitment levels (at $M=$ 0.2 ) declined between 1979 and 1981 by about 43\%, increased in 1982, and appear to have declined thereafter. The decline between 1979 and 1985 of recruiting
fish appears to be greater than the corresponding decifne in adult stock (age 34) (99\% vs 70\%). Observed abundance indices from the bottom longline and rod and reel combined CPUE and charterboat CPUE indices show a decline during the period also. Average fishing mortality rates (unweighted) of age $3+$ fish varied between 0.33 and 0.61 , 1979-1983, suggesting annual exploitation rates ranging from 28 to 46 percent of fully recruited fish in those years. Fishing mortality rates of partially recruited fish (ages 1 and 2) were very variable during the seven-year period. These general trends are consistently observed for all separate caljbration runs. Sumary results of these calibrations are given (Table 34 and Figures 11 and 12). Results of individual calibrations are given in Tables 4-9 of the Appendix.

Annual production estimates were made using VPA stock size and fishing mortality estjmates resulting from calibrations using only the 1980, 1983-85 CPUE indices (see Tables 6 and 7 of the Appendix) and assuming a loss rate ( $X$ ) of 0.2. Total stock production from rcruitment, growth (+ mortality), and fishing varied from about 1.4 (1985) to 6.8 (1979) million pounds over the period (Table 35). These results indicate production (from these sources) has dropped by 79\% since 1979. Corresponding to this decline, total stock biomass has declined from about 22 to about 18.5 million pounds (or about 30\%). Both recruitment bjomass and recruitment numbers show very severe drops since 1979 (see Table 35 and Appendix Tables 6 and 7). The net change between beginning and ending year stock bfomass was negative during all years except 1980 and 1982 of the seven-year period. These results suggest that surplus production was positive during only 1980 and 1982 for the east stock.

## West Stock Results

Two sets of CPUE abundance information were available for the west stock for adjusting VPA estimates of stock size and fishing mortality rates (Figure 10 ). Observations exjsted for headboat catches off Texas, 1979-1980 and 1982-1984. Observations also were also available from charterboat catches of small fish, 1982-85, from Louisiana, Mississippj, and Texas. Results of those investigations indicated the headboat age $3+$ CPUE was not useful in indexing the abundance of adult fish (Table 33), so that abundance index set was not used to examine trends in historical stock abundance. The age $3+$ headboat CPUE produced reasonable parameter estimates for Full $\mathrm{F}_{85}$ and X ; however, the residuals were not evenly distributed and the probabjlity of a positive correlation was very low. The headboat data were also used to develop a small (young) fish index for calibration purposes. Analysis results of those indices suggested those data did not perform as well as desired in indexing abundance of age 1 and 2 fish either. Calibration results for the headboat young fish index set predicted a very large value for the loss rate due to $X$. The charterboat CPUE was believed to perform better than either of the other data sets in indexing stock abundance. That set was used to tune VPA calculations of stock sizes and exploitation rates of ages 1 and 2 for the west stock.

Results of VPA calibrations for the west stock yielded least squares estimates of Full $F$ in 1985 and a loss rate from other mortalities that appear reasonable for initial estimates (Full $\mathrm{F}=0.08, \mathrm{X}=0.61$ ). The annual catches were also calibrated separately assuming fixed loss rates for $X$ of $0.1,0.2$, and 0.3 to assess changes in resulting $\mathrm{F}^{\prime} \mathrm{s}^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{N}^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$ produced by changes in input parameter $X$. Resulting VPA stock sizes, fishing mortality rates, and other
djagnostic results from these calibrations are sumarized (Table 34 and Figures 11 and 12), and individual calibration results are given in Tables 10-15 of the Appendix. General trends from resulting VPA calculations for the west stock (for $M=0.3$ ) suggest annual stock sizes of adults and recruits declined during the seven year period. Adult stock size in 1985 was about $47 \%$ below the 1979 leve]. Recruftment shows some decline (about 17\%) since 1979, however, the drop does not appear extremely severe. Although the 1985 recruitment level was lower than the 1979 estimated recruitment an increase in recruitment occurred between 1980 (the lowest recruitment during the period) and 1981 of 75\%. Analysis results suggest population levels of red snapper from the west stock may be greater than the east stock and estimated fishing mortality rates (unwefghted) for adult fish (age 3+) are larger for the east stock.

Estimates of annual production (Table 36) were made assuming stock sizes based on results of VPA calibrations, assuming a loss rate other than from catches ( $X$ ) of 0.3 that yielded a full $F$ in 1985 of 0.20 (see Appendix Tables 14 and 15). This level of $M$ was selected because it falls between the estimate of M from Pauly's (1980) procedure (0.29) and the level of $X$ from the least squares calibration (0.61). If the estimated VPA stock sizes are believed to be reflective of historical stock abundance trends these calculations indicate the net change in beginning and ending stock biomass was negative during 1980-1984. These calculations indicate a positive production (from recruitment, growth (and natural mortality), and yield) occurred in all years, however, total net production of the stock was negative during most years. Total stock production declined by $46 \%$ from 1979 to 1985 with' production from recruitsent down by $17 \%$. Between 1979 and 1983 total stock biomass averaged about 36 million pounds.

## Concluding Comments

This report presents information which updates reported commercial catches (in weight) and estimated recreational catches (in numbers) of U.S. Gulf of Mexico red snapper resources. Estimates of recreational catches provided by the NMFS, NFSP and the TPWD are used jointly to obtain a complete time series of numbers caught (estimates of) by sport fishermen since 1979. Although nominal commercyal catches have been reported, prior to 1979 catch statistics are believed incomplete because removals by Cuba and Mexico are not known and comprehensive information on catches by sport fishermen does not exist. The time serfes of catches available for investigative use in this study, 1979-85, is very short; however, the data still provide some information on general trends in recent exploftation and abundance. Estimates of total annual catches at size (length) and at age were so developed from commercial catches (in weight); sport catches (in numbers); all size (length) frequency samples available for 1979-85; and updated results of red snapper biometric investigatjons of wejght-length relations, length conversions, and age and growth analyses. These annual age specific catches and abundance indices, independent from catches, were used to obtain initial estimates of stock sizes and fishing mortality rates of GM red snapper assuming an Eastern GM and a Western GM stock for 1979-1985. General conclusions from these investigations indjcate adult and recruiting population levels of both stocks are below the levels existing in 1979, the first year of the analysis. The very short time series of data available for this study and the quantitative uncertainty resident within the annual catches, however, do not suppoŕt determination of exact magnitudes of population abundance or exploftation levels.

The analysis results from these investigations do indicate GM red snapper stocks have declined since 1979 as predicted from VPA investigations. These results indicate the east stock decline is large in regards to both adult and recruiting population levels. General trends for the west (stock) suggest a decifine in adult and recruiting population abundance has occurred. Adult stocks appear to have been affected most based on these results. Findings presented in this report show that during all years annual catches were mainly composed of very young ( $\leq$ age 3) fish. This was a consistent observation for all seven gears of the time perfod examined. According to the annual age specific catches red snapper become fully recruited to the fishery by age three in the eastern Gulf of Mexjco and by age two in the western Gulf. Inspection of individual plots of size frequencies from the separate fisheries (sport, commercial rod and reel, longline) suggested that sport fishermen captured predominantly small fish ( $\leq 40 \mathrm{~cm}$ ), while commercial gear took individuals of a large size range. These plots suggest the commercial rod and recl and bottom longline fisheries generally do not capture fish as small as are taken by the recreational fishery. The precise size structure of trawl catches is not known. These observations, In addition to information on growth, suggest that the present red snapper fishery may not be achieving maximum yield per recruit possible. These results also indicate recruitment is occurring at an earlier age than corresponding size (age) of maturity (age 4 or about 38 cm (females), 43 cm (males) (Collins et al. 1986 unpubl.).
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Table 1. Information on the size of the Gulf of Mexico commercial red snapper fishery during 1985.

|  | State |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Florfda <br> West Coast | Alabama | Mfssissippi | Loutsiana | Texas | Total |
| Number of ports where red snapper were landed | 18 | 2 | 2 | 9 | 6 | 37 |
| Wumber of producers reporting catches | 109 | 29 | 29 | 10 | - | 177 |
| Number of hook and line vessels ${ }^{1}$ | 2932 | 6 | $11^{3}$ | 34 | 17 | 330 |
| Number of bottor longline vessels ${ }^{1}$ | $203{ }^{2}$ | 1 | 1 | $2^{4}$ | 27 | 234 |

Source: Port Agents.
$\mathbf{1}_{\text {May }}$ include vessels fishing groupers and snappers other than red snapper.

$\mathbf{3}^{3}$ From 3-6 of these vessels reportedly fish the Caribbean during some months.
4May be Florida owned vessels.

- Unknown.

Table 2. Information on realte of Gulf of Mexico red enapper (Lutjanus campechanus) mark and release studies.

| Author | Geographical Area of Study/Tine Period/Release Size Range/Tag Types Uaed/Depth Range (fa) | Releases | er Recaptures | Return Rate | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Time at } \\ & \text { Liberty (Daya) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Beauariage } \\ & (1969) \end{aligned}$ | - Northweet Florida, Southeast Aclantic <br> - 1961-1965 <br> - - <br> - epaghetti (Ploy), Internal anchor, Peterson | 1372 | 384 | $28 \%$ | Average - 130.7 |

## Study Findinge

1. Hovement information indicated most individuals were recaptured within 5 nautical alles (n) nautical miles
2. 11 fish ( $4.4 \%$ ) vere recaptured > 5 na froe from the releace site (ranging fron +5 n to about 150 m ). Of these 17 \&ish, 8 vere these 17 fish, 8 ve
recaptured east or recaptured east or noutheast, 7 north or 1 bouth of the release sites (see Beamariage and Wittich 1966. Beaunariage 1969).
3. Author moted that recapture location data Indicated most individuals were recaptured within 5 na of the release site.
4. One individual relenced In 8 fi (December 1976) was tecovered 10.5 na southeast of the release site in 10 f . (April 1971)
5. One individual tagged in 5 fo (fall 1977) was recovered 60 alles noutheart of the celemse site (after December 1977).

Table 2. Continued.

| Author | Geographical Area of Study/Tine Period/Release Size Range/Tag Types Used/Depth Range (fu) | Nu Releases | er of Recaptures | Return Rate | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tine at } \\ & \text { Liberty (Days) } \end{aligned}$ | Study Pindiage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fable (1980) | - Port Arnneas, Texas <br> - May 1977-December 1971 <br> - 170-540 - (FL) <br> - spaghetti <br> - 30 fin | 299 | 17 | 5.62 | - Range - 30-847 | 1. Of the $\mathbf{1 7}$ total recaptures one individual moved from 1 ofl rig to another about 5 km (about 2.2 na ) after 112 days. |
| Gallaway and Martin (1980) | - Buccaneer ofll and gas platform <br> - Galveston, Texas <br> - 一 <br> - - | 121 | 21 | 17.4\% | $\cdots$ | 1. No large ecale sovements noted. |
| Holt (1982) | - Liberty ehip reef (Port Aransas, Texas) <br> - March-Decenber 1979 <br> - 117-350 = (TL) <br> - internal anchor <br>  | 267 | 35 | 13\% | - Range - 1-92 <br> - 63\% recaptured within 30 days | 1. Author noted all individuale recaptured on the shlp reef. |
| Roman (1983) | - Galverton, Texar <br> - Septeaber 1981-Novenber 1982 <br> " 24.7 cm (average of recaptures) <br> - apaghetti <br> - most < 16 fa ( 712 of all releasea were made < 11 fa ) | 1431 | 129 | $9.08 \%$ | - average - 32 <br> - Range - 1-401 | 1. 17 individuale (1.19\%) moved from 9.3-27.9 kn (5-15 na). <br> 2. A fev (f) individual. coved from ahallow to deep, vice versa, and a few remained in the came depth. |

- No specific information obtained on these items,

Table 3. Reported landings (Pounds) of vermillion snapper, Rhomboplites aurorubens, included in the Mississippi red snapper landings during 1984 and 1985.

|  | Month |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | January | February | March | April | May | June | July | August | September | October | November | December | Total |
| 1984 | 3,800 | 14,000 | 6,200 | -- | -- | -- | 37,500 | 44,500 | - | 22,200 | 18,800 | 19,000 | 166,000 |
| 1985 | 11,300 | 13,000 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | -- | -- | 50,500 | 40,600 | - | 10,600 | 17,300 | - | 143,300 |

1These landings were reported taken from NMFS statistical reporting zones 12-18 and were from hook and line gear. - No information available.

Source: Hermes Hague, NOAA, NMFS, SEFC, Mississippi Port Agent, August 1986.

Table 4. Reported comercial catches (Pounds) of Gulf of Mexico red snapper, Lutianus campechanus, from statistical reporting zones 1-21, 1979-85 used in this study.

| Year | State of Landing |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | West Coast | Alabama | Mississippi | Louisiana | Texas |  |
| 1979 | 2900340 | 248273 | 890590 | 175931 | 134600 | 4349734 |
| 1980 | 3014888 | 164074 | 735600 | 201430 | 230700 | 4346692 |
| 1981 | 3379129 | 346142 | 673570 | 421283 | 521400 | 5341524 |
| 1982 | 3576728 | 514327 | 958450 | 467941 | 529500 | 6046946 |
| 1983 | 4113849 | 442760 | 1096080 | 718361 | 724200 | 7095250 |
| 1984 | 2806236 | 339988 | $75970{ }^{1}$ | 1487456 | 723300 | 6116651 |
| 1985 | $1760450^{2}$ | 199280 | $421736^{1}$ | 1155904 | 766800 | $4304170^{2}$ |

$\mathbf{1}_{\text {Mississippi }}$ red snapper catches adjusted for 1984 and 1985 using information given in Table 3.
${ }^{2}$ Prelininary values.

Data Source: NOAA, MMF, SEFC, ESO.

Table 5. Reported comercial catches (Pounds) of Gulf of Mexico red snapper, Lutjanus campechanus, by gear and major fishing ground, 1979-85 used in this study.

| Year | F1shing Grounds ${ }^{1}$ | Gear |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Hook \& Line | Trawl | Longline | Other ${ }^{2}$ | All Gears |
| 1979 | Tortugas | 487836 | 4536 |  |  | 492372 |
|  | Middle Grounds | 1202735 | 36 |  | 432 | 1203203 |
|  | Delta | 268329 | 29673 |  | 200 | 298202 |
|  | Galveston Lumps | 2174604 | 97653 |  |  | 2272257 |
|  | Texas | 49500 | 34200 |  |  | 83700 |
|  | Combined | 4183004 | 166098 |  | 632 | 4349734 |
| 1980 | Tortugas | 364903 | 12292 | 32499 |  | 409694 |
|  | Middle Grounds | 1156201 | 877 | 58958 |  | 1216036 |
|  | Delta | 259317 | 55882 |  |  | 315199 |
|  | Galvesten Lumps | 2068335 | 101868 | 42860 |  | 2213063 |
|  | Texas | 83100 | 10000 | 99600 |  | 192700 |
|  | Combined | 3931856 | 180919 | 233917 |  | 4346692 |
| 1981 | Tortugas | 398292 | 15019 | 77158 |  | 490469 |
|  | Middle Grounds | 1257892 | 1080 | 97791 |  | 1356763 |
|  | Delta | 350443 | 54773 |  |  | 405216 |
|  | Galveston Lumps | 2414069 | 110567 | 115140 |  | 2639776 |
|  | Texas | 75900 | 49100 | 324300 |  | 449300 |
|  | Combined | 4496596 | 230539 | 614389 |  | 5341524 |
| 1982 | Tortugas | 367164 | 16021 | 98610 | 1784 | 483579 |
|  | Middle Grounds | 1269066 | 590 | 115928 |  | 1385584 |
|  | Delta | 526328 | 57753 | 6000 |  | 590081 |
|  | Galveston Lumps | 2929549 | 92603 | 133850 |  | 3156002 |
|  | Texas | 100800 | 48100 | 282800 |  | 431700 |
|  | Combined | 5192907 | 215067 | 637188 | 1784 | 6046946 |

Table 5. Continued.

| Year | Fishing Grounds | Gear |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Hook of Line | Traw1 | Longline | Other ${ }^{2}$ | All Gears |
| 1983 | Tortugas | 301800 | 15604 | 219118 |  | 536522 |
|  | Middle Grounds | 1435772 | 1014 | 209767 |  | 1646553 |
|  | Delta | 518194 | 58615 | 3775 |  | 580584 |
|  | Galveston Lumps | 3426441 | 153268 | 107293 |  | 3687002 |
|  | Texas | 92600 | 51489 | 500500 |  | 644589 |
|  | Combined | 5774807 | 279990 | 1040453 |  | 7095250 |
| 1984 | Tortugas | 245362 | 3065 | 186724 |  | 435151 |
|  | Middle Grounds | 897005 | 2233 | 149365 |  | 1048603 |
|  | Delta | $410474{ }^{3}$ | 31503 | 22389 | 9100 | 473466 |
|  | Galveston Lumps | $3023684{ }^{3}$ | 150330 | 307546 | 205 | 3481560 |
|  | Texas | 158400 | 57800 | 461500 |  | 677700 |
|  | Combined | 4734925 | 244931 | 1127524 | 9305 | 6116685 |
| $1985{ }^{4}$ | Tortugas | 218164 | 1232 | 87431 |  | 306827 |
|  | Middle Grounds | 686722 | 763 | 43238 |  | 730723 |
|  | Delta | $148091^{2}$ | 26869 | 15457 |  | 190417 |
|  | Galveston Lumps | $2041930{ }^{2}$ | 63287 | 346779 | 3807 | 2455803 |
|  | Texas | 113500 | 38100 | 468800 |  | 620400 |
|  | Combined | 3208407 | 130251 | 961705 | 3807 | 4304170 |

${ }^{1}$ See Figure 1 for location of major fishing grounds.
${ }^{2}$ Includes trap, etc.
${ }^{3}$ Includes adjustments from Table 3.
${ }^{4}$ Prelliminary values.
Data Source: NOAA, NMFS, SEFC, ESO.
Table 6. Partitions in the U.S. GM recreational red snapper (Lutjanus campechanus) catches obtained from the NMFS, NFSP and used in this study.

## Partitions

1. Year
2. Two Month Catch Interval (i.e., wave)
3. State Caught
4. Fishery
5. Area off shore

Levels Within Each Major Partition
1979, 1980, 1981, 1982, 1983, 1984, 19851

1. January-February
2. March-April
3. May-June
4. July-August
5. September-October
6. November-December

Florida West Coast, Alabama, Mississippi, Loulsiana, Texas
charter/party, private-rental, beach/bank, structure (i.e., oil rig, pier), man-made
inland, ocean $\leq 3$ miles, ocean $\geq 3$ miles, ocean 3-10 miles, ocean $\geq 10$ miles, unknown
${ }^{1}$ Complete through October.

Table 7. Estimated recreational catches (Numbers) of red snapper (Lutjanus campechanus) from Texas private-owned boat fisheries, 1982-84 used in this study.

| Year | Fishery ${ }^{1}$ | Reported Catch Period |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1982 | Party | June-August |
| 1982 | Party | June-August |
| 1983 | Party | 14 May-20 November |
| 1984 | Party | 14 May-20 November |
| 1981/82 | Headboat | September 81-August 82 |
| 1981/82 | Headboat | September 81-August 82 |
| 1982/83 | Headboat | September 82-May 83 |
| 1982/83 | Headboat | September 82-May 83 |
| 1983 | Headboat | 15 May-20 November |
| 1983 | Headboat | 15 May-20 November |
| 1983/84 | Headboat | 21 November-14 May |
| 1983/84 | Headboat | 21 November-14 May |
| 1984 | Headboat | 15 May-15 September |
| 1984 | Headboat | 15 May-15 September |
| 1982 | Private-Rental | 15 May-20 November |
| 1982 | Private-Rental | 15 May-20 November |
| 1983 | Private-Rental | 15 May-20 November |
| 1983 | Private-Rental | 15 May-20 November |
| 1984 | Private-Rental | 15 May-20 November |
| 1984 | Private-Rental | 15 May-20 November |


| Geographical Area of Catch ${ }^{2}$ | Estimated Catch (Numbers) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Galveston/Preeport | 15716 |
| TPWD areas 2, 3 \& 43 | 16393 |
| TPWD areas 2, $3 \& 43$ | 10700 |
| TPWD areas 2, $3 \& 43$ | 200 |
| Galveston/Freeport | 4152484 |
| TPWD areas $2,3 \& 4^{3}$ | $30135^{4}$ |
| Galveston/Preeport | 310356 |
| TPWD areas 2, $3 \& 4^{3}$ | 43789 |
| Galveston/Freeport | 134100 |
| TPWD areas 2, $3 \& 4^{3}$ | 43100 |
| Galveston/Freeport | 56600 |
| TPWD areas $2,3 \& 4^{3}$ | 24200 |
| Galveston/Freeport | 214300 |
| TPWD areas $2,3 \& 4^{3}$ | 69700 |
| Galveston/Freeport | 9500 |
| TPWD areas 2, $3 \& 4^{3}$ | 35400 |
| Galveston/Freeport | 26800 |
| TPWD areas 2, $3 \& 4^{3}$ | 20800 |
| Galveston/Freeport ${ }_{3}$ | 300 |
| TPWD areas 2, 3 \& $4^{3}$ | 14400 |

## Source of <br> Information

McEachron 1984
McEachron 1984
Oaburn and Ferguson 1986
Osburn and Ferguson 1986
McEachron 1984
McEachron 1984
McEachron et al. 1984
McEachron et al. 1984
Osburn and Ferguson 1986
Osburn and Ferguson 1986
Osburn and Ferguson 1985
Osburn and Ferguson 1985
Osburn and Ferguson 1986
Osburn and Ferguson 1986
Osburn and Ferguson 1986
Osburn and Ferguson 1986
Osburn and Ferguson 1986
Osburn and Yerguson 1986
Osburn and Ferguson 1986
Osburn and Perguson 1986

Table 7. Continued.

| Year | Fishery ${ }^{1}$ | Reported Catch Period |  | Geographical <br> Area of Catch ${ }^{2}$ | Estimated Catch (Numbers) | Source <br> Informat |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1982/83 | Private-Rental | 21 November-14 | May | Galveston/Freeport | 2600 | Osburn and | Perguson 1986 |
| 1982/83 | Private-Rental | 21 November-14 | May | TPWD areas 2, $3 \& 43$ | 11100 | Osburn and | Ferguson 1986 |
| 1983/84 | Private-Rental | 21 November-14 | May | TPWD areas 2, $3 \& 43$ | 2500 | Osburn and | Ferguson 1986 |
| 1984/85 | Private-Rental | 21 November-14 | May | TPWD areas 2, $388{ }^{3}$ | $8000{ }^{5}$ | Osburn and | Ferguson 1986 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes catches from private recreational vessels operating for hire and referred to as party boats (carrying $\leq 8$ persons) and/or headboats (carrying $\geq 8$ persons) and catches from private-rental vessels by the TPND.
${ }^{2}$ See Figure 1.
${ }^{3}$ Includes estimated catches from Matagorda to Lower Laguna Madre.
${ }^{4}$ The 1981 portion of this estimate removed before incorporating into the estimated 1979-85 GM red snapper sport catches.
${ }^{5}$ The 1985 portion of this estimate removed before incorporating into the estimated 1979-85 total GM red snapper sport catches.

Table 8. Estimated sport catches (Numbers) of Gulf of Mexico red snapper, Lutjanus campechanus, by state of capture and two month catch estimation interval, 1979-85, as reported by the NMFS, NFSP. ${ }^{1}$

| Year | State | Catch Period |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | January February | March <br> Apri1 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { May } \\ - \\ \text { June } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { September } \\ \text { October } \end{gathered}$ | November <br> December | A11 <br> Months |
| 1979 | Florida | 0 | 42998 | 777605 | 4143 | 921506 | 0 | 1746252 |
|  | Alabama | 25970 | 0 | 12791 | 276479 | 989688 | 732 | 1305660 |
|  | Mississippi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 824 | 0 | 824 |
|  | Louisiana | 0 | 0 | 16223 | 490301 | 93659 | 222534 | 822717 |
|  | Texas | 0 | 0 | 177868 | 1082831 | 458066 | 427043 | 2155808 |
|  | Combined | 25970 | 42998 | 984487 | 1853754 | 2473743 | 650309 | 6031261 |
| 1980 | Florida | 3949 | 521504 | 122899 | 173211 | 50588 | 0 | $872151^{2}$ |
|  | Alabama | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11977 | 66622 | 0 | 78599 |
|  | Mississippt | 0 | 0 | 1154 | 0 | 50129 | 0 | 51283 |
|  | Louisiana | 0 | 120624 | 24149 | 1017872 | 407548 | 1854 | 1572047 |
|  | Texas | 70854 | 851697 | 0 | 399660 | 202176 | 84933 | $1609320^{2}$ |
|  | Combined | 74803 | 1493825 | 148202 | 1602720 | 777063 | 86787 | 4183400 |
| $1981{ }^{3}$ | Florida | 45734 | 0 | 259084 | 162189 | 112496 | 24419 | 603922 |
|  | Alabama | 12615 | 287907 | 482230 | 11009 | 146560 | 75237 | 1015558 |
|  | Mississippi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Louisiana | 142877 | 1098954 | 143942 | 760797 | 693029 | 0 | 2839599 |
|  | Texas | 4712 | 0 | 222918 | 246881 | 172307 | 0 | 646818 |
|  | Combined | 205938 | 1386861 | 1108174 | 1180876 | 1124392 | 99656 | 5105897 |
| $1982{ }^{4}$ | Florida | 29559 | 189899 | 453725 | 119947 | 0 | 12087 | 805217 |
|  | Alabama | 0 | 0 | 36056 | 414235 | 160882 | 0 | 611173 |
|  | Mississippi | 0 | 0 | 22571 | 0 | 0 | 4959 | 27530 |
|  | Loulsiana | 0 | 95480 | 2083252 | 107825 | 52305 | 9201 | 2348063 |
|  | Texas | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Combined | 29559 | 285379 | 2595604 | 642007 | 213187 | 26247 | 3791983 |
| $1983{ }^{4}$ | Florida | 139155 | 4544 67576 | 27886 46455 | $\begin{array}{r} 82365 \\ 337302 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18209 \\ 804923 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 81613 \\ & 25124 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 353772 \\ 1349158 \end{array}$ |
|  | Alabama Mississippl | 67778 0 | 67576 7642 | 464.55 0 | 337302 | 804923 | 25124 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 9158 \\ 7642 \end{array}$ |
|  | Louisiana | 584362 | 85756 | 310560 | 521140 | 423370 | 31872 | 1957060 |
|  | Texas <br> Combined | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 791295 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4398 \\ 169916 \end{array}$ | 384901 ${ }^{0}$ | 940807 | 1246502 | 138609 | 3672030 |

Table 8. Continued.

|  |  | Catch Period |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | State | January <br> - <br> February | $\begin{gathered} \text { March } \\ - \\ \text { April } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { May } \\ - \\ \text { June } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | July $\qquad$ <br> August | September October | November <br> December | A11 <br> Monthe |
| $1984{ }^{4}$ | Plorida | 6818 | 8019 | 54416 | 16920 | 19980 | 19959 | 126112 |
|  | Alabana | 2452 | 25087 | 6726 | 20753 | 21584 | 382611 | 459213 |
|  | Mississippi | 237 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 237 |
|  | Louisiana | 7087 | 35853 | 9939 | 182117 | 412683 | 53289 | 700968 |
|  | Texas | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20896 | 20896 |
|  | Combined | 16594 | 68959 | 71081 | 219790 | 454247 | 476755 | 1307426 |
| 1985 | Plorida | 858 | 28738 | 8444 | 199164 | 53199 | - | 290403 |
|  | Alabama | 0 | 104186 | 76102 | 162135 | 84767 | - | 427190 |
|  | Mississippi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1543 | 0 | - | 1543 |
|  | Louisiana | 4315 | 15233 | 259146 | 187229 | 3662 | - | 469585 |
|  | Texas | 0 | $0$ | 0 | 240226 | 31803 | - | 272029 |
|  | Combined | 5173 | 148157 | 343692 | 790297 | 173431 | - | 1460750 |

Includes estinates of fish landed in whole form, fish used as bait, harvested, etc., and fish released referred to by the NMFS, NFSP as Type A, B1, and B2 catch estimates respectively.

2This estimate differs from the estimate in the current Fishery Statistics Publication, Volume 8324.
${ }^{3}$ The January-Pebruary catch estimated as the weighted wave 1 catch over 1979-1980 and 1982-1985 of 1981 year total.
${ }^{4}$ Does not include charter/party or private/rental boat modes for Texas in 1982, 1983, or 1984.

- Not available at the time of this assessment.

Data Source: Computer printout obtained from Mark Holliday, NMFS, NFSP, Washington, D.C.

Table 9. Estisated recrantional catchea of red snapper (Lutjanus campechanua) by year, atate caught, and area offshore reporting clasaifications during 1979-85 as deterained by the NMFS, NFSP.

| Year | Area Off.hore (Hiles) | State Caught |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Flor1da West Coast | Alabama | MLasissippl | Louisiana | Texat |  |
| 1979 | $\leq 3$ atles | 42998 | 1292997 | 824 | 743531 | 1865473 | 3945823 |
|  | $\bigcirc 3$ dles | 469193 | 12663 |  |  | 18159 | 500015 |
|  | Other | 1234061 |  |  | 79186 | 272176 | 1585423 |
|  | Combined | 1746252 | 1305660 | 824 | 822717 | 2155808 | 6031261 |
| 1980 | $\leq 3$ niles | 3002 |  | 338 | 30929 | 13589 | 47858 |
|  | $\bigcirc 3$ allee | 192838 | 58973 | 50945 | 1467851 | 781019 | 2551626 |
|  | 3-10 miles | 348380 |  |  |  | 74722 | 423102 |
|  | > 10 ailes | 303066 |  |  |  | 727239 | 1030305 |
|  | Other | 24865 | 19626 |  | 73267 | 12751 | 130509 |
|  | Combined | 872151 | 78599 | 51283 | 1572047 | 1609320 | 4183400 |
| $1981{ }^{1}$ | $\leq 3$ milea | 31818 | 18815 |  |  |  | 50633 |
|  | $\bigcirc 3$ niles | 295382 | 762331 |  | 2337478 |  | 3395191 |
|  | 3-10 alle* | 98964 |  |  |  | 195116 | 294080 |
|  | > 10 aile | 131085 |  |  |  | 443945 | 575030 |
|  | Other | 939 | 221797 |  | 359244 | 3045 | 585025 |
|  | Combined | 558188 | 1002943 | 0 | 2696722 | 642106 | 4899959 |
| $1982{ }^{2}$ | < 3 allee | 147304 | 588573 |  | 70330 |  | 806207 |
|  | 53 miles | 10724 | 5543 | 27530 | 2277733 |  | 2321530 |
|  | 3-10 miles | 218170 |  |  |  |  | 218170 |
|  | > 10 -1les | 429019 |  |  |  |  | 429019 |
|  | Other |  | 17057 |  |  |  | 17057 |
|  | Combined | 805217 | 611173 | 27530 | 2348063 |  | 3791983 |
| $1983{ }^{2}$ | $\leq 3$ nllea | 20548 | 20087 |  | 81113 |  | 121748 |
|  | 53 miles |  | 30385 | 7642 |  |  | 38027 |
|  | 3-10 milea | 10572 |  |  |  |  | 10572 |
|  | > 10 miles | 314520 |  |  | 1828249 |  | 2142769 |
|  | Other | B132 | 1298686 |  | 47698 | 4398 | 1358914 |
|  | Combined | 353772 | 1349158 | 7642 | 1957060 | 4398 | 3672030 |

Table 9. Continued,

|  |  | State Caught |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Area Offohore (Miles) | Florida Wegt Coast | Alabama | M1ssissippi | Louidiana | Texas |  |
| $1984{ }^{2}$ | < 3 milea | 35267 | 6975 |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 3$ milea |  | 428769 | 237 | $615305$ |  | 125905 |
|  | 3-10 alles | 16811 |  |  |  |  | 16811 |
|  | > 10 alles | 55736 |  |  |  |  | 55736 |
|  | Other | 18298 | 25469 |  |  | 20896 | 64663 |
|  | Combined | 126112 | 459213 | 237 | 700968 | 20896 | 1307426 |
| 19853 | $<3$ milea | 35601 |  |  | 18366 |  |  |
|  | 53 anlea |  | 394878 | 1543 | 451219 |  | 847640 |
|  | 3-10 miles | 64608 |  |  |  | 31803 | 96411 |
|  | > 10 alles | 184077 |  |  |  | 240226 | 424303 |
|  | Other | 6117 | 32312 |  |  |  | 38429 |
|  | Combined | 290403 | 427190 | 1543 | 469585 | 272029 | 1460750 |

$I_{\text {Does not }}$ include the January-February catches.
${ }^{2}$ Does not include Texas bost moden during 1982, 1983, or 1984.
$3_{\text {Data }}$ complete through october 1985.

Table 10. Estimated total numbers of red snapper, Lut fanus campechanus, captured by recreational fishermen in the U.S. Gulf of Mexico, 1979-85 for the two stocks considered in this study.

| Year | Stock |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: |
| 1979 | 3052736 | $\frac{\text { Wast }}{\text { Cost }}$ | 2978525 |
| 1980 | 1002033 | 3181367 | 6031261 |
| 1981 | 1619480 | 3486717 | 4183400 |
| 1982 | 1443920 | 2837730 | 5105897 |
| 1983 | 1710572 | 2425013 | 4281650 |
| 1984 | 585562 | 1070839 | 4135585 |
| 1985 | 719136 | 741614 | 1656401 |

11985 values complete through October.

Data Source: East Stock - NMFS, NFSP (see Table 8). West Stock - NMFS, NFSP (see Table 8).

- TPWD published harvest estimates (see Table 7).

Table 11. Sources and inforeation on red anspper aise frequency asaples for 1979 -85 ueed in thic etudy.

## Source

- Florida Departeent of Matural kesourced st. Petersburg, Plorida
- Mational Mariae Piaherias : Service. Southeant Fieheriee Center (Port Agenta; CSESP; Nirs, VNFSP)
- Colleen Zetrom

College Spriag, Maryland (aee Zaetrow 1984)

- In Rosean Bryan Tewae Bryan Texas
(ree Rosman 1983)
- Texes Parks and Wildife Departwent Auatin, Texio
- Flower Garden

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Adminiotration, Bnvironmental Data and Information Service (EDIS).
Washington, D. C.
(see Boland tt al. 1983)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Geographical Area } \\
& \text { of Sanplea }
\end{aligned}
$$

- Floride Middle Grounde

Pishery/
Tine Period

- Comercis1 Flook \& Line, 1979-81
- Loulsiana
- Texas, St. Peteraburg (Florida), Panams Ctty (Plorida)
- Gulf of Mexico (entire)
- Galveston and Port Aransas. Texat
- Galveston, Terag

Texes Coest
(Galventon-ireeport, Aransas Bay Syatem, Lower Laguna Madre)

- Flower Garden Banks
- Recreational headboat, 1979-84
- Party boat, 1979-83

24688
Conercial Rook 5 Line, 1979080

- Bocton Lonkline. 1981-83
- Recreational 1979-85 - Conmercial. 1979-85
- Recreational headboat, 1980-81676
7005

7005

- Recreational headbont, 1981-82

Table 12. Leported comaercial catchee (Thouaands of Pounds) of U.S. GM red onapper, Lutjanus campechanus, by area offshore and statiscical capture zone (1963-1985 combined).


[^0]Table 13. Distribution of recreational red snapper size frequency samples (numbers of fish) by area off shore, 1979-85.

| Year | Fishery | Area Offshore (miles) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0-3 | 3-10 | $\underline{10+}$ | Unknown |
| 1979 | Party | 223 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Charter | 0 | 0 | 1036 | 6 |
|  | Private-Rental | 115 | 2 | 0 | 18 |
|  | Other Sport | 0 | 622 | 1467 | 0 |
| 1980 | Party | 0 | 26 | 49 | 0 |
|  | Charter | 0 | 127 | 1522 | 11 |
|  | Private-Rental | 5 | 144 | 19 | 2 |
|  | Other Sport | 0 | 0 | 2513 | 0 |
| 1981 | Party | 0 | 34 | 10 | 0 |
|  | Charter | 10 | 99 | 3 | 0 |
|  | Private-Rental | 0 | 95 | 37 | 41 |
|  | Other Sport | 1 | 0 | 8225 | 0 |
| 1982 | Party | 5 | 139 | 18 | 43 |
|  | Charter | 6 | 44 | 42 | 10 |
|  | Private-Rental | 109 | 77 | 6 | 0 |
|  | Other Sport | 0 | 0 | 8179 | 0 |
| 1983 | Party | 238 | 183 | 67 | 70 |
|  | Charter | 62 | 404 | 37 | 87 |
|  | Private-Rental | 10 | 70 | 3 | 26 |
|  | Other Sport | 15 | 0 | 5200 | 12 |
| 1984 | Party | 13 | 14 | 18 | 3 |
|  | Charter | 64 | 158 | 28 | 275 |
|  | Private-Rental | 20 | 53 | 0 | 5 |
|  | Other Sport | 0 | 0 | 4244 | 11 |
| 1985 | Party | 6 | 56 | 154 | 10 |
|  | Charter | 0 | 75 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Private-Rental | 4 | 19 | 50 | 27 |
|  | Other Sport | 0 | 0 | 1200 | 0 |

Table 14. Information on observed sex ratios in U.S. Gulf of Mexico red snapper (Lutjanus campechanus) catches.

| Investigator/Study Period | Geographical Area of Study | Sample Size | Observed Ratio of Males to Females | Size Range (mm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - Camber (1955) |  |  |  |  |
| - July 1951 | Arcas Cay, Campeche, Mexico | 125 | 46.8\%:53.4\% | 251-800 |
| - Decenber 1951 | Arcas Cay, Campeche, Mexico | 135 | 47.1\%:52.9\% | 251-750 |
| - Bradley and Bryan (1975) <br> - 1971-1975 | Galveston, Texas to Port Isabel, Texas | 1129 | $\begin{gathered} 1: 1 \\ (560: 569) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| - Wakeman et al. (1979) - July | Dream Reef <br> Port Aransas, Texas | 90 | 42:48 |  |
| - Zastrow (1984) ${ }^{1}$ |  |  | 11.25** |  |
| - winter | West Flower Garden Bank | 36 | 11:25** |  |
| - spring | West Flower Garden Bank | 20 | 9:11 |  |
| - summer | West Flower Garden Bank | 30 | 5:12 |  |
| - fall | West Flower Garden Bank | 18 | 10:8 |  |
| - combined (1980-1982) | West Flower Garden Bank | 91 | 35:56 |  |
| - spring | East Flower Garden Bank | 79 | 37:42 |  |
| - summer | East Flower Garden Bank | 85 | 44:41 |  |
| - fall | East Flower Garden Bank | 37 | 22:15 |  |
| - combined (1980-1982) | East Flower Garden Bank |  | 103:98 |  |
| - Nelson (1986) ${ }^{1}$ <br> - 1980-1982 | Flower Garden Bank | 300 |  |  |

[^1]Table 15. Distribution of red anapper aize frequency eamples by year, month, fishing grounds, and gear catch partitions for 1979-85.

## 1979

tortugas

| Monti |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | $\theta$ | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |  |
| Laraine | (C) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 54 | 0 | 0 | , | 0 | 7 |
| ALL CEAR |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 71 |

MIDDE GROND

HOOK \& LINE (C) CHARTER (R) healisoat (R) OTHER ALI GEAR

| MONTH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |  |
| 28 | 256 | 167 | 82 | 106 | 182 | 140 | 268 | 111 | 78 | 72 | 35 | 1525 |
| 0 | 135 | 32 | 79 | 122 | 156 | 106 | 166 | 103 | 117 | 0 | 0 | 1016 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 0 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 2 | 30 | 179 | 344 | 0 | 0 | 621 |
| 28 | 418 | 199 | 161 | 228 | 377 | 248 | 464 | 393 | 541 | 72 | 35 | 3164 |

MISS. /ALABAMA

|  |  | HONTH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |  |
| PARTY | (R) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 53 | 63 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 223 |
| Private | (R) | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 8 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 32 |
| ALL EEAR |  | 0 | 7 | 0 | 50 | 6 | 53 | 71 | 64 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 255 |

GALV. LUPPS

HOOK \& LINE (C)
TRAM CHARTER PRIVATE headeont ALL TEAR

| MONTH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| 63 | 0 | 125 | 159 | 419 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 28 | 0 | 11 | 0 |
| 0 | 309 | 101 | 80 | 203 | 102 | 200 | 111 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 63 | 309 | 226 | 239 | 623 | 180 | 205 | 136 | 28 | 24 | 11 | 0 |

TOTAL
840
24
26
(R)
(R)
(R)
S. VESTEFN GLLF

LOMGLINE
(c)

PRIVATE
headmoat OTHER
(R)
(R)

ALL GEAR

| HONTH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| 0 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 2 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 |
| 200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 159 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 200 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 185 | 3 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 |

TOTAL
11 55
359
1
426

Table $\mathbf{1 5}$ (cont.). Distribution of red smapper aise frequency amples by year, month, fishing grounds, and gear catch partitions for 1979-85.

1980

TORTUGAS

| - |  | YONTH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |  |
| Lencine | (C) | 0 | 0 | 36 | 9 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 86 |
| PARTY | (R) | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| CHARTER | (R) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| PRIVATE | (R) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| ALI GEAR |  | 0 | 5 | 39 | , | 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 95 |

hidole ground

HOOK \& LINE (C)
PARTY
CHARTER
(R)

PRIVATE
ALL GEAR
(R)
(R)

NONTH
TOTAL
149
1
108
32
290

MISS, /ALABAKA

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | How |  |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |  |
| PAPTY | (R) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| CHARTER | (R) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 23 |
| frivate | (R) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 11 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 19 |
| ALL CEAR |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 4 | 0 | 11 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 67 |

GALV. LUPS

|  |  | MaNTH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |  |
| TRAM | (c) | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | , | 0 | 0 | 30 |
| PARTY | (R) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| CHARTER | (R) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 179 | 199 | 195 | 19 | 243 | 545 | 76 | 0 | 0 | 1456 |
| Private | (R) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 58 | 5 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 103 |
| IEADBCAT | (R) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 200 | 97 | 203 | 204 | 704 |
| OTER | (R) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 75 | 147 | 72 | 0 | 55 | 16 | $5!$ | 416 |
| OTHER | (c) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 1 | 20 |
| $\boldsymbol{A L H E A R}$ |  | 0 | 30 | 0 | 181 | 199 | 280 | 224 | 320 | 77 | 28 | 238 | 256 | 2735 |

## S. IESTEN GUF

train
LONCIIE PARTY CARTER Private leadboat ALI GEAR
(c)
(c)
(R)
(R)
(R)
(R)

| MONTH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | TOTAL |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 45 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 69 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 72 |
| 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 112 | 27 | 32 | 111 | 385 | 342 | 284 | 100 | 1393 |
| 0 | 11 | 0 | 69 | 112 | 27 | 69 | 111 | 369 | 342 | 287 | 145 | 1562 |

Table 15 (cont.) . Distribution of red snapper alea frequency eamples by year, month, fiahing grounds, and gear catch partitione for 1979-85.

## 1081

TORTUGAS

PARTY
(R) CAARTER PRIVATE ALL GEAR
(R)
(R)

| HONTH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 7 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 0 |

TOTAL
3
2
40
45

HIDDE CROUND

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Mow |  |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |  |
| PARTY | (R) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| CAARTER | (R) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 16 |
| Private | (R) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 18 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 30 |
| OTHER | (R) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| ALL GEAR |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 2 | 24 | 18 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 57 |

MISS. /ALABAMA

PARTY
(R)

CHARTER
PRIVATE
(R)
(R)

ALL GEAR

| MONTH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 31 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 62 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 21 | 0 | 51 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 51 | 12 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 21 | 0 | 135 |

GRV. LUTPS


## S. VESTEP OUF

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { PRIVATE } \\ \text { HEADBOAT } & \text { (R) } \\ \text { (R) }\end{array}$ ALI GEAR

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 297 | 271 | 227 | 59 | 175 | 160 | 215 | 249 | 118 | 114 | 103 |
| 297 | 271 | 287 | 59 | 175 | 160 | 222 | 259 | 118 | 114 | 103 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |

TOTAL

17
2048
2045
rable 15.(cont.) Distribution of red enapper aize frequency amplet by year, month, fishing grounds, and gear catch partitions for 1979-85.

## 1982

TRRTUCAS
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { LONRIIE } & \text { (C) } \\ \text { PRIVATE } & \text { (R) }\end{array}$ $A \perp C \in A R$

| MONTH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $I$ | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 21 |

MIDOLE GROND

LOWCIIE PARTY CHARTER private ALL CEAR
(C)
(R)
(R)
(R)
R) 0


MISS. /ALABAMA

## CHARTER <br> PRIVATE <br> ALL GEAR

(R)
(R)

| HONTH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 50 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 20 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 25 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 6 | 0 | 70 |

GALV. LURPS

|  | HONTH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |  |
| PARTY | (R) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 123 | 18 | 10 | 3 | 11 | 0 | 11 | 176 |
| CHARTER | (R) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 23 |
| Private | (R) | 0 | 0 | 9 | 5 | 15 | 7 | 16 | 51 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 110 |
| Headboat | (R) | 161 | 750 | 306 | 692 | 569 | 647 | 1040 | 542 | 597 | 300 | 200 | 100 | 5904 |
| OTER | (R) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 135 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 223 |
| OHER | (C) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| ALL CEAR |  | 161 | 750 | 315 | 716 | 639 | 795 | 1074 | 739 | 601 | 336 | 200 | 111 | 6437 |

S. IESTER GUF

|  |  | Honth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |  |
| LONGIE | (c) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 303 | 159 | 140 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 602 |
| LEADSOAT | (R) | 132 | 243 | 271 | 61 | 88 | 122 | 18 | 192 | 241 | 316 | 100 | 100 | 2052 |
| ALL CEAR |  | 132 | 243 | 271 | 364 | 247 | 262 | 186 | 192 | 241 | 316 | 100 | 100 | 2654 |

Table 15. (cont.) Distribution of red suapper aize frequency samplea by year, month, fiehing grounds, and gear catch partition for 1979-85

1883
TORTUGAS

PARTY CAARTER PRIVATE ALL GEAR
(R)
(R)
(R)

|  | Horth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |  |
| (R) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 3 | 12 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 33 |
| (R) | 0 | 0 | 6 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| (R) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 6 | 17 | 8 | 3 | 3 | 12 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 56 |

MIDDE GROAD

| MKNTH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |  |
| LONGCIE | (C) | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 |
| PARTY | (R) | 0 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 18 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 79 |
| CHAPTER | (R) | 0 | 10 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 79 |
| ALI GEPR |  | 4 | 10 | 106 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 2 | 18 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 162 |

MISS. /ALABAMA

PARTY
CHARTER
PRIVATE OTHER
ALL GEAR
(R)
(R)
(R)
(R)

| HONTH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 88 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 94 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

TOTAL
2
88
7
1
98
galv, LUAPS

|  |  | MONTH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |  |
| HOOK 4 LINE | (C) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 91 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 91 |
| PARTY | (R) | 0 | 8 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 102 | 202 | 0 | 80 | 0 | 2 | 444 |
| CHARTER | (R) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 122 | 149 | 90 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 403 |
| Private | (R) | 0 | 10 | 21 | 9 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 6 | 5 | 10 | 0 | 99 |
| HEADBOAT | (R) | 100 | 299 | 218 | 162 | 158 | 386 | 316 | 431 | 243 | 120 | 200 | 100 | 2733 |
| OTER | (R) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 |
| ALL GEAR |  | 100 | 317 | 240 | 242 | 304 | 535 | 508 | 651 | 340 | 247 | 210 | 102 | 3796 |

## S. LESTEN CULF

TRMA
IEADBCAT
ALI GEAR


-
TOTAL

Table 15 (cont.). Distribution of red anapper aize irequency samples by year, month, fishing grounds, and gear catch partitions for 1979-85.

## 1984

TORTUCAS


MIDCLE GRONQ

HOOK : LINE (C
longilie
PARTY
CAPRTER PRIVATE ALL GEAR

| 10 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 53 | $\mathbf{4 4 4}$ | 513 | 502 | 436 | 196 | 28 | 44 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 7 | 34 | 30 | 14 | 46 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 175 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 93 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 69 | 661 | 525 | 536 | 474 | 303 | 74 | 44 |

TOTAL
2216 176
8 289
5
2694

HISS./ALABAMA


GALV. LuPPS

HOOK : LINE


## S. IESTEFT CUF

traik HEADBOAT
ALL GEAR
(C)
(R)

| HONTH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 200 | 237 | 490 | 284 | 143 | 385 | 300 | 124 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 200 | 237 | 490 | 294 | 143 | 385 | 300 | 145 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

TOTAL

Table l5icent.) . Distribution of red sapper size frequency amplea by year, month, fishing grounds, and gear catch partitions for 1979-85.

1985
TORTUGAS

|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HOOK \& LINE | (c) | 23 | 6 | 0 | 13 | 116 | 93 | 0 | 50 | 134 | 138 | 119 | 0 | 692 |
| LOMCCIIE | (c) | 73 | 126 | 10 | 85 | 24 | 51 | 1 | 59 | \% | 242 | 12 | 0 | 79 |
| PARTY | (R) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| CHARTER | (R) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Private | (R) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| OTHER | (c) | 44 | 0 | 158 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 202 |
| ALL GEAR |  | 140 | 133 | 168 | 103 | 140 | 14 | 1 | 109 | 231 | 381 | 131 | 0 | 1681 |

MIDDE GRONTS
TOTAL
220
17
12
30
279
HOOK LINE (C) LONGINE (C) PARTY (R)
private
ALL GEAR
(R)

| MONTH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| 39 | 78 | 66 | 35 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 39 | 78 | 80 | 72 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

TOTAL 692

MISS. /ALABAMA

|  |  | HRNTH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |  |
| HOCK \% LINE | (C) | 56 | 6 | 51 | 20 | 20 | 6 | 21 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 775 |
| LONGLIE | (C) | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| CHARTER | (R) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 25 | 0 | 34 |
| Private | (R) |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | , |
| ALL GEAP |  | 56 | 14 | 51 | 20 | 20 | 6 | 22 | 45 | 0 | 11 | 25 | 0 | 270 |

GRLV. LLAPS
hook l LiNE
(c) LONGLIE
(c)

PARTY
CHARTER
Private
IEADSOAT
ALC CEAR


Table 16. Red snapper (Lutjanus campechanus) biometric relations used in this study.

Bionetric Attribute Relationship Used

1. Conversion of total length (mm) to fork length (mm)
2. Converaion of standard length (mm) to fork length (mm)
3. Estimation of weight (grams) at fork length (min) by quarter of year
4. January-March
5. April-June
6. July-September
7. October-December
8. Deteraination of length (cm)
at age (years)
9. Eastern Gulf of Mexico
10. Western Gulf of Mexico
$\mathrm{FL}=0.9248(\mathrm{TL})+1.2746$
$\mathrm{FL}=1.1419(\mathrm{SL})+17.5348$

- $W=0.1527 \mathrm{E}-4(\mathrm{FL}) * * 3.0219$
- $W=0.4342 \mathrm{E}-5(\mathrm{FL}) * * 3.2209$
- $W=0.1371 \mathrm{E}-4(\mathrm{FL}) * * 3.0432$
- $W=0.1488 \mathrm{E}-4(\mathrm{FL}) * * 3.0283$

Source of Information
Parrack (1986b, Table 4)

Parrack (1986b, Table 4)

Parrack (1986b, Table 2)

Parrack (1986a, Table 9)

Table 17. Pooling categories employed in assigning size frequency samples to catches and definitions of nearby quarters ${ }^{1}$, close fishing grounds ${ }^{2}$, major areas ${ }^{3}$, and similar gears ${ }^{4}$.

| Pooling Category | Description | Priority Level Set Sizing Catches |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Sport | Commercial |
| 1 | Assign sample within same year-quartermajor fishing ground-gear catch partitions (Direct match). | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | Cross samples over nearby quarters, within year-major fishing grounds-gear catch partitions. | 2 | 3 |
| 3 | Cross samples over close fishing grounds within year-quarter-gear catch partitions. | 3 | 5 |
| 4 | Cross samples over close fishing grounds and over nearby quarters within year-gear catch partitions. | 4 | NJ |
| 5 | ```Cross samples over similar gears within year-fishing ground-quarter catch partitions.``` | 5 | 2 |
| 6 | Cross samples over similar gears and nearby quarters within year-fishing ground catch partitions. | 6 | 4 |
| 7 | Cross samples over gears (within sport, within comercial), all quarters, within the two major areas within year partitions. | NU | 6 |
| 8 | Cross samples over all gears (within sport, commercial), all quarters, all fishing grounds within year. | 7 | 7 |
| 9 | Cross samples over all gears, all quarters, all fishing grounds within year. | NU | 8 |

Table 17. Continued.


Table 18. Reported landings (Thousands of Pounds) of red snapper, Lutjanus campechanus, by Florida vest coast fishernen, 1977-1985.

| Year | Month |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Al1 Months |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |  |
| 1977 | 173 | 157 | 159 | 138 | 307 | 342 | 298 | 320 | 382 | 334 | 267 | 213 | 3090 |
| 1978 | 181 | 166 | 236 | 175 | 166 | 290 | 328 | 230 | 305 | 290 | 331 | 285 | 2983 |
| 1979 | 131 | 180 | 191 | 242 | 248 | 251 | 217 | 376 | 130 | 364 | 245 | 325 | 2900 |
| 1980 | 118 | 255 | 165 | 170 | 262 | 264 | 273 | 256 | 431 | 249 | 276 | 311 | 3029* |
| 1981 | 190 | 235 | 201 | 256 | 313 | 309 | 267 | 297 | 353 | 294 | 357 | 313 | 3385* |
| 1982 | 176 | 237 | 386 | 184 | 343 | 284 | 322 | 308 | 379 | 318 | 328 | 320 | 3586* |
| 1983 | 303 | 251 | 236 | 267 | 491 | 392 | 354 | 437 | 371 | 363 | 352 | 301 | 4119* |
| 1984 | 186 | 273 | 241 | 180 | 226 | 239 | 318 | 215 | 179 | 230 | 231 | 293 | 2811* |
| 1985** | 136 | 134 | 158 | 137 | 173 | 119 | 121 | 122 | 179 | 177 | 164 | 141 | 1761 |

*Total annual landings differ slightly from annual totals reported by gear type and area of capture stratifications (i.e., NMFS, SEFC, ESO, Florida General Canvas Catch Statistics).
**Preliminary data.

Data Source: NMFS, SEFC, ESO, Florida landings detail data files partitioned by year, month of capture and country (port) of landing.

Table 19. Percent of recreational catch (in numbers) sized according to substitution method for the east stock.

| Substitution Method |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| 1979 | 0.9819 | 0.0093 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0087 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 1980 | 0.7333 | 0.0000 | 0.0887 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.1780 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 1981 | 0.7604 | 0.0255 | 0.1640 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0501 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 1982 | 0.6373 | 0.0426 | 0.0332 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.2869 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 1983 | 0.2290 | 0.0018 | 0.0596 | 0.6824 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0272 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 1984 | 0.1746 | 0.0428 | 0.0119 | 0.0000 | 0.6903 | 0.0354 | 0.0000 | 0.0450 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 1985 | 0.1629 | 0.0032 | 0.0047 | 0.0000 | 0.2507 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.5785 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |

Table 20. Percent of comercial catch (in weight) sized according to substitution method for the east stock.

| Substitution Method |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| 1979 | 0.6032 | 0.0000 | 0.1346 | 0.0000 | 0.0002 | 0.2470 | 0.0150 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 1980 | 0.6083 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0350 | 0.1943 | 0.1624 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 1981 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 1982 | 0.0471 | 0.0000 | 0.0425 | 0.0000 | 0.5163 | 0.0000 | 0.3940 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 1983 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 1984 | 0.9383 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0617 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 1985 | 0.9035 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0965 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |

Table 21. Percent of recreational catch (in numbers) sized according to substitution method for the west stock.

|  | Substitution Method |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| 1979 | 0.7714 | 0.0009 | 0.1530 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0747 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 1980 | 0.9747 | 0.0000 | 0.0030 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0223 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 1981 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 1982 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 1983 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 1984 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 1985 | 0.9920 | 0.0018 | 0.0004 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0058 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |

Table 22. Percent of commercial catch (in weight) sized according to substitution method for the
west stock.

|  | Substitution Method |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| 1979 | 0.6885 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.2760 | 0.0000 | 0.0355 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 1980 | 0.6227 | 0.0000 | 0.0269 | 0.0000 | 0.3151 | 0.0000 | 0.0353 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 1981 | 0.7815 | 0.0000 | 0.0246 | 0.0000 | 0.0731 | 0.0000 | 0.1209 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 1982 | 0.7693 | 0.0435 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.1457 | 0.0415 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 1983 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 1984 | 0.6900 | 0.0000 | 0.1103 | 0.0000 | 0.1906 | 0.0000 | 0.0091 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 1985 | 0.7761 | 0.0000 | 0.1896 | 0.0000 | 0.0218 | 0.0000 | 0.0124 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |

Table 23. Estimated total annual numbers caught of U.S. Gulf of Mexico red snapper, Lutjanus campechanus, 1979-85 by commercial and recreational fishermen.

| Year | Stock |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | East | West |
| 1979 | 3236944 | 4340049 |
| 1980 | 1168007 | 4121714 |
| 1981 | 2236001 | 4342337 |
| 1982 | 1603486 | 3864015 |
| 1983 | 2586209 | 3797395 |
| 1984 | 1103865 | 2194438 |
| 1985 | 948999 | 1391855 |

Data Source: Recreational Catches (see Tables 7 and 8). Commercial Catches estimated using reported catches (in weight) (Table 4), size frequency samples (Table 15), and weight-length information (Table 16).

Table 24. Estimated standard errors (S.E.) of numbers of red snapper caught (Thousands) by recreational fishermen in the U.S. Gulf of Mexico, 1979-85, as reported by the NMFS, NFSP.

| Year | Estimate | S.E. | C.V \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1979 | 6031 | 3971 | 66 |
| 1980 | $4146^{1}$ | 428 | 10 |
| 1981 | 4900 | 1238 | 25 |
| $1982^{2}$ | 3792 | 1464 | 39 |
| $1983^{2}$ | 3672 | 974 | 27 |
| $1984^{2}$ | 1274 | 325 | 26 |
| 1985 | 1954 | 440 | 23 |

This estimate differs from the value received via computer printout of the NMFS, NFSP data (equals 4182 in that printout).

2Estimated numbers caught not inclusive of the Texas private-owned boat modes for 1982, 1983, or 1984.

Table 25. Estimated annual catches by length interval (fork) of Gulf of Mexico red snapper (Lutjanus campechanus) during 1979-85 for the eastern stock.

YERR

| LBTOTH (cm) 1979 |  | 1980 | 1981 | 1988 | 1983 | 199 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8957 | 0 | $1 \% 4$ | 196 |
| 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8957 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30104 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 19 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3186 | 0 |
| 20 | 19050 | 12614 | 0 | 88400 | 4334 | 1637 | 0 |
| 21 | 75670 | 52526 | 23820 | 3989 | 2084 | 1682 | 144 |
| 22 | 38736 | 45412 | 13296 | 51254 | 63699 | 2488 | 1501 |
| 23 | 49423 | 28635 | 9660 | 108509 | 42437 | 7131 | 0 |
| 24 | 89694 | 5235 | 28109 | 16919 | 63086 | 6720 | 0 |
| 25 | 284657 | 33110 | 62947 | 71242 | 119062 | 6566 | 144 |
| 26 | 174281 | 25584 | 55335 | 39521 | 66848 | 17334 | 939 |
| 27 | 59392 | 76467 | 123687 | 4128 | 212888 | 2669 | 330 |
| 28 | 140965 | 110054 | 84369 | 78206 | 235151 | 23473 | 939 |
| 29 | 249096 | 83611 | 27954 | 31649 | 193534 | 24826 | 11027 |
| 30 | 196491 | 88377 | 33403 | 113760 | 1058\%3 | 32297 | 7564 |
| 31 | 247947 | 70687 | 115550 | 80123 | 83476 | 22047 | 12014 |
| 32 | 124800 | 93926 | 113044 | 403\% | 40368 | 35637 | 27970 |
| 33 | 164364 | 8543 | 115078 | 83274 | 106440 | 39629 | 18216 |
| 34 | 88243 | 25266 | 91725 | 58966 | 209599 | 41056 | 39317 |
| 35 | 114898 | 40012 | 86978 | 77170 | 199062 | 45812 | 33674 |
| 36 | 76486 | 56760 | 144029. | 76098 | 131211 | 66586 | 24588 |
| 37 | 47658 | 25491 | 123989 | 55621 | 124133 | 85906 | 29764 |
| 38 | 63348 | 34769 | 88717 | 31619 | 119348 | 65642 | 33762 |
| 39 | 56045 | 15233 | 91905 | 6662 | 54970 | 61613 | 38272 |
| 40 | $588 \%$ | 37031 | 99705 | 11121 | 12411 | 50884 | 22161 |
| 41 | 53079 | 8259 | 100279 | 4418 | 53017 | 35679 | 30736 |
| 42 | 38035 | 932 | 69630 | 8619 | 8703 | 41037 | 31261 |
| 43 | 27835 | 6450 | 122562 | 39200 | 7703 | 29531 | 17289 |
| 44 | 30121 | 2039 | 42538 | 165\% | 10961 | 17218 | 1363: |
| 45 | 14790 | 3072 | 45293 | 10289 | 11851 | 19342 | 50811 |
| 46 | 16194 | 4597 | 5798 | 8619 | 10110 | 14845 | 29790 |
| 47 | 21894 | 7143 | 68189 | 1412 | 7145 | 15087 | 26049 |
| 48 | 24655 | 0 | 11301 | 12766 | 10562 | 18535 | 23681 |
| 49 | 2512 | 836 | 31207 | 8619 | 46102 | 35938 | 28748 |
| 50 | 22611 | 7077 | 42512 | 0 | 11044 | 3759 | 11968 |
| 51 | 38340 | 4539 | 14733 | 805 | 5007 | 14979 | 29728 |
| 52 | 23189 | 1668 | 10964 | 5221 | 43343 | 16026 | 19194 |
| 53 | 28505 | 836 | 10697 | 6662 |  | 9843 | 13875 |
| 54 | 36275 | 8117 | 4152 | 10972 | 0 | 12373 | 22866 |
| 5 | 21476 | 3336 | 870\% | 5115 | 4829 | 8124 | 29315 |
| 56 | 29280 | 4164 | 15870 | 12384 | 0 | 9453 | 21548 |
| 57 | 17283 | 6443 | 5876 | 23969 | 137 | 5002 | 25442 |
| 58 | 24964 | 5973 | 0 | 4310 | 7064 | 5980 | 16772 |
| 59 | 16049 | 2780 | 5918 | 3627 | 0 | 892 | 15518 |
| 60 | 20714 | 6049 | 342 | 2217 | 0 | 5221 | 31247 |
| 61 | 16379 | 10040 | 3682 | 23969 | 0 | 8239 | 14327 |
| 62 | 22380 | 4727 | 449 | 1412 | 4829 | 774 | 7243 |
| 63 | 11003 | 3331 | 6503 | 1412 | , | 5588 | 14511 |
| 64 | 7676 | 3004 | 3335 | 4233 | 0 | 2749 | 16478 |
| 65 | 20318 | 3979 | 342 | 8847 | 0 | 6347 | 15194 |
| 66 | 14297 | 7961 | 0 | 1412 | 4829 | 2780 | 8844 |
| 67 | 19410 | 10038 | 0 | 1412 | , | 3736 | 4720 |
| 68 | 24480 | 8748 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1599 | 11675 |
| 69 | 13289 | 467 | 0 | 6171 | 18688 | 2799 | 5790 |

Table 25 (cont.). Estimated annual catches by length interval (fork) of Gulf of Mexico red anapper (Lutjanus campechanus) duriog 1979-85 for the eastern stock.

YEAR

| IENGIH (cm) | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 70 | 17110 | 3472 | 3682 | 8387 | 4829 | 5647 | 3305 |
| 71 | 15642 | 1758 | 0 | 4233 | 1377 | 4116 | 463 |
| 72 | 19506 | 5848 | 342 | 4959 | 17019 | 2978 | 6793 |
| 73 | 9599 | 2644 | 0 | 4233 | 0 | 3501 | 970 |
| 74 | 16113 | 5995 | 0 | 5763 | 0 | 907 | 2210 |
| 75 | 9911 | 6573 | 342 | 5038 | 0 | 1514 | 2287 |
| 76 | 6848 | 4213 | 449 | 10404 | 0 | 1190 | 1948 |
| 7 | 8684 | 4530 | 0 | 7054 | 0 | 2050 | 1708 |
| 78 | 6696 | 3318 | 0 | 1412 | 0 | 1598 | 578 |
| 79 | 5348 | 3942 | 0 | 1839 | 0 | 1216 | 1608 |
| 80 | 3264 | 930 | 4024 | 7054 | 17019 | 1662 | 1190 |
| 81 | 4392 | 2871 | 2901 | 10291 | 9404 | 1370 | 745 |
| 82 | 3678 | 930 | 0 | 7859 | 2758 | 1853 | 2611 |
| 83 | 662 | 2724 | 0 | 5643 | 17019 | 2165 | 2892 |
| 84 | 962. | 378 | 0 | 7054 | 0 | 1066 | 473 |
| 85 | 1244 | 1810 | 0 | 1940 | 17019 | 723 | 3876 |
| 86 | 3749 | 836 | 0 | 1412 | 0 | 496 | 1855 |
| 87 | 614 | 94 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 428 | 6506 |
| 88 | 1234 | 143 | 0 | 1412 | 0 | 284 | 4830 |
| 89 | 1151 | 1071 | 0 | 0 | 2758 | 257 | 1846 |
| 90 | 932 | 106 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 94 | 237 |
| 91 | 384 | 238 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 320 | 4789 |
| 92 | 768 | 238 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 118 | 1696 |
| 93 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 139 | 64 |
| 94 | 614 | 143 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 21 |
| 95 | 614 | 94 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 96 |
| 96 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 97 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 108 |
| 98 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 |
| 101 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 120 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 96 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 3236944 | 1168007 | 2236001 | 1603486 | 2586209 | 1103865 | 948999 |

Table 26. Estimated annual catchea by length interval (fork) of Gulf of Mexico red snapper (Lutjanus campechanus) during 1979-85 for the western stock.

| - Tear |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IEM | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1989 | 1985 |
| 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1043 | 0 | 1173 | 401 |
| 14 | 0 | 0 | 321 | 1198 | 0 | 0 | 201 |
| 15 | 0 | 1259 | 0 | 155 | 0 | 0 | 201 |
| 16 | 9910 | 0 | 3981 | 2458 | 0 | 163 | 1606 |
| 17 | 5747 | 1259 | 19600 | 8804 | 3979 | 845 | 2609 |
| 18 | 63033 | 3780 | 56706 | 21904 | 6499 | 6847 | 4416 |
| 19 | 37023 | 17975 | 137916 | 49896 | 16051 | 10935 | 7684 |
| 20 | 142234 | 3523 | 425418 | 112412 | 26295 | 23280 | 9173 |
| 21 | 195668 | 44934 | 270987 | 138762 | 59149 | 25791 | 25154 |
| 22 | 177398 | 82195 | 259651 | 17104 | 104342 | 46277 | 8577 |
| 23 | 185597 | 114475 | 275254 | 248169 | 132320 | 47684 | 8311 |
| 24 | 202836 | 74521 | 244723 | 293251 | 244069 | 63564 | 3951 |
| 25 | 300688 | 79726 | 226343 | 243789 | 306488 | 84288 | 7320 |
| 26 | 295757 | 78262 | 176838 | 315190 | 274669 | 123875 | 42352 |
| 27 | 339284 | 106941 | 168727 | 233830 | 347366 | 134770 | 22871 |
| 28 | 377149 | 138473 | 168902 | 235174 | 488148 | 172096 | 42157 |
| 29 | 312920 | 193256 | 153471 | 173001 | 255108 | 166884 | 57980 |
| 30 | 281784 | 189445 | 14659 | 151347 | 267432 | 118370 | 61715 |
| 31 | 157956 | 190328 | 81537 | 122486 | 200902 | 125795 | 165442 |
| 32 | 128211 | 208845 | 94966 | 191211 | 201927 | 110165 | 92371 |
| 33 | 113150 | 180139 | 59723 | 79249 | 150462 | 75016 | 65850 |
| 34 | 128494 | 223116 | 124126 | 85874 | 86929 | 79850 | 75579 |
| 35 | 13223 | 205533 | 70703 | 45616 | 75220 | $5186 \%$ | 52839 |
| 36 | 118819 | 249653 | 109803 | 46711 | 98102 | 62875 | 80137 |
| 37 | 54648 | 198710 | 72717 | 37311 | 79646 | 46478 | 31106 |
| 38 | 65061 | 197644 | 71510 | 36777 | 74951 | 53522 | 49245 |
| 39 | 5774 | 157175 | 85467 | 56625 | 22562 | 47820 | 30314 |
| 40 | 18106 | 104914 | 83679 | 39092 | 19779 | 65586 | 29496 |
| 41 | 26711 | 147051 | 79639 | 66324 | 18116 | 32601 | 34837 |
| 42 | 28442 | 101714 | 82348 | 36705 | 8701 | 37355 | 21431 |
| 43 | 30690 | 116918 | 77400 | 60506 | 5699 | 30733 | 16321 |
| 44 | 25352 | 90761 | 56584 | 60264 | 5313 | 21217 | 17569 |
| 45 | 21269 | 88420 | 32204 | 34049 | 3052 | 19519 | 9880 |
| 46 | 8698 | 47440 | 40712 | 38721 | 3929 | 27754 | 11273 |
| 47 | 17971 | 66528 | 73831 | 23298 | 4214 | 14493 | 15768 |
| 48 | 6233 | 34800 | 21481 | 57375 | 1041 | 15058 | 9269 |
| 49 | 13147 | 48795 | 51369 | 79526 | 1637 | 13678 | 10202 |
| 50 | 11990 | 16504 | 42253 | 35879 | 4545 | 8022 | 9145 |
| 51 | 24563 | 2137 | 29937 | 24633 | 1849 | 9077 | 12392 |
| 52 | 20260 | 15701 | 17786 | 10416 | 1464 | 7974 | 8832 |
| 53 | 33672 | 8528 | 29509 | 35839 | 338 | 13209 | 7189 |
| 54 | 1740 | 8192 | 2636 | 6555 | 1941 | 6685 | 5711 |
| 55 | 10461 | 8234 | 8537 | 17294 | 8078 | 4960 | 5670 |
| 56 | 13090 | 667 | 26576 | 9324 | 625 | 6462 | 6596 |
| 57 | 10461 | 6513 | 16561 | 12252 | 714 | 3936 | 3998 |
| 58 | 1515 | 17967 | 1023 | 14669 | 1164 | 6225 | 5217 |
| 59 | 14745 | 18871 | 15434 | 9324 | 1422 | 12880 | 5169 |
| 60 | 1565 | 3683 | 429 | 9654 | 769 | 6770 | 4111 |
| 61 | 7731 | 12076 | 2647 | 18646 | 701 | 10498 | 9917 |
| 62 | 5747 | 7092 | 100 | 9453 | 7594 | 7002 | 4299 |
| 63 | 7347 | 4097 | 10185 | 1303 | 0 | 10625 | 4369 |
| 64 | 0 | 4191 | 7854 | 6710 | 911 | 5172 | 3325 |

Table 20(cont.). Estimated annual catches by length interval (fork) of Gulf of Maxico red anapper (Lutianus campechanus) during 1979-85 for the western stock.

| YEAR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LENGIH (cm) | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 |
| 65 | 6371 | 2510 | 1536 | 1662 | 0 | 5080 | 3771 |
| 66 | 12059 | 7634 | 1910 | 1047 | 7568 | 3026 | 4301 |
| 67 | 5288 | 3788 | 2296 | 1450 | 751 | 1934 | 2943 |
| 68 | 7262 | 9694 | 591 | 2222 | 0 | 3311 | 3752 |
| 69 | 1600 | 13929 | 248 | 926 | 26743 | 6686 | 4345 |
| 70 | 0 | 4641 | 1667 | 5141 | 9310 | 3992 | 3464 |
| 71 | -\% | 3476 | 11 | 575 | 1819 | 3877 | 3446 |
| 72 | 3200 | 10947 | 160 | 6754 | 2677 | 3118 | 2373 |
| 73 | 6233 | 9025 | 3035 | 1257 | 0 | 6360 | 7753 |
| 74 | 8862 | 3616 | 1430 | 1811 | 0 | 6722 | 3085 |
| 75 | 3200 | 5960 | 1430 | 2034 | 0 | 5911 | 12059 |
| 76 | 5002 | 8056 | , | 2162 | 0 | 5279 | 5797 |
| 77 | 5828 | 3797 | 0 | 1501 | 26 | 8014 | 5658 |
| 78 | 26 | 4452 | 0 | 1763 | 701 | 15023 | 4007 |
| 79 | 0 | 9619 | 241 | 1562 | 701 | 6951 | 8567 |
| 80 | 0 | 8575 | 610 | 1716 | 27376 | 9998 | 4807 |
| 81 | 26 | 7537 | 7562 | 1212 | 14806 | 5598 | 6065 |
| 82 | 26 | 4059 | 0 | 818 | 0 | 4718 | 3441 |
| 83 | 0 | 2812 | 0 | 441 | 26701 | 2685 | 1670 |
| 84 | 0 | 3207 | 0 | 235 | 68 | 2132 | 1474 |
| 85 | 26 | 2879 | 0 | 201 | 26675 | 1726 | 795 |
| 88 | 26 | 3928 | 0 | 22 | 442 | 931 | 1360 |
| 87 | 26 | 1822 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 192 | 1129 |
| 88 | 11490 | 2520 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 192 | 661 |
| 89 | 0 | 1685 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 192 | 257 |
| 90 | 0 | 1259 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 92 | 0 | 1396 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 97 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 731 |
| 98 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 656 | 0 |
| 79 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 163 | 0 |
| 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 192 | 0 |
| 101 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 163 | 0 |
| 107 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 701 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 4340049 | 4121714 | 4342337 | 3864015 | 3797395 | 2194438 | 1391885 |

Table 27. Estimated age specific catches of red snapper, Lutjanus campechanus, 1979-85 for the east stock as determined from growth equations.

| Age | Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | $\underline{1982}$ | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 |
| 1 | 832457 | 197914 | 251874 | 460686 | 775213 | 82556 | 6773 |
| 2 | 1273343 | 549377 | 604518 | 448920 | 1033645 | 335713 | 93203 |
| 3 | 349981 | 220064 | 721987 | 320766 | 455495 | 315032 | 189686 |
| 4 | 167340 | 31966 | 442973 | 121870 | 85876 | 146717 | 196794 |
| 5 | 157344 | 14956 | 145206 | 26402 | 105162 | 99528 | 128355 |
| 6 | 144982 | 26355 | 31629 | 64566 | 12042 | 41856 | 127479 |
| 7 | 80281 | 32586 | 21999 | 35526 | 6057 | 35582 | 95628 |
| 8 | 91076 | 33099 | 7706 | 23878 | 21997 | 15429 | 48156 |
| 9 | 63762 | 17150 | 342 | 17579 | 24745 | 12996 | 17749 |
| 10 | 41049 | 20971 | 4840 | 39756 | 0 | 5663 | 8706 |
| 11 | 19401 | 12110 | 2927 | 30646 | 63070 | 6216 | 3792 |
| 12 | 7245 | 5726 | 0 | 11479 | 149 | 4342 | 9307 |
| 13 | 5371 | 2883 | 0 | 1412 | 2609 | 1203 | 14462 |
| 14 | 2084 | 2443 | 0 | 0 | 149 | 789 | 8163 |
| 15 | 1149 | 381 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 169 | 489 |
| 16 | 79 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 74 | 257 |
| total | 3236944 | 1168007 | 2236001 | 1603486 | 2586209 | 1103865 | 948999 |

Table 28. Estinated age specific catches of red snapper, Lutjanus campechanus, 1979-85 for the west stock as determined from growth equations.

| Age | Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | $\underline{1982}$ | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 |
| 1 | 835654 | 249267 | 1297790 | 336743 | 520314 | 142541 | 149958 |
| 2 | 2167403 | 1260804 | 1456051 | 2251895 | 2354128 | 1080092 | 442745 |
| 3 | 822036 | 1611144 | 753939 | 596643 | 656573 | 536583 | 453486 |
| 4 | 221052 | 621138 | 482394 | 333471 | 60214 | 196810 | 138427 |
| 5 | 126182 | 154756 | 243445 | 203681 | 12313 | 55850 | 55963 |
| 6 | 67055 | 58169 | 65087 | 68887 | 14183 | 41265 | 30051 |
| 7 | 29479 | 27875 | 24623 | 37645 | 8505 | 34063 | 29185 |
| 8 | 26277 | 39658 | 8438 | 12152 | 63867 | 20665 | 17617 |
| 9 | 16086 | 30256 | 3053 | 9031 | 20330 | 22999 | 23182 |
| 10 | 17179 | 19032 | 2864 | 7521 | 727 | 31653 | 23279 |
| 11 | 26 | 28107 | 1846 | 4430 | 39140 | 21473 | 15597 |
| 12 | 52 | 6704 | 2803 | 1480 | 45958 | 7034 | 6636 |
| 13 | 52 | 7944 | 0 | 436 | 442 | 1771 | 3431 |
| 14 | 11516 | 4205 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 384 | 1353 |
| 15 | 0 | 1259 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 81 | 214 |
| 16 | 0 | 1396 | 0 | 0 | 701 | 1174 | 731 |
| total | 4340049 | 4121714 | 4342337 | 3864015 | 3797395 | 2194438 | 1391855 |

Table 29. Estimated age specific catches of U.S. Gulf of Mexico red snapper, Lutjanus campechanus, 1979-85 for the east stock as determined by the stochastic ageing method described by Shepherd (1985).

| Age | Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1979 | 1980 | $\underline{1981}$ | $\underline{1982}$ | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 952821 | 200039 | 267081 | 425714 | 728397 | 78176 | 3772 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 1207102 | 567845 | 556668 | 456655 | 1047579 | 324673 | 101339 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 315659 | 222703 | 831426 | 381523 | 462059 | 373093 | 192851 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 133101 | 6810 | 381004 | 77422 | 69643 | 72564 | 185826 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 155881 | 24145 | 138859 | 5622 | 121585 | 142288 | 130029 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 198912 | 18375 | 22384 | 102381 | 7786 | 39997 | 139756 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 8560 | 35860 | 14921 | 28455 | 765 | 21469 | 99820 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 124941 | 33825 | 10106 | 6983 | 47598 | 21919 | 35681 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 102706 | 15169 | 1669 | 16742 | 6335 | 6026 | 9286 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 16291 | 23698 | 6307 | 74160 | 4045 | 9462 | 6867 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | 9453 | 9010 | 2042 | 18369 | 81225 | 11983 | 818 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | 6477 | 3339 | 2207 | 5846 | 5100 | 855 | 13518 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | 2790 | 1146 | 225 | 485 | 492 | 440 | 27510 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | 1050 | 586 | 154 | 592 | 1173 | 169 | 712 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | 251 | 290 | 254 | 788 | 1054 | 343 | 922 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $16+$ | 942 | 5171 | 690 | 1747 | 1371 | 407 | 280 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| total | 3236937 | 1168011 | 2235997 | 1603484 | 2586207 | 1103864 | 948987 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 30. Estimated age specific catches of red snapper, Lutjanus campechanus, 1979-85 for the west stock as determined by the stochastic ageing method described by Shepherd (1985).

| Age | Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 |
| 1 | 673730 | 168017 | 1360311 | 227860 | 322958 | 106607 | 155889 |
| 2 | 2233451 | 1176624 | 1347974 | 2331734 | 2485307 | 1067382 | 458486 |
| 3 | 847657 | 1817046 | 768908 | 546141 | 635376 | 571694 | 452481 |
| 4 | 188183 | 589196 | 522828 | 403328 | 26649 | 195506 | 114847 |
| 5 | 186880 | 89896 | 241322 | 219507 | 20980 | 20323 | 47877 |
| 6 | 76427 | 94100 | 36805 | 44558 | 9982 | 78936 | 35933 |
| 7 | 36290 | 6093 | 28855 | 55798 | 13216 | 30323 | 30087 |
| 8 | 15043 | 49523 | 11231 | 3486 | 84970 | 5548 | 5088 |
| 9 | 58184 | 44683 | 7869 | 8567 | 35344 | 14880 | 23070 |
| 10 | 906 | 32030 | 5534 | 13444 | 428 | 92854 | 49084 |
| 11 | 689 | 38595 | 2831 | 3058 | 154881 | 4186 | 17654 |
| 12 | 318 | 13291 | 2345 | 610 | 1058 | 2170 | 63 |
| 13 | 17521 | 1179 | 2280 | 222 | 1180 | 1918 | 304 |
| 14 | 353 | 201 | 607 | 1723 | 1558 | 344 | 93 |
| 15 | 3507 | 375 | 790 | 1629 | 2785 | 150 | 727 |
| 16+ | 903 | 864 | 1852 | 2348 | 719 | 1619 | 167 |
| total | 4340042 | 4121713 | 4342342 | 3864013 | 3797391 | 2194440 | 1391850 |

Table 31. Catch per unit of effort indices of abundance for Gulf of Mexico red snapper (Lucjanua campechanus) investigated in this atudy.

|  |  |  |  |  | CPUE Indicee (Number data points) ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stock | Fiehery | Agea | Unite of CPUE | Source of Inforation | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 |
| Eate | Botton Longline and Rod EReel | $3+$ | Pounds/trip | Ma. Debby Pable, NMFS, SEPC Port Agent | -(0) | 2482.4(9) | 367.8(36) | 632.2(42) | 3371.2(36) | 3055.9(21) | 2512.4(12) |
|  | shriap trawl by-catch | 1-2 | Pounde/trip | Ms. Debby Fable, MMFS, SEFC Port Agent | -(0) | 230.9(3) | 178.1(19) | 16.0(1) | 38.2(6) | 89.6(B) | 127.1(7) |
|  | Charterboat | 1-2 | Numbers/fiahing hour | MTFS, SRFC, PCL, Panama City, Florida ${ }^{2}$ | - | - | - | -- | 0.4384(785) | 0.2099(315) | 0.1817(516) |
| Weat | Charterboat | 1-2 | Numbera/fiching hour | mips, SEPC, PCL, Panama City, Plorida ${ }^{2}$ | - | - | - | 0.9333(141) | 1.2728(507) | 0.8310(499) | 0.6694(289) |
|  | Texas headboat | 1-2 | Humbers/man-hour | TPWD, Auatin, Texas | 1.920(5) | - | 1.39(16) | 1.17(14) | 1.92(18) | 0.31(18) | \% |
|  | Texas headboat | 3+ | Nuabera/man-hour | TPWD, Austin, Texas | 0.52 (5) | - | 0.41(16) | 0.25(14) | 0.67(18) | 0.10(18) | - |

Iall indices are siaple averages of CPUS during quarter 1 except charterboat indices - which are from atandardization analysea as described by lobson (ight). ${ }^{2}$ The Mifs, SEPC, Gulf of Mexico charterboat aurvey began in 1982.
-- . No data avallable.

Table 32. Estimated weight (kilograms) at age for Gulf of Mexico red snapper (Lutjanus campechanus) ${ }^{1}$.

| Stock | Age (years) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fork } \\ \text { Length }(\mathrm{cm}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Initial Weight | Mid-Year Weight |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| East | 1 | 23.0 | 0.198 | 0.338 |
|  | 2 | 30.7 | 0.478 | 0.692 |
|  | 3 | 38.0 | 0.906 | 1.195 |
|  | 4 | 44.7 | 1.483 | 1.844 |
|  | 5 | 51.0 | 2.204 | 2.631 |
|  | 6 | 56.8 | 3.058 | 3.543 |
|  | 7 | 62.2 | 4.028 | 4.564 |
|  | 8 | 67.3 | 5.099 | 5.676 |
|  | 9 | 72.0 | 6.253 | 6.863 |
|  | 10 | 76.3 | 7.472 | 8.107 |
|  | 11 | 80.4 | 8.741 | 9.393 |
|  | 12 | 84.2 | 10.044 | 10.706 |
|  | 13 | 87.7 | 11.368 | 12.035 |
|  | 14 | 91.0 | 12.701 | 13.366 |
|  | 15 | 94.0 | 14.031 |  |
| West | 1 | 17.0 | 0.080 | 0.208 |
|  | 2 | 27.3 | 0.335 | 0.568 |
|  | 3 | 36.5 | 0.800 | 1.132 |
|  | 4 | 44.5 | 1.464 | 1.880 |
|  | 5 | 51.7 | 2.295 | 2.773 |
|  | 6 | 58.0 | 3.252 | 3.773 |
|  | 7 | 63.6 | 4.293 | 4.837 |
|  | 8 | 68.5 | 5.381 | 5.934 |
|  | 9 | 72.9 | 6.486 | 7.034 |
|  | 10 | 76.7 | 7.581 | 8.114 |
|  | 11 | 80.1 | 8.646 | 9.157 |
|  | 12 | 83.1 | 9.668 | 10.152 |
|  | 13 | 85.8 | 10.637 | 11.091 |
|  | 14 | 88.2 | 11.545 | 11.968 |
|  | 15 | 90.3 | 12.391 | 12.782 |

[^2]Table 33. Performance characteristics of six Gulf of Mexico red snapper CPUE abundance indices.

${ }^{1}$ F $_{85}=$ Starting $F$ (fishing mortality rate) for fully recruited ages in 1985.
$X=108 s$ rate due to causes other than catches.

Table 34. Sumaty reavite of Gulf of Mexico red snapper VPA investigatione of the atochaticaliy derived annul catches.

| Stock | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sumary } \\ \text { Statiatic } \end{gathered}$ | Natural Mortality |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | $\begin{gathered} 2 \text { Gain or Lors } \\ \text { frow } 1979 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| East | - Mdult etock aize (age 3+) | 2512589 | 2115855 | 3051893 | 2525084 | 2534620 | 2389411 | 1941760 | -23 |
|  | - Recruitment | 3503610 | 2193725 | 194:703 | 2709518 | 1679206 | 403183 | 23823 | -99 |
|  | - Total atock alze (age 1+) | 8225060 | 6576326 | 6794516 | 6743334 | 6261319 | 3622871 | 2256205 | -73 |
|  | - Average F (age 3+) Onwef ght ed | 0.7861 | 0.3984 | 0.3609 | 0.4488 | 0.4630 | 0.4072 | 0.6056 | -23 |
|  | - Average F (age 3+) Weighted by Catches | 0.8250 | 0.3382 | 0.8951 | 0.5017 | 0.6258 | 0.4816 | 0.6056 | -27 |
|  | - Average F (age 1) Unweighted | 0.3354 | 0.1006 | 0.1554 | 0.1802 | 0.6043 | 0.2274 | 0.1817 | -46 |
| Ment | - Mdult otock aice (age 34) | 4654513 | 5722903 | 4166228 | 3068401 | 2696005 | 2669609 | 3474672 | -25 |
|  | - Reerus twent | 4030896 | 2759171 | 5515469 | 4522264 | 4045039 | 2377023 | 3911373 | - 3 |
|  | - Total atock ofre (age 1+) | 14196662 | 11489795 | 12018607 | 11290977 | 10616399 | 8399879 | 9435541 | -34 |
|  | - Average P (age 34) Unveighted | 0.4666 | 0.7014 | 0.4164 | 0.4755 | 0.6562 | 0.7466 | 0.2673 | -43 |
|  | - Average P (age 3+) Weifhted by catches | 0.5043 | 0.9540 | 0.7522 | 0.9882 | 1.1693 | 0.6694 | 0.2673 | -47 |
|  | - Average $\mathbf{F}$ (age 1) Onwesghted | 0.1928 | 0.0661 | 0.2991 | 0.0544 | 0.0876 | 0.0483 | 0.0428 | -78 |

Table 34. Coatinued.

| Stock | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sumary } \\ & \text { statiatic } \end{aligned}$ | Natural Mortality |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | $\begin{gathered} 2 \text { Gali or Fiorn } \\ \quad \text { from } 1979 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Eant | - Mdult atock aize (age 3+) | 3459173 | 3037526 | 4198855 | 3809498 | 3751378 | 3544594 | 2944959 | -15 |
|  | - Recrustment | 4894762 | 3406301 | 2816733 | 3658898 | 2237090 | 637937 | 38844 | -99 |
|  | - Total stock al ze (age $1+$ ) | 11097619 | 9593986 | 9623929 | 9533718 | 8600428 | 5360912 | 3435651 | -99 |
|  | - Average F (age 3+) Unveighted | 0.6114 | 0.2926 | 0.2589 | 0.3295 | 0.3302 | 0.2901 | 0.3770 | -38 |
|  | - Average F (age 34) Neighted by Catchee | 0.6353 | 0.2483 | 0.6095 | 0.3491 | 0.4293 | 0.3317 | 0.3770 | -41 |
|  | - Average $\mathbf{T}$ (age 1) Unwe ighted | 0.2407 | 0.0669 | 0.1103 | 0.1371 | 0.4410 | 0.1449 | 0.1131 | -53 |
| Weat | - Adult atock elze (age 3+) | 6372863 | 7115949 | 5276939 | 3870022 | 3337220 | 3219028 | 4124525 | -35 |
|  | - Reeruitment | 5187906 | 3486130 | 6577234 | 5590290 | 5368123 | 3088979 | 4715162 | -9 |
|  | - Total ctock Eise (age 14) | 17901605 | 14242519 | 14556738 | 13621736 | 13076609 | 10411647 | 11272490 | -37 |
|  | - Average P (age. 34) Unveighted | 0.3815 | 0.5888 | 0.3408 | 0.3915 | 0.5897 | 0.6535 | 0.2321 | -39 |
|  | - Average F (age 3+) Weighted by catches | 0.4010 | 0.8324 | 0.6306 | 0.7935 | 1.0468 | 0.5670 | 0.2321 | -76 |
|  | - Average F (age 1) thveighted | 0.1542 | 0.0546 | 0.2578 | 0.0460 | 0.0686 | 0.0388 | 0.0371 | -42 |

## Table 34. Conts mued.

| Stock | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sumary } \\ \text { Statietic } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Matural Mortality |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\qquad$ |
|  |  | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 |  |
| Eant | - Adult atock afze (age 3+) | 5961692 | 5552362 | 7472082 | 7516934 | 7206041 | 6778265 | 5732139 | - 4 |
|  | - Recruitment | 8721115 | 6899104 | 5127095 | 6002542 | 3730362 | 1303366 | 80420 | -99 |
|  | - Total atock alre (age 1+) | 18832308 | 18097883 | 17538771 | 17089051 | 15018914 | 10224501 | 6711199 | -64 |
|  | - Average F (age 3+) Unveighted | 0.4116 | 0.1793 | 0.1517 | 0.1985 | 0.1892 | 0.1662 | 0.1858 | -53 |
|  | - Average Y (age 3+) Wel ghted by Catchen | 0.4261 | 0.1654 | 0.3195 | 0.1931 | 0.2296 | 0.1792 | 0.1858 | -56 |
|  | - Average F (age 1) Onvel ghted | 0.1347 | 0.0341 | 0.0621 | 0.0855 | 0.2544 | 0.0718 | 0.0557 | -59 |
| Weat | - Mdult stock aize (age 3+) | 9526593 | 9434905 | 7061706 | 5122200 | 4279210 | 3987182 | 5002506 | -47 |
|  | - Recruitment | 6995697 | 4590648 | 8031809 | 7078277 | 7335886 | 4106292 | 5804927 | -17 |
|  | - Total stock afre (age 1+) | 23946363 | 18632041 | 18350430 | 16990420 | 16663606 | 13252053 | 13758103 | -43 |
|  | - Average F (age 3t) Umwelghted | 0.3010 | 0.4819 | 0.2120 | 0.3144 | 0.5243 | 0.5618 | 0.1972 | -34 |
|  | - average F (age 3+) Wefghted by catchea | 0.3017 | 0.7087 | 0.5110 | 0.6141 | 0.9234 | 0.4689 | 0.1972 | -35 |
|  | - Average 7 (age 1) Unwes ghted | 0.1178 | 0.0432 | 0.2169 | 0.0379 | 0.0522 | 0.0305 | 0.0316 | -73 |

Table 35. Annual estimates of production for the Eastern Gulf of Mexico red snapper (Lut janus campechanus) during 1979-85 determined from UPA investigations of the stochastically derived catches ( $M=0.20$ ).

| HEE | inital stock biouss (kg) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 198 | 1984 | 1985 |
| 1 | 969163 | 674448 | 58713 | 724462 | 42944 | 126295 | 7691 |
| 2 | 1311481 | 1505776 | 1245787 | 98724 | 1248517 | 563266 | 215950 |
| 3 | 869431 | 105786 | 1873638 | 1481220 | 1159982 | 1088245 | -609951 |
| 4 | 719570 | 745418 | 1120506 | 1407742 | 1476463 | 940683 | 962038 |
| 5 | 628718 | 612130 | 893453 | 614706 | 1559008 | 1658057 | 1000453 |
| 6 | 1589933 | 289905 | 628774 | 634327 | 682763 | 1436273 | 149194 |
| 7 | 292231 | 991981 | 246045 | 598815 | 316246 | 708002 | 1403627 |
| 8 | 1146022 | 2989245 | 863410 | 186634 | 487994 | 324242 | 635136 |
| 9 | 1064843 | 455509 | 2810427 | 609856 | 149093 | 24523 | 202704 |
| 10 | 308679 | 360411 | 343697 | 2738282 | 679605 | 102372 | 179122 |
| 11 | 223114 | 168205 | 160416 | 279520 | 2039543 | 618999 | 24961 |
| 12 | 145359 | 124867 | 77451 | 132428 | 96939 | 1187625 | 473984 |
| 13 | 64224 | 60915 | 81618 | 49248 | 63333 | 40017 | 1091740 |
| 14 | 34051 | 27141 | 49941 | 72079 | 39496 | 5278 | 31569 |
| 15 | 8410 | 17612 | 17165 | 43219 | 57704 | 2096 | 45161 |
| total | 12000249 | 10089429 | 10971036 | 10751772 | 10500630 | 9091883 | 8376031 |


| Year | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1994 | 1985 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Initial Biomass w/o recruits | 11031084 | 9414981 | 10413323 | 10033310 | 10057686 | 8965597 | 8368340 |
| Final Bioenss with recruits | 9419068 | 104250\% | 10045178 | 10005531 | 9002738 | 8382404 | 6251539 |
| Net Chense in Stock Biomess | -1612016 | 1010116 | -368145 | 5220 | -1054949 | -583183 | -2116802 |
| Stock Production | 3077186 | 2675979 | 2486846 | 2634104 | 2148478 | 1238154 | 648776 |
| recruitment bioness | 969163 | 674448 | 557713 | 724462 | 442944 | 126295 | 7691 |
| accusulation fros growth | -2581179 | 335668 | -225859 | -67242 | -1497993 | -709478 | -212493 |
| vield removed | 4689202 | 165584 | 2854991 | 2581884 | 3203427 | 1821338 | 276578 |

Table 36. Annual estimates of production for the Western Gulf of Mexico red snapper (Lut janus campechanus) during 1979-85 determined from VPA investigations of the stochastically derived catches ( $M=0.30$ ).

|  |  |  |  | inital st | tock biond | (kg) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AFE | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 |
| 1 | 559656 | 367252 | 642545 | 566262 | 586871 | 328503 | 463699 |
| 2 | 2487065 | 1543174 | 1091067 | 1604631 | 1691251 | 1727923 | 988474 |
| 3 | 2793612 | 2883760 | 1979830 | 1019969 | 1270491 | 1320984 | 2329619 |
| 4 | 4256297 | 2731781 | 1675340 | 1661807 | 708378 | 936684 | 1082070 |
|  | 3973170 | 4573311 | 2025807 | 935103 | 1146341 | 710297 | 707138 |
| 6 | 548168 | 3651343 | 4550411 | 1459877 | 303396 | 1144921 | 752036 |
| 7 | 3232071 | 259718 | 3225337 | 4314745 | 1264165 | 338294 | 831255 |
| 8 | 661392 | 2833985 | 213137 | 2361951 | 3749492 | 1112963 | 176199 |
| 9 | 1023740 | 507234 | 2255941 | 128463 | 2536186 | 287729 | 962984 |
| 10 | 546465 | 513209 | 155969 | 1902270 | 56467 | 1966912 | 2294754 |
| 11 | 491948 | 454988 | 200663 | 91123 | 1507694 | 44540 | 982320 |
| 12 | 198590 | 401813 | 69450 | 14284 | 50387 | 49424 | 3920 |
| 13 | 286288 | 15996 | 207638 | 35464 | 110870 | 31482 | 20811 |
| 14 | 22169 | 62118 | 116172 | 144461 | 2632 | 77504 | 6910 |
| 15 | 192072 | 13098 | 47257 | 85931 | 96632 | 4936 | 57974 |
| total | 21272703 | 20556629 | 18406564 | 16954901 | 15184943 | 12「32636 | 11760163 |
| Year | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 |
| Initial Biomss m/o recruits | 20713947 | 20589378 | 1764021 | 16388640 | 14598072 | 12404133 | 11796:463 |
| Final Bioness zith recruits | 20701322 | 17770781 | 16416993 | 14617488 | 12449181 | 11298880 | 11502669 |
| Net Change in Stock Biomass | -11725 | $-2818598$ | $-1347028$ | $-1741153$ | -2148891 | -1105453 | 206205 |
| Stock Production recruitment biomess | $\begin{array}{r} 44979721 \\ 55 \% 56 \end{array}$ | 3058705 367252 | $\begin{array}{r} 2760262 \\ 64245 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2320584 \\ 566262 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2510189 \\ 586971 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2016460 \\ 328503 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2407451 \\ 463699 \end{array}$ |
| accunulation fron srouth | $-571381$ | -3185849 | -1988573 | -2307415 | -2730762 | $-1435956$ | -257494 |
| vield resoved | 4461445 | 5877302 | 410729 | 4061737 | 4659080 | 3121913 | 2201246 |



Figure 1. Chart of Gulf of Mexico historical red snapper fishing grounds and statistical reporting zones $(A=$ Western zone, $B=$ Galveston Lumps, $C=$ Delta, $D=$ Middle Grounds, $\mathrm{E}=$ Tortugas)


Flgure 4. Catches of:Red Snspper by Welght Class Groups for Posltive Red Snapper Trips for Commerclat Rod and Reel and Bottom Longline Trips tor First Quarter of Each Year.


Figure 5. Catches of Red Snapper by Welght Clase Groups for Poaltive Red Snapper Tripe for Shrimp By-catch for First Quarter of Euch Year.


Pigure 6. Length frequenciea from recreational charterboat catches of red snapper in the eastern Gulf of Mexico during 1982-1985.


Pigure 7. Catch per unit of effort from red snapper commercial bottom longline and rod and reel (combined) and shrimp trawl trips (Pounds per trip) and from recreational charterboat c:atches (catch per fishing hour) during quarter $1,1980-1985$ in the eastern Gulf of Mexico.
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Pigure 8. Leagth frequencies from recreational charterboat catches of red snapper in the western Gulf of Mexico during 1982-1985 (Fock Length in cm )
Figare 9. snapper in the western Gulf of Mexico during 1979-1985.
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Figure 10. Catch per unit of effort of red snapper from recreational headboats (catch per nan-hour) and charterboats (catch per fishing hour) during quarter 1, 1979-1985 in the western Gulf of Mexico.


Figure 11. Estimated annual VPA stock sizes of ages 3 plus ( $M=0.2$ ) and estimated annual total catches for the eastern and western Gulf of Mexico red snapper stocks.


Figure 12. Estimated annual VPA stock sizes of ages 3 plus and of recruits ( $M=0.2$ ) for the eastern and western Gulf of Mexico red snapper stocks.

## Appendix

Table 1. Historical catches (Pounds) of red onapper, Lutfanua campechanus, by GM atate landed and area of catch during $\mathbf{1 9 6 4}$ to 1985.

| Year | State Landed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | All Statea Combined |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Florida ${ }^{2}$ |  | Alabama |  | M1salesippi |  | Louisiana |  | Texas |  |  |  |  |
|  | D.S. Shelf | Foreign | U.S. Shelf | Foreign | U.S. Shelf | Foreign | U.S. Shelf | Foreign | U.S. Shelf | Poreign | U.S. Shelf | Foreign |  |
| 1964 | 4898988 | 2146392 | 74300 | 2318600 | 513600 | 1335600 | 309900 |  | 1264100 | 985700 | 7060888 | 6786292 |  |
| 1905 | 5043060 | 1497960 | 94300 | 2400800 | 627600 | 1737900 | 242800 |  | 1210800 | 1001000 | 1218560 | 6637660 |  |
| 1966 | 3742632 | 1820124 | 308900 | 2392400 | 727200 | 2047700 | 207100 |  | 1049600 | 603500 | 6036032 | 6863724 |  |
| 1967 | 4108860 | 1305504 | 533100 | 1755300 | 1251200 | 1574400 | 301800 |  | 828800 | 579800 | 7023760 | 5215004 |  |
| 1968 | 4008096 | 615276 | 831800 | 382000 | 1831000 | 1820000 | 276900 |  | 719300 | 408200 | 7667096 | 3225476 |  |
| 1969 | 4004856 | 577584 | 413500 | 832400 | 1350800 | 1592000 | 129600 |  | 619400 | 305300 | 6518156 | 3307284 |  |
| 1970 | 3840696 | 290844 | 545300 | 437900 | 1538300 | 980700 | 254800 |  | 676400 | 240000 | 6855496 | 1949444 |  |
| 1971 | 3857544 | 311256 | 722800 | 216400 | 1878100 | 520900 | 161600 |  | 862400 | 220000 | 7482446 | 1268556 |  |
| 1972 | 3647808 | 338796 | 733037 | 317554 | 1537050 | 728600 | 258875 |  | 938000 | 300000 | 7114770 | 1684950 |  |
| 1973 | 3883896 | 154008 | 727766 | 232641 | 1837490 | 493600 | 353791 |  | 669400 | 112000 | 7472343 | 992249 |  |
| 1974 | 4810536 | 167420 | 693129 | 197593 | 1614950 | 284800 | 286224 |  | 662900 | 80000 | 8067739 | 709813 |  |
| 1975 | 4682664 | 99164 | 723285 | 109665 | 1324600 | 384500 | 150756 |  | 518300 | 109100 | 7399605 | 702409 |  |
| 1976 | 4233168 | 71280 | 533710 | 101145 | 1331800 | 543600 | 57877 |  | 341100 | 154000 | 6497655 | 870025 | \% |
| 1977 | 3030588 | 30996 | 343214 | 176487 | 1274550 | 164900 | 99085 |  | 305000 | 135000 | 5052437 | 507383 |  |
| 1978 | 2873340 | 97092 | 276452 | 149880 | 1003040 | 90500 | 71022 |  | 227200 | 150000 | 4451054 | 487672 |  |
| 1979 | 2900340 |  | 248273 | 287003 | 890590 | 166800 | 175931 |  | 134600 | 80000 | 4349734 | 533803 |  |
| 1980 | 3014888 |  | 164074 | 253485 | 735600 | 194000 | 201430 |  | 230700 | 80700 | 4346692 | 528185 |  |
| 1981 | 3379129 |  | 346142 | 157979 | 673570 | 301800 | 421283 |  | 521400 | 57000 | 5341524 | 516779 |  |
| 1982 | 3576728 |  | 514327 | 66291 | 958450 | 83000 | 467941 |  | 529500 |  | 6046946 | 149291 |  |
| 1983 | 4113849 |  | 442760 | 92400 | 1096080 | 20200 | 718361 |  | 124200 |  | 7095250 | 112600 |  |
| 1984 | 2806236 |  | 339988 |  | $759705^{3}$ | 103700 | 1487456 |  | 723300 |  | 6116685 | 103700 |  |
| 1985 | $1760450^{4}$ |  | 199280 |  | $421736^{3}$ | 372300 | 1155904 |  | 766800 |  | $4304170^{4}$ | 372300 |  |


$\mathbf{2}_{\text {Area }}$ of catch degignated as: 1. U.S. Shelf - incluaive of catches taken fron atatiatical sones 1-21
2. Foreign - catches taken within GM waters only and outside of statistical zones 1-2l.
$3_{\text {Adjusted uaing data from Table } 3 .}$
*Preliainary figures.

Date Source: MOM, MAFS, SEFC, ESO.

Appendix.
Table 2. Proportion (cumulative) of annual red snapper (Lutfanus campechanus) catches by gear and age group for the eastern Gulf of Mexico stock.

## Commerelal Rod Real

HE

| , | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16+ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 190.042 | - 0.43 | A.tod7 | 2 | 4.693 | *.33\% | - 0.1327 | 1. 3345 | *. 3478 | 4. 0514 | 0.8532 | A.4547 | 0.155 | *. 8557 | 0.8357 | 1.8539 |
| 888.8433 | 6. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 8.0102 | 0.8147 | 4. 1198 | -0889 | *. 1689 | 0.8677 | 0.8382 | -.1269 | 6. 1239 | 6. 1262 | - J26̈8 | 6. 127 | 6.1272 | 0. 1389 |
| 8) A. \%el | 0.0235 | 0. 2381 | t. 1763 | *. 2275 | 0.2365 | 0.23\% | 0.2435 | 0.2437 | 0.2439 | ©. 2446 | 0. 2453 | 0.2454 | 0.2454 | 6. 2455 | A. 2456 |
| $828.80{ }^{\text {a }} 5$ | 4.0405 | *. ${ }^{\text {* }} 13$ | t. Mn93 | 0.6125 | 6.017 | 8.8231 | 8.8257 | 0.0358 | 2*778 | 8.0622 | 0. 0064 | 0. 1866 | 6. 6868 | 0.087 | 6. 6877 |
| 838.1655 | 4.3232 | 4.2335 | 8. 2336 | \$. 2337 | 6. 235: | -. 2352 | ©. 2497 | 6.25\% | *. 2584 | 0.2762 | 4.2763 | b. 2763 | 0. 2763 | 0. 2763 | 4.2764 |
| 84, 4. 8223 | 2. 1499 | 0.2835 | 0. 3273 | 0.3709 | 0.3554 | 0.4012 | 0.4079 | 8.4693 | 6.4133 | 0.4207 | -. 42817 | 0.4204 | t. 4289 | *.4218 | 4.4210 |
| 50.000 | 3.4098 | 0.454 | 1.1033 | 0.1594 | $0.37 \%$ | 0.3942 | 0.1962 | *.2033 | H. 2139 | 4.2104 | 4.2139 | t.2130 | 0.2136 | - 23.36 | - 2136 |

## Commerelal trawl

| YEP? | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | $\dot{8}$ | 7 | 0 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 25 | 164 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 79.8064 | 8. 2 Wex | 6.040 | B. mekt | 8. $0 \times 013$ | - E8\% | 1.84\% | 4.880 | 4. 8049 | 6.6209 | 0.0031 | 6.6210 | 2, \%10 | 6. 810 | 0.802 | 0. Wb $^{0}$ |
| * 8.80 .81 | 0.0423 | 2. 2034 | d. W207 |  | - - anc | 8.0022 | -. 20.33 | -. 2235 | 8.0243 | 8. 14.45 | 0. 8846 | 4.0846 | - 0.6047 | -.0.0.7 | 3.8948 |
| 81 4. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (ex | 0.0405 | 0.8235 | 4. 2.63 | 0. 2 ex | -.ene3 | 0. 8285 | a.2886 | *. 0187 | *. 2.287 | 6.0807 | 1.8837 | 0.408) | 0.4687 | 0.1487 | 4.t1087 |
| d2 0.8031 | 8. 3812 | 1. 2043 | - widy | - *ids | 0.0037 | 0. 2049 | t.al1 | - $0.0 \pm 5$ | *.0028 | -. $\dagger 041$ | 4.8031 | *.0031 | -. 0.33 | 0.0.0.2 | 0.**32 |
| 838.845 | 0.8474 | 0.2978 | 8.8078 | 8.0778 | 0.6e78 | 0.8078 | E.2843 | 0.4093 | - * | 9. 063 c | 0.0192 | - 4085 | 4.8482 | $0.6{ }^{0}$ | 0.0452 |
| Sn E. We: | 0.205] | - auge | 0.0103 | 4. 8189 | 1.0530 | 8.11:4 | 0.8114 | 0. 0135 | t. 1115 | *.0135 | Hetas | 4.0115 | 4.0135 | 4.1115 | 1135 |
| 850.0040 | -. 033 | 0. Wry | 4.80) | 0. *-8\% | 8.0184 | 3.8105 | 8. 8125 | 0.8.e8 | 6.1308 | a.8188 | 0.4188 | 0.0188 | 4.026 | 6.01\% | atis |

## Commercial Bottom Longline

## 9EE

| YERA : | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 18 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | $16+$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S0 0. xixe | B. 0125 | 8. 8205 | 0. W20 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 3.0011 | 8 | 0.0032 | 4.4840 | 2. 1884 | 0.003 m | 0. 0.58 | 4.4061 | 4.0.02 | 4. Wis | 13.0.04 | 0.0064 |
| B) 8.2rew | 0. del: $^{\text {c }}$ | 6. *86 | 0.0154 | 0.0198 | 0.4385 | 0.0230 | 4.8233 | 0.8213 | 8. 8214 | 0.0214 | 0.8214 | -.0214 | 0. 0214 | 0.0214 | 4. 1214 |
| * \% - 18dx | 4.8045 | 0.0487 | -1.3N25 | B.tul | 0.*15 | *.waz |  | 4. 4.33 | 4.9436 | 0.00*2 | 4.8083 | 8.0083 | 8.8084 | 0. 0.884 | 0.3025 |
| 83 0.83:7 | 8.0428 | 4.0.46 | 8.8446 | 8.3448 | 8.045: | 0.8453 | 0.8479 | 8.0484 | 0.0481 | 8. 8530 | 0.8534 | 6. 6538 | 1.653 | - 0.0530 | 0. 8533 |
| 848.0 , 4 E | 8.0019 | -1.0052 | *. Wots | 8.1135 |  | 408239 | *. 0243 | 8. 8255 | 6.82\% | *.833: | -. 8338 | 0.0336 | 0.0338 | 0. 8336 | 8.0339 |
| $80^{50.003}$ | 8. 20 | 1. 2848 | 8. 2463 |  | 0.1033 | 1. W125 | 8.814 | 0.8146 | *.0.50 | 0. 0151 | 0.8172 | 0.0176 | *.0177 | 6. 0178 |  |



Sport Mod and meal

| Yeq 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | $16^{6+}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 79 1.2941 | 0.6669 | 6.764* | 6.8447 | 3. 8448 | 8. 8843 | 0.8849 | t. 2715 | B. 9398 | 0.9432 | 0.9423 | 4. 9427 | C. 9428 | B. 9449 | - 4.9438 | 9.931 |
| *0.3.3676 | 6.6497 | t. 8370 | 8.837 | 4.8529 | 1. 8543 | d. 8547 | + 655 | 1.8565 | 4.8566 | 4.8350 | * 857\% | 6. 8572 | 0. 8573 | 8. 8575 | 79 |
| 6. $6 .: 193$ | 6.3533 | 4.63) | 8.72e6 | 0.7173 | 6.727 | 8.7exa | 6.7234 | 0.7210 | t.7236 | 0.7238 | a. 280 | 0.7241 | e. 7241 | 6.7241 | . 7243 |
| 828.2537 | 0.5450 | 1.7798 | 1. 8258 | e.428) | 4.8896 | - 6985 | 1.8936 | C. 6957 | 0.835 | 0.8936 | t. 8939 | 4.940 | H. 940 | 0.9001 | * |
| 83 8. 6789 | 0.4133 | 6.5793 | e.6\%3 | 1.6531 | 0.6543 | 4.6544 | 4.6551 | 4. 6572 | -6.678 | 0.65es | 0.6600 | 0.6683 | 6.66\% | 0.6631 | 6. 6614 |
| $848.44 \%$ | 2. 2067 | 8. 4015 | 0.4221 | 0.5842 | 8.5420 | 4.5136 | -5204 | 4.5232 | -.5295 | 4.5297 | 1.5290 | 0.5299 | 8.5304 | U. 5343 | . 53, 5 |
| 854.437 | 8.09st | 8.2510 | e.3893 | 0.4745 | 0.6837 | 4.662) | 6.7281 | 0.7182 | 6.7163 | 0.7285 | 0.7261 | 4. 756 | d. 7565 | 8. 7575 | 0.7374 |

Appendix.
Table 3. Proportion (cumulative) of annual red snapper (Lutjanus campechanus) catches by gear and age group for the western Gulf of Mexico stock.
Commerelal Rod Real
mes

| FEAR | 2 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 13 | $16+$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 790.012 | - 1159 | *. 2392 | 1.2723 | *. 2838 | 0. 2864 | 0. 2865 | 4. 3895 | 0.2982 | 6. 2952 | 4. 1352 | 4. 2952 | -1.352 | 1.235 | 4.2353 | V.295 |
| 03 8.0002 | 0. 2397 | 0.1083 | 0. 1808 | \%.1998 | 0.2994 | 0.2689 | -. $2 * 26$ | 0. $2 \times 36$ | $0.344{ }^{-1}$ | 6. 2046 | 8.2846 | - 0.2846 | 0.2846 | 6. 2847 | 6. 2849 |
| 810.0341 | 1.3042 | 0.0438 | -1142 | 0.1498 | B. 1538 | 0.1513 | 0.1573 | 0. 1573 | -.1574 | U.1564 | 9.1581 | . 1585 | H. 1585 | Ө. 1506 | 0. 1580 |
| B2: \%. And | 0.1022 | -.3282 | 8. 1913 | 4.2254 | -. 2349 | 0.2414 | 0. 2418 | -. 2018 | 0.2429 | 8. 2431 | 6, 2431 | 0.243: | -. 2432 | 1. 2432 | 0.2433 |
| 830.8362 | 0.2377 | -1.2338 | 0. 2339 | 0.2340 | -.235\% | -. 2372 | 4.3554 | 0.2555 | 0. 2555 | -. 2434 | t. 2935 | 0.2935 | 8. 2936 | $0.293 \%$ | 0. 2933 |
| *4.0.625 | 0. 3487 | 0. | - 0.354 | 0.3554 | 8.3811 | 0.3942 | 0.3941 | 0.3985 | 4.4288 | *.428* | 6.4288 | 6. 4289 | 0.4289 | 4.42\% | 0.42\% |
| 350.1040 | d. 1176 | - 24.79 | -. 2554 | *. 28.25 | 0.3014 | U.31* | 6.3211 | 4.3258 | 8.3455 | 0.35\% | 0.35\% | 1. 3572 | 0.3572 | B. 3573 | B. 357 |

Commerclal Trawl

| VEAT | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | B | 9 | 18 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 36+ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 79 9. 4 dx | B. 498 | 8. 8159 | 8. 0171 | d, (1)78 | 0.4178 | 8.12179 | 0.8181 | 0.0183 | 0.8184 | 8.0284 | 4.0284 | 0.0384 | 0.0184 | 0.0184 | 8.8184 |
| ate.ento | 8.2031 | - Wess | 4. d825 | B. 2 297 | - . Wrys |  | -1080 | 4. $\% 10$ | 4,3182 | 0.0182 | Q Hte | 0.81*2 | 0. W\% | 0.030 | - 8142 |
|  | 3.02485 | 4.0034 | 0. W0.77 | 0.6199 | 0.0.0] | 0.010\% | 0.1818 | 0.8184 | 2.0194 | 0.0184 | 8.029 | $0.810^{4}$ | *.8184 | -.8104 | 0.0104 |
| 32 A.tres | 0.0013 | t. *030 | 0.20.35 | D. 1254 | 0. 0468 | 0.0313 | Hwis | 0.6872 | B. ${ }^{\text {col7 }}$ | 4.8478 | 0.0078 | 4.0078 | 4.*78 | 0.6178 | 4.ay8 |
| B3 \%. \%ice: | 0.8127 | ©.8.36 | 0.0136 | 0.0136 | 0.0137 | 8.0138 | 0.8149 | 0.0149 | 8. 8149 | 0.ti7 | 0.0171 | 0.017 | *.0172 | 8. 0172 | 6.8172 |
| 3480.1203 | 0.069 | D. 1261 | *.0193 | 0.0197 | 8. *2. 7 | - ${ }_{\text {ced }}$ | -1. *2ट\% | 1. 8 cez | *. $0_{2} 43$ | 2. $0^{2} 44$ | 0.044 | 4.8245 | 0.045 | *.*245 | 4.0.45 |
| 850.8400 | 2.8844 | 8.00\% | 8.8114 | 8. 8125 | 0.0135 | *.8141 | B. $\mathrm{B}_{14 \mathrm{c}}^{\text {ch }}$ | 0.8146 | 0.0156 | 8.016 | 0.8161 | 0. 0161 | *.0261 | 0.0261 | t. *i62 |

Commerelal Bettom Longline MBE

| Yehnt | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | $36+$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Salcen | 8.8453 | 4.0894 | B. W1.2 | *.1122 | 40124 | 0.0124 | 4.1124 | 4. $12 \times 5$ | *. 4130 | 0.8130 | Q. 0131 | 4.113) | -0131 | 0.0231 | 0.0131 |
| 81.9 .064 | 0.6087 | 0.009 | 0.0206 | 0.12E3 | *. 6271 | 0.0278 | 0.0279 | 4.028 | *.4284 | -.0280 | 8.18230 | 0.8284 | - *20\% | 0.4280 | 4. H2804 |
| 估 0. b0d3 | 4, mexd | 0.0045 | 0.8379 | -.*192 | 6. 1089 | - ** | 0.0120 | *. 1119 | 0.0134 | 0.0138 | 0.0138 | 0,0138 | 0.014] | 0.0143 | 0. 0145 |
| 838.8251 | 0.0367 | 6. 6395 | 0.039 | 0.1396 | 1.0399 | B. $0^{\text {a }}$ \% | 0.0427 | ¢. 05 \% | -.850\% | 0.8587 | 8.6507 | 8. 8587 | C. 8507 | 0.0547 | 0.4537 |
| 440.006 | 8. 0.35 | *. 0257 | 4.0385 | 0.038 | 0.0454 | 1.8 .855 | 8.8455 | -1.8459 | 6. 1579 | U. 4584 | 1.6585 | 8. 2585 | -1.0505 | 0.4585 | - 8585 |
| 85 \%. abet: | 0. W2\% $^{0}$ | 0.8435 | 0. 0617 | 3. 1868 | $0.0 \% 6$ | 8.0782 | 0.0706 | 0.6795 | 4.0933 | *. 8934 | -. 093 | c. 8938 | 0.1933 | B. ${ }^{1931}$ | -. 0932 |

## Commercial Other

HE

| YEAP | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 0 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 204 |  | Wil | W 7 |  | 007 |

## Sport Mod and Reel

ABE

|  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 7 |  |  | $1 \%$ | 11 |  | 13 | 14 | 15 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% 8.1538 | 6.5*11 | 6.6181 | 0.61\% | *.6518 | 3.6649 | $3{ }^{2}$ | 34 | 6.6048 | 13 | 12 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | . 6063 |
|  | 2 | - |  | 0.7110 | 0. | *. | . | t. 7528 | t.75\% | 0. | 4775 | \& 7 | C ITIA | 4.773 |  |
| 13 | 0.618 | 0.7 | 0. | 8.7914 | 6. 7942 | d. | 8.7988 | 6. Bens | C.ters | 4.001 | b.84er | 0.023 | 4.8424 | . 84.26 |  |
| L. 850 | 0.6469 | \$.6063 | 0. 7834 | B.7241 | \%. 7249 | 1 | . | -1. 7332 | 2. 7335 | 4.7337 | 4.7338 | 4.7338 | 133 | 13 |  |
| 0.04\% | 0.472 | 0.6 | 0.6258 | 0. | 6. | * | t. | 0.6368 | 0.6360 | 0.6369 | 0.63 | . 6.63 | 0.6377 | . 6385 |  |
| 0. 0452 | 0 |  | 0. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.4854 | 0,487] | 4. 4873 | 4673 |  |
|  | D. 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.5323 | 0.5323 | 0.5323 | . 5337 |  |

Appendix
Table 4. Estimated annual (age specific) fishing mortality rates for the stochastically derived catches of the eastern Gulf of Mexico red snapper stock ( $M=0.10$ ).

Fishing Mortalities

| Mes | 1979 | 1900 | 1981 | 1962 | 198 | 1984 | 195 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.3554 | 0.1006 | 0.153 | 0.1602 | 0.6043 | 0.2274 | $0.1817^{*}$ |
| 2 | 0.0446 | 0.3046 | 0.3928 | 0.3813 | 0.7642 | 0.5262 | $0.454{ }^{*}$ |
| 3 | 0.5937 | 0.3172 | 0.8525 | 0.4530 | 0.7291 | 0.6017 | 0.6056 |
| 4 | 0.5065 | 0.0196 | 1.2060 | 0.1500 | 0.1232 | 0.2071 | 0.6056 |
| 5 | 1.1103 | 0.1430 | 0.5874 | 0.0393 | 0.339 | 0.3502 | 0.6056 |
| 6 | 0.8250 | 0.3105 | 0.1714 | 1.0467 | 0.0633 | 0.1530 | 0.6056 |
| 7 | 0.0228 | 0.2964 | 0.3952 | 0.3013 | 0.0155 | 0.2216 | 0.6056 |
| 8 | 1.1187 | 0.1062 | 0.1139 | 0.2089 | 1.0600 | 0.6778 | 0.6056 |
| 9 | 1.2472 | 0.3261 | 0.0061 | 0.2492 | 0.4088 | 0.3087 | 0.6056 |
| 10 | 0.6796 | 2.0045 | 0.1953 | 0.3583 | 0.0787 | 1.7427 | 0.6056 |
| 11 | 0.7165 | 0.9008 | 0.1814 | 1.1669 | 0.738 | 0.3113 | 0.6056 |
| 12 | 0.9031 | 0.5267 | 0.5057 | 0.9816 | 1.1359 | 0.0128 | 0.6056 |
| 13 | 0.978 | 0.3400 | 0.0532 | 0.1747 | 0.1699 | 0.2770 | 0.6056 |
| 14 | 0.7293 | 0.4996 | 0.0622 | 0.1730 | 0.7093 | 0.0729 | 0.6056 |
| 15 | 0.7861 | 0.399 | 0.3609 | 0.4400 | 0.4630 | 0.4072 | 0.6056 |

* Starting $F$ determined as the average of ages 1 and 2 to full $F$ over 1979-83.

Appendix
Table 5. Estimated annual (age specific) stock sizes for the stochastically derived catches of the eastern Gulf of Mexico red snapper stock ( $M=0.10$ )

Initial Stock Sizes

| AEE | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3503610 | 2193725 | 194703 | 2709518 | 1679206 | 403183 | 22823 | 0 |
| 2 | 200861 | 2266745 | 1794920 | 1508731 | 2047493 | 830276 | 29062 | 17975 |
| 3 | 737247 | 85est | 1512451 | 1096536 | 932387 | 862825 | 443898 | 166972 |
|  | 349430 | 368420 | 565909 | 53491 | 630782 | 406896 | 427728 | 219202 |
| 5 | 242063 | 190152 | 32688 | 153311 | 45441 | 504604 | 2992\% | 211217 |
| 6 | 369550 | 72164 | 149126 | 164387 | 133378 | 295903 | 321686 | 14796 |
| 7 | 379691 | 146533 | 4786 | 133682 | 5224 | 113286 | 229762 | 150852 |
| 8 | 193231 | 352613 | 9857 | 29173 | 7585 | 45527 | 82129 | 113459 |
| 9 | 149912 | 57122 | 296924 | 79594 | 19773 | 23785 | 21374 | 40556 |
| 10 | 36516 | 30971 | 37302 | 260038 | 56133 | 11808 | 15006 | 10535 |
| 11 | 19504 | 15828 | 12914 | , 2775 | 163173 | 46948 | 1603 | 7005 |
| 12 | 11360 | 6532 | 5318 | 9746 | 782 | 70880 | 31115 | 930 |
| 13 | 469 | 4168 | $43^{3} 9$ | 3175 | 3505 | 273 | 6382 | 1586 |
| 14 | 2118 | 1584 | 2680 | 3911 | 2412 | 253 | 1639 | 31269 |
| 15 | 401 | 924 | 878 | 2281 | 297 | 1074 | 212 | 609 |
| total | 225060 | 4576326 | 6794516 | 6743304 | 4261319 | 362871 | 256205 | 1142763 |

Correlation between observed CPUE and stocksize $=0.9429$

## Appendix

Table 6. Estimated annual (age specific) fishing mortality rates for the stochastically derived catches of the eastern Gulf of Mexico red snapper stock ( $M=0.20$ ).

Fishim Mortalities

| ARE | 1979 | 1900 | $1 \% 1$ | 1988 | 1963 | 1984 | 19\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.2407 | 0.0649 | 0.1103 | 0.1371 | 0.4410 | 0.1449 | 0.1131 |
| 2 | 0.6543 | 0.2709 | 0.2671 | 0.2782 | 0.5768 | 0.3598 | $0.2888{ }^{\text {* }}$ |
| 3 | 0.4167 | 0.2553 | 0.5787 | 0.2960 | 0.5023 | 0.4161 | 0.3770 |
| 4 | 0.3579 | 0.0151 | 0.7966 | 0.0941 | 0.0802 | 0.1346 | 0.3770 |
| 5 | 0.9016 | 0.1007 | 0.4700 | 0.0275 | 0.2095 | 0.2331 | 0.3770 |
| 6 | 0.5411 | 0.2595 | 0.1271 | 0.7716 | 0.0392 | 0.0965 | 0.3770 |
| 7 | 0.0131 | 0.1746 | 0.3121 | 0.2571 | 0.0108 | 0.144 | 0.3770 |
| 8 | 0.9267 | 0.0657 | 0.0680 | 0.2553 | 0.7803 | 0.4738 | 0.3770 |
| 9 | 1.0614 | $0.25 \%$ | 0.0041 | $0.15 \% 5$ | 0.3473 | 0.2040 | 0.3770 |
| 10 | 0.5640 | 0.7663 | 0.1636 | 0.2515 | 0.0503 | 1.3682 | 0.3770 |
| 11 | 0.5194 | 0.7145 | 0.1307 | 0.9775 | 0.4797 | 0.2059 | 0.3770 |
| 12 | 0.6702 | 0.3491 | 0.3766 | 0.6615 | 0.8290 | 0.0080 | 0.3770 |
| 13 | 0.7723 | 0.2329 | 0.0552 | 0.1315 | 0.1023 | 0.1480 | 0.3770 |
| 14 | 0.5389 | 0.3578 | 0.0441 | 0.1220 | 0.5319 | 0.0463 | 0.3770 |
| 15 | 0.6114 | 0.2926 | 0.2589 | $0.32 \% 5$ | 0.3502 | 0.2901 | 0.3770 |

* Starting $F$ determaned as the average of ages 1 and 2 to full $F$ over 1979-83.


## Appendix

Table 7. Estimated annual (age specific) stock sizes for the stochastically derived catches of the eastern Gulf of Mexico red snapper stock ( $M=0.20$ )

Initial Stock Sizes

| AEE | 1979 | 1990 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1965 | 1986 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 4994762 | 3406301 | 2816733 | 3658898 | 2337090 | 637937 | 3884 | 0 |
| 2 | 2743683 | 3150160 | 2606341 | 206532 | 2611960 | 1178381 | 451848 | 28402 |
| 3 | 95967 | 1167623 | 2068033 | 1634901 | 1280334 | 1201154 | 673235 | 278829 |
| 4 | 465212 | 502642 | 753567 | 949250 | 99592 | 634311 | 648711 | 378076 |
|  | 285262 | 277136 | 405378 | 278905 | 707354 | 75294 | 453926 | 364303 |
| 6 | 51829 | 94902 | 205616 | 207432 | 223271 | 46967 | 487882 | 254916 |
| 7 | 725479 | 246271 | 61084 | 148167 | 78512 | 175770 | 348467 | 273965 |
| 8 | 22475 | 506241 | 169329 | 36002 | 95704 | 63509 | 124561 | 195693 |
| O | 170293 | 72846 | 449452 | 127516 | 23684 | 35906 | 32417 | 69951 |
| 10 | 41312 | 48235 | 45998 | 366472 | 90954 | 13701 | 23972 | 18805 |
| 11 | 20524 | 1924 | 18852 | 31978 | 230303 | 70816 | 2856 | 13462 |
| 12 | 14472 | 12431 | 711 | 13165 | 965 | 118242 | 47191 | 1604 |
| 13 | 5649 | 6062 | 7179 | 4352 | 5071 | 3520 | 96056 | 26501 |
| 14 | 2681 | 2137 | 3932 | 5774 | 3110 | 4118 | 2496 | 59938 |
| 15 | 99 | 1205 | 1223 | 3000 | 4112 | 149 | 3219 | 13\% |
| totel | 11097619 | 950396 | \%20929 | 9533718 | 8600428 | 5360912 | 3433651 | 1959254 |

Correlation between observed CPUE and stocksize $=0.9609$

Appendix
Table 8. Estimated annual (age specific) fishing mortality rates for the stochastically derived catches of the eastern Gulf of Mexico red snapper stock ( $M=0.30$ ).

Fishins Mortalities

| MEE | 1979 | 1990 | 1981 | 1982 | 1963 | 1994 | 1985 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.1347 | 0.0341 | 0.0621 | 0.0083 | 0.254 | 0.0718 | 0.0507 |
| 2 | 0.4050 | 0.1234 | 0.1393 | 0.1596 | 0.3488 | 0.1918 | $0.139{ }^{*}$ |
| 3 | 0.2800 | 0.1340 | 0.2989 | 0.1487 | 0.2687 | 0.2247 | 0.1858 |
| 4 | 0.2069 | 0.0098 | 0.3988 | 0.0451 | 0.0404 | 0.0679 | 0.1858 |
| 5 | 0.6712 | 0.0588 | 0.3147 | 0.0099 | 0.1027 | 0.1205 | 0.1858 |
| 6 | 0.2637 | 0.1674 | 0.0788 | 0.4569 | 0.0188 | 0.0493 | 0.1858 |
| 7 | 0.0050 | 0.0766 | 0.2224 | 0.1512 | 0.0059 | 0.0731 | 0.1858 |
| 8 | 0.7037 | 0.0313 | 0.0008 | 0.1715 | 0.4535 | 0.2593 | 0.1858 |
| 9 | 0.7835 | 0.1858 | 0.0021 | 0.0725 | 0.2595 | 0.1048 | 0.1858 |
| 10 | 0.4181 | 0.4672 | 0.1219 | 0.1359 | 0.0248 | 0.6872 | 0.1858 |
| 11 | 0.3026 | 0.4890 | 0.0725 | 0.6979 | 0.2416 | 0.1058 | 0.1858 |
| 12 | 0.4131 | 0.1851 | 0.2356 | 0.3406 | 0.4787 | 0.0039 | 0.1858 |
| 13 | 0.517 | 0.1313 | 0.0187 | 0.0624 | 0.0476 | 0.0750 | 0.1858 |
| 14 | 0.3634 | 0.2153 | 0.0258 | 0.069 | 0.3267 | 0.0229 | 0.1858 |
| 15 | 0.4116 | 0.1793 | 0.1517 | 0.1965 | 0.1692 | 0.1662 | 0.1858 |

* Starting $F$ determined as the average of ages 1 and 2 to full $F$ over 1979-33.

Appendix
Table 9. Estimated annual (age specific) stock sizes for the stochastically derived catches of the eastern Gulf of Mexico red snapper stock ( $M=0.30$ ).

Initial Stock Sizes

| ACE | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0721115 | 6099104 | 5127095 | 6002542 | 370362 | 1303366 | 80420 | 0 |
| 2 | 4149501 | 5646417 | 4939593 | 3565575 | 4082512 | 2142870 | 898540 | 56347 |
| 3 | 1449806 | 2049314 | 3697516 | 3183627 | 254333 | 2133863 | 1310401 | 57913 |
| 4 | 813009 | 805301 | 1327829 | 2031529 | 2032535 | 12764\% | 1262666 | 806165 |
| 5 | 362999 | 489810 | 590743 | 660191 | 1438878 | 1446072 | 885532 | 76799 |
| 6 | 986751 | 13744 | 34145 | 319491 | 484262 | 961803 | 949626 | 543533 |
| 7 | 172021 | 501534 | 86129 | 233792 | 149893 | 352077 | 678266 | 504215 |
| 8 | 281308 | 1268514 | 385317 | 51062 | 148888 | 110380 | 242448 | 417272 |
| 9 | 214683 | 103103 | 910756 | 276991 | 31890 | 69942 | 63097 | 14915 |
| 10 | 54620 | 72650 | 63438 | 673273 | 190721 | 18220 | 46660 | 39818 |
| 11 | 41592 | 26638 | 33734 | - 41600 | 435393 | 137823 | 5558 | 28706 |
| 12 | 21929 | 22767 | 12101 | 23243 | 1535 | 253315 | 91853 | 3419 |
| 13 | 789 | 10748 | 14016 | 7083 | 12248 | 7039 | 186927 | 56509 |
| 14 | 3753 | 3483 | 6963 | 10191 | 4832 | 2652 | 4838 | 114999 |
| 15 | 65 | 2036 | 2000 | 5041 | 7043 | 2562 | 6265 | 2976 |
| totel | 18832308 | 1809788 | 1753677 | 17089051 | 15018914 | 10224501 | 6711199 | 4158065 |

Appendix
Table 10. Estimated annual (age specific) fishing mortality rates for the stochastically derived catches of the wsetern Gulf of Mexico red snapper stock ( $M=0.10$ ).

Fishim Mortalities

| ACE | 1979 | 1980 | 1961 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.1928 | 0.0651 | 0.2991 | 0.0544 | 0.0876 | 0.0483 | 0.0428 |
| 2 | 0.5315 | 0.5264 | 0.9202 | 1.0684 | 1.1023 | 0.4057 | 0.2673 |
| 3 | 0.5299 | 1.075 | 0.6926 | 1.1239 | 0.8509 | 0.7191 | 0.2673 |
| 4 | 0.253 | 0.7674 | 0.9538 | 0.8635 | 0.1198 | 0.6228 | 0.2673 |
| 5 | 0.3250 | 0.1417 | 0.7393 | 1.3401 | 0.0827 | 0.1135 | 0.2673 |
| 6 | 1.0668 | 0.2406 | 0.0714 | 0.2599 | 0.1544 | 0.4421 | 0.2673 |
| 7 | 0.1094 | 0.1853 | 0.0968 | 0.1324 | 0.0997 | 0.8150 | 0.2673 |
| 8 | 0.2076 | 0.1915 | 0.5336 | 0.0137 | 0.2718 | 0.0499 | 0.2673 |
| 9 | 0.7991 | 1.3874 | 0.0378 | $0.89 \%$ | 0.1674 | 0.0625 | 0.2673 |
| 10 | 0.0187 | 1.3515 | 0.5345 | 0.075 | 0.0843 | 0.7476 | 0.2673 |
| 11 | 0.0241 | 2.0924 | 0.3312 | 0.5646 | 3.6672 | 2.6982 | 0.2673 |
| 12 | 0.039 | 0.7259 | 0.6582 | 0.0984 | 0.3434 | 0.9191 | 0.2673 |
| 13 | 1.6974 | 0.1817 | 0.2770 | 0.1030 | 0.2494 | 1.6732 | 0.2673 |
| 14 | 0.3501 | 0.0757 | 0.1204 | 0.2593 | 1.758 | 0.0958 | 0.2673 |
| 15 | 0.4666 | 0.7014 | 0.4164 | 0.4755 | 0.6562 | 0.7466 | 0.2673 |

* Starting F determined as the average of ages 1 and 2 to full F over 1979-83.

Appendix
Table 11. Estimated annual (age specific) stock sizes for the stochastically derived catches of the western Gulf of Mexico red snapper stock ( $M=0.10$ ).

Initial Stock Sizes

| ASE | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 195 | 198 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 4030096 | 2759171 | 5315449 | 4522264 | 4045039 | 2377023 | 3911375 | 0 |
| 2 | 5511253 | 3007720 | 2366932 | 3700312 | 3875353 | 3353247 | 204949 | 3390987 |
| 3 | 2155940 | 287282 | 1607593 | 942476 | 1150267 | 1164578 | 20265! | 1419484 |
| 4 | 986405 | 114835 | 88676 | 727673 | 24774 | 440914 | 513382 | 1400892 |
| 5 | 705876 | 713934 | 463349 | 309124 | 277638 | 198854 | 214017 | 355569 |
| 6 | 121390 | 461490 | 560619 | 20035 | 73236 | 231253 | 160625 | 14828 |
| 7 | 367650 | 37797 | 32827 | 47294 | 146275 | 56788 | 13493 | 111249 |
| 8 | 84176 | 296189 | 28415 | 269623 | 374353 | 119799 | 2774 | 93150 |
| 9 | 110357 | 61887 | 22799 | 15079 | 240651 | 258116 | 103126 | 15753 |
| 10 | 51261 | 4922 | 13983 | 194117 | 5358 | 184190 | 219412 | 7142 |
| 11 | 30451 | 45522 | 1052 | 7414 | 162869 | 4623 | 78916 | 15196 |
| 12 | 8561 | 26899 | 5082 | 6836 | 3014 | 3765 | 282 | 54657 |
| 13 | 21340 | 744 | 1177 | 2881 | 560 | 2448 | 1359 | 195 |
| 14 | 129 | 2096 | 5617 | 8492 | 194 | 3533 | 416 | 941 |
| 15 | 985 | m | 2429 | 4506 | 6049 | 298 | 3250 | 288 |
| total | 14196662 | 11489795 | 12018809 | 1129097 | 10616399 | 8399879 | 9435541 | 7214784 |

## Appendix

Table 12. Estimated annual (age specific) fishing mortality rates for the stochastically derived catches of the western Gulf of Mexico red snapper stock ( $M=0.20$ ).

Fishing Mortalities

| ACE | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | $19 \% 5$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.1542 | 0.0546 | 0.2578 | 0.0460 | 0.0686 | 0.0368 | 0.0371 |
| 2 | 0:4790 | 0.4370 | 0.7837 | 0.9386 | 0.9611 | 0.3360 | 0.2321 |
| 3 | 0.4308 | 0.9640 | 0.573 | 0.8860 | 0.7333 | 0.6092 | 0.2321 |
| 4 | 0.1370 | 0.6085 | 0.8467 | 0.6839 | 0.0900 | 0.5242 | 0.2321 |
| 5 | 0.2156 | 0.089 | 0.5438 | 1.1390 | 0.0551 | 0.0918 | 0.2321 |
| 6 | 0.8911 | 0.1603 | 0.0481 | 0.1791 | 0.127 | 0.3674 | 0.2521 |
| 7 | 0.0799 | 0.1528 | 0.0674 | 0.0935 | 0.0730 | 0.6947 | 0.2321 |
| 8 | 0.179 | 0.1490 | 0.4618 | 0.0104 | 0.2059 | 0.0401 | 0.2321 |
| 9 | 0.6812 | 1.2130 | 0.0318 | 0.7851 | 0.1377 | 0.0503 | 0.2321 |
| 10 | 0.0167 | 1.057 | 0.4493 | 0.0696 | 0.076 | 0.6345 | 0.2321 |
| 11 | 0.0188 | 1.8956 | 0.2300 | 0.4823 | 3.4586 | 2.4765 | 0.2321 |
| 12 | 0.0274 | 0.5634 | 0.5593 | 0.0707 | 0.3047 | 0.7883 | 0.2321 |
| 13 | 1.6033 | 0.1342 | 0.1828 | 0.0914 | $0.18 \%$ | 1.4881 | 0.2321 |
| 14 | 0.2961 | 0.0587 | 0.0947 | 0.2047 | 1.6135 | 0.0774 | 0.2321 |
| 15 | 0.3815 | 0.5888 | 0.3408 | 0.3915 | 0.5697 | 0.6535 | 0.2321 |

* '3tarting $F$ determined as the average of ages 1 and 2 to full $F$ over 1979-83.
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Table 13. Estimated annual (age specific) stock sizes for the stochastically derived catches of ihe western Gulf of Mexico red snapper stock ( $M=0.20$ ).

Initial Stock Sizes

| AEE | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 198 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5187906 | 3486130 | 657724 | 559029 | 5368123 | 3085979 | 4715162 | 0 |
| 2 | 6340036 | 3640440 | 2702564 | 416142 | 4371256 | 4103640 | 2432802 | 3719716 |
| 3 | 2653107 | 3190087 | 1925327 | 1010576 | 1352806 | 136877 | 2400939 | 1579240 |
| 4 | 1617906 | 1411912 | 996048 | 180829 | 341146 | 524135 | 609397 | 155955 |
| 5 | $105 \% 577$ | 1158021 | 629054 | 349717 | 367017 | 235270 | 254043 | 395357 |
| 6 | 140898 | 699269 | 864566 | 29893 | 91665 | 281556 | 1906s6 | 169911 |
| 7 | 520775 | 47318 | 487750 | 674626 | 204655 | 66051 | 159647 | 123770 |
| 8 | 100575 | 393638 | 35250 | 373299 | 502012 | 15563 | 26998 | 103634 |
| 9 | 128502 | 68795 | 27765 | 1715 | 302483 | 334516 | 122413 | 17525 |
| 10 | 60421 | 53235 | 16745 | 220271 | 6406 | 215797 | 260448 | 79464 |
| 11 | 40895 | 46651 | 15145 | 8749 | 168171 | 4858 | 93675 | 169068 |
| 12 | 12978 | 32850 | 598 | 9852 | 442 | 4334 | 334 | 60009 |
| 13 | 25894 | 10358 | 15012 | 2800 | 7516 | 2669 | 1613 | 217 |
| 14 | 1512 | 3897 | 7402 | 10237 | 2092 | 5091 | 493 | 1047 |
| 15 | 12124 | 921 | 3001 | 5013 | 6030 | 341 | 3658 | 320 |
| total | 17901605 | 14242519 | 1453673 | 13621736 | 13076609 | 10411647 | 11272490 | 797365 |

Correlation between observed CPUE and stocksize $=0.7996$

Appendix
Table 14. Estimated annual (age specific) fishing mortality rates for the stochastically derived catches of the western Gulf of Mexico red snapper stock ( $M=0.30$ ).

Fishine Mortalities

| AFE | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.1178 | 0.0432 | 0.2169 | 0.0379 | 0.0522 | 0.0305 | 0.0316 |
| $\because 2$ | 0.4225 | 0.3469 | 0.6379 | 0.8040 | 0.8176 | 0.2717 | 0.1972 |
| 3 | 0.3267 | 0.8474 | 0.4538 | 0.6689 | 0.6091 | 0.5038 | 0.1972 |
| 4 | 0.077 | 0.4485 | 0.7327 | 0.5249 | 0.0658 | 0.4307 | 0.1972 |
| 5 | 0.1330 | 0.0536 | 0.3762 | 0.9401 | 0.0498 | 0.0725 | 0.1972 |
| 6 | 0.7247 | 0.1018 | 0.0309 | 0.1217 | 0.1029 | 0.2979 | 0.1972 |
| 7 | 0.0573 | 0.1236 | 0.0454 | 0.0663 | 0.0533 | 0.5782 | 0.1972 |
| 8 | 0.1521 | 0.1149 | 0.3931 | 0.0076 | 0.1516 | 0.0315 | 0.1972 |
| 9 | 0.5464 | 1.0353 | 0.0265 | 0.6780 | 0.1102 | 0.039 | 0.1972 |
| 10 | 0.0146 | 0.7707 | 0.3669 | 0.0639 | 0.0687 | 0.5258 | 0.1972 |
| 11 | 0.0141 | 1.6914 | 0.1516 | 0.4042 | 3.299 | 2.2421 | 0.1972 |
| 12 | 0.0181 | 0.4557 | 0.4676 | 0.0499 | 0.2658 | 0.6605 | 0.1972 |
| 13 | 1.3098 | 0.0955 | 0.1447 | 0.0000 | 0.1399 | 1.2984 | 0.1972 |
| 14 | 0.2376 | 0.044 | 0.0723 | 0.1728 | 1.4445 | 0.0610 | 0.1972 |
| 15 | 0.3010 | 0.4819 | 0.2720 | 0.3144 | 0.5243 | 0.5618 | 0.1972 |

* Starting $F$ determined as the average of ages 1 and 2 to full $F$ over 1979-83.

Appendix
Table 15. Estimated annual (age specific) stock sizes for the stochastically derived catches of the westerr. Gulf of Mexico red snapper stock ( $M=0.30$ ).

Initial Stock Sizes

| ARE | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 6995697 | 4590648 | 6031809 | 7078277 | 7335896 | 4106292 | 5804927 | 0 |
| 2 | 7424073 | 4606488 | 3256915 | 4789942 | 5048510 | 5157979 | 2950669 | 4166828 |
| 3 | 3492015 | 3604700 | 241238 | 1274962 | 1588114 | 1651230 | 2912023 | 1794690 |
| 4 | 2907307 | 1865971 | 1144358 | 1135114 | 483864 | 639811 | 737119 | 1771184 |
| 5 | 1731229 | 1992728 | 882704 | 407452 | 499495 | 335641 | 308121 | 449535 |
| 6 | 168563 | 1122799 | 1399265 | 448917 | 117896 | 352067 | 231253 | 187409 |
| 7 | 752870 | 60498 | 751301 | 100506 | 29471 | 76001 | 193630 | 140656 |
| 8 | 12912 | 526655 | 39609 | 531862 | 696802 | 206832 | 32745 | 117772 |
| 9 | 157888 | 78204 | 347817 | 19006 | 391025 | 43612 | 148471 | 19916 |
| 10 | 72083 | 67707 | 20574 | 250926 | 7448 | 259453 | 315989 | 90305 |
| 11 | 56900 | 52624 | 23209 | 10539 | 174390 | 5152 | 113616 | 192134 |
| 12 | 20539 | 41562 | 7184 | 1477 | 5212 | 5112 | 405 | 69105 |
| 13 | 26915 | 14943 | 19521 | 3354 | 10423 | 2960 | 1956 | 247 |
| 14 | 1920 | 5881 | 10061 | 12513 | 2280 | 6713 | 589 | 1190 |
| 15 | 1550 | 112 | 3814 | 6934 | 779 | 398 | 4679 | 364 |
| tal | 23946363 | 18632041 | 18550430 | 16990420 | 16663506 | 13252053 | 13758103 | 9001353 |

Correlation between observed CPUE and stocksize $=0.9701$.


[^0]:    Date Source: NVIS, SETC, ESO.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ These investigators made observations on the same fish in some cases.
    ** Computed Chi square value (5.44) significant at $\alpha=0.05$.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Estimates derived using von Bertalanffy growth functions and red snapper weight-length relations developed by Parrack (1986b, Table 4) (1986c, Table 2).

