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## FOREWORD

Fishing and hunting are among the Nation's most popular outdoor sports. The second National Survey of Fishing and Hunting in the United States, covering the calendar year 1960, shows that these traditional American activities are even more significant now to our national economy and way of life than they were in 1955, the year covered by the first survey. The 1960 survey reveals millions of participants who spend billions of dollars, hundreads of millions of days and travel billions of miles to enjoy sport fishing and hunting. Millions of American families take advantage of these outdoor recreational sports. Fishing and hunting are enjoyed by every member of the family -female as well as male; the very young and elderly as well as those in the prime of life.

Our people have turned in ever-increasing numbbers to the healthful out-of-doors. As industrial technology, automation, and other advances have increased wages and shortened the workweek, the time and money available for recreation have contribute to this change. With this additional free
time, a large proportion of our citizens go outdoors and test their sporting skills against the denizens of the deep, the streams and the woods.

It should be noted that the cost of this report and the survey on which it is based was borne entirely from funds derived from excise taxes on sporting arms and ammunition and on certain types of fishing tackle. These funds, except for administrative costs, are allocated to the States for fish and wildlife restoration work. The funds expended for this survey would have been so allocated had they not been used for the survey, made at the request of the States. Consequently, the expenditure of funds on this survey has had no effect whatever on the Federal budget.

The knowledge gained from the 1960 survey is invaluable in administering and planning for the fish and wildlife resources of our Nation. Such knowledge is essential to State and Federal agencies which must manage fish and wildlife populations so as to meet the requirements of our ever-growing human population for sport fishing and hunting.

Washington, D.C.
September 1961


Burcau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife
I'nited States Department of the Interior

## SURVEY HIGHLIGHTS

Fifty million of the 130 million people in this Nation over 12 years old went fishing, hunting. or both, in 1960. This is nearly 2 out of every 5 persons in this age group. Approximately 45 million fished during the year, including many who also hunted. The total who hunted is roughly 20 million, the majority of whom are fishermen as well.

A detailed study of participation in these sports. inclucling types of fishing and hunting, expenditures, mileage traveled and the like, was made of the more active sport fishermen and hunters, who for the most part, were licensed or, if unlicensed, either took part in these sports on several occasions or reported at least a modest expenditure for these activities. This study-the National Survey of Fishing and Hunting-revealed an estimated 30 million sport fishermen or hunters in 1960, some 23 percent of the population 12 years old and over. These more substantial participants reported around 650 million recreation days of fishing and hunting and an expenditure of close to 4 billion dollars on these pastimes. As compared with a similar survey conducted in 1955. the number of these sportsmen had increased
by over 5 million and their expenditures by 1 billion dollars.

The remainder of this report is confined to the results of the detailed study with appropriate comparisons with the 1955 results. A further explanation of the difference between the total number of participants and the substantial participants. with whom this detailed report is concerned. is given in the appendix to this report (see page 70). The two estimates were obtained from different surveys conducted by the U.S. Bureau of the Census. Part of the difference can be attributed to sampling variability and different survey procedures. In the main, however, the difference represents the exclusion from the National Survey of Fishing and Hunting of incidental participants: those who were unlicensed and who fished or hunted on onlv one or two occasions and reported virtually no expenditure. Available data indicate that the sport fishermen and hunters included in the National Survey account for approximately 95 percent of all recreation-days of effort and 99 percent of all expenditures for thesc activities.
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## Sport Fishermen and Hunters and Their Expenditures



## UP!

National statistics on sport fishing and hunting show the same trends as other national data. UP! Personal expenditures on all recreation rose by almost 60 percent in the fifties. Thirty million people spent almost $\$ 4$ billion for the fun of fishing and hunting, during 1960, as compared with 25 million who spent $\$ 3$ billion in 1955.

As a Nation, we play a little more each year. Our work week has grown shorter and shorter during the first half of this century. Perhaps you remember when we worked 60 or more hours a week at the turn of the century. If you are too young to remember, your father, or at least your grandfather, remembers those long-hour workweeks. Now all of us have more time to our-selves-it is no longer rare for us to find a person going on a vacation for a month, especially a person with seniority on the job. Another and newer development in our Nation is that as many as 25 million Americans are "making a living" from retirement income or other sources.

Besides having a greater amount of time for play, we also have an increasing amount of money to spend on pastime activities. Family expenditures account for about two-thirds of all goods and services purchased during a year. As a Nation of consumers we have achieved a level of living above that of subsistence. The family budget calls for less and less spending on "hard" goods. Big items like houses and automobiles, demanded after World War II, have been bought and demand for those items has declined from postwar peaks. Not only have our families shown a trend to shift their buying patterns, but they have more discretionary income-the amount of money left over after necessities have been bought and over which the family can exercise option on other purchases.

Discretionary income accounts for about onethird of our total personal income and will probably increase more in the future. About three-fifths of our families have some discretionary income and many family treasuries are bulging. Personal buying
of necessities like food, clothing, and shelter, has risen moderately during the past few years. This means that discretionary incomes have increased more rapidly and that the families of our Nation are choosing the more esthetic values of life with each advance they make up the rungs of the income ladder. They are interested in these real values and will pay for them. Families are now thinking twice before buying goods and services which they neither need nor desire.


Money spent on vacations has doubled in the postwar period. During the same time, money spent on alcoholic beverages, for example, has increased only by 7 percent. These dollar ballots have not gone unnoticed by the businessman who is devoting a greater amount of effort to satisfying family needs in the recreation field. The manufacturers of sport fishing tackle and of sporting arms and ammunition have taken advantage of new materials and automation to make their wares less expensive and more popular than ever. The new equipment is light enough for children and easy enough for women and the elderly to handle.

Fishing and hunting are popular sport pastimes with the family-Mom, Dad. Sis. and Jr., all take part. Along with the "exploding" growth of our population, sport fishing and hunting are significant ingredients in the growth of expenditures on recreational activitics in our country.



## BASIS AND BACKGROUND

Our Federal and State governments, as well as the various conservation organizations, have become increasingly concerned with the dwindling natural resources of our country. The factors which have made us a rich and advanced industrial Nation have also threatened our invaluable fish and wildlife resources, even to the point of extinction in many areas. Urbanization and industrialization, for instance, have led to water-pollution, which has killed fish and wildlife and resulted in great losses of their natural habitat Intensive planning for and management of our fish and wildlife resources will be required to assure opportunities for fishing and hunting for the more than three-fold increase in the number of fishermen and hunters expected by the close of this century. Conservation agencies have struggled to maintain and to improve these natural resources. Maintenance and improvement of these resources cost money, and the spending of money for these purposes requires justification. One measure of the importance of fish and wildlife resources is personal expenditures on sport fishing and hunting and the significance of their contribution to our national economy.

As a Nation we have spent all too little to protect our valuable fish and wildlife resources. One of the primary sources of financing such protection comes from taxes and fees paid by sport fishermen and hunters for their part in these sport activities. These include excise taxes on sport fishing tackle and sporting arms and ammunition and state license fees. In fiscal year 1960, excise taxes amounted to $\$ 21$ million and state license fees amounted to $\$ 115$ million. Excise taxes provide the source of funds for the Federal Aid in Fish and Wildlife Restoration programs. Under these programs, the funds are made available to the State fish and game departments for fish and wildlife management purposes. This survey is one of the coordinated programs between our Federal and State governments and is financed directly from these Federal Aid funds.

Conservation agencies need comprehensive information provided by this survey for carrying out their individual restoration, management, and other fish and wildlife programs. The International Association of Game, Fish and Conservation Commissioners, which includes the fish and game commissioners and directors of the 50 States and
the Canadian Provinces, requested this survey as well as the similar survey in 1955. This Association recommended that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service carry out this survey similar to the one in $1955^{\circ}$. After approval by the Bureau of the Budget, the Bureau of the Census, the principal datacollection agency of the Federal Government, conducted this second National survey of fishermen and hunters.

## WHAT THE SURVEY MEASURED

The National Survey of Fishing and Hunting measures the amount of money and time spent and the number of trips made by American fishermen and hunters to participate in these sports. It shows the number of these sportsmen by households and by their chief social and economic characteristics-geographic area, population density, age, sex, occupation, and income. In addition, it shows: (1) the number of fresh-water fishermen fishing in man-made ponds and reservoirs, in natural lakes and ponds, and in rivers and streams; (2) the number of salt-water fishermen fishing in the surf, in bays and sounds, in tidal rivers and streams, and in the adjoining sea; (3) the num-
ber of hunters who hunt for big game, small game, waterfowl, and mourning dores; (4) the number of ducks bagged, and (5) expenditures on boats and motors and boat launching, automobile expenditures, expenditures on other types of transportation, other trip expenditures, and daily entrance fees.

Exact comparability was not maintained with 1955 data in all detail due to the addition of a great deal of new information, the desirability of showing certain expenditures separatcly, and other changes since 1955. The most obrious change since 1955 is the addition of two new States, Alaska and Hawaii. In some cases, the classifications used in 1955 were changed for statistical comparability with other data. This is no impairment. Details of these differences are shown, or described, at the back of this booklet, in Appendix C. The net result of these and other changes is that (1) expenditures on food show a greater increase than would have occurred if the 1955 procedures had been used, and (2) expenditures for "Bait, Guide Fees and Other Trip Expenses" are lower than they would have been under the 1955 procedures.



In 1960, \$1 Billion spent on Hunting


## Fresh-Water Fishing



## Salt-Water Fishing, 1955



## Salt-Water Fishing, 1960



## Waterfowl Hunting

## 1955 <br> 1960



## Small Game Hunting

1955

9,822,000
SMALL GAME HUNTERS

SPENT
$\$ 494,033,000$
\$50 each

1960

12,105,000
SMALL GAME HUNTERS

SPENT
\$726,118,000
$\$ 60$ each

## Big Game Hunting <br> 

Fishing and Hunting Households


## Percentage of Households with Fishermen or Hunters
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In 1960

12,938,000 MEN FISHED


One m every four


In 1955 In 1960

## 4,689,000 WOMEN FISHED
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9,675,000 MEN HUNTED


## In 1955

## 418,000 WOMEN

 HUNTEDOne in every I28

|  |
| :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

In 1960

860,000 WOMEN HUNTED

One in every 69


## Trend in Growth of Population and in the Number of

Fishermen and Hunters, 1955-1975


## Percent of Fishermen in Regional Populations



Percent of Hunters in Regional Populations


New England


Percent of Sport Fishermen in Cities, Towns, and Rural Areas


Percent of Hunters in Cities, Towns, and Rural Areas


Sport Fishermen By Age Groups, 1955 and 1960



Hunters By Age Groups, 1955 and 1960

## Total population in millions



## Sport Fishermen Travel By Automobile . . .



## Hunter Travel By Automobile . .


10.4 Billion Miles By Automobile in 1955


### 13.2 Billion Miles By Automobile in 1960



## Fishing and Hunting Licenses, 1955



Fishing and Hunting Licenses, 1960


## 567 Million Days of Recreation in 1955



## 658 Million Days of Recreation in 1960



## Sport Fishermen and Hunters By Income, United States 1960

Percent total number of fishermen and hunters
 have annual incomes of $\$ 7,000$ or less.

## Average Daily Expenditures By Sport Fishermen, 1955 and 1960

Percent of sport fishermen


## Average Daily Expenditures By Hunters, 1955 and 1960

Percent of hunters


## APPENDIX A—STATISTICAL TABLES

Detailed data shown in the following tables do not always add to the totals. Differences are due to the independent rounding of each of the estimates.

## Table 1.-Expenditures of Persons Who Fished and/or Hunted in 1960

The total number of sport fishermen andlor hunters (12 and over) in the United States was 30,435,000.

| Expenditure item | Number of spenders | Percent of all fishermen and/or hunters | Total spent | Average spent per fisherman and/or lunter |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United States total | 7 housands $29,411$ | 96.6 | 7 housands $\$ 3,852,116$ | \$126.57 |
| Food and lodging: |  |  |  |  |
| loodging . . . | 17,431 3,545 | 11.6 | 280,5 89 | 2. 94 |
| Transportation: |  |  |  |  |
| Automobile | 26,158 | 85.9 | 529, 749 | 17. 41 |
| Bus, rail, air, and water | 280 | 0.9 | 14,759 | 48 |
| Auxiliary equipment: |  |  |  |  |
| Boats and boat motors. | 2. 586 | 8. 5 | 881.051 | 28.95 |
|  | 7, 286 | 26.2 | 331.964 | 10. 91 |
| Fishing and hunting equipment. | 21. 243 | 69.8 | 663, 789 | 21. 81 |
| Licenses, tags, and permits: |  |  |  |  |
| Licenses, tags, and permits | 20, 417 | 67.1 | 110,844 | 3. 64 |
| Duck stamps . . . . | * 1. 526 | 5. 0 | 4,575 | . 15 |
| Privilege fees and other: |  |  |  |  |
| Annual lease and privilcge fees. | 921 | 3. 3 | 23, 435 | 77 |
| Daily entrance and privilege fees | 3, 108 | 10. 2 | 49, 670 | 1. 63 |
| Bait, guide fees, and other trip expenses. | 17,655 | 58.0 | 609, 685 | 20.03 |
| Other. . . . . . . . . . . . . | 9,129 | 30.0 | 256,097 | 8. 41 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

[^0]Table 2.-Expenditures of Persons Who Fished in 1960
The potal number of spart fishermen (12 and over) in the United States was 25,323,000.

| Lxpendizure item | Number of spenders | Percent of all fishermen | Total spent | Average spent per fisherman |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United States total | 1 housands $24.287$ | 95.9 | Thousands $\$ 2,690,872$ | \$106. 26 |
| Food and lodging: Food |  | 55.6 |  |  |
| Lodging .... | 14,067 2,782 | 55.6 11.0 | 20x, 612 | 8. 24 2. 45 |
| Transportation: |  |  |  |  |
| Automobile. . | 21.3-8 | 84.4 | 369. 762 | 14.60 |
| Bus, rail, air, and water | 228 | 0.9 | 6. 3 80 | 25 |
| Auxiliary equipment: |  |  |  |  |
| Boats and boat motors. | 2, 460 | 9.7 | 759.627 | 30.00 |
| General. . . | 5,020 | 19.8 | 236, 333 | 9. 33 |
| Fishing equipment. | 15.720 | 62.1 | 308, 326 | 12. 18 |
| Licenses, tags, and permits | 14,402 | 56.9 | 52, 743 | 2. 08 |
| Privilcge fees and other: |  |  |  |  |
| Annual lease and privilege fees | 615 | 2. 4 | 12,934 | 51 |
| Daily entrance and privilege fees | 2, 558 | 10. 1 | 3-4, 543 | 1. 36 |
| Bait, guide fees, and other trip expenses | 17.211 | 68.0 | 572, 596 | 22. 61 |
| Boat launching fees .... | 1. 097 | 4. 3 | 16.279 | . 64 |
| Other. . . . | 3,911 | 15.4 | 50, 489 | 1.99 |

## Table 3.-Expenditures of Fresh-W ater Fishermen in 1960

The total number of fresh-water fishermen (12 and aver) in the United States was $21,677,000$.

| Expenditure item | Number of spenders | Percent of all freshwater fishermen | Total spent | Aver- <br> age <br> spent per fisherman |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United States total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Thousands } \\ & 20,756 \end{aligned}$ | 95.8 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Thousands } \\ \$ 2,064,680 \end{gathered}$ | \$95. 25 |
| Food and lodging: Food. Lodging | 11,560 2,288 | 53.3 10.6 | 152,025 49,378 | 7. 01 2. 28 |
| Transportation: <br> Automobile <br> Bus, rail, air, and water | 18, 350 | 84.7 .5 | 301,470 1,345 | 13. 91 |
| Auxiliary equipment: |  |  |  |  |
| Boats and boat motors. | 1,942 | 9.0 | 584, 550 | 26. 97 |
| General | 4,201 | 19.4 | 216,635 | 9.99 |
| Fishing equipment. | 13,380 | 61.7 | 234, 380 | 10.81 |
| Licenses, tags, and permits | 13,404 | 61.8 | 49,170 | 2. 27 |
| Privilege fees and other: |  |  |  |  |
| Annual lease and privilege fees | 555 | 2.6 | 8,341 | . 39 |
| Daily entrance and privilege fees. | 2,247 | 10.4 | 31,166 | 1. 44 |
| Bait, guide fees, and other trip expenses. | 13, 518 | 62.4 | 390, 470 | 18.01 |
| Boat launching fees. | , 78.4 | 3.6 | 9,723 | . 45 |
| Other . | 3,227 | 14.9 | 36,033 | 1.66 |

## Table 4.-Expenditures of Salt-Water Fishermen in 1960

The total number of salt-water fishermen (12 and over) in the United States was 6,292,000.

| Expenditure item | Number of spenders | Percent of all saltwater fishermen | Total spent | A verage spent per fisherman |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United States total. | Thousands $6,007$ | 95.5 | Thousands $\$ 626,191$ | \$99.52 |
| Food and lodging: |  |  |  |  |
| Food. . . | 3, 657 | 58.1 | 56,705 | 9.01 |
| Lodging | 588 | 9.3 | 12,749 | 2. 03 |
| Transportation: |  |  |  |  |
| Automobile | 4,971 | 79.0 | 68,293 | 10.85 |
| Bus, rail, air, and water | 122 | 1.9 | 5,037 | . 80 |
| A uxiliary equipment: |  |  |  |  |
| Boats and boat motors | 521 | 8. 3 | 175,077 | 27. 83 |
| General. . . | 863 | 13.7 | 19,701 | 3. 13 |
| Fishing equipment . . . . . | 2,894 | 46.0 | 73, 945 | 11. 75 |
| Licenses, tags, and permits. . | 1,235 | 19.6 | 3,575 | . 57 |
| Privilege fees and other: |  |  |  |  |
| Annual lease and privilege fees . . | 58 | - 9 | 4,595 | . 73 |
| Daily entrance and privilege fees | 352 | 5. 6 | 3,379 | 54 |
| Bait, guide fees, and other trip expenses | 5,426 | 86.2 | 182, 127 | 28.95 |
| Bnat launching fees . . . . . . . . . | 312 | 5.0 | 6,555 | 1. 04 |
| Other . . . . . . . . | 624 | 11.0 | 14,457 | 2. 30 |

## Table 5.-Expenditures of Atlantic Coast Salt-Water Fishermen in 1960

The total number of sali-water fishermen (12 and over) on the Atlantic coast was 3,383,000.

| Expenditure item | Number of spenders | Percent of all Atlantic saltwater fishermest | Total spent | I verage spent per fisherman |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United States total. | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Thousands } \\ 3,177 \end{array}$ | 93.9 | Thousands $\$ 346,375$ | \$102. 39 |
| Food and loxtring: |  |  |  |  |
| Food. . | 1. 843 | 54.5 | 32,301 | 9.55 |
| Lodging | 216 | 6.4 | 6, 325 | 1. 87 |
| Transportation: |  |  |  |  |
| Automobile. | 2, 516 | 74.1 | 36,526 | 10. 80 |
| Bus, rail, air, and watcr | 77 | 2.3 | 1,884 | . 56 |
| Auxiliary equipment: |  |  |  |  |
| Boats and boat motors | 269 | 8. 0 | 113,880 | 33. 66 |
| General. | 440 | 13.0 | 8, 465 | 2. 50 |
| Fishing equipment ...... | 1. 455 | 43.0 | 35,465 | 10. 48 |
| Licenses, tags, and permits | 125 | 3.7 | 417 | . 12 |
| Privilege fees and other: |  |  |  |  |
| A nnual lease and privilege fees | 34 | 1. 0 | 2. 782 | 82 |
| Daily entrance and privilege fees | 248 | 7. 3 | 2. 287 | . 68 |
| Bait, guide fees, and other trip expenses | 2. 888 | 85. 4 | 96.577 | 28. 55 |
| Boat launching fees. | 100 | 3. 0 | 4,592 | 1.36 |
| Other | 380 | 11.2 | 4,873 | 1. 44 |

## Table 6.-Expenditures of Gulf Coast Salt-Water Fishermen in 1960

The total number of salt-water fishermen (12 and over) on the Gulf Coast was 1,437,000.

| Expenditure item | Number of spenders | Percent of all Gulf Coast saltwater fishermen | Total spent | A verage <br> spent per fisherman |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United States total | 7 housands 1, 389 | 96.7 | Thourands $5144,857$ | \$100.81 |
| liood and lodging: |  |  |  |  |
| Leoderne | 250 | 17.4 | 4, 249 | 2. 96 |
| Automobile | 1,154 | 80.3 | 18,464 | 12.85 |
| Bus, rail, air, and water | 30 | 2. I | 3,079 | 2. 14 |
| A uxiliary equipment: |  |  |  |  |
| Boats and boat motors | 128 | 8. 9 | 31,037 | 21. 60 |
| General. | 206 | 14.3 | 5, 270 | 3. $6^{7}$ |
| Fishing equipment . . . | 649 | 45. 2 | 18,879 | 13. 14 |
| Licenses, tags, and pernits | 431 | 30.0 | 829 | . 63 |
| Privilege fees and other: |  |  |  |  |
| Annual lease and privilege fees | 1.4 | 1.0 | 1. 800 | 1. 25 |
| Daily entrance and privilege fees | 46 | 3. 2 | 761 | 53 |
| Bait, guide fees, and other trip expenses | 1,250 | 87.0 | 41, 267 | 28. ${ }^{-2}$ |
| Boat launching fees. | 115 | 8. 0 | 1. 392 | , |
| Other | 145 | 10.1 | 5, 975 | 4. 16 |

## Table 7.-Expenditures of Pacific Coast Salt-Water Fishermen in 1960

The total number of salt-water fishermen (12 and over) on the Pacific coast was $1,473,000$.

| Expenditure item | Number of spenders | Percent <br> of all <br> Pacific <br> coast <br> salt- <br> water <br> fisher- <br> men | Total spent | A verage spent per fisherman |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United States total. | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Thousands } \\ 1,442 \end{array}$ | 97.9 | Thousands $\$ 134,961$ | \$91. 62 |
| Faod and lodging: Food | 977 | 66.3 | 12,327 | 8. 37 |
| Lodging. | 120 | 8.1 | 2,175 | 1. 48 |
| Transportation: |  |  |  |  |
| Automobile . | 1. 300 | 88.3 | 13, 303 | 9.03 |
| Bus, rail, air, and water. | 14 | 1.0 | 75 | . 05 |
| Auxiliary equipment: |  |  |  | 20.48 |
| Boats and boat motors . | 125 | 8.5 14.8 | 30,960 5,956 | 20.48 4.04 |
| General | 218 | 14.8 53.8 | 5,956 19,602 | 4.04 13.31 |
| Licenses, tags, and permits. | 680 | 46. 2 | 2, 259 | 1. 53 |
| Privilege fees and other: |  |  |  |  |
| A nnual lease and privilege fees | 10 | 7 | 14 | 01 |
| Daily entrance and privilege fees | 57 | 3. 9 | 329 | 22 |
| Bait, guide fees, and other trip expenses | 1,287 | 87.4 | 44, 282 | 30. 06 |
| Boat launching fees........... | 97 | 6. 6 | , 870 | + 59 |
| Other . . . | 171 | 11.6 | 3,610 | 2. 45 |

## Table 8.-Expenditures of Persons Who Hunted in 1960

The total number of hunters ( 12 and over) in the United States was $14,637,000$.

| Expenditure item | Number of spenders | Percent of all hunters | Total spent | Average spent per hunter |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United States total | Thousands 14,294 | 97.7 | Thousands $\$ 1,161,242$ | \$79.34 |
| Food and lodging: Food | 6, 461 | 44. 1 | 78,252 | 5. 35 |
| Lodging | 918 | 6. 3 | 27,391 | 1. 87 |
| Transportation: |  |  |  |  |
| Automobile. | 12,501 | 85. 4 | 159,987 | 10. 93 |
| Bus, rail, air, and water | 54 | . 4 | 8,379 | 57 |
| Auxiliary equipment: |  |  |  |  |
| Boats and boat motors | 159 | 1. 1 | 121, 423 | 8. 30 |
| General. | 3,875 | 26. 5 | 95,631 | 6. 53 |
| Hunting equipment | 11,450 | 78. 2 | 355, 464 | 24. 29 |
| Licenses, tags, and permits: |  |  |  |  |
| Licenses, tags, and permits. | 10,606 | 72. 5 | 58,098 | 3. 97 |
| Duck stamps . . . . . . . . . . | 1,526 | 10.4 | 4, 575 | . 31 |
| Privilege fees and other: |  |  |  |  |
| A nmual lease and privilege fees | 387 | 2. 6 | 10,500 | 72 |
| Daily entrance and privilege fees $1^{11}$. | 191 | 1. 3 | 7,067 | . 48 |
| Daily entrance and privilege fees JI 2 | 382 | 2.6 | 8,058 | 55 |
| Bait, guide fees, and other trip expenses. | 986 | 6.7 | 37,091 | 2. 53 |
| Dogs . . . . . . . . . . . . | 2,298 | 15.7 | 158,908 | 10.86 |
| Other . | 2,044 | 14.0 | 30,418 | 2. 08 |

[^1]
## Table 9.-Expenditures of Big-Game Hunters in 1960

The tatal number af big-game hunters (12 and over) in the United States was 6,277,000.

| Expenditure item | Number of spenders | Percent of all biggame hunters | Total spent | Average <br> spent <br> per <br> hunter |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United States tutal. | Thousands 6, 156 | 98. 1 | Thousands $\$ 345,694$ | \$55.0) |
| Food and lodging |  |  |  |  |
| Food. . | 3. 25.4 | 51.8 | 29. 403 | 4. 68 |
| Lodging | 645 | 10.3 | 15.900 | 2. 53 |
| Transportation: |  |  |  |  |
| Automobile | 5, 811 | 92.6 | 54, 488 | 8. 68 |
| Bus, rail, air, and water | 12 | 2 | 51 | 01 |
| Auxiliary equipment: |  |  |  |  |
| Boats and boat motors . | 40 | . 6 | 21, 560 | 3. 43 |
| General | 1,714 | 27. 3 | 50,625 | 8.07 |
| Hunting equipment | 3. 490 | 55.6 | 93, 260 | 14.86 |
| Licenses, tags, and permits | 4, 315 | 68.7 | 29, 775 | 4. 74 |
| Privilege fees and other: |  |  |  |  |
| Annual lease and privilege fees | 220 | 3. 5 | 3. 173 | 51 |
| Daily entrance and privilege fees I ${ }^{1}$. | 45 | 7 | 621 | 10 |
| Daily entrance and privilege fees 112. | 161 | 2. 6 | 3, 274 | 52 |
| Bait, guide fees, and other trip expenses | 465 | 7. 4 | 25,682 | 4. 09 |
| Dogs . . . . . | 115 | 1. 8 | 8,376 | 1. 33 |
| Other | 610 | 9.7 | ?,507 | 1. 51 |

[^2]
## Table 10.-Expenditures of Small-Game Hunters in 1960

The total number af small-game hunters (12 and aver) in the United States was 12,105,000.

| Expenditure item | Number of spenders | Percent of all smallgame hunters | Total spent | Average spent per minter |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United Scates total | Theusands 11. ${ }^{-10}$ | 96.7 | Thowands $\$ 726.118$ | S59. 98 |
| Food and lodging: Food Lodging | 4. 101 | 33.9 2.8 | +3.434 9.535 | 3. 59 |
| Transportation: |  |  |  |  |
| Automotrile | 9. 761 | 80. 6 | 86, 33 | - ${ }^{-}$ |
| Bus, rail, air, and water | 29) | 2 | 7. 33.8 | 61 |
| Auxiliary equipment: |  |  |  |  |
| Boats and boat motors | 65 | 5 | 95, 235 | 7.87 |
| Genera! | 2. 117 | 1-. 5 | 38.63\% | 3. 19 |
| Hunting equipment | 9.102 | 5. 2 | 238.629 | 19.71 |
| Licenses, tags, and permits | $\bigcirc .085$ | 58.5 | 26. 126 | 2. 16 |
| Privilege fees and other: |  |  |  |  |
| Annual lease and privilege fees | 85 | 7 | 5. 492 | 45 |
| Daily entrance and privilege fees 11. | 103 | . 7 | 4. 221 | 41 |
| Daily entranse and privilege fees 1I ${ }^{2}$ | 139 | 1.1 | 1. ${ }^{1} 16$ | 14 |
| Bait, guide fees, and other trip expenses | 389 | 3. 2 | 7. 257 | . 60 |
| Dogs . | 2. 135 | 17.6 | 145.002 | 11.98 |
| Other | 1. 266 | 10. 5 | 16.063 | 1. 33 |

[^3]
## Table 11.-Expenditures of Waterfowl Hunters in 1960

The total number of waterfowl hunters (12 and over) in the United States was 1,955,000.

| Expenditure item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { spender } \end{aligned}$ | Percent of all waterfowl hunters | Total spent | Avcrage spent hunter |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United States total | Thousands 1, 900 | 97. 2 | Thousands $\$ 89,431$ | \$45. 74 |
| Food and lodging: |  |  |  |  |
| Food. | 763 | 39.0 | 5, 416 | 2. 77 |
| Lodging | 27 | 1. 4 | 1, 253 |  |
| Transportation: |  |  |  |  |
| Automobile........ Bus, rail, air, and water | 1,777 18 | 90.9 .9 | 18,765 990 | 9.60 .51 |
| Auxiliary equipment: |  |  |  |  |
| Boats and boat motors. | 54 | 2.8 | 4. 629 | 2. 37 |
| General. | 271 | 13.9 | 6. 369 | 3. 26 |
| Hunting equipment. | 859 | 43.9 | 23,573 | 12. 06 |
| Licenses, tags, and permits: |  |  |  |  |
| Licenses, tags, and permits | 571 | 29.2 | 2. 199 | 1. 12 |
| Duck stamps...... | 1,526 | 78.1 | 4. 575 | 2. 34 |
| Privilege fees and other: |  |  |  |  |
| Annual lease and privilege fees. | 89 | 4.6 | 1,836 | 94 |
| Daily entrance and privilege fees | 48 | 2. 5 | 1,526 | 78 |
| Daily entrance and privilege fees 112 | 98 | 5.0 | 3.070 | 1. 57 |
| Bait, guide fees, and other trip expenses. | 250 | 12.8 | 4, 151 | 2. 12 |
| Dogs....... . . | 54 | 2. 8 | 5,532 | 2. 83 |
| Other | 193 | 9. 9 | 4,848 | 2. 48 |

${ }^{1}$ Daily fees for hunting on commercially operated preserves.
${ }^{2}$ Daily fees for hunting on wild lands.

## Table 12.-Average Recreation-Day Expenditures by Sport Fishermen and Hunters in $1955{ }^{1}$



## Table 13.-Average Recreation-Day Expenditures <br> by Sport Fishermen and Hunters in 1960

| Recreation-day expenditures | Fishermen |  |  | Hunters |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Persons |  | Recrea-tiondays | Persons |  | Recrea-tiondays |
|  | Number | Percent |  | Number | Percent |  |
| United States total. | Thousands 25, 323 | 100.0 | Thousands 465,769 | Thousands 14,637 | 100.0 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Thou- } \\ & \text { sunds } \\ & 192,539 \end{aligned}$ |
| \$0.00 | 1,830 | 7. 2 | 10,306 | 490 | 3. 3 | 1,542 |
| \$0.01-\$0.49 | 1.738 | 6.9 | 51,063 | 739 | 5.0 | 1),603 |
| \$0.50-\$0.99 | 1.913 | 7.6 | 47,684 | 1,159 | 7.9 | 23, 130 |
| \$1.00-\$1.49 | 2, 151 | 8. 5 | 42, 158 | 1,212 | 8.3 | 16,832 |
| \$1.50-\$1.99 | 1, 485 | 5.9 | 35,717 | 912 | 6.2 | 14, 147 |
| \$2.00-\$2.49 | 1,795 | 7. 1 | 34.671 | 1.062 | 7. 3 | 13.736 |
| \$2.50-\$2.99 | 1,190 | 4.7 | 25,009 | 644 | 4. 4 | 9, 575 |
| \$3.00-\$3.99 | 2,484 | 9.8 | 47,715 | 1,468 | 10.0 | 19,498 |
| \$4.00-\$4.99 | 1,904 | 7.5 | 39, 183 | 1. 141 | 7.8 | 15,814 |
| \$5.00-\$5.99 | 1,576 | 6. 2 | 29, 148 | 773 | 5. 3 | 8, 607 |
| \$6.00-\$6.99 | 1,211 | 4. 8 | 19,773 | 696 | 4. 8 | 7. 844 |
| \$7.00-\$7.99 | 1,053 | 4. 2 | 15,955 | 547 | 3. 7 | 6, 661 |
| \$8.00-\$8.99 | 766 | 3. 0 | 10,042 | 386 | 2.6 | 4, 557 |
| \$9.00-\$9.99 | 501 | 2. 0 | 8,591 | 368 | 2. 5 | 4. 400 |
| \$10.00-\$14.99 | 1,650 | 6.5 | 22,203 | 1. 133 | 7.7 | 11,623 |
| \$15.00-\$24.99 | 1,131 | 4. 5 | 14.968 | 967 | 6.6 | 8,394 |
| \$25.00-\$99.99 | 716 | 2. 8 | 9,394 | 847 | 5.8 | 5,969 |
| \$100.00 and over | 225 | 0.9 | 2,191 | 94 | 0.6 | 608 |

## United States Regional Map



Table 14.-Number of Households With Persons 12 Years Old and Older Who Fished and Hunted in 1960

| Grouping | Total number of households in United States |  | Total households with fishermen and or hunters |  | Houscholds with fishermen and hunters |  | 1 louscholds with fishermen only |  | Total households with fishermen |  | IIouseholds with hunters (onl) |  | Total houscholds with hunters |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| United States total | Thousands <br> 53,774 | 100.0 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Thousands } \\ & 19,621 \end{aligned}$ | 36. 5 | Thousands <br> 7. 801 | 14.5 | Thousands <br> 8. 290 | 15.4 | $\begin{gathered} 7 \text { housands } \\ 16,021 \end{gathered}$ | 29.9 | 7 housands $3,530$ | 6.6 | 7 housands 11,331 | 21.1 |
| Census geographic divisions: New England | 3, 416 | 100.0 | 92.4 | 27.0 | 295 | 8.6 | 510 | 14.9 | 805 | 23.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Middle Atlantic | 10, 878 | 100.0 | 2. 48.4 | 22. 8 | 771 | 7.1 | 1. 054 | 14.7 | 1.825 | 13.6 | 659 | 3. 5 | 1,414 419 | 12. 13 |
| East North Central. | 10. 852 | 100. 0 | 3. 990 | 36.8 | 1.628 | 15.0 | T. 623 | 15.0 | 3. 251 | 30.0 | 7.410 | 6.8 | 2,368 | 21.8 |
| West North Central | 4,136 | 100. 0 | 2. 065 | 49.9 | 220 | 22.2 | 809 | 19.6 | 1, 729 | 41.8 | 337 | 8.1 | 1. 257 | 30. |
| South A tlantic | 7.064 | 100.0 | 2. 807 | 39.7 | 1, 105 | 156 | 1. 23.4 | 17.5 | 2. 339 | 33.1 | 468 | 6.6 | 1. 573 | 22.3 |
| East South Central. | 3,722 | 100.0 | 1, 740 | 46.7 | $8+2$ | 22. 6 | 561 | 15. 1 | 1, 4013 | 37.7 | 337 | $9.1)$ | 1.179 | 31.7 |
| West South Central | 4,788 | 100.0 | 2. 258 | 47.2 | 987 | 20.6 | 871 | 18. 2 | 1. 858 | 38.8 | 400 | 8.4 | 1,387 | 29.1 |
| Mountain. | 2, 260 | 100. 0 | 1,020 | 45.1 | 566 | 25.0 | 283 | 12. 5 | 849 | 37.5 | 170 | 7. 5 | $\bigcirc 36$ | 32.0 |
| Pasific. | 6,654 | 100.0 | 2,333 | 35. 1 | 687 | 10.3 | 1,346 | 20. 2 | 2. 033 | 30.6 | 299 | 4.5 | 986 | 14.8 |
| Population-density: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Big cities. | 9.390 | 100. 0 | 1. 612 | 17.2 | 366 | 3.9 | 1. 091 | 11.6 |  | 15. 5 | 155 | 1.7 | 521 | 5. 5 |
| Small cities and suburbs. | 14, 772 | 100.0 | 4. 195 | 28.4 | 1,277 | 8.6 | 2. 396 | 16.2 | 3.673 | 24.9 | 521 | 3.5 | 1. 798 | 12. 2 |
| Towns. | 9,044 | 100.0 | 3. 542 | 39. 2 | 1, 526 | 16.9 | 1,403 | 15.5 | 2. 929 | 32.4 | 613 | 6. 8 | 2. 139 | 23.7 |
| Rural areas. | 20. 564 | 100.0 | 10, 273 | 50.0 | 4,632 | 22. 5 | 3. 399 | 16.5 | 8. 031 | 39.3 | 2, 241 | 10.9 | 6,873 | 33.4 |

[^4]
## Table 15.-Number of Persons 12 Years Old and Older Who Fished and Hunted in 1960

| Grouping | Total number of persons 12 and over in United States |  | Total persons who fished and/or hunted |  | Persons who fished and hunted |  | Persons who fished on! y |  | Total persons who fished |  | Persons who hunted only |  | Total persons who hunted |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| United States total. | Thousands $131.226$ | 100.0 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Thousands } \\ 30,435 \\ \hline \end{array}\right.$ | 23. 2 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Thousands } \\ 9,525 \end{gathered}$ | 7.3 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Thousands } \\ 15,798 \end{gathered}$ | 12.0 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Thousands } \\ 25,323 \end{gathered}$ | 19.3 | Thousands 5, 112 | 3.9 | Thousands $14,637$ | 11.2 |
| Census geographic divisions: New England | 8,349 | 100.0 | 1,368 | 16.4 | 354 | 4. 2 | 851 | 10. 2 | 1. 205 | 14.4 | 163 | 2.0 | 517 | 6. 2 |
| Middle Atlantic | 26. 493 | 100.0 | 3, 432 | 13.0 | 860 | 3.2 | 1,709 | 6. 5 | 2, 569 | 9.7 | 863 | 3. 3 | 1,723 | 6. 5 |
| East North Central | 26, 833 | 100.0 | 6. 316 | 23.5 | 1,986 | 7. 4 | 3. 331 | 12.4 | 5. 317 | 19.8 | 999 | 3.7 | 2. 985 | 11.1 |
| West North Central | 10.149 | 100.0 | 3,383 | 33.3 | 1, 181 | 11.6 | 1. 674 | 16. 5 | 2, 855 | 28.1 | 528 | 5. 2 | 1,709 | 16.8 |
| South Atlantic | 17,798 | 100.0 | 4,423 | 24.9 | 1,317 | 7.4 | 2. 378 | 13.4 | 3. 695 | 20.8 | 728 | 4. 1 | 2,045 | 11.5 |
| East South Central | 9.277 | 100.0 | 2,778 | 29.9 | - 939 | 10.1 | 1. 268 | 13.7 | 2, 207 | 23.8 | 571 | 6. 2 | 1, 510 | 16.3 |
| West South Central. | 11,837 | 100.0 | 3, 666 | 31.0 | 1, 217 | 10.3 | 1,916 | 16.2 | 3, 133 | 26.5 | 533 | 4. 5 | 1,750 | 14.8 |
| Mountain | 5, 222 | 100.0 | 1. 646 | 31.5 | 846 | 16.2 | 526 | 10.1 | 1. 372 | 26.3 | 27. | 5. 2 | 1, 120 | 21.4 |
| Pacific | 15. 268 | 100.0 | 3,422 | 22. 4 | 828 | 5. 4 | 2,143 | 14. 0 | 2, 971 | 19.5 | 451 | 3. 0 | 1,279 | 8.4 |
| Population-density: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Big cities. Small cities and suburb | 22,059 35,358 | 100.0 100.0 | 2,365 6,376 | 10.7 18.0 | 1. 4374 | 2. 0 4.2 | 1.730 4.182 | 7.8 11.8 | 2, 656 | 9.8 16.0 | 203 720 | 0.9 2.0 | 2. $\begin{array}{r}635 \\ 2 .\end{array}$ | 2. 9 |
| Towns. | 21. 147 | 100.0 | 5,334 | 25. 2 | 1, 861 | 8.8 | 2,667 | 12.6 | 4,528 | 21.4 | 806 | 3.8 | 2. 667 | 12.6 |
| Rural areas. | 52. 662 | 100.0 | 16,359 | 31.1 | 5,758 | 10.9 | 7, 218 | 13.7 | 12,976 | 24. 6 | 3, 383 | 6. 4 | 9, 141 | 17.4 |
| Sex: Men. | 62, 732 | 100.0 | 23, 171 | 36.9 | 8, 820 | 14.1 | 9, 559 | 15.2 | 18,379 | 29.3 | 4, 792 | 7.6 | 13,612 | 21.7 |
| Women | 68, 494 | 100. 0 | 7. 264 | 10.6 | 705 | 1.0 | 6, 239 | 9.1 | 6,944 | 10.1 | 320 | 0.5 | 1,025 | 1.5 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Age groups: } \\ & 12-15 \text { years. } \end{aligned}$ | 13,210 | 100.0 | 4. 118 | 31.2 | 1,212 | 9.2 | 2, 505 | 19.0 | 3, 717 | 28.1 | 401 | 3.0 | 1,613 | 12.2 |
| 16-17 years. | 5,548 | 100.0 | 1. 577 | 28.4 | - 592 | 10.7 | 581 | 10.5 | 1, 173 | 21.1 | 404 | 7.3 | . 996 | 18.0 |
| 18-24 years | 15, 216 | 100.0 | 3, 838 | 25. 2 | 1.443 | 9.5 | 1. 509 | 9.9 | 2, 952 | 19.4 | 886 | 5. 8 | 2, 329 | 15.3 |
| 25-34 years. | 21,929 | 100.0 | 5,876 | 26.8 | 1,991 | 9.1 | 2,825 | 12.9 | 4, 816 | 22.0 | 1. 060 | 4. 8 | 3. 051 | 13.9 |
| 35-44 years. | 23, 523 | 100.0 | 6, 097 | 25. 9 | 1. 868 | 7. 9 | 3. 207 | 13.6 | 5, 075 | 21.6 | 1. 022 | 4. 3 | 2, 890 | 12. 3 |
| 45-64 years. | 36, 287 | 100.0 | 7. 378 | 20. 3 | 2. 062 | 5. 7 | 4. 221 | 11.6 | 6, 283 | 17.3 | 1, 095 | 3. 0 | 3. 157 | 8.7 |
| 65 years and over | 15, 513 | 100.0 | 1, 549 | 10.0 | 359 | 2.3 | 948 | 6.1 | 1,307 | 8.4 | 242 | 1. 6 | 601 | 3. 9 |

[^5] pling vartability

Table 16. -Number of Persons Who Fished in Fresh Water and in Salt Water in 1960


[^6] plink varrabulity.

Table 17.-Number of Persons Who Hunted Big Game, Small Game, and Waterfowl in 1960

| Grouping | Total number of persons 12 and over in United States |  | Total persons who hunted |  | Hunted big game |  | Hunted small game |  | Hunted waterfowl |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| United States total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Thousands } \\ 131,226 \end{gathered}$ | 100.0 | Thousands 14,637 | 11.2 | Thousands $6.277$ | 4. 8 | Thousands $12,105$ | 9. 2 | Thousands 1,955 | 1.5 |
| Scx: | 62, 732 | 100.0 | 13,612 | 21.7 | 5,809 | 9.3 | 11,396 | 18. 2 | 1,837 | 2.9 |
| Women | 68, 494 | 100.0 | 1,025 | 1. 5 | 468 | 7 | 709 | 1.0 | 118 | . 2 |
| Age: 15 years |  |  |  | 12.2 | 472 | 3.6 | 1,482 | 11.2 | 185 | 1. 4 |
| 12-15 years. $16-17$ years. | 13,210 5,548 | 100.0 | 1,996 | 18.0 | 359 | 6.5 | 1, 919 | 16.6 | 161 | 2.9 |
| 18-24 years. | 15,216 | 100.0 | 2,329 | 15.3 | 907 | 6. 0 | 2. 018 | 13.3 | 328 | 2. 2 |
| 25-34 years. | 21,929 | 100.0 | 3. 051 | 13.9 | 1,474 | 6.7 | 2, 458 | 11.2 | 393 | 1. 8 |
| 35-44 years. | 23, 523 | 100.0 | 2. 890 | 12.3 | 1. 315 | 5.6 | 2, 272 | 9.7 | 394 | 1. 7 |
| 45-64 years. | 36, 287 | 100.0 | 3. 157 | 8.7 | 1,464 | 4.0 | 2, 519 | 6.9 | 434 | 1. 2 |
| 65 years and over | 15,513 | 100.0 | 601 | 3.9 | 286 | 1.8 | 437 | 2. 8 | 59 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^7] pling variability

Table 18. -Fresh-Water Fishermen by Type of Waters Fished in Most Often and Geographic Division in 1960


Table 19.-Salt-Water Fishermen by Major Coast and Type of Fishing in 1960

| Type of fishing | Total number salt-water fishermen in United States |  | Atlantic coast |  | Gulf coast |  | Pacific coast |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| United States total | Thousands $6,292$ | 100.0 | Thousands 3. 383 | 100.0 | Thousands $1,437$ | 100.0 | Thousands $1,473$ | 100.0 |
| Surf fishing. | 1,652 | 26.3 | 821 | 24.3 | 442 | 30.8 | 389 | 26. 4 |
| Bay and sound fishing | 3, 507 | 55.7 | 1,947 | 57.6 | 847 | 58.9 | 713 | 48.4 |
| Tidal river and stream fishing | 1,567 | 24.9 | 959 | 28.3 | 357 | 24.8 | 251 | 17.0 |
| Ocean fishing. . . . . . . . . . | 3, 48.4 | 55.4 | 1,813 | 53.6 | 745 | 51.8 | 926 | 62.9 |

Table 20.-Number of Persons Who Took Specified Numbers of Fishing or Hunting Trips in 1960

| Number of trips | Persons who fished and/or hunted |  | Persons who fished |  | Persons who hunted |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| United States total | Thousands 30, 435 | 100.0 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 7hotr- } \\ \text { sands } \\ 25,323 \end{gathered}$ | 100.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \text { hou- } \\ & \text { sands } \\ & 14.637 \end{aligned}$ | 100.0 |
| Once | 3,841 | 12.6 | 3,734 | 14.7 | 2,079 | 14.2 |
| Twice | 2,502 | 8. 2 | 2, 391 | 9.4 | 1,296 | 8. 9 |
| 3 times. | 2,148 | 7.1 | 2,119 | 8. 4 | 1, 191 | 8.1 |
| 4 or 5 times. | 3,122 | 10.3 | 2. 965 | 11.7 | 2,021 | 13.8 |
| 6 to 10 times | 5,247 | 17.2 | 4. 418 | $1-.4$ | 2.900 | 19.8 |
| 11 to 15 times. | 3, 227 | 10.6 | 2, 731 | 10.8 | 1,688 | 11.5 |
| 16 to 25 times | 3,624 | 11.9 | 2,627 | 10. 4 | 1, 665 | 11. 4 |
| 26 to 50 times. | 3,900 | 12.8 | 2,623 | 10. 4 | 1, 361 | 9. 3 |
| 51 to 100 times. | 1. 910 | 6. 3 | 1,031 | 4.1 | 35.3 | 2. 4 |
| 101 to 199 times. | 725 | 2. 4 | 564 | 2. 2 | 65 | . 4 |
| 200 times and over | 188 | . 6 | $11^{4}$ | . 5 | 18 | . 1 |

Table 21.-Number of Persons Who Traveled Specified Distances on Fishing or Hunting Trips in 1960

| Distance traveled | Persons who fished |  | l'ersons who hunted |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| L'nited States total | Thousands $25.323$ | 100.0 | 7 housends $14.637$ | 100.0 |
| Less than 1 mile. | 1.961 | 7.7 | 350 | 2. 4 |
| 1-99 miles . | 6.826 | 27.1 | 4. 586 | 31.3 |
| 100-249 miles | 3, 988 | 15.8 | 2, $7-79$ | 19.0 |
| 250-499 miles | 3. 322 | 13. 1 | 2.430 | 16.6 |
| 500-749 miles | 2, 188 | 8. 6 | 1. 418 | ?. 7 |
| 750 999 miles. | 1,551 | 6. 1 | 783 | 5. 3 |
| 1,000-1,499 miles | 1,692 | 6.7 | 9.48 | 6. 5 |
| 1,500-1,999 miles | $1,0^{-4}$ | 4. 2 | 557 | 3. 8 |
| 2,000-2,999 miles | 1, $1^{-1}$ | 4.6 | 374 | 2. 6 |
| 3,000-4,999 miles | 1,056 | 4. 2 | 302 | 2. 1 |
| 5,000 miles and over | 492 | 1.9 | 111 | 8 |

## Table 22.-Persons Who Took Fishing or Hunting Trips Lasting More Than 1 Day in 1960

| Grouping | Total number of persons 12 and over in United States |  | Fished on trips lasting more than I day |  | Hunted on trips lasting more than 1 day |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| United States total | Thousands $131,226$ | 100. 0 | Thousands $8,052$ | 6.1 | Thousands $3,305$ | 2. 5 |
| Census geographic divisions: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New England. | 8,349 26,493 | 100.0 100.0 | 313 496 | 3.7 1.9 | 84 273 | 1.0 |
| East North Central. | 26, 833 | 100.0 | 1,877 | 7.0 | 796 | 3. 0 |
| West North Central. | 10,149 | 100.0 | 1,169 | 11.5 | 381 | 3.8 |
| South Atlantic. | 17,798 | 100.0 | 696 | 3. 9 | 257 | 1. 7 |
| East South Cential. | 9. 277 | 100.0 | 488 | 5. 3 | 125 | 1. 3 |
| West South Central. | 11,837 | 100.0 | 1,002 | 8. 5 | 333 | 2. 8 |
| Mountain. | 5,222 | 100.0 | 799 | 15. 3 | 41.4 | 7.9 |
| Pacific. | 15,268 | 100.0 | 1,210 | 7.9 | 641 | 4. 2 |
| Population-density: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Big cities ... | 22, 059 | 100.0 | 799 | 3.6 | 273 | 1. 2 |
| Small cities and suburbs | 35, 358 | 100.0 | 2, 346 | 6.6 | 731 | 2.1 |
| Towns. . . | 21, 147 | 100.0 | 1, 343 | 6. 4 | 607 | 2. 9 |
| Rural areas.. | 52,662 | 100.0 | 3, 564 | 6. 8 | 1,692 | 3.2 |
| Sex: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Women. | 68, 494 | 100.0 | 2, 130 | 3. 1 | 3, 277 | . 4 |
| Age: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12-15 years. | 13,210 | 100.0 | 1. 058 | 8. 0 | 209 | 1. 6 |
| 16-17 years. | 5. 548 | 100.0 | 304 | 5. 5 | 155 | 2. 8 |
| 18-24 years....... | 15,216 | 100.0 | 770 | 5. 1 | 433 | 2.8 |
| 25-34 years....... | 21, 929 | 100. 0 | 1. 631 | 7.4 | 789 | 3. 6 |
| 35-44 years....... | 23, 523 | 100.0 | 1.728 | 7.3 | 761 | 3. 2 |
| 45-64 years....... | 36, 287 | 100.0 | 2. 250 | 6. 2 | 832 | 2.3 |
| 65 years and over.. | 15, 513 | 100.0 | 311 | 2.0 | 126 | - 8 |

 pling variability.

Table 23.-State Fishing and Hunting License Holders in 1960

| Type fishing and hunting | Total number 12 years old and older in L'nited States |  | Liuensed |  | U'nlicensed |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Total fishermen | Thousands $25,323$ | 100.0 | 7 housands 15.339 | 60.6 | I housands 9. 984 | 39. 4 |
| Fished in fresh water | 21,677 | 100.0 | 14.476 | 66.8 | 7. 200 | 33.2 |
| Fished in salt water. | 6. 292 | 100.0 | 2,732 | 43.4 | 3, 561 | 56.6 |
| Total hunters | 14,637 | 100.0 | 11,878 | 81.1 | 2,761 | 18.9 |
| Hunted big game | 6. 277 | 100.0 | 5.826 | 92.8 | 454 | 7.2 |
| Ilunted small game | 12,105 | 100.0 | 9, 545 | 78.9 | 2. 561 | 21.2 |
| Hunted waterfowl. | 1,955 | 100.0 | 1,772 | 90.6 | 181 | 9. 3 |

Table 24.-Mourning Dove Hunters in 1960 by Geographic Region of Residence of Hunter


Table 25.-Number of Persons 14 Years Old and Over in the Labor Force Who Fished and Hunted During 1960 by Maior Occupation Group

| Major occupation group | Total persons in the labor force in United States |  | Total persons who fished and/or hunted |  | Persons who fished and hunted |  | Persons who fished only |  | Total persons who fished |  | Persons who hunted only |  | Total persons who hunted |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| United States total | Thousands 70, 0.43 | 100.0 | Thousands $20,419$ | 29. 2 | Thousands 7, 254 | 10.4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Thousands } \\ 9.086 \end{gathered}$ | 13.0 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Thousands } \\ 16,340 \end{gathered}$ | 23. 3 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Thousands } \\ 4,079 \end{gathered}$ | 5.8 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Thousands } \\ 11,333 \end{gathered}\right.$ | 16.2 |
| Professional, technical, and kindred workers. | 7,682 | 100. 0 | 2, 180 | 28. 4 | 682 | 8. 9 | 1. 294 | 16. 8 | 1,976 | 25.7 | 204 | 2.7 | 886 | 11.5 |
| Farmers and farm managers . . . . . . | 2, 824 | 100.0 | 1.358 | 48.1 | 586 | 20.8 | 311 | 11.0 | 897 | 31.8 | 461 | 16.3 | 1,047 | 37.1 |
| Managers, officials, and proprietors, except farm | 7.300 | 100.0 | 2,513 | 34. 4 | 885 | 12. 1 | 1. 200 | 16. 4 | 2, 085 | 28.6 | 428 | 5.9 | 1,313 | 18.0 |
| Clerical and kindred workers. . . . . . | 9,978 | 100.0 | 1,825 | 18.3 | 455 | 4. 6 | 1,164 | 11.7 | 1. 619 | 16.2 | 206 | 2. 1 | 661 | 6.6 |
| Sales workers . . . . | 4,912 | 100.0 | 1,221 | 24.9 | 390 | 7.9 | 613 | 12. 5 | 1.003 | 20. 4 | 218 | 4. 4 | 608 | 12. 4 |
| Craftsmen, foremen, and kindred workers. | 9,097 | 100.0 | 3,740 | 41.1 | 1,583 | 17.4 | 1.494 | 16. 4 | 3,077 | 33.8 | 663 | 7.3 | 2, 246 | 24.7 |
| Operatives and kindred workers. | 12,702 | 100.0 | 3,991 | 31.4 | 1.511 | 11.9 | 1,523 | 12.0 | 3,034 | 23. 9 | 957 | 7. 5 | 2,468 | 19.4 |
| Private household workers . . . . . | 2,646 | 100.0 | 291 | 11.0 | 32 | 1.2 | 240 | 9. 1 | 272 | 10.3 | 19 | .7 | 51 | 1.9 |
| Service workers, except private household | 6,768 | 100.0 | 1. 124 | 16.6 | 286 | 4. 2 | 631 | 9.3 | 917 | 13. 5 | 207 | 3. 1 | 493 | 7. 3 |
| Farm laborers and foremen. | 1.980 | 100.0 | 762 | 38. 5 | 297 | 15.0 | 191 | 9.6 | 488 | 24. 6 | 274 | 13.8 | 571 | 28. 8 |
| Laborers, except farm and mine. | 4,154 | 100.0 | 1. 414 | 34.0 | 547 | 13.2 | 425 | 10.2 | 972 | 23.4 | 442 | 10.6 | 989 | 23.8 |

Table 26.-Percentage of Sport Fishermen and Hunters With Family Incomes Below Specified Levels in 1960

| Family income level | Distribution of number of fishermen and hunters |
| :---: | :---: |
| Family income under- | Percent |
| \$3,000 . . . . | 17.2 |
| \$4,000 . . . | 28.1 |
| \$5,000 . | 39.7 |
| \$6,000. | 54.1 |
| \$7,500 | 71.0 |
| \$10,000. | 85.9 |
| \$15,000. | 95.9 |
| \$15,000 and over | 100.0 |

Table 28.-Milease Traveled by Automobile in 1960

|  | Car-miles | Passenger-mites |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total fishing and hunting | Tho:sands $13,243,-30$ | 7 horsands $26,44^{7}, 562$ |
| Fishing: |  |  |
| Presh-water | 7. 336.748 | 15,430,001 |
| Salt-water | 1. 707.329 | 3, 404, 9.45 |
| Trial | 9. $244,0^{-7}$ | 18, 83.4,947 |
| Ilunting: |  |  |
| Big eame |  | 2, 998,178 |
| Wimall game | $\begin{array}{r} 2,168,317 \\ 469,139 \end{array}$ | 3, 962, 020 |
| Total. | 3. 999.653 | 7,612.615 |

Table 27. - Number of Fishermen and Hunters Who Fished or Hunted Both in the United States and Outside the United States

| Places visited outside the Linited States | Tntal number of fishermen and, or hunters | Total number of fishermen | Total number of bunters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unitell states total .... ...... Thousands Thousands Thousands <br> 30,435 25,322 14,63  |  |  |  |
| United States and- |  |  |  |
| Canata. . . . . | 455 | 438 | 41 |
| Mexico... | 16.3 | 160 | 36 |
| Other countries. | 47 | 3.4 | 13 |

## APPENDIX B—DEFINITIONS

## Sport Fishing

Sport fishing is the act of catching, or attempting to catch, fish with a hook and line, rod and reel, spear-fishing equipment, or bow and arrow.

## Sport Hunting

Sport hunting is the act of taking, or attempting to take, game with a firearm or bow and arrow

## Sport Fishing and Hunting Outside the United Staies

Resident United States sportsmen who fished and hunted in the United States in 1960 are inchaded in this survey. Those Whose only fishing or hunting activity took place outside the United States are not included.

## Expenditures on Sport Fishing and Hunting

Expenditures on sport fishing and lunting made in the United States during 1960 are shown in the illustrations on pages 1 and $8-16$ and in the tables on pages 43-48. Individual fishermen and hunters identified from a sample of houscholds were asked detailed questions about their expenditures on these sports in personal interviews. Some spent nothing, others spent relatively large amounts of money. No one reported on the expenchtures of some other sportsmen except for some under 16 vears of age. The illustrations and tables show National figures
in total and bs dillerent kinds of lishine and hantme and by type of goods and services bought. 'These sportsmen's expenditures include purchases by individuats and the value of gifts received during l960. Sportsmen were asked to designate, for each purchase, the activity for which the used this purchase the most. 'Ihe catire expenditure for these items was shown under the designated activity despite the fact that the items may have been used for more than one activity. 'lotal expenditures on goods and services made chiefly for fishing and hunting are the sum ol expenditures on: (1) food and lodging: (2) tidnsportation; (3) auxiliary equipment; (4) fishing and hunting equipment; (5) licenses, tags, and permits: and (6) privilege fees and other.
(1) Food and lodging. Outlays on lood and lodging are the sum of expenditures on these itens made by sportsmen on fishing and hunting trips. Outlays on food are the sum of meals plus the cost of snacks and refeshments while tishing and hunting. Howeser, $\$ 7.77$ a week per person was deducted from outlays for restaurant meals $(0)$ account for that portion of these meal costs representing the average cost of meals caten at home. The cost of groecries brought from home, or purchased on a fishing or hunting trip for preparation by the sportsman, plus all kinds of alcoholic bererages. was exchuded from outlats on fooct.

Outlays on lodging while fishing and honting were obtained directly from the schechule as reported by the sportsman as his share of these costs.
(2) Transportation. - Outlays on transportation are the sum of expenditures on automobile transportation and for all other
types of transportation when primarily for a fishing and hunting trip.

Expenditures on automobile transportation were computed at $t$ cents a mile and these were divided among the number of sportsmen making a trip together. Expenditures on all other types of transportation, or a share thereof when not of a public type, when made as part of a fishing and hunting trip, were included in expenditures on transportation.
(3) Auxilian equipment.-Expenditures on auxiliary equipment are the sum of purchases and the cost of maintenance of (a) boats and boat motors and (b) general equipment used primarily for hunting and fishing. General equipment expenditures are the sum of purchases and cost of maintenance of tents, sleeping gear, cooking and eating utensils, special clothing, lanterns, binoculars, trailers, cabins, and other equipment used primarily for fishing and hunting.
(4) Fishing and hunting equipment.-Expenditures of sport fishermen on fishing equipment are the sum of purchases and cost of maintenance of rods, poles, reels, lines, harnesses, nets, seines, minnow buckets, scales, ice-fishing gear, spear-fishing gear, and all other equipment used specifically for fishing.

Expenditures of sport hunters on hunting equipment are the sum of purchases and the cost of maintenance of guns and rifles, shells and cartridges, bows and arrows, gunsights, targets, decoys and calls, and all other equipment used specifically for hunting.
(5) Licenses, tags, and permuts. - Expenditures on fishing and hunting licenses, tags, stamps and permits are the sum of fees paid for these items to Federal and State governments for the privilege of sport fishing and hunting. Total sales in 1960 of Migratory Bird Hunting Stamps, required of all 16 years of age and over who hunt waterfowl, amounted to $1,621,431$ compared
with the $1,526,000$ shown in the survey on page 43 . Some of this difference is due to the sale of duck stamps to persons who did no waterfowl hunting during 1960.
(6) Privilege fees and other.-Expenditures on privilege fees and other expenses primarily for fishing and hunting are the sum of (a) daily entrance and other privilege fees; (b) annual lease and privilege fees; (c) bait, guide fees, and other trip expenses: (d) boat launchings: (e) expenditures on hunting dogs, and (f) other expenses.
(a) Annual lease and privilege fees.- Expenditures on annual lease and privilege fees include the purchase of the privilege to fish or to hunt on private lands on a seasonal or annual basis.
(b) Entrance and other privilege fees.-Expenditures on entrance and other privilege fees include the purchase of the privilege to fish or to hunt on private lands on a daily or trip basis. These expenditures include the fees paid by sport hunters to hunt on shooting preserves.
(c) Bait, guide fees, and other trip expenses.-Expenditures on bait, guide fees, and other trip expenses for fishing and hunting are the sum of these items plus such other items as rentals, charter fees, pack-trip fees, gasoline for the boat, and ice.
(d) Boat latnchings.- Expenditures on boat launchings are the sum of costs for use of launching facilities primarily for fishing.
(e) Expenditures on huxting dogs.-Expenditures on hunting dogs are the sum of purchase and upkeep of dogs used primarily for hunting.
(f) Other expenses.- Other expenses are the sum of the costs of fishing and hunting magazines, general club dues, admittance
fees for fishing and hunting on public lands, and costs not classified in other categories.

## Coastal Area Fished

The number of salt-water fishermen and cheir expenditures are divided among the coastal areas ol the L'nited States the Atantic, the Gulf, and the Pacitic. These data are shown in the illustrations on pages 12 and 13 and in the tables on pages 44-46. The Attantic Coast includes the coastal area from Canada south through Dade Countr, Florida; the Gull Coast. from Dade Coums, Florida to Aexico: and the Pacific Coast, from Canada to Mexico. Salk-water fishermen who fished beyond the territorial limits of the Lnited States and returned to a port in the United States are included in these statistics. Those who fished only from ports outside the United States are not included.

## Fresh-Water and Salt-Water Sport Fishermen and BigGame, Small-Game, and Waterfowl Sport Hunters

The number of sport fishermen and hunters in the United States in 1960 is shown in the illustrations on pages $19-22$ and in the tables on pages 5254 . The tables also show these sportsmen by sex and by age divisions.

Sport fishermen are classified as fresh-water anglers or saltwater anglers. Fresh-water sport fishing is defined as fishing on inland streams, lakes, ponds, reservoirs, and coastal streams abore tide limits. Salt-water sport fishing is defined as fishing in the ocean, coastal bays and estuaries, surf, and coastal streams below tide limits. Many anglers lish in both fresh water and salt water. Consequently, the sum of the number of freshwater anglers and salt-water anglers exceeds the number of total anglers reported.

Sport hunters are classilied as big-game bunters, small-game hunters, and waterlowt hunters. Big-game hunting includes hunting lor antelope, bear, deer, elk, javelina (peccary), moose, mountain goat, mountain sheep, wild boar, and wild turkey: Smatl-game hunting includes hunting for lox. opessum, rabbit. raccoon, squirrel, dove, grouse, partridge, pheasamt, pigeon, prairie chicken, quail, rail. snipe, and woodrock. Waterfowl hunting includes hunting for coot, duck, qallinule (marsh hen), and geese. Many hunters hunt more than one kind of game. Consequently, the sum of the number of the three twpes of hunters exeeeds the wal number of hunters reported.

## Population

The number of sport fishermen and humters was projected from a sample of houseloolds. The relationship of the number of these spontsmen to the population is shown in the illustrations on pages 19-25 and in the tables on pages 52-54. The number of households with sport lishermen or hunters is shown in the illustration on page 17 and in the tables on page 51. These data also include the geographic and population density distribution, age, and ses of the sport fishermen and hunters.

Persons 12 years old and older who tished and or hunted in 1960 are included in this surver. The sum of the "Total who fished" and "Total who hunted" exceeds the total number of fishermen and bumters because both of these classifieations include a number oll sportsmen who both lished and hunted.

The illustrations on pages 28 and 29 and the table on page 52 show the sport fishing and hanting population by age and by sex. The separate tabulations shown for the signitiont age divisions are as follows:

12-15 sears. Young teen-agers
16-17 years. Older tcen-agers

18-24 years. The getting started age-young adults, premarriage and early marriage, college students and job starters
25-34 years. Young married people beginning families
$35-44$ years. Parenthood and setting up economic status
$45-64$ years. Middle age and peak of economic status
65 years and over. Retirement and old age.

## Households

Standard Bureau of the Census definitions were used to classify this subject (see Statistical Abstract of the United States)

The standard divisions used for dividing the United States into geographic sections are shown on the map on page 50 .

## Trend of Population and Sport Fishermen and Hunters

The trends of the population and of the number of sport fishermen and hunters, 1955 through 1975, are shown in the illustration on page 23. Total United States population trend data were furnished by the Bureau of the Census.

The trend in number of sport fishermen and hunters was constructed from statistics obtained from a simple correlation of (1) the number of fishermen and hunters and (2) per capita disposable personal income after taxes-both series for the period 1947-1960.

These projections measure the future only by the present trends of the population, numbers of sportsmen, and personal income.

## Sport Fishing and Hunting Trips

Transpurtation for sport fishing and hunting trips includes all types - from walking to air travel. The automobile accounted
for the major portion of the trips and distance traveled. Illustrations showing summary data on trips and automobile passenger mileage are on pages 4 and 5 , and total automobile travel on pages 31-33. Additional data on trips are shown on pages 57 and 58.

Distance traveled is the total number of miles traveled using all types of transportation on trips taken primarily for sport fishing and hunting.

Car miles are the miles traveled by automobile primarily for sport fishing and hunting. Passenger miles are the number of miles traveled by sport fishermen and hunters in automobiles on trips taken primarily for fishing and hunting. For example, if three fishermen traveled 100 miles on a fishing trip, the car miles would be 100 and the passenger miles would be 300 .

## Recreation Day

A recreation day is a day, any part of which was spent fishing or hunting.

## Sport Fishing and Hunting Licenses

Most sportsmen who fish or hunt are required to buy licenses and to pay specific fees to the State or Federal governments. Since 1955 the number exempted from paying for such purchases has been reduced considerably. Sportsmen who are exempted in some States from paying some kind of fee include: (1) those under a specified age; (2) those over a specified age; (3) the disabled; (4) active servicemen; (5) property owners; and, (6) aborigines and indigents. Licenses are required for fishing in salt water in only two States. The reduction in the number of sportsmen exempted from paying for a license or fee is clearly shown in the case of those under 16 years of age
who desire to fish. In 1955 persons under 10 years old could fish without a license in over hall the States. In 1960, persons under 16 years old could fish without licenses in only 9 States. Most States have now canceled the privileges of free fishing formerly given to veterans and active servicemen.

The relationship between the number of sportsmen licensed and unlicensed in the 1955 and 1960 surveys shows an increase for fishing liconses but a slight decrease for hunting licenses. These relationships are shown in the following tabulation.

| Sports | n by Lice | se Status | Activity |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fishing |  | Huntine |  |
|  | 193) | [14,1] | 1955 | 15 nO |
| Total sportsmen | $20,813.1100$ | 25.323 .0000 | 11.884.000 | 14.12 .37 .000 |
| Number licenserel | 13.737.000 | 15.334 .0000 | 4.7)1.000 | 11.878,000 |
| Prerent lieconsed | 60. $\dagger$ | ¢6, 0) | 8. 11 | 812 |

## Membership in Fishing and Hunfing Clubs

Persons interviewed were asked about membership in fishing or hunting clubs of any kind. The totals for the United States in 1955 and 1960 are as follows:


## APPENDIX C-AREAS OF NONCOMPARABILITY BETWEEN THE 1955 AND 1960 NATIONAL SURVEYS OF FISHING AND HUNTING

The 1960 National Survey of Fishing and Hunting differs fron the 1955 National Survey on the following points of coverage and classification of expenditures. In general, the two survers may be compared except for the items noted below. The eflect of these items should be considered in assessing trends.
(1) The difference in coverage results from the addition of Alaska and Jlawaii in the 1960 survey: The eflect of this is not of major significance.
(2) The classification of expenditures differs in the following respects:
(a) Expenditures on "Boats and boat motors" are shown separately in 1960 under "Auxiliary equipment." These expenditures were classified under "Equipment. Other" in 1955
(b) Expenditures on "Entrance and other prisilege fees" are
shown separately in 1960) under "Privilege lees and other. These expenditures were classified under "Trip expenditures. Other" in 195.5
(c) Expenditures on "snacks and refreshments" are included with "Food" expenditures in 1960. These expenditures were classified under "Trip expenditures. ()ther" in 19.55
(d) Expenditures on equipment, magazines. club ducs, licenses, and other similar items were classified by the one sport activity for which the expenditures were chiefls made in 19(0) In 1955, these expenditures were evenly divided among all the activities in which the eportsman took part
(e) lixpenditures on "Other" in 1960 were reduced as compared with 1955 by transferring expenditures on certain items to a more appropriate category

## APPENDIX D-TECHNIQUE OF THE SURVEY AND DIFFERENCES BETWEEN TOTAL PARTICIPANTS AND SUBSTANTIAL PARTICIPANTS

One of the purposes of the second National Survey of Fishing and Hunting was to bring up to date the results of the earlier survey, which was conducted for 1955. Information desired included estimates of the number of participants in 1960, the extent of their participation, and their expenditures for fishing and hunting.

The results relate to persons 12 years of age and older in the December 1960 civilian noninstitutional population of the United States. In addition to the persons covered by the survey, there may be a number of others who usually participate in these activities but did not do so during 1960. Some of these persons may have purchased licenses or equipment during 1960 for use in fishing or hunting. However, such expenditures were not covered since only those who actually fished or hunted during the year were interviewed.

The survey was conducted for the Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife by the Bureau of the Census, U.S. Department of Commerce.

## The Sample

In order to provide as accurate a cross section of the population as possible, it was decided to relate the sample for the National Survey of Fishing and Hunting to another na-tion-wide survey conducted monthly by the Bureau of the

Census. As a result, the sample used was a subsample of persons previously selected for the Bureau's Current Population Survey. The sampling plan for the Current Population Survey is described in Current Population Reports. Series P-23, No. 5, May 1958, issued by the Bureau of the Census. This sample is used each month by the Bureau of the Census to collect the official government statistics on total employment and unemployment. It is an area probability sample distributed over 333 primary sampling units (PSU's), each being a county or group of counties, in total comprising 641 counties and independent cities in the 50 States and the District of Columbia.

Within each of the 333 sample PSU's, the sample consists of small land areas called segments, each containing approximately six housing units. In determining sample size within each sample PSU, a ratio rather than a fixed quota is employed. The sample is thus self-weighting; that is, each person has the same probability of being selected for the survey. This technique also is self-adjusting for changes in the size and distribution of the population.

## Interviewing

Approximately 18,000 households containing about 45,000 persons 12 years of age and older were included in the sample for the National Survey of Fishing and Hunting. Information
was obtained in each houschold from a responsible adult as to whether any person in that household had fished or hunted during 1960 .

A sample of those identified as fishermen or hunters at the first stage was selected for personal interview at a later visit. These visits, made in January and February, 196I, vielded interviews with about 6,500 fishermen and 3,800 hunters, or about 93 percent of those selected for the detailed interviewing. The remainder had moved, were not at home after repeated calls, or were oth rwise not available.

The personncl used for the various phases of the survev were the experienced personnel employed on the Current Population Survey and other regular programs of the Bureau of the Ciensus. Both field supervisors and interviewers received detailed personal training on the content of the survey. Interviewers also were provided complete manuals of instruction, both for training purposes and for assistance while interviewing. In order to assist the sportsmen in providing the desired detail, calendars, lists of equipment items, and booklets of license types were utilized. As each group of households was completed, the results were checked carefully, both for completeness and consistency: The same high degree of quality control was maintained in processing the results and preparing the data.

## Differences Between Total Participants and Substantial Participants

As stated in the introductory section of this report, two sets of estimates have been prepared of fishing and hunting activityone relating to the total number of participants regardless of amount of activity (some 50 million persons) - and the uther, to which this report is primarily devoted, constituting some 30 million persons who represent the more active sportsmen and are
substantial participants. The statistics for substantal particapants were derived from the Vational Surve of fishing and Junting, described above.

The estinates of the total number of participants were obtained from the National Recreation Survey - a series of surveys on outdoor recreation activities conducted by the Bureau of the Census for the Outdoor Recreation Resources Review Comms sion, supplemented by some special follow-up studies sponsored by the Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildife. In these followup surveys, relatively small samples of persons wese interviewed intensively to identily all recreational oecasions (vacations, trips. outings, etc.) in different quarters of the year atud then to report on all types of activities (including fishing and hunting) in which they engaged on each occasion.

The National Recreation Survey showed a larger number of the population participating in sport fishing and lunting than the National Survey of Fishing and Hunting. Neither surver was designed to duphicate the other except for the total number of people participating in sport fishing and hunting and some other limited information about these totals. The National Recreation Surver was designed to measure all ty pes of recreational activities while the purpose of the National Survey of Fishing and Huntises wats to measure the detaiked activities of sport fishing and hunting. Intensive probing of the respondents int the National Recreation Survey is one reason why the two surseys did not show the same number of persons participating in sport fishing and honting. Further analsis showed that the difference between these surveys was dut to the coverage of a number of persons in the National Recreation Surves who were incidental participants primanily molicensed persons who fisheed or hunted once or twice and whon spent little or nothing to do so. The less intensive probing technique used in the 1960 National Survey of Fishing and llunting resulted in identification
of the more active and substantial sport fishermen and hunters, as did its predecessor in 1955.

The estimates developed from the National Recreation Survey represent the total number who fished or hunted in 1960. whether incidental or substantial participants, but provide onls limited information about the details of fishing and hunting activities such as cost of bait, tackle, travel, and the like. From the standpoint of the main objective of this report-the presentation of detailed information on type and scope of fishing and huntingthe statistics for more substantial participants, developed from the National Survey of Fishing and Hunting, are the more comprehensive. These substantial participants, while constituting about 60 percent of all participants, account lor close to 95 percent of recreation days of fishing and hunting and around 99 percent of the expenditures for these activities. Furthermore, valid comparisons with the 1955 results can be made only for the more substantial participants as measured by the National Survey of Fishing and Hunting.

Orerall participation in fishing as measured by the National Recreation Survey and the special follow-up studies was estimated at 35 percent of the population 12 years old and over, whereas substantial participants as measured in the National Survey of Fishing and Hunting represented 19 percent of that population group. After deduction of incidental participantsdefined. for this purpose, as unlicensed persons with only one or two days of fishing and either no expenditures or expenditures of less than $\$ 5$-the gap between these estimates narrows to 4 or 5 percentage points, a large part of which could have resulted from sampling variability. In the case of hunting, the overall participation rate from the National Recreation Survey and the rate for substantial participants in hunting from the National Survey of Fishing and Hunting were 16 percent and 11 percent, respectively. The exclusion of incidental participants eliminates entirely the gap between these two figures.

# APPENDIX E-STATISTICAL RELIABILITY ANALYSIS of the 1960 Survey

 <br> <br> Statistical Reliability of Major Findings <br> <br> Statistical Reliability of Major Findings <br> <br> Siandard Error of Estimared Number of Persons} <br> <br> Siandard Error of Estimared Number of Persons
}

| 1 tem | Vstimated totals | Standard of the <br> Actual | error atals <br> Percent | Limits between which the figure from a complete enumeration would fall, with a 19 out of 20 probability |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. EXPENDITURES OF FISH- |  |  |  |  |
| ERMEN AND HUNTERS: | Thousands $\$ 3,852,116$ | Thousands <br> $\$ 212,600$ |  | Millions <br> \$3, +27. \$4, 277 |
| Fishermen and or hunters... Fishermen |  | $\$ 212,000$ 156,000 | 5. 52 5. 80 | \$3, +27-\$4, 2,379 3,003 |
| 11 m iters. | 1,161, 2.42 | 80, 500 | 6.93 | 1.000 1,322 |
| Salt-water fishermen | 626,191 | 52,000 | 8. 30 | 522-730 |
| Fresh-water fishermen | 2,064,680 | 126.000) | 6. 10 | 1. 8132,317 |
| Big-game hunters | $3+5,694$ | 35,800) | 10. 36 | 274-417 |
| Small-game hunters | 726. 118 | 57,700 | 7.95 | 611-842 |
| Waterfowl hunters | 89, 431 | 16,700 | 18.67 | 56-123 |
| B. NUMBER OF FISHERMEN AND HUN゙TERS: |  |  |  |  |
| Fishermen and or hunters | 30, 435 | 606 | 1. 99 | 29. 2-31. 6 |
| Fishermen | 25, 323 | 530 | 2. 09 | 24. 3-26. 4 |
| Hunters | 14.637 | 369 | 2. 52 | 13.9-15.4 |
| Salt-water fishermen | 6. 292 | 226 | 3. 58 | 5. 8-6.7 |
| Fresh-water fishermen | 21,677 | 4.4 | 2. 19 | 20.7-22. 6 |
| Big-game hunters | 6, 277 | 226 | 3. 59 | 5. 8-6.7 |
| Small-game Iunters | 12,105 | 332 | 2.74 | 11. $4-12.8$ |
| Waterfowl hunters | 1,955 | 118 | 6.04 | 1.7-2.2 |
| C. NUMBER OF RI:CREATIONDAY'S: |  |  |  |  |
| Fishermen and or hunters | 658, 308 | 21,600 | 3. 28 | 615.1-701. 5 |
| Fishermen ... | 465, 769 | 17,700 | 3. 80 | 430. 4-501.2 |
| Hunters | 192,539 | 11,000 | 5.71 | 170. 5-214. 5 |
| Salt-water fishermen | 80, 602 | 7,000 | 8.68 | 66. $6-94.6$ |
| Fresh-water fishermen | 385, 167 | 15,950 | 4. 14 | 353. 3-417. 1 |
| Big-game hunters | 3), 190 | 4,900 | 12. 50 | 29.4-49.0 |
| Small-game hunters | 138, 192 | 9, 200 | 6. 66 | 194. 4-157.6 |
| Waterfowl hunters. | 15,158 | 2,800 | 18.47 | 9.6-20.6 |

Range 68 chances out of 100 .

| Size of <br> cstimate | Standard <br> error |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| Thousands | Thousands |
| 500 | 55 |
| 1,000 | 80 |
| 2,000 | 120 |
| 3,000 | 150 |
| 4,000 | 175 |
| 5,000 | 200 |
| 10,000 | 300 |
| 15,000 | 375 |
| 20,000 | 450 |
| 30,000 | 600 |

The standard eror is primarily a measure of sampling variability; that is, the variations that might ocrur by chance because only a sample of the population is surveyed. The chances are about 2 out of 3 that an estimate from the sample would differ from a complete census by less than the standard error. The chances are about 19 out of 20 that the diflerence would be less than twice the standard error, and about 99 out of 100 that it would be less than $2_{2}$ times as large


[^0]:    *Purchases of duck stamps by hunters who did not hunt waterfowl in 1960 are included in the calegory "licenses, tags, and permus.

[^1]:    Daily fees for hunting on commercially operated preserves.
    ? Daily fees for hunting on wild lands

[^2]:    1 Daily fees for hunting on commercially operated preserves
    ${ }^{2}$ Daily fees for hunting on wild lands.

[^3]:    Daily fees for hunting on commercially operated preserves.
    Daily fees for huntung an wild lands.

[^4]:    

[^5]:    

[^6]:    

[^7]:    

