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1 survey of humting and fishing in the I nited states has long been needed to determine the importance of these truly Im erican sports in our national economy. We now have reliable national figures. In these days of fast-increasing human populations. of growing tensions. and of generally recognized need for recration. the facts and figures in this \ational surves of Fishing and Itunting have especial significance. The sums are in millions and in billions. The importance of hunting and fishing in our conomy, and in our way of life, is now a matter of record. The results of this survey will be invaluable in planning fish and game management for the future.
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When a typical American family drives out to Little Pond for a Saturday of fishing, or when a father and son and their dog set out for an afternonn of hunting, they have lots of company. Prolably more than the average citizen of any other great modern nation, the average American enjoys sport fishing and hunting.

Fishing and hunting have always been part of America. When colonists first came to this continent, the New World's fish and game meant the difference between life and death. Men fished and hunted then to feed their families. Today, long after they have ceased to be the basis of subsistence, fishing and hunting continue as an important part of our standard of living by providing recreation for millions of Americans.

There have been many evidences of the importance of fishing and hunting in modern America. Millions of fishing and hunting licenses are sold each year. Many thousands of people belong to fishing and hunting clubs. Sales of sport-fishing tackle and hunting arms and ammunition mount to millions of dollars annually.

But despite the many evidences that these sports are big in recreational importance and in economic importance, nolody has known exactly how hig. Rough estimates have been made that the Nation's sportsmen spend anywhere from a billion to $\$ 10$ billion a year for goods and services in connection with their fishing and hunting pursuits, but these have been little more than guesses.

Fishing and launting licenses do not tell the whole story, because on the one hand many fishermen and hunters don't have to have licenses and on the other hand many persons have more than one kind. Only part of the army of fishermen and hunters belong to cluls. And the production of fishing and hunting equipment tells only part of the story about the economic importance of these sports.
 athere of theer forms of reereation has lereone of greater and ereater eoncern tothose interestad in the conservation of fish and widhlife．Since Morld Wiar II，dhanges in land nse and water hse have proweded aphare．（omservation
 afferem by these rhanges．Oftem the inclasion of eon－ servation provisions in land－use and water－mer progects has faced problems of justifying cost as compared with economic bencfis．（omactuently，the consorvation agen－ rise have norded more information on the eromomis asperets of eport fishong and hmoting as a partial motismo of the value of fish and wildlife comservation．

Fixelse taxe on sport－fishing tackle and on spotimg arms and ammonition，which produre about 20 milion dollars a yar，have beren allocated hy（omgress to sperial fouds for fishing amd homting improvement．＇Through the L＂．心．lïh and Wildlife somve and the ronservation or fish and game department of the states，these taxes on fishing and hunting equipment are used as lealeral did to the states in cooperative proveras for fish and wildife restoration．In carrying out thoir managemont programs． fish and game ageneres have felt the need for more exact figures to guide them．

In the last few rears alout a fourth of the sitate fish and game agences have mado ceomomic survers of fishing and hunting in theor areas．Wado at different times and hy Various methods，these survere could mot be eombined to give the nationwide information needed
 sport fi－hing and hanting and theire offect on the national eronomy reached a peint in 19．\％when the［．A．Frish and Wihdile service，the state fish and game agencies，the Wildife Management Institute，the N゙atomal Wihllife Federation，the figort Foishing Institute and other groups： began giving serions comsideration to conducting a com－ prehemsixe rational study of obtain reliable answers．It its anmutal mereting in september 1954，the International Association of（bame．Fish，and（＇onservation（＇om－ missionera eromprising the fistr and game commissioners and directors of the th States and the（＇anadian Pros－ inces reeommended that the $[$ ．S．Wish and Wildife sorvice carry out a mationwide survey finaneed from Folderal did administrative funds．

To mahb the surver as accurate and as ohjective as pessible．it was dotermined to have it dome by independent （xperte in the fiod of mational survers．．Ifter applowal be the Burean of the Budget，outstanding firms specializ－ ing in large－seale sampling censuses were ashed to submit propusals，and a survey contract was made with（bossley， ふ－Г 太urvers，Inc．，of New York．

Several months were spent in working ont procedures to ensure an alecourate and eomprehemsive count．The national surver was earried out during the months of Tambary and February 1956，eolleeting data on sport fishing and honting for the calendar yoar 195s．Ir every state，interviewors ascertained whether persons had fished or hunted in 195．5 and reeorded detailed informa－ fion about their fishing and homting activitios．

## WHAT THE SURVEY MEASURED

The amomnt of money spent by hishermen atmd humters is ant endiesation of the salue thes phace on their sports sime it represents revembe to a varicty of husimesises sorving the sportsmen, this expemtiture is an indieation of the hasinesis value of fishing and homting. It faths lat short, however, of showing alf the values of these sports. There are intamethes beyond the grasp of statistics. 'The enjoyment, the satisfaction, the reerett tion of a fishang or honting trip ramont te expressel in dollars. (Only to the exterat that the mones at persom spends for at partiontau form of rereation can sugerest the value he plates en that reereestion, this survey has measured the value of fishing amol homting to the sportsman. And in showing the amonnt amel bature of his repernditures, the surver indicates some of ther value of these sports in the gemeral eronomys.

The surve? rexorded specific items comerroning lishing and humting artivities. It dist not inquire into attitmase ore othere intangribles. Popularity of fishoner and honting was measurad only as shown by atotal partieipation. If someone would hase liked to go fishing in 19\%5, hut did mot, he was not included in this sum?

Finere rontimation of fishing and hanting depernds bpers the conservation of the resourees on which these deremtions depend, the eronomir benefits from fishing and lometing astivities are a partial indication of the walue of lish and wibllife ronsurvation. Many others besides these sportsmen also enjos the rounter 's fish and wildliferesoures. Thes, like the sportsmen, spend time and money in conmertion with their recreation. But unless they fished or hunted in 1955, they were not induded in this survery

Thus, the surver was limited strietly to mossuring the extent of sport-fishing amd hunting artivities in 1555 athe the offert of those artiviturs on the general eromomy

## HOW THE SURVEY WAS MADE

A persomal-intervint surve? was selerted as the best method for obtaming the detailed information desifed. It comsisted of
 hodds dhemghout the I moled states. Honses, rather than indivichats, wre chosed for the initial contact since answers were


 sampling mathents se as to be representatove of tho l'nited States as at whote.
soleretion of samples of houscholek hemen with the 19750 (emosus of pepmbation. () the bacis of that rensus, a large momber of sample areas were seleeted. Ifterienors persomalls survered wath area abl detormined the incerase in the momber of honse-



 and wher
 areat established ther presence of eligible honters or fishermen. To bo cligible for interview a person had to he 12 scars of age of wher and to hate humted of lished at teast wher during the ealendar soar 195\%).

Each of the t 4 tates was incheded in the sample. Intervews
 including more than at thousame ehaster groupings. ('alls on approximately 20 ,000 homes sideted (i,220 interiews with fishermen and 3,10 in interviews with hanters. The findings of these interviewi were then projerted, hy standard statistion procedures. to the whole jopulation.

The survey covered-

- Sport-fishing and hunting activities.
- Persons 12 years af age and over.
- The calendar year 1955.

The survey indicated that in 1955-

- The total number of households in the United States was 48,389,000.
- The total number of persons 12 years old and older was $118,366,000$.

This report shows-

- The number of households in which one or more persons fished or hunted.
- The number of persons who fished or hunted.
- How much these persons spent on fishing and hunting.
- How far they traveled to fish or hunt.
- How many days of fishing and hunting they enjoyed.

The information on fishing and hunting activities is based on personal interviews and is subject to the limitations of respondents' memory.
All figures represent projections from the sample and are subject to standard sampling limitations.
The results with respect to numbers of fishermen and hunters in the nation are considered accurate within 5 percent. For expenditures and regional figures, the potential statistical variation may be greater.

## 1IN EVERY 3 households in the U.S. in 1955

 had one or more fishermen or hunters

| 1 in 6 |
| :--- |
| BIG CITIES |
| 1 in 3 |
| SMALL CITIES |
| 1 in 3 |
| TOWNS |
| 1 in 2 |
| RURAL AREAS |

Of the $118,366,000$ persons 12 years of age and over in the United States in 1955, close to 8 million enjoyed both fishing and hunting.

When these "boths" are included in the total for each group-

18 percent went fishing.
10 percent went hunting.

20,813,000 persons fished.
11,784,000 persons hunted.

1 in every 5 persons age 12 and over fished or hunted in 1955


total $21 \%$

| MILLIONS | SMALLGAME | 9.8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| WHO | BIGGAME | 4.4 |
| HUNTED | WATERFOWL | 2.0 |

FISHED

# 1 in every 4 



## 12,938,000 MEN FISHED

## $1_{\text {in every }} 5$



## 9,675,000 MEN HUNTED

SEDAR24-RD40

## $1_{\text {in every }} 128$



## 418,000 WOMEN HUNTED




## percent who fished BY AGE GROUPS-1955



## percent who hunted <br> BY AGE GROUPS-1955



25 million people spend a lot of money on fishing and hunting. They spend it for fishing tackle and hunting equipment, for camping sear and special clothing, for automobile expense and food and lodging on their fishing and hunting trips, for dogs, for boats and motors, and for various kinds of fishing and hunting licenses.

## 3 billion dollars ( $\$ 2,850,979,000$ ) for fishing and hunting in 1955




## 2 <br> billion dollars

## TRIP <br> $\$ 1,048$

IN MILLIONS OF DOLLARS
(\$1,914,292,000) for FISHING in 1955

MISCEL. $\$ 35$

LICENSES
$\$ 38$

## EQUIPMENT <br> $\$ 793$

This is the first time that good information has been available on the extent and importance of salt-water fishing in the United States. In most of the coastal States, licenses are not required for salt-water fishing, so we have not had even the license-sale figures to suggest how many people enjoy fishing along the Nation's coastline.

## salt-water fishing 1955

FISHERMEN

EAST COAST
\& GULF

WEST COAST
$1,137,000$

SPENT
\$91 each \$311,862,000
\$177,077,000
\$156 each
$\$ 488,939,000$

The figures in the facing chart are car-miles, not passenger-miles. Automobile trovel for different kinds of hunting ond fishing included-

|  | Car-miles | Passenger-miles |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hunling |  |  |
| Big game | 906,829,000 | 2,222,373,000 |
| Smoll game | 1,433,771,000 | 3,094,974,000 |
| Waterfowl | 344,314,000 | 754,949,000 |
| Total | 2,684,914,000 | 6,072,296,000 |
| Fishing: |  |  |
| Fresh water | 6,542,829,000 | 15,006,433,000 |
| Solt water | 1,223,657,000 | 2,904,001,000 |
| Total | 7,766,486,000 | 17,910,434,000 |
| Totol, hunting and Fishing | 10,451,400,000 | $23,982,730,000$ |

The charts on pages 24 and 25 show the distribution of the hunters and Fishermen according to the distances they traveled by all kinds of transportation to pursue their sport.

## 10.4 billion miles by automobile

HUNTING
2.7

FISHING
7.7

Min $1,656,000$ THAN ONE lIUMLETS EOR LESS MILLE

## $101-250$ MILES

内角
if 946,000

685,000

MiNi 1,827,000

Many fishermen and hunters were not required to have licenses.
In most of the coastal States, salt-water fishing did not require a license in 1955.

In many States, persons under 16 , or persons over 65 , or women, or servicemen, or veterans, or persons fishing or hunting on their own property, did not need licenses.
The survey report on licenses purchased and the annual reports of license sales are discussed on page 45.

## not licensed

## 113

FISHERMEN

7,076,000
licensed
13,737,000


1 1in 6

HUNTERS
1,833,000

9,951,000

## in 1955

## the typical

hunter
SPEITT
$\sigma$

## \& fisherman

$$
\$ 79.49
$$

# FISHED \& HUNTED <br> 81/2 DAYS <br> TOOK <br> 5½ TRIPS 

DROVE 206 MILES
319 MILE

## Correction and supplement sheet for- NATIONAL SURVEY OF <br> (Insert at pase 28.) FISHING AND HUNTING

(Circular 44)

In the chart on page 28, the expenditure figures were transposed in printing. Further, those expenditure figures are average (mean) values, while the other figures in the chart are median values. Inasmuch as there is need, in considering the economic aspects of fishing and hunting, for both the means and the medians for all the items con-orned the - '' ho reverse of this sheet should be substituted for ine

Corrections should -illar 44 as follows: On pages 24 and 25 , the first mileaye bloun .". en hould read "LESS THAN 1 MILE," and the fourth mileage block should it od "251 500 MILES." On page 49, the table captions were transposed; the fiist table relates to number of fishermen and hunters, and the second table relates to expenditures.

[^1]

## over half a billion days of recreation

| 397,447,000 | 1955 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FRESH WATER 338,826,000 | SALT WATER <br> 58,621,000 |
| FISHING |  |  |
| HUNTING |  |  |
| 169,423,000 | SMALL <br> GAME <br> 118,630,000 | BIG <br> GAME <br> 30,834,000 |

## WATERFOWL <br> 19,959,000

## NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS

The figures shown in the fables are the projections of the results of interviews in samphe areas in all of the fs states, providing a reoss sertion of the United states. The figures are given in projected terms of total population in 195.5 (rather than only in pereentages of the sample). Intial comtacts were with individual households. In each selectoch houschold, and prepon who had fished or hunted in 1955 was interviewed at length. The primary purpose was to obtain information for the country as a whote, hut since certain population groupings were used in carrying out the surver, it is possible to give figures for these groupings.

The geographical sections are the standart divisions used by The Burean of the census for population statisties. They are indicated on the map below


The population-tensity groupinge are based on ('ensus Bureau dassifieations as set out in the 1900 cemstrs. Ther are as follows:
a. Brimenes.-All cemtral cities with populations of 500,000 or more within the efty limits. In the origimal survel tabutation, these were designated as "large metropolitan eonters."
b. Sambl eITIEs, aNd seberbs.-The suburbs of the ritice in $a$, and all other cities and their suburbs in areas defined in the 1950 cemsus as urlanized (thickly populated). In the oricinal surver tabutation, these were desiguated as "suburbs and smatl metropolitan emters."
c. Tow vas. All mban plates (omstide urbanized areas inchuded under a and b, with populations of 2,500 or more In the original surve? tabulation, these were designated as "small cities."
d. Rumal irfats. Alf rurab phaces with populations of hess than 2.500 and ath open country in the origimal survey tabulation these were designated as "rural territors."

It should be noted that the pereentares in this honseholds table (hike those in following tables on mumber of fishermen and hunters) are pereentages of the total number in the ['nited states or in the region or population-density grouping (cot. I). For example, as shown in column 2 of the opposite table, 34 . S pereent of all the bouscholds in the L'nited sitates had at least one person who fished, or hunted, or fished and hunted churing 1955. It should be kept in mind also that the "Total households with fishermen" (col. क) and the "Total households with hunters" (col). 7) both include the houscholds in which one or more persons: fished and hunted (col. 3) ; consequently the sum of columns 5 and 7 exeecels the total in column 2

# NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS WITH PERSONS 12 YEARS OLD ANDD OLDER WHO FISHED, OR HUNTED, OR FISHED AND HUNTED IN 1955 

[In thousands. Percentages are percentages of totals in column 1]

Column 1

Hendihtel (Nited States TuTAL
('ENSLS GEOGRAPHIG SEC'THON:
Vew England
liddle Atlantie
East North C'entral
West North Central
South Atlamflc
Kast South (entral
West South Crontral
Nomntain
Pacific

Big citits
Small citios, and suburbs
Towns:
Rural areats
$48,38!9$

3, 125
11). 120
10. 456
3. 790

1. 250
2. 871
3. 871

8, 9995
1i. $0: 37$
('olumn 2
Column 3
(olumn )
('olumn 万
Tutal housi
holds with
fishermen
(col. 3 plus
with fisher-
mens and
lumitars

## House hold <br> with fivher-

ment only

Column 6
Houschold-
with hunt-
urs only

Total hotiste holds with hinters (col. 3 plus col. 6).

| 1,841 | 48.6 | 1,51 | 14.2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 276 | 23.1 |  |  |


$\begin{array}{lllllll}3,04.3 & 1,296 & 42.6 & \text { i4.3 21. } 1 & 456 & 15.1)\end{array}$
$1,644 \quad 38.7$
866 46. 3
$\begin{array}{llllll}1,874 & 31.3 & 427 & 22.8 & 202 & 15.1\end{array}$
$4.0033 \quad 1,558,17.3 \quad 301 \quad 3.3$
1, 11:3 12. 4

4,116 28.7
3,526 3!. 2
. 5

1. 2.52
2. 5.5 s 1S. 1
$\begin{array}{cc}2,3!9 & 16.7 \\ 1,341 & 14.3\end{array}$
$2,3365 \quad 1+3$
, 114
3, 650 1.5.
3,650 25. 4
, 893 33. (1)

| $1+4$ | 1.6 |
| :--- | :--- |
| +66 | 6.3 |

$445 \quad$ 4. 9

| 166 | 3.3 | 1,718 | 12.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 554 | 1.2 | 2,185 | 24.3 |

The tand. opperate shows the manter of persons whe tished. or fanted. or fished aud hunted, as projected from the cross-sertion sumpling of the C"mest otates

The Consus geographir sections have been explatned on page 30. The proporthon of the popplation (12 years of age and over) that fished and bumted ratied considerably among these gengrapluc sections, as shown hy the maps pietured here.

These regional percentages are from columns 5 athe 7 of the table opposite. It shoukd be kept in mind that the "Total who fished" (eol. 5) and the "Total who hunted" (eol. 7) both include the figures for persons who fished and hunted (eol. B) : consequently the sum of columns is and 7 execeds the total in column 2.
[ "nder "dolults, be sex" are shown separate tabulations for men and for women, is years of age and ower.
Eeparate tabulations were made for significant age divisions of the poppulation. The age groups are as follows:

1217 yars. Teen-agers or older childrem
is 24 iears. The getung started age young adults, premarriage and carly marmage college stutents, and job sarters.
2.-5: 4 rears. Yomer married people, the beginning of accmmulation, earls parenthood
354 rear. Parents of older chidren, establishment of econmmic status.
4:5 fi4 yars. Parents of marring and marrich children, stabilization of eromomice statha.
(6.) years and ower. Iretirement ige.

FISHING


HUNTING



| (1]) |  <br>  | (inlumt ~ |  | Collurth : |  | ralumil |  | 'ral ion' 5 |  | 1.1Hmbr |  | 1 (man 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Thal persons who fisherd und, or humitrd |  | Prarsol- who fis $1+1$ <br> mal humterl |  | Pt ratori - is lio fi-hed anls |  | Totit\| pix:who fixlu-1 col. .) pus col. 4 |  | hunfod ondy |  | Tut 1) $\quad$ r who in :13 is col 3 pl (o) |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { hum- } \\ & \text { ber } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & P_{1}+ \\ & \text { C+1 } 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Nu11 } \\ \text { ber } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Perr- } \\ & \text { rent } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per- } \\ & \text { cent } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Vimm } \\ & \text { bur } \end{aligned}$ | Jי1. | $\begin{aligned} & 1 m- \\ & \mathrm{lx} \Gamma \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I'r r- } \\ & \text { c' nt } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \lim _{1 \times r} \\ l_{n} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & P^{\prime}+\mathrm{r} \\ & C^{\prime}+\mathrm{I} \end{aligned}$ |
| Welrhted UVited Stites Tortal | 118, 366 | 24,917 | 21. 1 | T fix 0 | (i. 5 | 13, 13:3 | 111 | 20,813 | 171 | 4, 104 | $\therefore 5$ | 11,784 | 11.) 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N'w linglamel | 7. 1119 | 1,224 | 15. 4 | 36. | 1. 15 | (13.5) | 8. ${ }^{1}$ | 1,002 | 127 | 222 | 2. in | 589 | - 1 |
| Middle Atlantie | 24, 869 | 3,539 | 11.2 | 8is 1 | 3. 5 | 1, 931 | -1. | 2,811 | 113 | -28 | 29 | 1,608 | 1i is |
| Fast North Central. | 25, 73:3 | 5, 189 | 21.3 | 1. $1: 32$ | (1.) 3 | 2, 4, 51 | 11. 3 | 4,553 | 17 | ! 10 ¢ | 2. 5 | $2,5.38$ | 4.! |
| West Aorth ("entril. | 19, 201 | 2,913 | 31.7 | 1, 1171 | 11. 5 | 1, 379 | 15.0 | 2, 346 | 25.5 | 567 | (i. 2 | $1.53 .4$ | $16.7$ |
| Sontls llantic. | 14. 336 | 3,223 | 2\%. ${ }^{2}$ | 1. $0: 15$ | 7. 2 | 1.774 | 12. | 2,805 | 14. 19 | 15 | $2!$ | 1,4.19 | 10. 1 |
| liast soith (ontral | 7 5 259 | 1,963 | 24.7 | (1!) 1 | ¢ 7 | $97!$ | 122 | 1,665 | 20. 11 | 2:s | $\therefore$ is | 1.983 | 12.1 |
| Wrst Solth (intra) | 10, 250 | 2,560 | 25. 11 | 812 | ¢. 2 | 1. 305 | 13. 13 | 2,237 | 218 | 223 | 3. 2 | 1,165 | 11.1 |
| Wountait | 1.52! | 1,369 | 30.2 | 53! 3 | 119 | 573 | 12. 1; | 1, 112 | 24.1 | 257 | 5.7 | -796 | 17. is |
| l'arific. . | 13,570 | 2,637 | 111. 1 | 7:31 | S. 1 | 1.521 | 11.2 | 2,252 | 16 i | ins | 2. | 1,116 | 8. 2 |
| Popllation-de |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Big citios | 21. 010 | 2,169 | 11. 3 | 325 | 1. | 1.13\% | 811 | 2, 002 | !1. i | 167 | 8 | 492 | 2.3 |
| Smatl citios, and suburios. | 31.263 | 6, 001 | 175 | 1427 | 4. 2 | 3, 9x | 11. 1 | 5, 413 | 15. | Sins | 1. 7 | 2.015 | 2. 4 |
| Towns. | 21, 729 | 5,337 | 245 | 1 1111 | \% | 2. (ity | 12.2 | 4,550 | 2019 | -Si | 36 | 2,688 | 12.1 |
| Rural aroas | 41,364 | 11,110 | 276 |  | 4. 7 | 1,521 | 117 | 8, 8.18 | 21.1 | 2.512 | 6. 2 | 6,589 | 1.54 |
| ADELTS 18 AND WIFR BY A (EX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | $50,0 \times 2$ | 16,293 | 32.5 |  | $12 \mathrm{i}$ | (i. 1ils | 1; 2 | $12,938$ |  | i. . 2.5 .5 |  |  |  |
| Womril | $53,705$ | 4,836 | !11 | 271 | . 5 | $4.41 \%$ | \&.2 | $\text { 4. } 689$ | - 7 | $177$ | ; | $11 \mathrm{~K}$ | 4 |
| AGE GROI PS: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $12-17 \text { years }$ | 14,579 | 3,788 | 26.0 | 1.05! |  | 2. 0:3\% | 11.1 | 3,186 | 21. 3 | (6i)2 | 1. 1 | 1,691 | 16 |
| $18-21$ year: | 12. 1330 | 2,517 | 20. 8 | 8.892 | 7. 4 | .1113 | 7 S | 1,805 | 14.3 | 712 | 5. 3 | 1. 604 | 1.12 |
| 25.34 veark | 21, 7 7 30 | 5, 104 | 23. 4 | 1, 912 | 8. 8 | 2. $3 \times 2$ | 11. 11 | 4,294 | 117.7 | 810 | 3.7 | 2, 722 | 12.5 |
| $35-11$ years | 23, 730 | 5,978 | 25.2 | 1. 87.3 | -11 | 3, 304 | 1.3. ! | 5, 177 | 21 A | 801 | S 1 | 2, 671 | 11. 3 |
| 45-64 years | 33, 220 | 6,272 | 18.9 | 166 | 3. 0 | 3, 3192 | 110. | 5,259 | 15.8 | 1. $111 \%$ | 3. 1 | 2,680 | \& 1 |
| 65 years and 心-r | 12.917 | 1,258 | ? 7 | 24 | 1. 9 | $8+5$ | 6. 5 | 1,092 | 8. 5 | 168. | 1.1 | . 113 | 3. 2 |

## FRESH-WATER AND SALT-WATER FISHERMEN AND BIG-GAME, SMALED-GARME, AND WATERFOWL HUNTERS

The tables opposite show fishing and hanting in 1955 aceorthing to particular classifications.

The age groups have been explained on page 32 .

## FISHERMEN

In the surver, each person who stated that he had fished in 1955 was asked questions separately about fresh-water fishing and about salt-water fisling. The following atefinitions of fresh-water and sult-water fishing were used:

Fresh-water fishing. All fishing in inland streams, lakes, ponds, or reservoirs, and all fishing in coustal streams above the tide limits.
sult-water fishing. - All fishing in the occan, in coastal bays and estuaries, and in coustal streams below the tide limits
Of the salt-water fishermen, the number who fished on the Atlantic and Gulf eoasts and the number who fished on tho Pacifie coast were as follows (note that the pereentages here are the percentages of the salt-water fishermen):


Many fishermen fished both in fresh water and in salt water C'onsequently, in the fishermen table, the sum of the number of fresh-water fishermen (eol. :3) and the number of salt-water
fishermen (col. 4) exceeds the total number of fishermen (eol. 2). It should be noted that the percentages in columns 2 , 3 , and 4 of the fishermen table are percentages of the total number of persons 12 vears of age and over as shown in column 1.

## HUNTERS

Each person who stated that he had hanted in 1955 was asked questions separately about big-game hunting, small-game hunting, and waterfow hunting. The following lists were used as intirations of the kind of hanting meant:

Big game.-Antolope, bear, deer, elk, javelina (pereary), moose, mountain grat, mountain sheep, wild boar, wild turkey:
small game.-Fox, opossum, rabbit, raceoon, squirrel, dove, grouse, partridge, pheasant, pigeon, prairie chieken, quail. rail, snipe, woodeock
Waterfowl.-Coot, duck. gallinule (marsh hen), goose.
Dany hunters hunted more than one kind of game. Consequently, in the hunters table, the sum of the number of lig-game hunters (col. 3), the number of small-game hunters (col. 4), and the number of waterfowl hunters (col. 5) exceeds the total humber of hunters (col. 2).

The perrentuges in columis $2,3,4$, and 5 of the hunters table are percentages of the total number of persons 12 years of age and over as shown in column 1.

## NUMBER OF PERSONS WHO FISHED IN FRESH WATER AND IN SALTSEPAR24FRD40

Permatates are perentares of thtals in colnman 1


NUMBER OF PERSONS WHO HUNTED BIG GAME, SMALL GAME, AND WATERFOWL


## FISHING AND HUNTING TRIPS, MAN-DAYS, AND MEMBERSHIP IN SEPABr4frio

The tables opposite, and those below, show some of the details about fishing and humting trips in $195 \%$.

## NUMBER OF PERSONS WHO TOOK SPECIFIED NUMBERS OF FISHING OR HUNTING TRIPS IN 1955

Eacls fisherman or hunter interviewed was asked to state the number of times he went fishing or hunting in 1955. Based on the replies given, the projected distribution of all fishermen and lunters in the l'nited sitates, according to the number of separate trips, is shown in the table opposite.

## NUMBER OF PERSONS WHO TRAVELED SPECIFIED DISTANCES ON FISHING OR HUNTING TRIPS IN 1955

Each person interviewed was asked the distance he traveled on his fishing or hunting trips. From the replies, the projected distribution of all fishermen and hunters in the Cnited states, aecording to the total distance each traveled for fishing or humting in 1955 , is shown in the tuble opposite.

## PERSONS WHO TOOK FISHING OR HUNTING TRIPS LASTING MORE THAN 1 DAY

Each person interviewed was asked how many days he fished or humted on each trip (a fishing day or hunting day thas being defined as any day or part of a day on which he fished or humted). From the replies, the projected numbers of fishermen and hunters in the United states and in various groupings, who took trips of more than one day, are shown in the table opposite. The percentages in this table are of the totat momber of persons 12 years of age and over as shown in column 1 .

## MAN-DAYS OF FISHING AND HUNTING

As projected from replies to interviews, the total number of days spent in 1955 in the different types of fishing and hunting in the Cuited states aggregate as follows:



The total number of man-days of fishing ( $397,447,000$ ) divided by the total mumber of fishermen $(20,813,000)$ gives an average of 19 days per fisherman, but this average is unduly influenced by a small number of fishermen who fished a very large number of days. The typical fisherman fished on $9 \frac{1}{2}$ days during the vear (this is the median, that is, half the fishormen fisheed less than $9 \frac{1}{2}$ days and half fished more than $9 \frac{1 / 2}{}$ days).

The typieal hunter hunted on si, days in 1955.

## MEMBERSHIP IN CLUBS

The persons interviewed were asked about membership in fishing or humting chubs of any kind. The projected total for the Inited states is as follows:

[^2]
## NUMBER OF PERSONS WHO TOOK SPECIFIED NUMBERS OF FISHING OR HUNTING TRIPS IN 1955

|  | Persons who fished |  | Persons who hunted |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | 1'etcent | Number | Percent |
| Weichted United States Total | 20, 813,000 | 100. 6 | 11,784,000 | 100.0 |
| Went fishing or honting- |  |  |  |  |
| Twice | 1,894, 000 | 9.1 | 1. 955,000 | 8.1 |
| 3 times | 1,772,000 | 8. 5 | 1,031,000 | 8. 7 |
| 4 or 5 times | 2, 161,000 | 10. 4 | 1, 728, 000 | 14.7 |
| 6 to 10 times | 3, 732,000 | 17.9 | 2, 470,000 | 21. 0 |
| 11 to 15 times | 2,600, 000 | 12. 5 | 1,475,000 | 12. 5 |
| 16 to 25 times | 2, 425,000 | 11.7 | 1,483,000 | 12. 6 |
| 26 to 50 times | 2, 169,000 | 10.4 | 1, 118,000 | 9.5 |
| 51 times and over | 1,497,000 | 7. 2 | 402,000 | 3. 4 |

## NUMBER OF PERSONS WHO TRAVELED SPECIFIED DISTANCES ON FISHING OR HUNTING TRIPS IN 1955

|  | Persons who fished |  | lersons who hunted |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Weimated [tnited States Total | 20,813, 000 | 100.0 | 11,784,000 | 100.0 |
| Reported traveling |  | 9. 9 | 1,656,000 | 14. 1 |
| 100 miles or less | 4, 271,000 | 20.5 | 2, 853, 000 | 24.2 |
| 101-250 miles | 3, 093, 000 | 14.9 | 2, 105, 000 | 17.9 |
| 251-500 miles | 2,838,000 | 13.6 | 1,712,000 | 14.5 |
| 501750 miles | 1, 768,000 | 8. 5 | 346,000 | 8. 0 |
| 751-1,000 miles. | 1,328, 000 | 6. 4 | 685, 000 | 5. 8 |
| 1,001-2,000 miles | 2,966,000 | 14.3 | 1, 172,000 | 10. 0 |
| 2,001-3,000 miles | 1, 165,000 | 5. 6 | , 369, 000 | 3. 1 |
| 3,001-5,000 miles | 818,000 | 3. 9 | 235, 000 | 2. 0 |
| Over 5.000 miles | 504,000 | 2. 4 | 51,000 | - 4 |

## PERSONS WHO TOOK FISHING SEDAR24HUANTING TRIPS LASTING MORE THAN I DAY

[Percentages are percentages of totals in column 1]

| Grouping | Column 1 <br> Total number of persons 12 and over in U. S. | Column ${ }^{\text {® }}$ |  | Column 3 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Fished on trips lasting more than 1 day |  | Huntid on trips lasting more than 1 day |  |
|  |  | Number | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per- } \\ & \text { cent } \end{aligned}$ | Number | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per- } \\ & \text { cent } \end{aligned}$ |
| Weifinted United |  |  |  |  |  |
| States tutal. | 118,366, 000 | 6,584,000 | 5. 6 | 2,145,000 | 2. 1 |
| C'ENSUS GEOCRAPHIC SECTIONS: |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New England | 7, 919,000 | 292,000 | 3. 7 | 10:3, 000 | 1. 3 |
| Middle Atlantic. | 24, 869, 000 | 687, 000 | 2. 8 | 367.000 | 1. 5 |
| East North Central. | 25, 733, 000 | 1,731,000 | 6. 7 | 527,000 | 2. 0 |
| West North Central. | 9, 201,000 | 763,000 | 8. 3 | 226,000 | 2. 5 |
| South Atlantic | 14, 336, 000 | 524, 000 | 3. 7 | 125,000 | - 9 |
| East South Central | 7, 959, 000 | 295,000 | 3. 7 | 58,000 | 7 |
| West South Central. | 10, 250, 000 | 719,000 | 7. 0 | 157,000 | 1. 5 |
| Mountain | 4, 529,000 | 515,000 | 1.1 | 205,000 | 5 |
| Pacific | 13,570, 000 | 1,058,000 | 7. 8 | 677, 000 | 5. 0 |
| Pofulation-Density groutpincos: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Big cities . | 21,010,000 | 645,000 | 3. 1 | 206, 000 | 1. 0 |
| Small cities, and suburbs. | 34, 263, 000 | 2, 071,000 | 6. 0 | 655, 000 | 1. 9 |
| Towns . . . . . . | 21,729,000 | 1,607,000 | 7. 4 | 576, 000 | 2. 7 |
| Rural areas | 41, 364,000 | 2, 261,000 | 5. 5 | 1,008, 000 | 2. 4 |
| ADULTS (18 AND OVER) BY |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men. | $50,082,000$ | 4,339,000 | 8. 7 | 2,110,000 | 4. 2 |
| Women | $53,705,000$ | 1, 441,000 | 2. 7 | 116,000 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12-17 years | 14,579,000 | 804, 000 | 5. 5 | 219, 000 | 1. 5 |
| 18-24 yoars | 12,130, 000 | 500, 000 | 4. 1 | 305, 000 | 2. 5 |
| 25-34 years | 21, 790, 000 | 1, 338,000 | 6.1 | $6.31,000$ | 2. 1 |
| 35-44 years | 23, 730, 000 | 1, 899, 000 | 8. 0 | 698,000 | 2.9 |
| 45-64 y uars. | 33: 220,000 | 1,783, 000 | 5. 4 | 544, 000 | 1. 6 |
| 65 years and over | 12, 917,000 | 260,000 | 2. 0 | 48,000 | 4 |

In the tables ont the mext six pares are shown the projections
 19.3. Fishermen and hunters intoriemed were asked detaided questons: about. their expemelitures in combertion with their sport. Gombe hat spernt almost mothing others had spont at groat deat obe sportsman spent \$12.190). Fixpermbtures were limited to thome of the imbividual respondent. and exerpt for those umder lit no person reported for athone else. The ser eral batos show the mational ligures for the dillorent kimes of lishing and hunting. acoording to sarions chases of expernditures.

## EQUIPMENT

Equipment expermlitures ineluded the ant amb maintenance of expipment used sperolicalls for lishing or latuting and of other equipuncot used primarily for fishitur ur humting. Expernlitures for purehase of expmpment were meluded only if the equpment had

 protated among the topes wh lishing and hanting in which the sportsmon participated.

 gear, and all other eguipmont used spereilicall? for lishing.

Itumting expuipmomt ineluded guns and rifles, shells and cartridges, hows and arrows, gumsights, fargets, derots: and ealls, amd all other equipment umed sperifically for homting.
()ther expipmont distod only if hased promarily for fishing or homting theluded fonts and sleoping gear, cooking and eating utonsils, speriat lishing and huntiner clothing, lanterns, binoculars, hoats and boat aceessorios, motors, frators, cabins, and other equipmont if used primarily for fishing or humting.

As projected from the cross-section sample, the fotal mamber of peraons (12 years of age ame over) who bought of reedivel eguipmont used for lishing or hanting (oxpipment purehased in the U'uifod States in 195.5 was as follows:

Nomber who bought or recemed cagraphatur
18.00,8, 1000

T'ercent of all fisherment atm! hantors
72. 5

1.5. 3

## TRIP EXPENDITURES

'Trip expemditures wore inchated only if the tupp was made primarity for fishing or humting ame in the ('ase of at party trij) they indended onty the expermbtures of the person interviewed.

For meals purchased during lishing and hanting orips, only that portion of the meal enst in excersis of the atrerage eonst of home
 of Agriculture, Houscholal Eronomics Raseareh Branch, Suptember 1955).

Automobile transportation expense for hishing amel hamting

 insuranere or lieonses $\operatorname{Ameriean}$ Antomohble Association laformation Bubletin No. 93, Mareh ge.sis. If four persons went together in an antomolite, on atishing trip), and one did mot lish, the athtomobile expense applical) to ash lisherman was the total divided by three. or $1_{6}^{1}$ cents a mile.

Other trip expenditares inchaded transportation other than bey automobile, refreshments, bat. gaide fers, remtals, cotrane fees, charter foes, and pack-trip leos.

If a trip was not mate primarily for lishing or hamting, the transportation. lodging. food, and refreshment eosis were exchuled.

## LICENSE AND LEASE FEES

In arder to be reeorded as a lieronse hotder in the survey, a person had to have purehased a lishang or humting herense during the catendar year lasis. Booktets desabibug the rations lieenses in cach state were used as memory atis. If the intial intervere in a housedald revealed that a certan member of the family had not lished during the ? extr, that person was not interviewed as a
195.5 fisherman and was mot asked whether ho hold a 1955 fishong liconse and similarly for hanting .
D) wek-stamp purehases are shown separately in the tables of expenditures of all persons who fished and/or hanted, of able persons who hanted, and of waterfowl hanters.

The surver indieated that 1,98 (i,000) perple hamted watwford during ealendar year $1955 . \quad$ Thess waterfowd hanters purchase 1, tifi2, 000 duck stamps. Since 272,000 waterfowl hunters were in the 12-17 ane eroup, it is presumed that the majority of the 324, 000 w:atrfor l hunters not purchasing duck stamps were bolow 16 yars of age. Any of these mot muler 16 verars of age would of eoturse be lomotiog illegally

Dhengh persons who hunted wateriow during 195.5 purchased onts $1,662,000$ duck stamps, an additional 395,000 humters purchased these stamps but, for some umexplamed ramson, did not go waterfowl handing in calendar year 1955. 'Ihns, the survey indicates that a total of $2,0.57,000$ duck samps were purchased bs lombtore durmer the year. Reports of duck-stamp sales indicate that approximately $2,236,000$ stamps were sold in 1955 (including sales for stamp collectors

## OTHER EXPENDITURES

ITnder other expenses are inchuded dub dues, subseriptions fo magazines primarily for fishomg and hunting, and all other expenditures mot sperifocally elassified hout incurred as a part of lishime or humting activities.

Expemtitures for dogs kept primarily for hometing are shown scparately in the table on all homting expentitures. In the bables on big-gamo humting. small-game humting, and waterfowl humting. and in the table on all persons who fished and or lonted, the expenditures for doos are included in other expenditures.

## EXPENDITURES OF PERSONS SEPAR24RRAPSHED AND/OR HUNTED IN 1955

The total number of fishermen andlar hunters (12 and over) in United States was 24,917,000.


## EXPENDITURES OF PERSONS WHO FISHED IN 1955

The tatal number of fishermen (12 and over) in United States was 20,813,000.


## EXPENDITURES OF FRES $\frac{\text { SEAAR }-W A A R P E R}{}$ FISHERMEN

The tatal number af Iresh-water fishermen (12 and over) in United States was 18,420,000.

| Expenditure item | Fishermen with expenditures |  | Total spent | Averag. spent per fisherman |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent <br> of :3ll <br> freshwater fisher111+11 |  |  |
| Equipment: |  |  |  |  |
| Fishing equipment | 11.788, 000 | 64. 0 | \$189, 168, 1000 | 810.27 |
| Other . . | 4. 6104.000 | $25.1)$ | 359.080. (100) | 19. 49 |
| Trip expenditures: |  |  |  |  |
| Food . . . . | 5. 482. 000 | 29.8 | S0, 863, 000 | 4. $3!9$ |
| Lodging | 2. 49\%. 000 | 13.5 | 54. 819, 000 | 2. 98 |
| Transportation anto expenises: | 16.656.000 | 90.1 | 228, 995, 000 | 12.43 |
| Other - | 14.315, 000 | 77. 7 | 450, 646, 000) | $2 \cdot 1.47$ |
| License and lease fers |  |  |  |  |
| Licensent | 13,501, 000 | 73. 3 | 35. 791,010 | 1. 94 |
| Leases and priviluges | 52. 000 | 3 | 292.000 | 0.1 |
| Other expenditures . | 4. 185.000 | 24.3 | 25. 195. 000 | 1. 37 |
| Weighted United States Total. |  |  | 1. 425, 353.000 | 77. 38 |

## EXPENDITURES OF SALT-WATER FISHERMEN

The total number of salt-water fishermen (12 and over) in United States was 4,557,000.

| Expenditure item | Fishermen with expenditures |  | Total spent | Average spent per fisherman |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent of all saltwater fishermen |  |  |
| Equipment: |  |  |  |  |
| Fishing equipment | 2. 602,000 | 57.1 | \$54.458.000 | \$11.95 |
| Other . | 1. 079,000 | 23. 7 | 190. 957, 000 | 41. 90 |
| Trip expenditures: |  |  |  |  |
| Food | 1. 870,000 | 41. 0 | 25,238,000 | 5. 54 |
| Lodging : | 578,000 | 12. 7 | 18,261,000 | J. 00 |
| Transporiation (anto penses) | 3. 841,000 | 84.3 | 42, 828, 000 | 9. 40 |
| Other . | 4. 074.000 | 89.4 | 1.16, 054, 000 | 32. 05 |
| License and lease fees: |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Leases and privileges | 2,000 |  | 44,000 | 01 |
| Other expenditures. | '1, 183, 000 | 26. 0 | 9, 650,000 | 2. 12 |
| Weichted U'nited States Total |  |  | 488, 939, 000 | 107. 29 |

The total number of salt-water fishermen (12 and over) on Aplantic and Gulf caasts was 3,490,000.

| Expenditure item | Fishermen with expenditure: |  | Total spent | Average spent per fisherman |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent of all A1lantic and Gulf coast salt-woater fisherinen |  |  |
| Equipment: |  |  |  |  |
| Fishing equipment. | 1. 904,000 | 55.7 | \$35, 165, 000 | \$10. 28 |
| Other | 786, 000 | 23. 0 | 80, 745, 000 | 23. 61 |
| Trip expenditures: |  |  |  |  |
| Food <br> Lodging | 1. $12.3,000$ | 11. 6 | $21,592,000$ $15,693,000$ | 6. 31 |
| Transportation (auto ex- | 4.30, 000 | 12. 6 | 15, 693, 000 | 4. 5! |
| pernses).... | 2, 723, 000 | 79. 6 | 34, 335, 000 | 10. 04 |
| ()ther | 2, 984. 000 | 87.3 | 118, 102, 000 | 34. 53 |
| License and tease fres: |  |  |  |  |
| Licenses | 92, 000 | 2. 7 | 102, 000 | 03 |
| Leases and privileges | 2. 000 | . 1 | 44, 000 | 01 |
| Other expenditures | 804,000 | 23. 5 | 6. 084, 000 | 1.78 |
| Weighted I'nited States Total |  |  | 311, 862, 000 | 91. 18 |

## EXPENDITURES OF PACIFIC COAST SALT-WATER FISHERMEN

The total number of Pacific coast salt-woter fishermen (12 and over) was 1,137,000

| 1.xpenditure item | Fixhermen with expenditures |  | Total spent | Average <br> spent per fisherm:1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ソimmber | Peremit of <br> all Parific cosst -atewater fi*hermen |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.¢иірmert : |  |  |  |  |
| lishing *quipment. | 6.98, 000 | 61.4 | \$19, 293, 000 | \$16.97 |
| () ¢heret | 2933, 000 | 25. 8 | 110,212,000 | 96. 93 |
| Triph "upenditures: |  |  |  |  |
| Food | 117, 000 | 33, 3 | 3, 646.000 | 3. 21 |
| lordenes | 118.000 | 13. 0 | 2, 568, 000 | 226 |
| Trammpertatios auto expernaro | 1.118, 000 | 98. 3 | ¢. 493. 1000 | 7. 17 |
| Other . . . | 1, 0!0, 000 | 95.3 | 27. 9.52 .000 | 24. 35 |
| hamener and leane fees: <br> Licethes | 690,000 | 60.7 | 1.317.000 | 1. 18 |
| l,at-es and privileges (hher expuraditures | 379, 000 | 333 | 3, 566,000 | 3. 14 |
| Weif;hted (Nitem States Total |  | - | 177.077.000 | 155. 71 |

EXPENDITURES OF PERSONS SEDAR24-RD40
IN 1955
The total number of hunters (12 and over) in United States was 11,784,000

| Fxpersliture itcon | 11muters with (xpern hturm |  | Toral spent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Wrr- } \\ & \text { arre } \\ & \text { sprlt } \\ & \text { per } \\ & \text { huntrr } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Niumber | Perecel 1 of all huntire |  |  |
| Equipment: |  |  |  |  |
| Hunting equmpment | 4 9 5 55. 0000 | \$1 1 | \$500 15. 1000 | \$20. is |
| Other | 3 +35.000 | 2!. 2 | 137. 15,1. 0000 | 11. 6.5 |
| Trip expenthtures: |  |  |  |  |
| Food | 3.187 .000 | 27 ! | 34.126. 0000 | 3. 27 |
| ledging | 862. 0101 | 73 | 15, 871. 1100 | 1. 8.7 |
| Transportation amto (x)- <br>  | 10, 12. 0000 | 4.5.! | 193.372000 | 7.97 |
| ()ther . | 1427000 | 11. | 1112, 75.. 11014 | 8. 12 |
| Licruber and leas fers |  |  |  |  |
| Lic.ankes and stamins | 9. $4.51,000$ | - 4.1 | 39, 0355.900 | 3. 31 |
| Leases and priviluges | -x. 000 |  | 2. +1.3 .1000 | $2!$ |
| 1) 1eh stamps . - | 2.157 .000 | 17.5 | 1. 114.000 | 85 |
| What they spem for dogs | 2. 11:3,000 | 17.9 | 121.3.34. 0001 | 10 ) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Other experulitures | 4. 530, 1000 | 3 : | 26.072. 1000 | 2. 21 |
|  States Total. |  |  | "1.36, 15ヶ\%, 000 | 7419 |

## EXPENDITURES OF BIG-GAME HUNTERS

The total number of big-game hunters (12 and aver) in United States was 4,414,000

Expet liture item

| W quipmeril |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 147,000 | 71. 3 | \$125, 30.4.000) | \$2x 34 |
| ()ther | 1. 4-8. 1000 | +12. 5 | (i.1. (1)46, 000 | 1451 |
| Trip expervithers: |  |  |  |  |
| Foorl | 1.718.000 | הS. ${ }^{1 / 1}$ | 17.019, 0000 | 3. 86 |
| J.ordging | 6.37, 000 | 111 | 11. 113.000 | 2. 36 |
| Tratspertatiol ant.1 + penses | 4.185,000 | 948 | 31, 739, 1000 | 719 |
| ()ther | 2, 20! 0000 | 50. 11 | $42,312,1000$ | 13. 54 |
| License and 1 ast ferz: |  |  |  |  |
| licouses atid stamps | 4, 223, 0000 | 9.5. 7 | 19, 6002, 000 | 14 |
| Leasis surd priviluges | 17.000) | 1. 1 | 1. 93:3!. 1100 | , 1 |
| ()ther mependitures imeln: |  |  |  |  |
| ing hogs | 1. 11333,000 | 438 | 1),505,000 | 2. 61 |
|  <br> states 1'H:l |  |  | $323,904,000$ | 73.35 |

EXPENDITURES OF SMALL-GAME $\stackrel{\text { SEDAR24-RDU0 }}{\text { HUNTERS }}$

The total number of small-game hunters (12 and over) in United States was 9,822,000.


| Eıиири <br> 1hunting "funpon ent <br> Wher |
| :---: |
| "Tripe expel ditures lioud loxlerian. |
| prohsers. <br> ()ther |
| lioponser athd leane feess l.icertses :and stamps 1.c:axis :mat privileges |
| () her expmoditures finchating (loges) |
| Weichatem l'aited今tates TUPA1. |


| ¢. 0331.000 | 81. S | S146, 274, 0)(1) | \$18.:17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. 341.000 | 23.8 | 46. 11:3 ()00 | 1. 69 |
| 1. 1605. 000 | 11i. 3 | 15.6.32.000 | 1. 63 |
| 162. 1000 | 1. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 3. 910.0010 | . 10 |
| 8. 014.000 | 81.19 | 50. 1:82, 000 | 5. 11 |
| 3, 218.000 | 32. ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | 15. $7+11,000$ | 46 |
| S.0xt, 000 | 82. 3 | 18, 195, 01001 | 1. 85 |
| 20,000 | 2 | 2999, 000 | (1)3 |
| 3. 8677.000 | 399,4 | 126. $15 \times 7.000$ | 12.90) |

## EXPENDITURES OF WATERFOWL HUNTERS

The total number of waterfowl hunters (12 and over) in United States was 1,986,000.

| Expenditure item | Hunters with expenditures |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Average } \\ & \text { spent } \\ & \text { per } \\ & \text { hunter } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | lercent of all waterfowl himiters | Total spent |  |
| Equipment: 810 |  |  |  |  |
| Ifunting equipment | 1, 626,000 | 81. 9 | \$339, 380,000 | $\$ 19.83$ |
|  | 607.000 | 30. ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | $27,512,000$ | 13. 85 |
| Trip expenditures: |  |  |  |  |
| food. | 495.000 | 2-1.9 | 1, 835,000 | 2. 43 |
| Lodging . . | 112.000 | 5.6 | 1.548.000 | . 78 |
| Transportation (antu ax- |  |  |  |  |
| penses). | 1,813,000 | 91. 3 | 12,051,000 | 6. 07 |
| Other | 8.99 .000 | 45. 3 | 14,67.1,000 | 7. 39 |
| License and leake fees: |  |  |  |  |
| licenses and stamps. | 1.86.1.000 | 93. 9 | 2, 928,000 | 1. 47 |
| Leases and privileges | 12.000 | . ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 225,000 | . 11 |
| Duck stamps . | 1, 662,000 | 83.7 | 3, 324,000 | 1. 67 |
| ()ther expenditures (inclucling dogs) | $96 \cdot 1.000$ | 48.5 | 12,268,000 | 6. 18 |
| WEIGHTED UNITED States Total. |  | . . | 118,745.000 | 59.79 |

HOLDERS OF STATE FISHING SERAB24-RPANTING LICENSES

| detivity | Column 1 <br> Totsl number in United States | ('olumn ? <br> With licens:s |  | (olumn i) <br> Withont licenses |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number | Per- <br> cent of colism! 1 | Number | Percent of eolunn! 1 |
| Fishermen |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fished only | 13, 133,000 | 7. 161,000 | 56.8 | 5,672,000 | 43. 2 |
| Fished and hunted (fishing licenses) | $7,680,000$ | 6,276,000 | 81. 7 |  | 18. 3 |
| Total who fished | $20,813,000$ | 3. 737,000 | 66.0 | 7,076,000 | 34.0 |
| Fished in fresh water Fished in salt water | $18,420,000$ $4,557,000$ | $3,501,000$ 782,000 | 73.3 17.2 | $4,919,000$ $3,775,000$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26.7 \\ & 82.8 \end{aligned}$ |
| MUnters |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tlunted only <br> Hunted and fislied (hunting licenses). | 4, 104, 000 | $3,274,000$ | 79. 8 | 830.000 | 20. 2 |
|  | $7.680,100$ | $6,677,000$ | 86. 9 | 1,003, 000 | 13. 1 |
| Total who hunted. . 11, 884,000 |  | 9,951,000 | 84. 4 | 1,833, 000 | 15.6 |
| Hunted big game | $4,414,000$ | 4, 223,000 | 95.7 | 191. 000 | 4. 3 |
| Hunted small game | 9, 822,000 | 8, 084,000 | 82.3 | 1, 738,000 | 17.7 |
| Hunted waterfowl | 1,986,000 | 1,864,000 | 93.9 | 122,000 | 6. 1 |

## FISHING AND HUNTING LICENSES

There are a number of conditions under which fishermern and hunters are not reguired to purelase lierenses in many of the States. Persons under 16 could fish in more than half of the states and hunt in a fourth of them without a license in 1955. In many States persons over 65 and disabled veterans could humt and fish without licenses. In some States, women and adive serviemen did not ned licenses. In many States persons could hant or fish on their own property without a license. Salt-water fishing did mot require a license in most coastal states daring 1955.

The surver indicated that of the $20,813,000$ persons who fished during the calemar year 1955, 13,737,000 puredased fisling lieenses at a cost of $\$ 37,240,000$, and of the $11,784,000$ persons who hunted. $0,951,000$ purchased hunting licenses at a cost of $\$ 39,935,000$

For the fiscal year ending June 30, 1955, the States reported sales of $19,625,387$ fishing licenses yielding revemue of $\$ 39,501,838$,
and $16,241,931$ hunting licenses yielding $\$ 42,790$, ,i87 .
In the past there las been a tendency to treat. State license figures as though each sale represented an individual fisherman or hunter, even though it was known that many people purchased mote than one license. Athough the sales figures above are for the year ending June 30, 1955, sales were probably of about the same magnitude for the calendar year 1955. A comparison of the license statistic:s from the surver with those from the state sales reports would indicate that several million dollars worth of liecenses were sold to fishermen and hunters who did mot use dhem during 1955. It would also imlicate that a great many fishermen and hunters purchased more than one license. Of course many of the additional licenses would be of the nomesident type, or spectal permits, stamps, or tags required for certain game species in some states.

## THE TECHNIQUE OF THE SURVEY

## THE SAMPLING PLAN

$T$ anterviow exer humter and fisherman in the lonted states would be an extremely diflewh and eostly modertaking. Forthmatels, with mollom sampling methods, this is not nefessary Highls aceurateresuls ran be obtamed for the United states as a whole from a comparatively small sample. In steh samplinge, it is the distributen of the sample rather than its size that makes it truls representative of the total populations.

Sine infermation was desired from monleensed at wed as li consed hunters and fishemen, a sample drawn from titate liense reeords would not sulfier. To whatis the needed information, a represembate sample of homseholds throughout the I nited states was needed. The method nsed in selerthig this sample for the fishing and honting surwey is known as "ares probahility sampling." It sthe method used bes the Bureat of the Comsus and by mose mational surver mganizatmons and is considered the best sampling procedure yer deweloperl.
[inder the prohability sampling method used in this surves. wery houselook in the Enited States had a hown chane of being included in the samplo. The homsehodes induded were selected by satisto at formulas rathe than ha persomal whome

This seledtion began with the population records of the 19.50 rensus The 19.8 peppulation was divided into segonemts, and within each segment a large mumber of small areas ("cenls" were assigued, each with sperified bommarios hut with no sperified number of homes. 'Ther surver thos berome self-adjusting for incereases in population simen 1 gise Where new homes had beon built since 1950), inereasing an area's poputation, the sample took note of this increase. With this automatir atjustment, the sample for the whole coluter indieated a cotal of $4 \times, 349.000$
 rears old and wher.
 in the sample. This providect a sumple of atoout 20, 0 ore howsehodds in 1,000 nocightortoods in 18 sitates. In each of these households all persoms 12 years old or where who hat fished or hanted in 19.5 were digible for interverw.

Athough 1 in 2. 13.5 represented the arerage chanere that a honsehold would fall in the sample, the chames actually varied from as high as 1 in s.3.4 for some groups of homes to ats low as 1 in $\mathbf{5}^{5}, 579$ for others. The menton for sampling different groups of homes at different rates was mereased efticenery. When all homes are wery muth alike, a sample of a few will give a reliable pieture of the whole. Where homes differ widels, a lagere sample is neoded to be sure that the whole range is repmesemed adequately. Where fishing amb humting were vers popular activities homes conded to be fairly uniform in that regard. For purposes of the surver, this meant that the lowest sampting rate was necoded where fishing and hanting were most eommon and the highest rate was neded wher thes were least rommon.

Four steps led the interviemer to the door of the sample household:

1. The L'med States was divided into 16 parts.
2. A statistical formula picket some eommmitios from cach part
3. A statistieal formula pieked sume meighborthoods from each commomity
4. A statistical formula piekod some housco from anth neighborlooot.
"statistoral formula" is a short mame for the seremtife exerecise of impersonal chanere. The operation of these formulas, coupled "ith patterns of human behavior "hich repeat themselves ower and over again, makes it possible to find oul what happens in millions of lomes bexamining only a few thousand.
 a ach in 2 direvtions. The first separation was mato by the density of the population:
5. Rural Americs
6. Amall-town Amoriea
B. Big-lown amd suburban America
7. Large-city Ameriara

Inherent inclimation to humt and fish provided the seotond fonmWay ent:
a. Heary lanting-fishing Amorien

(- Average homating-finhinge Amerita
d. Belon-average lomting-fishing America
 subsample could be taken from cach part attel the resulta added togethes. This served to spreal out the sample. flarehy providing better dispersion and representativeness. It also made pessible the ditheriage sampling rates which mbtancot the semplers "flievorney.

This division was derederl upon becanse it provided the greatest afficioney umber two premises:

1. The more urbanized an area. the lese its inlabitants indulge in lounting and fishing.
2. Ill other thimge heing equat, the inhabitants of some states have an stromger melination to lime and fish than those of other States (becanse of opportumity, (astoms, and other inflaemeess).
 tion analysis was made of the numbere of resident lamting ant fishing licenses issumb hy cach state against that staters urtanaza-
 mathematically the relation between two sets of facts. The relation proved to be quite strong in this rase (isti pereent). The strengith of the mation shoume that it would he most afficiont to sample the large eitios, big towns, small towns, amb rural areas at different rates.

Therestatical formulas did all the work
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 munities, then meighborhoods, and then lomses. A "commanity" was either a renter of pojubation concentration, from the largest city down to the smallest village, or what was left over in a townsliij) or coumty when all of thesu centers were removed.('ommmaties and neighborloots for the survey were drawn from Census Burean lists by means of statistical formalas. 'The number of houses to be included ju each meighborlood had bern previonsly eletermaned. It varied among the tif parts of the l'mited Stales, but it was the same in all communties sampled in ans one of the parts. 'The mumber of honses was hesed in lares part on the mamber of hamers amel fishermen experted to he present in earh particular part.

Whaje the momber in cach nojghborhoorl was thas predelermined, the selection of the actual sample housces was agam a matter of "hance selection by statistical lormula. 'lhe intervewer listed all fomes in the menghborlooed of a eertan oreler on a spereial listing fotm but samplex only those whose listings foll in previonsly marked limes on the form.

## THE INTERVIEWING

The surver was emmateded on a house-to-house hasis by trained interviewers of ('rossher, \& D) Sinvers, Ine. Bofore the atotual surver, the chaborate questionatres, one for fishang and one for hanting, wrop presested in a mamber of areas seat tered throughout the Lnime states. Field intersiowing for the survey begat on January 7 . 19.as, amd contimued for approximatedy 2 months.

A program of adsance publioty was carried ont before the start of field intorviewing 'This incheded offeral reledses to news-
 and Wildlife hervier, and a mamber of radio appearances by members of the (rossles firm, all inviting publice cooperation.

FFichl supervisors of the (rossley firm attended trainings sessions on the surver in the Vew York offere and in forn held simbar training sessons for interviewors in their areas. land intervemer
received a complete manual of mstructons on the stulty and was repuired to phsis a written test on the methods and to eomblast practiec interviews before the start of the surver.

To assure fullest cooperation and maximum aceurace, each interview was opened with a statement of the survey purpose and a guaranter to keep the identity of the respondent eonlidential. It was mate chear that haw onforemem was not a purpose of the stidy. Fiach intervewer carried a number of cerdentials, including an identifiation badge, a letter of introduction from the Fish and Wildife Service, and Benter Business Burenu identifuetion.

The intervewers visited each household in the mational sample up to four times to establish contact with a responsible aduh member and to complete intervews. During the initial contact, the intervewer obtained the composition of the family and the names of those members 12 years of age or over who had hanted or fished in 1955. Each humter or fisherman in the family was then intervewed. One questionnaire was used to recort an intervew about fishing and another guestionatire to record an interview ahont hunting.

Sine the sportsmen who were intervionsEPAR24-RP40 in reenll events that had huppencl during the preceling year, memory aids were used. These consisted of rabembars, lists of fish and game speries, lists of equipment items, booklets of license requirements, and simplified phanseology of questions.

As anch group of houscholds was completed. the results were sent in to the home ofliee where they were checked to be sure that the corred sampling procedure had been followed. Each questiomaire was examined for correet recording in the proper places and for completeness of answers to all questions. In cases of omission and seming inconsistencies the fietel supervisor was motified and the respondent was contacted to supply the missing information or to verify what had been recorded.

The same high degree of guality control was maintained during the period when information on the questionnaire was being cardef and punched on machine-tabulating eards. Similar care was taken during machme tabulation, and unusual situations were cherked immediately for validation.

## RELIABILITY OF THE RESULTS

The statistical reliability of the major findings of the surver is estimated as follows:
FXPUMBEN OF FISHERMEN AND HUNTERS
Projection for mumber of

## EXPEATHBREES FISHERMEN ANI) HUNTERS

| $1{ }^{2}$ rojeetion for expenditures of | Estimated rotal expenditure | Standard errer of the total |  | Linnts between which the figurs from a complete pnumeration would fall, with a 19 out of 20 prabability |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Actual | Percent |  |
| Fishermen and or hunters | $82,850,979,000$ | \$127, 154, 000 | 4. 46 | \$2,596,671,000-\$3, 105,287,000. |
| Fishermen | 1, 914, 2!12,000 | 107, 342, 0100 | 5. 61 | \$1,699,508,000 \$2,129,076,000. |
| Hunters. | 935, 685,000 | +t, 754, 000 | +. 78 | \$847, 139, 000-\$1.026,235, 0000. |
| Salt-water fishermen. | 488, 939, 1000 | (it, 540,0040 | 13.20 | \$3599,859, 000- $81618,019.0000$. |
| Fresh-water fistermen- | 1, 425, 353, 000 | 58, 582, 0001 | 4. 11 | \$1,308, 189,000-\$1,512,517,000. |
| Big-game hunturs | 323, 909, 000 | 23, 775, 0101 | 7. 34 | \$2715,359,000-\$371, 459,000). |
| Small-game hunters | 494, 033,000 | 24,208,000 | 4.90 | \$445,617,000-\$542,449,(10)0. |
| Waterfowl hunters. | 118, 745, 000 | 10.937. 0000 | 9. 21 | \$ $\$ 96,871,0003-\$ 1+0,619,000$. |
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The statistical material in this repont was compiled by ('rossley (1) Survers. Ince. ander contract to Fish and Wildife service. Coited States Deparment of the Interion:
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[^0]:    A table of combert appears on paga 30 .

[^1]:    UNIIED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR • FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE • WASHINGTON • OCTOBER 1956

[^2]:    Number who belonged to fishing and hanting clubs
    1,371,000
    Pereent of all fishermen and hanters
    Percent of all persons 12 years of age and over . . . . . 1.2

