## 25 million sportsmen U.S.A.

Marine Biological Laborator,

NOV 8- 1908 WOODS HOLE, MASS WOODS HUR REPORTED TO THE REPORT OF THE REPORT NOV D = 1200 DODA HOLE. MARS

# NATIONAL SURVEY OF FISHING AND HUNTING



## NATIONAL SURVEY OF FISHING AND HUNTING A REPORT ON THE FIRST NATIONWIDE 1955

ECONOMIC SURVEY OF SPORT FISHING AND HUNTING IN THE UNITED STATES. DURING THE CALENDAR YEAR



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR Fred A. Scalon, Secretary FISH AND WHLDLIFE SERVICE John L. Farley, Director

**Circular** 44

A survey of hunting and fishing in the United States has long been needed to determine the importance of these truly American sports in our national economy. We now have reliable national figures. In these days of fast-increasing human populations, of growing tensions, and of generally recognized need for recreation, the facts and figures in this National Survey of Fishing and Hunting have especial significance. The sums are in millions and in billions. The importance of hunting and fishing in our economy, and in our way of life, is now a matter of record. The results of this survey will be invaluable in planning fish and game management for the future.

John D. Farly

Director

A table of contents appears on page 50.



When a typical American family drives out to Little Pond for a Saturday of fishing, or when a father and son and their dog set out for an afternoon of hunting, they have lots of company. Probably more than the average citizen of any other great modern nation, the average American enjoys sport fishing and hunting.

Fishing and hunting have always been part of America. When colonists first came to this continent, the New World's fish and game meant the difference between life and death. Men fished and hunted then to feed their families. Today, long after they have ceased to be the basis of subsistence, fishing and hunting continue as an important part of our standard of living by providing recreation for millions of Americans. There have been many evidences of the importance of fishing and hunting in modern America. Millions of fishing and hunting licenses are sold each year. Many thousands of people belong to fishing and hunting clubs. Sales of sport-fishing tackle and hunting arms and ammunition mount to millions of dollars annually.

But despite the many evidences that these sports are big in recreational importance and in economic importance, nobody has known exactly how big. Rough estimates have been made that the Nation's sportsmen spend anywhere from a billion to \$10 billion a year for goods and services in connection with their fishing and hunting pursuits, but these have been little more than guesses.

Fishing and hunting licenses do not tell the whole story, because on the one hand many fishermen and hunters don't have to have licenses and on the other hand many persons have more than one kind. Only part of the army of fishermen and hunters belong to clubs. And the production of fishing and hunting equipment tells only part of the story about the economic importance of these sports. In the last decade, the question of the economic importance of these forms of recreation has become of greater and greater concern to those interested in the conservation of fish and wildlife. Since World War II, changes in land use and water use have proceeded apace. Conservation agencies have sought to conserve fish and wildlife resources affected by these changes. Often the inclusion of conservation provisions in land-use and water-use projects has faced problems of justifying cost as compared with economic benefits. Consequently, the conservation agencies have needed more information on the economic aspects of sport fishing and hunting as a partial measure of the value of fish and wildlife conservation.

Excise taxes on sport-fishing tackle and on sporting arms and ammunition, which produce about 20 million dollars a year, have been allocated by Congress to special funds for fishing and hunting improvement. Through the U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the conservation or fish and game departments of the States, these taxes on fishing and hunting equipment are used as Federal Aid to the States in cooperative programs for fish and wildlife restoration. In carrying out their management programs, fish and game agencies have felt the need for more exact figures to guide them.

In the last few years about a fourth of the State fish and game agencies have made economic surveys of fishing and hunting in their areas. Made at different times and by various methods, these surveys could not be combined to give the nationwide information needed. The need for accurate countryworsEDAR24 RD49 on on sport fishing and hunting and their effect on the national economy reached a point in 1953 when the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, the State fish and game agencies, the Wildlife Management Institute, the National Wildlife Federation, the Sport Fishing Institute, and other groups began giving serious consideration to conducting a comprehensive rational study to obtain reliable answers. At its annual meeting in September 1954, the International Association of Game, Fish, and Conservation Commissioners (comprising the fish and game commissioners and directors of the 48 States and the Canadian Provinces) recommended that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service carry out a nationwide survey financed from Federal Aid administrative funds.

To make the survey as accurate and as objective as possible, it was determined to have it done by independent experts in the field of national surveys. After approval by the Bureau of the Budget, outstanding firms specializing in large-scale sampling censuses were asked to submit proposals, and a survey contract was made with Crossley, S-D Surveys, Inc., of New York.

Several months were spent in working out procedures to ensure an accurate and comprehensive count. The national survey was carried out during the months of January and February 1956, collecting data on sport fishing and hunting for the calendar year 1955. In every State, interviewers ascertained whether persons had fished or hunted in 1955 and recorded detailed information about their fishing and hunting activities.

## WHAT THE SURVEY MEASURED

The amount of money spent by lishermen and hunters is an indication of the value they place on their sports. Since it represents revenue to a variety of businesses serving the sportsmen, this expenditure is an indication of the business value of fishing and hunting. It falls far short, however, of showing all the values of these sports. There are intangibles beyond the grasp of statistics. The enjoyment, the satisfaction, the recreation of a fishing or hunting trip cannot be expressed in dollars. Only to the extent that the money a person spends for a particular form of recreation can suggest the value he places on that recreation, this survey has measured the value of fishing and hunting to the sportsman. And in showing the amount and nature of his expenditures, the survey indicates some of the value of these sports in the general economy.

The survey recorded specific items concerning fishing and hunting activities. It did not inquire into attitudes or other intangibles. Popularity of fishing and hunting was measured only as shown by actual participation. If someone would have fiked to go fishing in 1955, but did not, he was not included in this survey.

Since continuation of fishing and hunting depends upon the conservation of the resources on which these recreations depend, the economic benefits from fishing and hunting activities are a partial indication of the value of fish and wildlife conservation. Many others besides these sportsmen also enjoy the country's fish and wildlife resources. They, like the sportsmen, spend time and money in connection with their recreation. But unless they fished or hunted in 1955, they were not included in this survey.

Thus, the survey was limited strictly to measuring the extent of sport-fishing and hunting activities in 1955 and the effect of those activities on the general economy.

## HOW THE SURVEY WAS MADE

A personal-interview survey was selected as the best method for obtaining the detailed information desired. It consisted of interviews with hunters and fishermen in a cross section of households throughout the United States. Houses, rather than individuals, were chosen for the initial contact since answers were desired from persons not requiring hunting and fishing licenses as well as from license holders, who might have been contacted directly. The sample of households was selected by scientific sampling methods so as to be representative of the United States as a whole.

Selection of samples of households began with the 1950 census of population. On the basis of that census, a large number of sample areas were selected. Interviewers personally surveyed each area and determined the increase in the number of households from 1950 to 1955. Thus, the survey was self-adjusting for increases in households and population since 1950. By this self-adjusting feature the survey showed a total of 48,389,000 households in 1955, containing 118,366,000 persons 12 years old and older.

Calls on a specified proportion of the households in each sample area established the presence of eligible hunters or fishermen. To be eligible for interview a person had to be 12 years of age or older and to have hunted or fished at least once during the calendar year 1955.

Each of the 48 States was included in the sample. Interviews were conducted by some 300 interviewers in more than 250 places including more than a thousand cluster groupings. Calls on approximately 20,000 homes yielded 6,220 interviews with fishermen and 3,108 interviews with hunters. The findings of these interviews were then projected, by standard statistical procedures, to the whole population. The survey covered -

- Sport-fishing and hunting activities.
- Persons 12 years af age and over.
- The calendar year 1955.

The survey indicated that in 1955-

- The total number of households in the United States was 48,389,000.
- The total number of persons 12 years old and older was 118,366,000.

This report shows-

- The number of households in which one or more persons fished or hunted.
- The number of persons who fished or hunted.
- How much these persons spent on fishing and hunting.
- How far they traveled to fish or hunt.
- How many days of fishing and hunting they enjoyed.
- The information on fishing and hunting activities is based on personal interviews and is subject to the limitations of respondents' memory.
- All figures represent projections from the sample and are subject to standard sampling limitations.
- The results with respect to numbers of fishermen and hunters in the nation are considered accurate within 5 percent. For expenditures and regional figures, the potential statistical variation may be greater.

## **1IN EVERY 3** households in the U.S. in 1955 had one or more fishermen or hunters



Of the 118,366,000 persons 12 years of age and over in the United States in 1955, close to 8 million enjoyed **both** fishing and hunting.

When these "boths" are included in the total for each group—

18 percent went fishing.

10 percent went hunting.

20,813,000 persons fished.

11,784,000 persons hunted.

1 in every 5 fished or hunted in 1955	persons a	ge 12 and over fished 11% hunted 3% both 7% total 21%
Mithelite and a second	MILLIONS WHO HUNTED FISHED	SMALL GAME9.8BIG GAME4.4WATERFOWL2.0FRESH WATER18.4SALT WATER4.6

in



# 12,938,000 MEN FISHED



# 4,689,000 WOMEN FISHED



# 9,675,000 MEN HUNTED



# 418,000 WOMEN HUNTED



variation in percent fishing & hunting in 1955

POPULATION DENSITY GROUPING

AGE 12 AND OVER



# percent who fished BY AGE GROUPS-1955



## percent who hunted BY AGE GROUPS-1955



25 million people spend a lot of money on fishing and hunting. They spend it for fishing tackle and hunting equipment, for camping gear and special clothing, for automobile expense and food and lodging on their fishing and hunting trips, for dogs, for boats and motors, and for various kinds of fishing and hunting licenses.

# **3** billion dollars (\$2,850,979,000) for fishing and hunting in 1955







This is the first time that good information has been available on the extent and importance of salt-water fishing in the United States. In most of the coastal States, licenses are not required for salt-water fishing, so we have not had even the license-sale figures to suggest how many people enjoy fishing along the Nation's coastline.

# salt-water fishing 1955



The figures in the facing chart are car-miles, not passenger-miles. Automobile trovel for different kinds of hunting ond fishing included—

	Car-miles	Passenger-miles
Hunting Big game Smoll game Waterfowl	906,829,000 1,433,771,000 344,314,000	2,222,373,000 3,094,974,000 754,949,000
Total	2,684,914,000	6,072,296,000
Fishing: Fresh water	6,542,829,000 1,223,657,000	15,006,433,000 2,904,001,000
Total	7,766,486,000	17,910,434,000
Totol, hunting and fishing	10,451,400,000	23,982,730,000

The charts on pages 24 and 25 show the distribution of the hunters and fishermen according to the distances they traveled by all kinds of transportation to pursue their sport.

# 10.4 billion miles by automobile





			SEDAR24-RD40
	2,062,000	THAN ONE	ishermen
****	4,271,000	1 TR LESS MILES	travel
<b>İTAT</b>	3,093,000	101-25	0 MILES
<u>h</u> hhh	2,838,000	25	1-800 MILES
Т.Т.Т.	1,768,000		501-750 MILES
ŤŤ	1,328,000		751-1000 MILES
****	5,453,000		1000 PLUS
			- 25

Many fishermen and hunters were not required to have licenses.

- In most of the coastal States, salt-water fishing did not require a license in 1955.
- In many States, persons under 16, or persons over 65, or women, or servicemen, or veterans, or persons fishing or hunting on their own property, did not need licenses.
- The survey report on licenses purchased and the annual reports of license sales are discussed on page 45.



in 1955			SEDAR24-RD40
the typical	hunter	&	fisherman
SPENT	¢		\$79.49
FISHED & HUNTED	$8\frac{1}{2}$ DAYS		$9\frac{1}{2}$ DAYS
TOOK	5 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> TRIPS		8 TRIPS
DROVE	206 MILES		319 MILE

## Correction and supplement sheet for— (Insert at page 28.) NATIONAL SURVEY OF FISHING AND HUNTING

(Circular 44)

In the chart on page 28, the expenditure figures were transposed in printing. Further, those expenditure figures are average (mean) values, while the other figures in the chart are median values. Inasmuch as there is need, in considering the economic aspects of fishing and hunting, for both the means and the medians for all the items concerned that "the reverse of this sheet should be substituted for ine

Corrections should cut as follows: On pages 24 and 25, the first miteage block in expenditures. Should read "LESS THAN 1 MILE," and the fourth mileage block should read "251 500 MILES." On page 49, the table captions were transposed; the first table relates to number of fishermen and hunters, and the second table relates to expenditures.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR . FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE . WASHINGTON . OCTOBER 1956

IN 1955

		U. S. TOTAL	MEAN	MEDIAN
20,813,0	00 FISHERMEN	:		
Money	expended	\$1,914,292,000	\$91.98	\$29.75
Man-d	ays	397,447,000	19.1	9.5
Trips	taken	341,333,000	16.4	8
Miles	by automobile .	17,910,434,000	860.5	319
11,784,0	DO HUNTERS:			
Money	expended	\$936,687,000	\$79.49	\$27.30
Man-d	ays	169,423,000	14.4	8.5
Trips	taken	154,370,000	13.1	5.5
Miles	by automobile .	6,072,296,000	515.3	206

Page 28 (revised)



## NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS

#### SEDAR24-RD40

The figures shown in the tables are the projections of the results of interviews in sample areas in all of the 48 States, providing a cross section of the United States. The figures are given in projected terms of total population in 1955 (rather than only in percentages of the sample). Initial contacts were with individual households. In each selected household, each person who had fished or hunted in 1955 was interviewed at length. The primary purpose was to obtain information for the country as a whole, but since certain population groupings were used in carrying out the survey, it is possible to give figures for these groupings.

The geographical sections are the standard divisions used by the Bureau of the Census for population statistics. They are indicated on the map below.



The population-density groupings are based on Census Bureau classifications as set out in the 1950 census. They are as follows:

a. BIG CITIES. -All central cities with populations of 500,000 or more within the city limits. In the original survey tabulation, these were designated as "large metropolitan centers."

b. SMALL CITIES, AND SUBURDS. The suburbs of the cities in a, and all other cities and their suburbs in areas defined in the 1950 census as urbanized (thickly populated). In the original survey tabulation, these were designated as "suburbs and small metropolitan centers."

c. Towss. All urban places (outside urbanized areas included under a and b) with populations of 2,500 or more. In the original survey tabulation, these were designated as "small cities."

 $d_{\rm e}$  RURAL AREAS. All rural places with populations of less than 2,500 and all open country. In the original survey tabulation, these were designated as "rural territory."

It should be noted that the percentages in this households table (like those in following tables on number of fishermen and hunters) are percentages of the total number in the United States or in the region or population-density grouping (col. 1). For example, as shown in column 2 of the opposite table, 34.8 percent of all the households in the United States had at least one person who fished, or hunted, or fished and hunted during 1955. It should be kept in mind also that the "Total households with fishermen" (col. 5) and the "Total households with hunters" (col. 7) both include the households in which one or more persons fished and hunted (col. 3); consequently the sum of columns 5 and 7 exceeds the total in column 2.

## NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS WITH PERSONS 12 YEARS OLD AND OLDER WHO FISHED, OR HUNTED, OR FISHED AND HUNTED IN 1955

	[In thousa	nds. Per	centage	es are perc	entages	s of totals	in colu	mn 1)					
	Column 1	Colum	n 2	Columi	n 3	Colum	n 4	Colum	n ō	Colum	n 6	Colum	n ?
Grouping	Total number of house- holds	Total house- holds with fishermen <i>and/or</i> hunters		Households with fisher- men and hunters		Househ with fis men o	olds her- nly	Total h holds y fishern (col. 3 col. 4	ouse- vith nen plus l).	Households with hunt- ers only		Total house- holds with hunters (col. 3 plus col. 6).	
	in U.S.	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent
Weighted United States Total	48, 389	16, 848	34. 8	6, 712	13. 9	7, 217	14, 9	13, 929	28, 8	2, 919	6, 0	9, 631	19, 9
CENSUS GEOGRAPHIC SECTIONS: New England Middle Atlantic East North Central West North Central East South Atlantic East South Central West South Central Monitain Pacific	$\begin{array}{c} 3,\ 125\\ 10,\ 120\\ 10,\ 656\\ 3,\ 790\\ 5,\ 545\\ 3,\ 043\\ 4,\ 250\\ 4,\ 871\\ 5,\ 989\end{array}$	839 2, 540 3, 725 1, 841 2, 223 1, 296 1, 644 866 1, 874	$\begin{array}{c} 26. \ 9\\ 25. \ 1\\ 35. \ 0\\ 48. \ 6\\ 40. \ 1\\ 42. \ 6\\ 38. \ 7\\ 46. \ 3\\ 31. \ 3\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 321 \\ 749 \\ 1, 511 \\ 876 \\ 892 \\ 643 \\ 676 \\ 427 \\ 617 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 10. \ 3\\ 7. \ 4\\ 14. \ 2\\ 23. \ 1\\ 16. \ 1\\ 21. \ 1\\ 15. \ 9\\ 22. \ 8\\ 10. \ 3\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 387\\ 4, 271\\ 1, 538\\ 630\\ 1, 001\\ 456\\ 709\\ 282\\ 943 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 12. \ 4\\ 12. \ 6\\ 14. \ 4\\ 16. \ 6\\ 18. \ 1\\ 15. \ 0\\ 16. \ 7\\ 15. \ 1\\ 15. \ 8\end{array}$	708 2,020 3,049 1,506 1,893 1,099 1,385 709 1,560	$\begin{array}{c} 22.\ 7\\ 20.\ 0\\ 28.\ 6\\ 39.\ 7\\ 34.\ 1\\ 36.\ 1\\ 32.\ 6\\ 37.\ 9\\ 26.\ 0\end{array}$	$     \begin{array}{r}       131 \\       520 \\       676 \\       335 \\       330 \\       197 \\       259 \\       157 \\       314 \\     \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 4.2\\ 5.6\\ 8.9\\ 5.6\\ 8.5\\ 6.8\\ 2.2\\ 2.2\\ 2.2\\ 2.2\\ 2.2\\ 2.2\\ 2.2\\ 2$	452 1, 269 2, 187 1, 211 1, 222 840 935 584 931	$\begin{array}{c} 14.5\\ 12.5\\ 20.5\\ 32.0\\ 22.0\\ 27.6\\ 22.0\\ 31.2\\ 15.5\end{array}$
Population-density Groupings: Big eities	9,003 14,354 8,995 16,037	1,5584,1163,5267,648	$   \begin{array}{r}     17. \ 3 \\     28. \ 7 \\     39. \ 2 \\     47. \ 7   \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{r} 301 \\ 1, 252 \\ 1, 631 \\ 3, 528 \end{array}$	$   \begin{array}{r}     3. 3 \\     8. 7 \\     18. 1 \\     22. 0   \end{array} $	$1, 113 \\ 2, 398 \\ 1, 341 \\ 2, 365$	$\begin{array}{c} 12. \ 4 \\ 16. \ 7 \\ 14. \ 9 \\ 14. \ 8 \end{array}$	1, 414 3, 650 2, 972 5, 893	$\begin{array}{c} 15.\ 7\\ 25.\ 4\\ 33.\ 0\\ 36.\ 7\end{array}$	$144 \\ 466 \\ 554 \\ 1, 755$	$     \begin{array}{c}       1. \ 6\\       3. \ 3\\       6. \ 2\\       10. \ 9     \end{array} $	445 1, 718 2, 185 5, 283	$ \begin{array}{r} 4. \\ 9\\ 12. \\ 0\\ 24. \\ 32. \\ 9 \end{array} $

## NUMBER OF PERSONS WHO FISHED AND HUNTED

The table opposite shows the number of persons who fished, or funited, or fished *and* hunted, as projected from the cross-section sampling of the United States.

The Census geographic sections have been explained on page 30. The proportion of the population (12 years of age and over) that fished and hunted varied considerably among these geographic sections, as shown by the maps pictured here.

These regional percentages are from columns 5 and 7 of the table opposite. It should be kept in mind that the "Total who fished" (col. 5) and the "Total who hunted" (col. 7) both include the figures for persons who fished *and* hunted (col. 3); consequently the sum of columns 5 and 7 exceeds the total in column 2.

Under "Adults, by sex" are shown separate tabulations for men and for women, 18 years of age and over.

Separate tabulations were made for significant age divisions of the population. The age groups are as follows:

- 12 17 years. Teen-agers or older children
- 18/24 years. The getting started age young adults, premarriage and early marriage, college students, and job starters.
- 25-34 years. Young married people, the beginning of accumulation, early parenthood.
- 35–44 years. Parents of older children, establishment of economic status.
- 45.64 years. Parents of marrying and married children, stabilization of economic status.
- 65 years and over. Retirement age.

#### **FISHING**



HUNTING



## NUMBER OF PERSONS 12 YEARS OLD AND OLDER WHO FISHED, OR HUNTED, OR FISHED AND HUNTED IN 1955

	Column 1	Colum	un 2	Colun	(n 3	Cohun	in 4	Colin	0 5	Callin	n fe	6	1
Groupн 2	Total number of persons 12 and over	Total p who fi and <sub>t</sub> or f	ersons shed ninted	Person- fisl ml hu	- who ed inted	Persons fished	s who only	Total po who fi col. 3 col.	n Tsod she 1 p tos 4	Per on- hunded	only	Tot doer who hant d col 3 plus col 6	
	in U. S	Num- ber	Per- cent	Num ber	Per- cent	Num- ber	Per- cent	Num ber	Per-	N im- ber	Per- c nt	Num- ber	Per-
Weighted United States Total	118, 366	24, 917	21.1	7 680	6. 5	13, 133	11 1	20, 813	17-6	4, 104	- 3, 5	11,784	10, 0
ENSUS GEOGRAPHIC SECTIONS: New England Middle Atlantic East North Central West North Central South Atlantic East South Central West South Central Mountain Pacific	$\begin{array}{c} 7,919\\ 24,869\\ 25,733\\ 9,201\\ 14,336\\ 7,959\\ 10,250\\ 4,529\\ 13,570\end{array}$	1, 224 3, 539 5, 489 2, 913 3, 223 1, 963 2, 560 1, 369 2, 637	$\begin{array}{c} 15. \ 4\\ 14. \ 2\\ 21. \ 3\\ 31. \ 7\\ 22. \ 5\\ 24. \ 7\\ 25. \ 0\\ 30. \ 2\\ 19. \ 4\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 367\\880\\1,632\\967\\1,031\\691\\842\\539\\731\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4.\ 6\\ 3.\ 5\\ 6.\ 3\\ 10.\ 5\\ 7.\ 2\\ 8.\ 7\\ 8.\ 2\\ 11\ 9\\ 5.\ 4\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 635\\ 1,  931\\ 2,  951\\ 1,  379\\ 1,  774\\ 974\\ 1,  395\\ 573\\ 1,  521 \end{array}$		1,002 2,811 4,583 2,346 2,805 1,665 2,237 1,112 2,252	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c} 222\\ 728\\ 906\\ 567\\ 418\\ 298\\ 323\\ 257\\ 385 \end{array}$	୬ ୦ ୦ ୦ ୦ ୬ ୦ ୦ ୬ ସାହାର୍କ୍ତ ପାର ଅନ୍ତର୍ବ ସାହାର୍କ୍ତ ସାହାର୍କ୍ତ ସାହାର	5891,6082,5381,5341,4499891,1657961,116	$\begin{array}{c} - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - $
OPULATION-DENSITY GROUPING Big cities	$21,\ 010\ 34,\ 263\ 21,\ 729\ 41,\ 364$	2, 169 6, 001 5, 337 11, 410		$\begin{array}{r} 325 \\ 1 \ 427 \\ 1 \ 901 \\ 1 \ 027 \end{array}$	$ \frac{1}{4}, \frac{5}{2} \\ \frac{4}{5}, \frac{7}{7} \\ \frac{9}{7}, 7 $	1, 677 3, 986 2, 649 4, 821		2, 002 5, 413 4, 550 8, 348	9, 5 15, 8 20, 9 21, 4		$-\frac{8}{1.7}$ $-\frac{3}{6}$ $-\frac{6}{2}$	492 2, 015 2, 688 6, 589	2.3 5.9 12.4 15.9
DULTS (18 AND OVER BY SEX) Men	50, 082 53, 705	16, 293 4, 836	$\frac{32.5}{9.0}$	$\frac{6,320}{271}$	12. 6 . 5	6, 618 4, 418	$egin{array}{ccc} 13 & 2 \ -8, \ 2 \end{array}$	12, 938 4, 689	25 5	3, 355 147	6. 7	9, 675 418	111
GE GROUPS: 12-17 years 18-24 years 25-34 years 35-41 years 45-64 years 65 years and over	$\begin{array}{c} 14,579\\ 12,130\\ 21,790\\ 23,730\\ 33,220\\ 12,917 \end{array}$	3, 788 2, 517 5, 104 5, 978 6, 272 1, 258	$\begin{array}{c} 26.\ 0\\ 20.\ 8\\ 23.\ 4\\ 25.\ 2\\ 18.\ 9\\ -9.\ 7\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1,\ 089\\ 892\\ 1,\ 912\\ 1,\ 873\\ 1,\ 667\\ 247\end{array}$	7, 57, 48, 87, 95, 01, 9	$\begin{array}{c} 2,\ 097\\ 913\\ 2,\ 382\\ 3,\ 304\\ 3,\ 592\\ 845 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 14. \ 4\\ 7 \ 5\\ 10. \ 9\\ 13. \ 9\\ 10. \ 8\\ 6. \ 5\end{array}$	3, 186 1, 805 4, 294 5, 177 5, 259 1, 092	21, 914, 919, 721, 815, 88, 5	$rac{602}{712}\ 810\ 801\ 1,013\ 166$	$\begin{array}{c} 4, \ 1 \\ 5, \ 9 \\ 3, \ 7 \\ 3, \ 4 \\ 3, \ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{array}$	1, 691 1, 604 2, 722 2, 674 2, 680 413	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

[In thousands - Percentages are per intages of totals in column 1]

## FRESH-WATER AND SALT-WATER FISHERMEN AND BIG-GAME, SMALL-GAME, AND WATERFOWL HUNTERS

The tables opposite show fishing and hunting in 1955 according to particular classifications.

The age groups have been explained on page 32.

#### **FISHERMEN**

In the survey, each person who stated that he had fished in 1955 was asked questions separately about fresh-water fishing and about salt-water fishing. The following definitions of fresh-water and salt-water fishing were used:

- Fresh-water fishing. All fishing in inland streams, lakes, ponds, or reservoirs, and all fishing in coastal streams above the tide limits.
- Salt-water fishing.—All fishing in the ocean, in coastal bays and estuaries, and in coastal streams below the tide limits.

Of the salt-water fishermen, the number who fished on the Atlantic and Gulf coasts and the number who fished on the Pacific coast were as follows (note that the percentages here are the percentages of the salt-water fishermen):

Fished on												Number	Percent
Atlantic and	G	ulf	с	oa	sts							3, 420, 000	75
Pacific coast											4	1, 137, 000	25
Total												4, 557, 000	100

Many fishermen fished both in fresh water and in salt water. Consequently, in the fishermen table, the sum of the number of fresh-water fishermen (col. 3) and the number of salt-water fishermen (col. 4) exceeds the total number of fishermen (col. 2).

It should be noted that the percentages in columns 2, 3, and 4 of the fishermen table are percentages of the total number of persons 12 years of age and over as shown in column 1.

#### HUNTERS

Each person who stated that he had hunted in 1955 was asked questions separately about big-game hunting, small-game hunting, and waterfowl hunting. The following lists were used as indications of the kind of hunting meant:

- Big game.—Antelope, bear, deer, ełk, javelina (peccary), moose, mountain goat, mountain sheep, wild boar, wild turkey.
- Small game. Fox, opossum, rabbit, raccoon, squirrel, dove, grouse, partridge, pheasant, pigeon, prairie chieken, quail, rail, snipe, woodcock.

Waterfowl.-Coot, duck, gallinule (marsh hen), goose.

Many hunters hunted more than one kind of game. Consequently, in the hunters table, the sum of the number of big-game hunters (col. 3), the number of small-game hunters (col. 4), and the number of waterfowl hunters (col. 5) exceeds the total humber of hunters (col. 2).

The percentages in columns 2, 3, 4, and 5 of the hunters table are percentages of the total number of persons 12 years of age and over as shown in column 1.

## NUMBER OF PERSONS WHO FISHED IN FRESH WATER AND IN SALTSEPARATER40

[Percentages are percentages of totals in column 1]

	Column 1	Column	12	Column	3	Column	7	
Gro (ping	Total number of persons 12 and over in U. S.	Total persons	who fished	Fished in fres	h water	Fished in salt water		
		Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	
WEIGHTED UNITED STATES TOTA	118, 366, 000	20, 813, 000	17.6	18, 420, 000	15. 6	4, 557, 000	3. 8	
Abt (18 AND OVER BY SEX) Men	50, 082, 000 53, 705, 000	$ \begin{array}{c} 12, 938, 000 \\ -4, 689, 000 \end{array} $	25. 8 8. 7	$\begin{array}{c} 11,405,000\\ 4,097,000 \end{array}$	$\frac{22.8}{7.6}$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	6. ± 1. S	
$\begin{array}{c} \text{ACE CROTES:} \\ 12-17 \text{ years} \\ 18 & 24 \text{ years} \\ 25-34 \text{ years} \\ 35-44 \text{ years} \\ 45 & 64 \text{ years} \\ 65 \text{ years} \\ and over \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 14,579,000\\ 12,130,000\\ 21,790,000\\ 23,730,000\\ 33,220,000\\ 12,917,000\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3,186,000\\ 1,805,000\\ 4,294,000\\ 5,177,000\\ 5,259,000\\ 1,092,000\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 21,\ 9\\ 14,\ 9\\ 19,\ 7\\ 21,\ 8\\ 15,\ 8\\ -8,\ 4\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2,918,000\\ 1,629,000\\ 3,871,000\\ 4,516,000\\ 4,519,000\\ 967,000\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 20, \ 0\\ 13, \ 4\\ 17, \ 8\\ 19, \ 0\\ 13, \ 6\\ 7, \ 5\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 567,000\\ 341,000\\ 857,000\\ 1,342,000\\ 1,271,000\\ 1,79,000\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3.9\\ 2.8\\ 3.9\\ 5.7\\ 3.8\\ 1.4 \end{array}$	

## NUMBER OF PERSONS WHO HUNTED BIG GAME, SMALL GAME, AND WATERFOWL

Percentages are percentages of totals in column 1}

	Column 1	Col im.	n 2	Colim	n 3	Colum	$n = \frac{1}{r}$	Column 5		
Grouping	Total num- ber of per- sons 12 and	Total perso h inte	ns who d	Hunted b	g gaine	Hunted sm	all game	Hunted waterfowl		
	over in U.S.	Number	Percent	 Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	
Weighted United States fotal	118, 366, 000	11-784,000	10, 0	4, 414, 000	3. 7	9, 822, 000	8. 3	1 986, 000	4. 7	
Action = 118 and over (1988) SEX:           Met	$50, 082, 000 \\ 53, 705, 000 \\ 14, 579, 000 \\ 12, 130, 000 \\ 21, 790, 000 \\ 23, 730, 000 \\ 33, 220, 000 \\ 12, 917, 000 \\ 12, $	$\begin{array}{c} 9,\ 675,\ 000\\ 418,\ 000\\ 1,\ 694,\ 000\\ 2,\ 722,\ 000\\ 2,\ 674,\ 000\\ 2,\ 680,\ 000\\ 413,\ 000 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 49,\ 3\\ 8\\ 11,\ 6\\ 13,\ 3\\ 12,\ 5\\ 14,\ 3\\ 8,\ 1\\ 3,\ 2\end{array}$	3, 734, 000 202, 000 478, 000 580, 000 1, 079, 000 1, 047, 000 93, 000	7.5 .4 3.3 4.8 5.0 4.8 3.2 7	8, 039, 000 267, 000 1, 516, 000 1, 409, 000 2, 191, 000 2, 179, 000 2, 184, 000 340, 000	$ \begin{array}{c} 16. \\ 1\\ . \\ 5\\ 10. \\ 4\\ 11. \\ 6\\ 10. \\ 1\\ 9. \\ 2\\ 6. \\ 6\\ 2. \\ 6\end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 1.\ 678,\ 000\\ 36,\ 000\\ 272,\ 000\\ 299,\ 000\\ 581,\ 000\\ 404,\ 000\\ 394,\ 000\\ 36,\ 000\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3. \ 4 \\ . \ 1 \\ 1. \ 9 \\ 2. \ 5 \\ 2. \ 7 \\ 1. \ 7 \\ 1. \ 2 \\ . \ 3 \end{array}$	

## FISHING AND HUNTING TRIPS, MAN-DAYS, AND MEMBERSHIP IN SELABBASRD40

The tables opposite, and those below, show some of the details about fishing and hunting trips in 1955.

### NUMBER OF PERSONS WHO TOOK SPECIFIED NUMBERS OF FISHING OR HUNTING TRIPS IN 1955

Each fisherman or hunter interviewed was asked to state the number of times he went fishing or hunting in 1955. Based on the replies given, the projected distribution of all fishermen and hunters in the United States, according to the number of separate trips, is shown in the table opposite.

### NUMBER OF PERSONS WHO TRAVELED SPECIFIED DISTANCES ON FISHING OR HUNTING TRIPS IN 1955

Each person interviewed was asked the distance he traveled on his fishing or hunting trips. From the replies, the projected distribution of all fishermen and hunters in the United States, according to the total distance each traveled for fishing or hunting in 1955, is shown in the table opposite.

#### PERSONS WHO TOOK FISHING OR HUNTING TRIPS LASTING MORE THAN 1 DAY

Each person interviewed was asked how many days he fished or hunted on each trip (a fishing day or hunting day thus being defined as any day or part of a day on which he fished or hunted). From the replies, the projected numbers of fishermen and hunters in the United States and in various groupings, who took trips of more than one day, are shown in the table opposite. The percentages in this table are of the total number of persons 12 years of age and over as shown in column 1.

## MAN-DAYS OF FISHING AND HUNTING

As projected from replies to interviews, the total number of days spent in 1955 in the different types of fishing and hunting in the United States aggregate as follows:

Fishing: Fresh water Salt water	•	•		•				•		•	•	•	•	•			•	Man-days 338, 826, 000 58, 621, 000
Total			-						 -								-	397 447 000
TT (																		
Hunting:																		20.024.000
Big game.						-		1	. •	. *	1	1	1			1	÷	30, 834, 000
Small game.					1													118, 630, 000
Waterfowl .							÷	÷	÷	÷	•	÷			•			19, 959, 000
Total								ł										169, 423, 000
Total fishing and	hu	m	tin	1.0"														566. 870. 000

The total number of man-days of fishing (397,447,000) divided by the total number of fishermen (20,813,000) gives an average of 19 days per fisherman, but this average is unduly influenced by a small number of fishermen who fished a very large number of days. The typical fisherman fished on 9½ days during the year (this is the median, that is, half the fishermen fished less than 9½ days and half fished more than 9½ days).

The typical hunter hunted on 8½ days in 1955.

#### MEMBERSHIP IN CLUBS

The persons interviewed were asked about membership in fishing or hunting clubs of any kind. The projected total for the United States is as follows:

Number who	belonged to fishing and hunting clubs	1, 371, 000
Percent of all	fishermen and hunters	5. 5
Percent of all	persons 12 years of age and over	1. 2

## NUMBERS OF FISHING OR HUNTING TRIPS IN 1955

	Persons fished	who l	Persons who hunted		
	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	
Weighted United States Total	20, 813, 000	100. 0	11, 784, 000	100. 0	
Went fishing or hunting— Once	2, 563, 000	12.3	1, 122, 000	9.5	
1 wice	1, 394, 000 1, 772, 000 2, 161, 000	8.5 10.4	1, 031, 000 1, 728, 000	8. 7 14. 7	
6 to 10 times	3,732,000 2,600,000 2,425,000	17.9 12.5 11.7	2, 470, 000 1, 475, 000 1, 483, 000	21.0 12.5 12.6	
26 to 50 times	$\begin{array}{c} 2, \ 169, \ 000 \\ 1, \ 497, \ 000 \end{array}$	$   \begin{array}{c}     10.4 \\     7.2   \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 1, 118, 000 \\ 402, 000 \end{array}$	9, 5 3, 4	

## NUMBER OF PERSONS WHO TRAVELED SPECIFIED DISTANCES ON FISHING OR HUNTING TRIPS IN 1955

	Persons who fished	Persons w hunted	rho	
	Number Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	
Weighted United States Total	20, 813, 000 100. 0	11, 784, 000	100. 0	
Reported traveling         No miles         100 miles or less         101-250 miles         251-500 miles         501 750 miles         751-1,000 miles         1,001-2,000 miles         2,001-3,000 miles         3,001-5,000 miles         Over 5,000 miles	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c} 1,\ 656,\ 000\\ 2,\ 853,\ 000\\ 2,\ 105,\ 000\\ 1,\ 712,\ 000\\ 946,\ 000\\ 685,\ 000\\ 1,\ 172,\ 000\\ 369,\ 000\\ 235,\ 000\\ 51,\ 000 \end{array}$	14. 124. 217. 914. 58. 05. 810. 03. 12. 0. 4	

## NUMBER OF PERSONS WHO TOOK SPECIFIED PERSONS WHO TOOK FISHING SEDAR 24, RD40 TING TRIPS LASTING MORE THAN 1 DAY

[Percentages are percentages of totals in column 1]

Grouping	Column 1 Total number of persons 12	Column 2 Fished on trips lasting more than 1 day		Column 3 Hunted on trip lasting more than 1 day		
	and over in U. S.	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	
Weighted United States total	118, 366, 000	6, 584, 000	5. 6	2, 145, 000	2. 1	
CENSUS GEOGRAPHIC SEC- TIONS: New England Middle Atlantic East North Central West North Central South Atlantic East South Central West South Central Mountain Pacific	$\begin{array}{c} 7,  919,  000\\ 24,  869,  000\\ 25,  733,  000\\ 9,  201,  000\\ 14,  336,  000\\ 7,  959,  000\\ 10,  250,  000\\ 4,  529,  000\\ 13,  570,  000 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 292,\ 000\\ 687,\ 000\\ 1,\ 731,\ 000\\ 763,\ 000\\ 524,\ 000\\ 295,\ 000\\ 719,\ 000\\ 515,\ 000\\ 1,\ 058,\ 900 \end{array}$	3. 7 2. 8 6. 7 8. 3 3. 7 3. 7 7. 0 1. 1 7. 8	$\begin{array}{c} 103,000\\ 367,000\\ 527,000\\ 226,000\\ 125,000\\ 58,000\\ 157,000\\ 205,000\\ 677,000\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1. \ 3\\ 1. \ 5\\ 2. \ 0\\ 2. \ 5\\ . \ 9\\ . \ 7\\ 1. \ 5\\ . \ 5\\ 5. \ 0\end{array}$	
POPULATION-DENSITY GROUPINGS: Big cities Small cities, and suburbs. Towns Rural areas	21, 010, 000 34, 263, 000 21, 729, 000 41, 364, 000	645,000 2,071,000 1,607,000 2,261,000	3. 1 6. 0 1 7. 4 5. 5	206, 000 655, 000 576, 000 1, 008, 000	$ \begin{array}{c} 1. & 0 \\ 1. & 9 \\ 2. & 7 \\ 2. & 4 \end{array} $	
Adults (18 And over) by           SEX:           Men	50, 082, 000 53, 705, 000	4, 339, 000 1, 441, 000	8. 7 2. 7	$\begin{array}{c} 2,\ 110,\ 000\\ 116,\ 000 \end{array}$	4. 2 . 2	
BY AGE GROUPS;         12-17 years         18-24 years         25-34 years         35-44 years         45-64 years         65 years	14, 579, 000 12, 130, 000 21, 790, 000 23, 730, 000 33, 220, 000 12, 917, 000	804, 000 500, 000 1, 338, 000 1, 899, 000 1, 783, 000 260, 000	5.54.16.18.05.42.0	$\begin{array}{c} 219,000\\ 305,000\\ 631,000\\ 698,000\\ 544,000\\ 48,000\end{array}$	$   \begin{array}{c}     1.5\\     2.5\\     2.9\\     2.9\\     1.6\\     .4   \end{array} $	

## EXPENDITURES FOR FISHING AND HUNTING

In the tables on the next six pages are shown the projections for the United States of expenditures for fishing and hunting in 1955. Fishermen and hunters interviewed were asked detailed questions about their expenditures in connection with their sport. Some had spent almost nothing, others had spent a great deal one sportsman spent \$12,190). Expenditures were limited to those of the individual respondent, and except for those under 16 no person reported for anyone else. The several tables show the national figures for the different kinds of fishing and hunting, according to various classes of expenditures.

#### EQUIPMENT

Equipment expenditures included the cost and maintenance of equipment used specifically for fishing or hunting and of other equipment used *primarily* for fishing or hunting. Expenditures for purchase of equipment were included only if the equipment had been acquired by purchase or gift in the United States in the calendar year, primarily for fishing or hunting, and they were prorated among the types of fishing and hunting in which the sportsmen participated.

Fishing equipment included rods, poles, reels, lines, harness, nets, seines, minnow buckets, scales, ice-fishing gear, spear-fishing gear, and all other equipment used specifically for fishing.

Hunting equipment included guns and rifles, shells and cartridges, bows and arrows, gunsights, targets, decoys and calls, and all other equipment used specifically for hunting.

Other equipment disted only if used primarily for fishing or hunting) included tents and sleeping gear, cooking and eating utensils, special fishing and hunting clothing, lanterns, binoculars, boats and boat accessories, motors, trailers, cabins, and other equipment if used *primarily* for fishing or hunting.

As projected from the cross-section sample, the total number of persons (12 years of age and over) who bought or received equipment used for fishing or hunting (equipment purchased in the United States in 1955) was as follows:

Number who bought or received equipment	18, 068, 000
Percent of all fishermen and hunters	72. 5
Percent of all persons 12 years of age and over	15. 3

#### TRIP EXPENDITURES

Trip expenditures were included only if the trip was made primarily for fishing or hunting, and in the case of a party trip they included only the expenditures of the person interviewed.

For meals purchased during fishing and hunting trips, only that portion of the meal cost in excess of the average cost of home meals was included (\$6.50 per person per week, U. S. Department of Agriculture, Household Economics Research Branch, September 1955).

Automobile transportation expense for fishing and hunting trips was computed at  $3^{1}_{2}$  cents a mile to cover actual operating costs (gasoline, oil, maintenance, and tires) but not depreciation, insurance, or licenses (American Automobile Association Information Bulletin No. 93, March 1955). If four persons went together in an automobile, on a fishing trip, and one did not fish, the automobile expense applicable to each fisherman was the total divided by three, or  $1^{1}_{6}$  cents a mile.

Other trip expenditures included transportation other than by automobile, refreshments, bait, guide fees, rentals, entrance fees, charter fees, and pack-trip fees.

If a trip was not made primarily for fishing or hunting, the transportation, lodging, food, and refreshment costs were excluded.

#### LICENSE AND LEASE FEES

In order to be recorded as a license holder in the survey, a person had to have purchased a fishing or hunting license during the calendar year 1955. Booklets describing the various licenses in each State were used as memory aids. If the initial interview in a household revealed that a certain member of the family had not fished during the year, that person was not interviewed as a

1955 fisherman and was not asked whether he held a 1955 fishing license (and similarly for hunting).

Duck-stamp purchases are shown separately in the tables of expenditures of all persons who fished and/or hunted, of all persons who hunted, and of waterfowl hunters.

The survey indicated that 1,986,000 people hunted waterfowl during calendar year 1955. These waterfowl hunters purchase 1,662,000 duck stamps. Since 272,000 waterfowl hunters were in the 12-17 age group, it is presumed that the majority of the 324,000 waterfowl hunters not purchasing duck stamps were below 16 years of age. Any of these not under 16 years of age would of course be hunting illegally.

Although persons who hunted waterfowl during 1955 purchased only 1,662,000 duck stamps, an additional 395,000 hunters purchased these stamps but, for some unexplained reason, did not go waterfowl hunting in calendar year 1955. Thus, the survey indicates that a total of 2,057,000 duck stamps were purchased by hunters during the year. Reports of duck-stamp sales indicate that approximately 2,236,000 stamps were sold in 1955 (including sales to stamp collectors).

### OTHER EXPENDITURES

Under other expenses are included club dues, subscriptions to magazines primarily for fishing and hunting, and all other expenditures not specifically classified but incurred as a part of lishing or hunting activities.

Expenditures for dogs kept primarily for hunting are shown separately in the table on all hunting expenditures. In the tables on big-game hunting, small-game hunting, and waterfowl hunting, and in the table on all persons who fished and or hunted, the expenditures for dogs are included in other expenditures.

## EXPENDITURES OF PERSONS SEPARATISHED AND/OR HUNTED IN 1955

The total number of fishermen and/ar hunters (12 and over) in United States was \$4,917,000.

	Fishermer hunters wit ditur	i and 'or ch expen- res		Average spent per		
Expenditure item	Nuntber	Percent of all fisher- n en and or hunters	Total spent	fisher man and or hunter		
Equipment.						
Hunting and tishing						
equipment .	17.664,000	70.9	\$594, 584, 000	\$23, 86		
Other	7 072,000	28.4	687, 708, 000	27 60		
Trip expenditures:						
Food	-8.795,000	35.3	144.587.000	5.80		
Lodging Transportation (auto ex-	3, 675, 000	14.7	88, 951, 000	3, 57		
penses)	22 328.000	89.6	365. 799. 000	14 68		
Other A. A. A. A. A.	18, 441, 000	74.0	699, 457, 000	28, 08		
License and lease fees;						
Licenses and stamps .	17 698,000	71.0	77.175.000	3.10		
Leases and privileges	113,000	. 5	3, 299, 000	. 13		
Duck stamps	$2^{-}057,000$	8, 3	4. 114. 000	. 16		
Other expenditures in- cludes hunting dogs	6, 803, 000	27 3	185, 305, 000	7.44		
Weighted United States total			2, 850, 979, 000	114.42		

## EXPENDITURES OF PERSONS WHO FISHED IN 1955

#### The total number of fishermen (12 and over) in United States was 20,813,000.

	Fisherme cxpendi	n with tures		Average		
Expenditure item	penditure item Number		Total spent	spent per fisher- man		
Equipment: Fishing equipment Other	$\begin{array}{c} 13, 193, 000\\ 5, 155, 000\\ 6, 828, 000\\ 2, 981, 000\\ 18, 751, 000\\ 16, 723, 000\\ 13, 737, 000\\ 54, 000\\ 4, 904, 000\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 63. \ 4\\ 24. \ 8\\ 32. \ 8\\ 14. \ 3\\ 90. \ 1\\ 80. \ 3\\ 66. \ 0\\ . \ 3\\ 23. \ 6\end{array}$	\$243, 626, 000 550, 037, 000 106, 101, 000 73, 080, 000 271, 827, 000 596, 700, 000 37, 240, 000 836, 000 34, 845, 000	\$11, 70 26, 43 5, 10 3, 51 13, 06 28, 67 1, 79 , 04 1, 68		
Weighted United States Total			1, 914, 292, 000	91, 98		

## EXPENDITURES OF FRESH-WATER FISHERMEN

	Fishermer expendit	n with tures			
Expenditure item	Number	Percent of all fresh- water fisher- men	Total spent	Average spent per fish- erman	
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1				
Fishing equipment .	11, 788, 000	64.0	\$189, 168, 000	\$10.27	
Other	4, 604, 000	25.0	359, 080, 000	19, 49	
Food	5 182 000	20.8	80 863 000	3.30	
Lodging	2, 494, 000	13.5	54, 819, 000	2. 98	
Transportation (auto ex-					
penses)	16, 656, 000	90.4	228, 999, 000	12.43	
Other	14, 315, 000	77.7	450, 646, 000	24.47	
License and lease fees:	12 501 000	72 2	25 701 000	1 0.1	
Lorenses	52 000	10.0	792 000	0.1	
Other expenditures	4, 485, 000	24.3	25, 195, 000	1.37	
WEIGHTED UNITED			1 425 353 000	77 38	
ETAILS IVIAN			1, 120, 100, 000		

The tatal number of fresh-water fishermen (12 and over) in United States was 18,420,000.

## EXPENDITURES OF SALT-WATER FISHERMEN

The total number of salt-water fishermen (12 and over) in United States was 4,557,000.

	Fisherme expendi	en with itures			
Expenditure item	Expenditure item Number		Total spent	Average spent per fish- erman	
Fauinment					
Fishing equipment	2, 602, 000	57.1	\$54, 458, 000	\$11, 95	
Other	1,079,000	23. 7	190, 957, 000	41.90	
Trip expenditures:	1 070 000	41.0	05 000 000		
Food	578 000	41. U 19. 7	25, 238, 000	5.54	
Transportation (auto ex-	010,000	12.1	10, 201, 000	4. 00	
penses)	3, 841, 000	84.3	42, 828, 000	9.40	
Other	4, 074, 000	89.4	146,054,000	32.05	
License and lease fees:	709 000	17.0	1 (10 000	20	
Leases and privileges	2 000	11.2	1, 449, 000	. 52	
Other expenditures	1, 183, 000	26.0	9, 650, 000	2.12	
Weighted United States Total	i		488, 939, 000	107. 29	

## EXPENDITURES OF ATLANTIC AND GULF COAST SALT-WATER FISHERMEN

The total number of salt-water fishermen (12 and over) on Atlantic and Gulf coasts was 3,420,000.

	Fishern expend	en with ditures			
Expenditure item	Number	Percent of all At- lantic and Gulf coast salt-water fishermen	Total spent	Average spent per fisher- man	
Equipment:					
Fishing equipment.	1 904 000	55.7	\$35 165 000	\$10.28	
Other	786,000	23. 0	80, 745, 000	23. 61	
Trip expenditures:		1	, , .		
Food	1, 423, 000	41.6	21, 592, 000	6.31	
Lodging	430, 000	12.6	15, 693, 000	4.59	
I ransportation (auto ex-	0.709.000	70.0	94 995 000	10.04	
()ther	2, 723, 000	29.0	34, 335, 000	10.04	
Lieense and lease fues:	2, 364, 000	01.0	118, 102, 000	04.00	
Licenses	92.000	2.7	102 000	03	
Leases and privileges	2,000	. 1	44,000	. 01	
Other expenditures	804, 000	23. 5	6, 084, 000	1.78	
WEIGHTED UNITED STATES TOTAL			311, 862, 000	91, 18	

## EXPENDITURES OF PACIFIC COAST SALT-WATER FISHERMEN

#### The total number of Pacific coast salt-woter fishermen (12 and over) was 1,137,000.

7,0	000.	The	total	number	of	hunters	(12	and	over)	) in	United	States	was	11	,784	,000.

	Fishern expens	en with ditures			
Expenditure item	Number	Percent of all Pa- cific coast salt-water fishermen		Average spent per fisher- man	
Lauipment:					
Fishing equipment.	698, 000	61.4	\$19, 293, 000	\$16. 97	
Other	293,000	25. 8	110, 212, 000	96, 93	
Food	117 000	39-3	3 646 000	3 21	
Lodenne	148,000	13. 0	2, 568, 000	2 26	
Transportation auto ex-					
penses	1, 118, 000	98. 3	8, 493, 000	7. 47	
Other 1	1, 090, 000	95. 9	27, 952, 000	24.58	
License and lease lees:	690,000	60.7	1 347 000	1.18	
Leases and privileges.		00. 1			
Other expenditures	379, 000	33. 3	3, 566, 000	3.14	
Weighted United States Total			177, 077, 000	155. 74	

	Hunters expen h	with tures	Total	Aver- age spent per hunter	
Expenditure item	Number	Percert of all hunters	spent		
7					
Equipment : Hunting equipment Other	9 555,000 3 438,000	$\frac{81}{29}, \frac{1}{2}$	\$350, 058, 000 137, 671, 000	\$29, 78 11, 68	
Frip expenditures: Food Lodging	$\begin{array}{c} 3 \\ 862,000 \\ 862,000 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{ccc} 27 & 0 \\ 7 & 3 \end{array}$	$\frac{38,486,000}{15,871,000}$	$\frac{3.27}{1.35}$	
perses' Other	$\begin{array}{c} 10,\ 128,\ 000\\ 1,\ 927,\ 000 \end{array}$	851.9 41. S	93, 972, 000 102, 757, 000	7, 97 8, 72	
Licenses and lease tees: Licenses and stamps Leases and privileges	9,951,000 78,000	84. 4	39,035,000 2,463,000	$\frac{3}{21}$	
Dick stamps . What they spent for dogs )ther expenditures	$\begin{array}{c} 2,057,000\\ 2,113,000\\ 4,530,000 \end{array}$		$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{1, 114, 000} \\ \mathbf{124, 388, 000} \\ \mathbf{26, 072, 000} \end{array}$	- 30 10 - 56 - 2, 21	
Weighted Unifed States Total			- 936, 687, 000	70-10	

EXPENDITURES OF PERSONS SEPARA-RAUNTED

IN 1955

## EXPENDITURES OF BIG-GAME HUNTERS

The total number of big-game hunters (12 and aver) in United States was 4,414,000.

Experditure item	Hunters expendi	s with tures		Aver- age spent per hunter	
	Number	Percent of all big-game hunters	Total spent		
Roupment					
Hunting (quipment	3 147,000	71.3	\$125, 304, 000	\$28.39	
Other	1, 878, 000	42.5	64, 046, 000	14 51	
Trip expenditures:					
Food	1,718,000	38, 9	=17,019,000	3, 86	
Lodging	637,000	111	10, 113, 000	2, 36	
Transportation auto ex-	1 187 000	01.0	21 520 000	7 10	
()then	-4, 157, 000	50 0	12 2 19 000	γ 19 0 50	
Linopen and Linen forest	2, 208, 000	00, 0	42, 042, 000	1. 117	
Licenses and stan us	4 223 000	95 7	19 602 000	1 44	
Leases and privileges	17.000	1.1	1.939.000	. 14	
Other expenditures incluit					
ing dogs	1, 933, 000	43 8	11, 505, 000	2.61	
WEIGHTED UNITED STATES TOIM			323, 909, 000	73, 38	

EXPENDITURES OF SMALL-GAME HUNTERS

The total number of small-game hunters (12 and over) in United States was 9,822,000.

	Hunters expendi	with tures		Average spent per hunter	
Expenditure item	Number	Percent of all small- game hunters	Total spent		
Hunting count ent	\$ 031 000	81.8	\$186 274 000	\$18.07	
Other	2 341 000	23.8	46 113 000	1 60	
Trip expenditures	2. 011.000	2019. 13	70, 110, 000	.1. 0.5	
Food	1 605 000	16.3	16 632 000	1.60	
Lodging	162 000	1 6	3 910 000	10	
Transportation tauto ex-	, (*#*, ***) (*	1. 07	, /it/, (/(///		
Debses	8.014.000	81_6	50 182 000	5.11	
Other	3. 218. 000	32.8	45 741 000	4.66	
License and lease fees				1 1717	
Licenses and stamps.	8, 084, 000	82.3	18, 195, 000	1.85	
Leases and privileges	20,000	2	299.000	03	
Other expenditures (includ-					
ing dogs)	3. 867, 000	39.4	126, 687, 000	12.90	
WEIGHTED UNITED					
STATES TOTAL			-494,033,000	50.30	

The total number of waterfowl hunters (12 and over) in United States was 1,986,000.

	Hunters expendit	with tures		Average	
Expenditure item	re item Percent Total s of all Number water- fowl hunters		Total spent	spent per hunter	
Equipment: Hunting equipment - Other	$\begin{array}{c} 1. \ 626, \ 000\\ 607, \ 000\\ 495, \ 000\\ 112, \ 000\\ 1, \ 813, \ 000\\ 899, \ 000\\ 1, \ 864, \ 000\\ 12, \ 000\\ 1, \ 662, \ 000\\ 964, \ 000\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 81. \ 9\\ 30. \ 6\\ 24. \ 9\\ 5. \ 6\\ 91. \ 3\\ 45. \ 3\\ 93. \ 9\\ . \ 6\\ 83. \ 7\\ 48. \ 5\end{array}$	\$39, 380, 000 27, 512, 000 1, 548, 000 12, 051, 000 14, 674, 000 2, 928, 000 225, 000 3, 324, 000 12, 268, 000		
WEIGHTED UNITED STATES TOTAL			118, 745, 000	59. 79	

## EXPENDITURES OF WATERFOWL HUNTERS HOLDERS OF STATE FISHING AND THE HUNTING LICENSES

Column 1		Column	2	Column 3	
		With lice	nses	Without licenses	
Activity	Total num- ber in United States	Number	Per- cent of column 1	Number	Per- cent of eolumn 1
FISHERMEN					
Fished only	13, 133, 000	7, 461, 000	56.8	5, 672, 000	43, 2
(fishing licenses)	7, 680, 000	6, 276, 000	81, 7	1, 404, 000	18. 3
Total who fished	20, 813, 000	13, 737, 000	66. 0	7, 076, 000	34. 0
Fished in fresh water . Fished in salt water	$\begin{matrix} 18,\ 420,\ 000\\ 4,\ 557,\ 000 \end{matrix}$	$\begin{array}{c} 13,\ 501,\ 000\\ 782,\ 000\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 73, \ 3 \\ 17, \ 2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4,919,000\\ 3,775,000 \end{array}$	26. 7 82. 8
HUNTERS					
Hunted only	4, 104, 000	3, 274, 000	79.8	830, 000	20. <b>2</b>
(hunting lieenses).	7, 680, 000	6, 677, 000	86. 9	1, 003, 000	13.1
Total who hunted	11, 784, 000	9, 951, 000	84.4	1, 833, 000	15.6
Hunted big game Hunted small game Hunted waterfowl	4, 414, 000 9, 822, 000 1, 986, 000	4, 223, 000 8, 084, 000 1, 864, 000	95. 7 82. 3 93. 9	$191,000\\1,738,000\\122,000$	$\begin{array}{c} 4.3\\ 17.7\\ 6.1 \end{array}$

## FISHING AND HUNTING LICENSES

There are a number of conditions under which fishermen and hunters are not required to purchase licenses in many of the States. Persons under 16 could fish in more than half of the States and hunt in a fourth of them without a license in 1955. In many States persons over 65 and disabled veterans could hunt and fish without licenses. In some States, women and active servicemen did not need licenses. In many States persons could hunt or fish on their own property without a license. Salt-water fishing did not require a license in most coastal States during 1955.

The survey indicated that of the 20,813,000 persons who fished during the calendar year 1955, 13,737,000 purchased fishing licenses at a cost of \$37,240,000, and of the 11,784,000 persons who hunted, 9,951,000 purchased hunting licenses at a cost of \$39,935,000.

For the fiscal year ending June 30, 1955, the States reported sales of 19,625,387 fishing licenses yielding revenue of \$39,501,838,

and 16,241,931 hunting lieenses yielding \$42,790,687.

In the past there has been a tendency to treat State license figures as though each sale represented an individual fisherman or hunter, even though it was known that many people purchased more than one license. Although the sales figures above are for the year ending June 30, 1955, sales were probably of about the same magnitude for the calendar year 1955. A comparison of the license statistics from the survey with those from the State sales reports would indicate that several million dollars worth of licenses were sold to fishermen and hunters who did not use them during 1955. It would also indicate that a great many fishermen and hunters purchased more than one license. Of course many of the additional licenses would be of the nonresident type, or special permits, stamps, or tags required for certain game species in some States.

## THE TECHNIQUE OF THE SURVEY

## THE SAMPLING PLAN

To interview every hunter and fisherman in the United States would be an extremely difficult and costly undertaking. Fortunately, with modern sampling methods, this is not necessary. Highly accurate results can be obtained for the United States as a whole from a comparatively small sample. In such sampling, it is the distribution of the sample rather than its size that makes it truly representative of the total population.

Since information was desired from nonlicensed as well as licensed hunters and fishermen, a sample drawn from State license records would not suffice. To obtain the needed information, a representative sample of households throughout the United States was needed. The method used in selecting this sample for the fishing and hunting survey is known as "area probability sampling." It is the method used by the Bureau of the Census and by most national survey organizations and is considered the best sampling procedure yet developed.

Under the probability sampling method used in this survey, every household in the United States had a known chance of being included in the sample. The households included were selected by statistical formulas rather than by personal choice.

This selection began with the population records of the 1950 census. The 1950 population was divided into segments, and within each segment a large number of small areas ("cells" were assigned, each with specified boundaries but with no specified number of homes. The survey thus became self-adjusting for increases in population since 1950. Where new homes had been built since 1950, increasing an area's population, the sample took note of this increase. With this automatic adjustment, the sample for the whole country indicated a total of 48,389,000 households in 1955, containing a total of 118,366,000 persons 12 years old and older. On the average, 1 out of every 2,135 households was included in the sample. This provided a sample of about 20,000 households in 1,000 neighborhoods in 48 States. In each of these households all persons 12 years old or older who had fished or hunted in 1955 were eligible for interview.

Although 1 in 2,135 represented the average chance that a household would fall in the sample, the chances actually varied from as high as 1 m 834 for some groups of homes to as low as 1 in 5,579 for others. The reason for sampling different groups of homes at different rates was increased efficiency. When all homes are very much alike, a sample of a few will give a reliable picture of the whole. Where homes differ widely, a larger sample is needed to be sure that the whole range is represented adequately. Where fishing and hunting were very popular activities, homes tended to be fairly uniform in that regard. For purposes of the survey, this meant that the lowest sampling rate was needed where fishing and hunting were most common and the highest rate was needed where they were least common.

Four steps led the interviewer to the door of the sample household:

1. The United States was divided into 16 parts.

2. A statistical formula picked some communities from each part.

3. A statistical formula picked some neighborhoods from each community.

4. A statistical formula picked some houses from each neighborhood.

"Statistical formula" is a short name for the scientific exercise of impersonal chance. The operation of these formulas, coupled with patterns of human behavior which repeat themselves over and over again, makes it possible to find out what happens in millions of homes by examining only a few thousand. The United States was divided into 16 parts by 4 separations, each in 2 directions. The first separation was made by the density of the population:

- I. Rural America
- 2. Small-town America
- 3. Big-town and suburban America
- 4. Large-city America

Inherent inclination to hunt and fish provided the second fourway cut:

- a. Heavy lumting-fishing America
- b. Better-than-average hunting-fishing America
- e Average hunting-fishing America
- d. Below-average hunting-fishing America

The United States was sliced into 16 parts so that a separate subsample could be taken from each part and the results added together. This served to spread out the sample, thereby providing better dispersion and representativeness. It also made possible the differing sampling rates which enhanced the sample's efficiency.

This division was decided upon because it provided the greatest efficiency under two premises:

I. The more urbanized an area, the less its inhabitants indulge in hunting and fishing.

2. All other things being equal, the inhabitants of some States have a stronger inclination to hunt and fish than those of other States (because of opportunity, customs, and other influences).

To test these premises and measure their importance, a correlation analysis was made of the number of resident hunting and fishing licenses issued by each State against that State's urbanization. This is a statistical procedure that measures and describes mathematically the relation between two sets of facts. The relation proved to be quite strong in this case (36 percent). The strength of the relation showed that it would be most efficient to sample the large cities, big towns, small towns, and rural areas at different rates. The statistical formulas did all the work is EDAR241RDAQ communities, then neighborhoods, and then houses. A "community" was either a center of population concentration, from the largest city down to the smallest village, or what was left over in a township or county when all of these centers were removed.

Communities and neighborhoods for the survey were drawn from Census Bureau lists by means of statistical formulas. The number of houses to be included in each neighborhood had been previously determined. It varied among the 16 parts of the United States, but it was the same in all communities sampled in any one of the parts. The number of houses was based in large part on the number of hunters and fishermen expected to be present in each particular part.

While the number in each neighborhood was thus predetermined, the selection of the actual sample houses was again a matter of chance selection by statistical formula. The interviewer listed all homes in the neighborhood in a certain order on a special listing form but sampled only those whose listings fell in previously marked lines on the form.

## THE INTERVIEWING

The survey was conducted on a house-to-house basis by trained interviewers of Crossley, S D Surveys, Inc. Before the actual survey, the elaborate questionnaires, one for fishing and one for hunting, were pretested in a number of areas scattered throughout the United States. Field interviewing for the survey began on January 7, 1956, and continued for approximately 2 months.

A program of advance publicity was carried out before the start of field interviewing. This included official releases to newspapers, magazines, and radio and television stations by the Fish and Wildlife Service, and a number of radio appearances by members of the Crossley firm, all inviting public cooperation.

Field supervisors of the Crossley firm attended training sessions on the survey in the New York office and in turn held similar training sessions for interviewers in their areas. Each interviewer received a complete manual of instructions on the study and was required to pass a written test on the methods and to conduct practice interviews before the start of the survey.

To assure fullest cooperation and maximum accuracy, each interview was opened with a statement of the survey purpose and a guarantee to keep the identity of the respondent confidential. It was made clear that law enforcement was not a purpose of the study. Each interviewer carried a number of credentials, including an identification badge, a letter of introduction from the Fish and Wildlife Service, and Better Business Bureau identification.

The interviewers visited each household in the national sample up to four times to establish contact with a responsible adult member and to complete interviews. During the initial contact, the interviewer obtained the composition of the family and the names of those members 12 years of age or over who had hunted or fished in 1955. Each hunter or fisherman in the family was then interviewed. One questionnaire was used to record an interview about fishing and another questionnaire to record an interview about hunting. Since the sportsmen who were interview**SEPAR24-RD40** to recall events that had happened during the preceding year, memory aids were used. These consisted of calendars, lists of fish and game species, lists of equipment items, booklets of license requirements, and simplified phraseology of questions.

As each group of households was completed, the results were sent in to the home office where they were checked to be sure that the correct sampling procedure had been followed. Each questionnaire was examined for correct recording in the proper places and for completeness of answers to all questions. In cases of omission and seeming inconsistencies the field supervisor was notified and the respondent was contacted to supply the missing information or to verify what had been recorded.

The same high degree of quality control was maintained during the period when information on the questionnaire was being carded and punched on machine-tabulating eards. Similar care was taken during machine tabulation, and unusual situations were checked immediately for validation.

## **RELIABILITY OF THE RESULTS**

The statistical reliability of the major findings of the survey is estimated as follows:

## ENDER OF FISHERMEN AND HUNTERS

Projection for number of	Estimated total number	Standard error of the total		Limits between which the figure from a complete enumeration would fall, with a 19 out of 20
		Actual	Percent	probability
Fishermen and/or hunters.	24, 917, 000	289,000	1. 16	24,339,000-25,495,000.
Fishermen.	20, 813, 000	272,000	1.31	20,269,000-21,357,000.
Hunters	11, 784, 000	199, 000	1 69	11,386,000-12,182,000
Salt-water fishermen	4, 557, 000	155,000	3, 40	4,247,000 4,867,000.
Fresh-water fishermen	18, 420, 000	253,000	1.37	17,914,000-18,926,000.
Big-game hunters	4, 414, 000	123, 000	2.79	4,168,000-4,660,000.
Small-game hunters	9, 822, 000	183,000	1.86	9,456,000-10,188,000.
Waterfowl hunters	1, 986, 000	85, 000	4 28	1,816,000-2,156,000.

EXPENDITURES FISHERMEN AND HUNTERS

Projection for expenditures of	Estimated total	Standard error of	the total Limits between which the figure from a complete enumeration
	expenditure	Actual	Percent would fall, with a 19 out of 20 probability
Fishermen and/or hunters Fishermen Hunters Salt-water fishermen	$$2, 850, 979, 000 \\ 1, 914, 292, 000 \\ 936, 687, 000 \\ 488, 939, 000$	\$127, 154, 000 107, 392, 000 44, 774, 000 64, 540, 000	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
Fresh-water fishermen - Big-game hunters	$\begin{array}{c} 1,425,353,000\\ 323,909,000\\ 494,033,000\\ 118,745,000 \end{array}$	58, 582, 000 23, 775, 000 24, 208, 000 10, 937, 000	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Foreword, Il What the survey sought, 3 How the survey was made, 3 Graphic presentations, 5 29 Households with fishermen or hunters, 5 Persons who fished or hunted, 6–7 Men who fished, 8 Women who fished, 9 Men who hunted, 10 Variation by geographic sections, 12 Variation by population densities, 13 Variation by age groups, 14-15 Expenditures for fishing and hunting, 16–17 Expenditures for hunting, 18 Expenditures for fishing, 19 Salt-water fishing, 20-21 Automobile mileage, 22-23 Travel by fishermen, 24 Travel by hunters, 25 Licenses, 26-27 Typical fisherman and hunter, 28 Days of recreation, 29

#### Tables, 30–44

Number of households 30-51 Number of persons who fished and hunted, 32-33 Fresh-water and salt-water fishermen and big-game smallgame, and waterfowl hunters, 34-35 Fishing and hunting trips, man-days, and membership in Expenditures for fishing and hunting, 35-44 Fishing and or hunting, 39 Fishing, 40 Fresh-water fishing, 40 Salt-water fishing, 41 Atlantic and Gulf coast salt-water fishing, 41 Pacific coast salt-water fishing, 42 Big-game hunting, 43 Small-game hunting, 43 Waterfowl hunting, 44 Lacenses, 44–45. Technique of the survey, 46-48 Statistical reliability, 49

The statistical material in this report was compiled by Crossley S D Surveys, Inc., under contract to Fish and Wildlife Service, United States Department of the Interior.





: