1	Seasonal variation in age-specific movement patterns of red drum Sciaenops
2	ocellatus inferred from conventional tagging and telemetry
3	
4	Nathan M. Bacheler (contact author) ¹
5	Lee M. Paramore ²
6	Summer M. Burdick ¹
7	Jeffrey A. Buckel ¹
8	Joseph E. Hightower ³
9 10 11	Email address for N.M. Bacheler: nbacheler@coas.oregonstate.edu
12 13 14 15 16	 ¹ Center for Marine Sciences and Technology Department of Biology North Carolina State University 303 College Circle Drive Morehead City, North Carolina 28557
17 18 19 20 21 22	 ² North Carolina Division of Marine Fisheries Post Office Box 539 604 Harbor Road, Wanchese, North Carolina 27981
22 23 24 25 26 27 28	³ United States Geological Survey North Carolina Cooperative Fish and Wildlife Research Unit Department of Biology North Carolina State University Raleigh, North Carolina 27695
29 30 31 32	Present address for contact author (N.M. Bacheler): College of Oceanic and Atmospheric Sciences, 104 COAS Administration Building, Oregon State University, Corvallis, Oregon 97331 (Phone: 541-737-9867; Fax: 541-737-2064)
33 34 35 36	Present address for S.M. Burdick: United States Geological Survey, Klamath Falls Field Station, 2795 Anderson Avenue, Suite 106, Klamath Falls, Oregon 97603
37	Keywords: Red drum, Sciaenidae, mark-recapture, migration, estuary, North Carolina

38 Abstract - We used 25 years of conventional tagging (n = 6173 recoveries) and 3 years of 39 ultrasonic telemetry data (n = 105 transmitters deployed) to examine movement rates and 40 directional preferences of four age classes of red drum Sciaenops ocellatus in North Carolina. 41 Movement rates of tagged red drum were dependent on the age, region, and season of tagging. 42 Age-1 and age-2 red drum tagged along the coast generally moved along the coast, while fish 43 tagged in oligonaline waters far from the coast were primarily recovered in coastal regions in fall 44 months. Adult (age-4+) red drum moved from overwintering grounds on the continental shelf 45 through inlets into Pamlico Sound in spring and summer months and departed in fall. Few 46 tagged red drum were recovered in adjacent states (0.6% of all recoveries); however, some adult 47 red drum migrated seasonally from overwintering grounds in coastal North Carolina northward 48 to Virginia in spring, returning in fall. Telemetered age-2 red drum displayed seasonal 49 emigration from a small tributary, but upstream and downstream movements within the tributary 50 were correlated with fluctuating salinity regimes and not season. Large-scale tagging and 51 telemetry programs can provide valuable insights into the complex movement patterns of 52 estuarine fish. 53 54

55

56	Understanding the movements of individuals within and among populations is critical in order to
57	effectively manage and conserve fish species. Movement information within a population is
58	necessary to identify and prioritize nursery areas (Beck et al., 2001; Gillanders et al., 2003),
59	evaluate marine protected areas (Kramer and Chapman, 1999; Walters et al., 1999; Botsford et
60	al., 2003), and elucidate population dynamics (Turchin, 1998), while movement among
61	populations is crucial to understanding gene flow, metapopulation dynamics, and ultimately,
62	stock structure (Metcalfe, 2006; Shepherd et al., 2006; Cadrin and Secor, in press). Movement is
63	thus a major force structuring fish populations. The quantification of intra- and inter-population
64	movement of fishes, however, lags far behind the well developed methodologies that exist for
65	measuring population density and survival (Turchin, 1998).
66	Given the commercial and recreational importance of fish that use estuaries at some stage
67	in their life history, a wide variety of methodologies have been employed to try to understand the
68	movement patterns of estuarine fish. Historically, the most common approach has been to
69	reconstruct movement pathways based on fishery catches (e.g., Rulifson and Dadswell, 1995).
70	Mark-recapture techniques have advanced our understanding of fish movement patterns because
71	they explicitly account for individual variation in movement behavior (Able and Hales, 1997;
72	Able et al., 2005; Glover et al., 2008). Otolith microchemistry techniques have recently been
73	employed to understand aspects of the movement ecology of estuarine organisms, such as the
74	importance of substocks or contingents (Secor, 1999) and the natal homing of estuarine fish
75	(Thorrold et al., 2001). The use of biotelemetry represents another major methodological
76	advance because it can provide multiple relocations of individual fish over various spatial and
77	temporal scales (Able and Grothues, 2007; Sackett et al., 2008). Each of these methods has its

78

own strengths and weaknesses, suggesting that a combination of techniques may be optimal to 79 understand the movement of fish in estuaries (e.g., Cunjak et al., 2005).

80 Red drum Sciaenops ocellatus is a long-lived estuarine and coastal fish species found 81 along the Gulf and Atlantic coasts of the United States. Overfishing was responsible for declines 82 of red drum in the 1980s, but strict harvest regulations enacted in the 1990s have promoted the 83 rebuilding of red drum stocks (Bacheler et al., 2008a). Red drum are currently managed such 84 that subadult (i.e., ages 1-3) age classes are harvested, while adults are protected in most states. 85 A more thorough description of red drum movement patterns could be used to assess the current 86 spatial scale of management (Metcalfe, 2006), inform the usefulness and design of no-take zones 87 as a management strategy (Collins et al., 2002), and provide information on the ways juveniles 88 move from nurseries to adult habitats (Beck et al., 2001; Gillanders et al., 2003).

89 The literature on the spatial extent of red drum movement is mixed. Studies conducted 90 over relatively small spatial and temporal scales indicate subadult red drum movement is limited 91 (Osburn et al., 1982; Collins et al., 2002; Jenkins et al., 2004; Dresser and Kneib, 2007). It also 92 appears that adult red drum return to their natal estuary to spawn (Patterson et al., 2004). 93 However, genetic differences exist only at very coarse scales (i.e., Gulf of Mexico and northwest 94 Atlantic Ocean), not at finer spatial scales (Gold and Richardson, 1991; Gold et al., 1993; 95 Seyoum et al., 2000). This apparent inconsistency, whereby subadults show limited movements 96 but genetic makeup is relatively homogeneous within each ocean, can potentially be explained in 97 three ways. First, dispersal of larvae may occur over long distances along the coast, although 98 evidence suggests red drum spawn in inlets and estuaries (Johnson and Funicelli, 1991; Barrios, 99 2004; Luczkovich et al., 2008) where larvae are likely locally retained (Chen et al., 1997). 100 Second, despite low movement rates by subadults, adult movement rates may be high enough

101 that genetic variability is homogenized at a basin-wide scale; this hypothesis remains untested 102 because adult movement patterns have not been quantified. Third, previous examinations of 103 subadult red drum movement at relatively small temporal and spatial scales may have missed the 104 full extent of subadult movements. 105 This study describes the movement patterns of subadult and adult red drum in North 106 Carolina, USA, and neighboring states. The objectives of this study were to quantify the large-107 scale movements of subadult and adult red drum using 25 years of conventional tagging data and 108 small-scale movement of subadult red drum using 3 years of ultrasonic telemetry and 109 submersible receiver detections. We use a variety of quantitative approaches to describe 110 subadult and adult movement patterns including passive and active telemetry relocations, 111 geographic mapping, and circular mapping. We explicitly account for differences in movement 112 patterns based on the season and location of tagging. This study improves our understanding of 113 the movement of red drum in North Carolina and estuarine fish species more generally, and also 114 provides some analytical techniques that are more widely applicable. 115

116 Materials and methods

117 Conventional tagging

Two sources of tagging data were considered. The first source was the red drum tagging dataset from the North Carolina Division of Marine Fisheries (NCDMF), which has occurred throughout North Carolina (NC) from 1983 – 2007. North Carolina Department of Marine Fisheries captured and tagged red drum opportunistically using pound nets, hook-and-line, runaround gill net, trammel nets, and electrofishing (see Burdick et al., 2007 for a complete description). Volunteer recreational fishers have been involved in tagging since 1984 and primarily target adult red drum. Commercial fishers assisted in tagging until 1990, primarily

tagging subadult red drum caught in pound nets and gill nets in conjunction with NCDMF. The
second data source was tagging of subadult red drum in 2005 – 2007 by North Carolina State
University (NCSU) personnel within the lower Neuse River estuary (Bacheler, 2008). In both of
these studies, only healthy fish were tagged and released.

129 Most subadult fish were tagged with Floy® internal anchor tags (FM-84, FM-89SL, and

130 FM-95W), while adults were primarily tagged with nylon dart tags (Floy® FT-1 and FT-2),

131 stainless steel dart tags with a monofilament core (Floy® FH-69) or, more recently, a stainless

132 steel core (Hallprint® SSD wire-through). All tags were labeled with a unique tag number,

133 "REWARD" message, and an address to send the tag and phone number to report the tag. A two

dollar (US) reward was given for returned NCDMF tags until 1989, and the reward amount

135 increased to five dollars or a hat in 1990. Most NCSU tags were labeled with the "REWARD"

136 message (US\$5, hat, or t-shirt reward), but the remaining tags were labeled "\$100 REWARD"

for a concurrent tag reporting rate study (Bacheler, 2008) and were worth US\$100. All tag types
were combined and treated equally in this study.

139 We used a 6-mo age-length key developed by NCDMF to convert total length of fish at 140 tagging to an estimated age based on a 1 January birthday. The age-length key was based on 17 141 years of NC red drum ageing data from otoliths, and annuli have been validated by Ross et al., 142 (1995). A 6-mo age-length key (January - June and July - December) was used because of rapid 143 summer growth rates that subadult red drum experience in NC (Ross et al., 1995). The key 144 provided very good separation of length-groups for fish younger than age 4. Sexually mature red 145 drum were grouped into a single age-bin (age 4 and older [4+]; Ross et al., 1995). Thus, we used 146 four age-groups (ages 1, 2, 3, and 4+) for all analyses. Previous aging work on adult red drum in

NC determined that maximum age was 62 years (Ross et al., 1995), suggesting that age-4+ red
drum in our study potentially ranged from age 4 to > 60.

Red drum movement patterns were examined in four regions in NC (Fig. 1). These regions were eastern Pamlico Sound and the adjacent coastal waters (EPS; the Outer Banks from the Virginia state line to Cape Lookout), western Pamlico Sound (WPS; mainland areas of northern NC), Neuse and Pamlico Rivers (NPR), and southern North Carolina (SNC; Cape Lookout southward, including estuaries and coastal waters). These regions were chosen based on preliminary examination of movement patterns of red drum (Burdick et al., 2007) and natural geographic divisions.

The latitude and longitude of tagging and recovery locations were used to calculate the distance (km) and angle moved (measured in whole-circle bearing degrees, with 0° representing true north). We calculated distance moved both as shortest distance moved in water using ArcGIS 9.1 (ESRI, Redlands, CA) for distance and movement rate calculations, as well as straight-line distance (Batschelet, 1981) for circular mapping analyses. We also calculated the angle moved (in degrees) of each individual fish based on tagging and recovery coordinates (Batschelet, 1981).

We were unable to examine the simultaneous influence of age, region, and season of tagging on red drum movement patterns due to low sample sizes of recovered red drum in some age, region, and season combinations. Instead, we conducted two separate statistical analyses. In the first, we tested for differences in days at large, distance moved (km), and movement rate (km•d⁻¹) among red drum age classes and regions of tagging using ANOVA. Each dependent variable was log-transformed to reduce skewness and homogenize variability. Two-way factorial ANOVAs were used to test the main effects of age and region of tagging and their

170 interaction at $\alpha = 0.05$. To visualize these age and region patterns, we first constructed maps of 171 tagging and recovery locations for each age class of red drum using ArcGIS 9.1. We next 172 constructed two-variable vector plots in Oriana 2.0 (Kovach Computing Services, Anglesey, 173 Wales). The length of the bars in these circular plots represents the straight-line distance moved 174 by individual red drum, while the direction of the bar represents the angular bearing of the fish. 175 Separate graphs were made for each age class and region combination. We were unable to use circular statistics on these data due to the presence of multiple modes (Zar, 1999) and geographic 176 177 barriers that varied by region.

178 The second statistical analysis tested the influence of tagging season and age class on 179 movement rates of red drum. Age was also included as a variable in this analysis because of the 180 potential of seasonal movements to be influenced by the age of red drum at tagging. Only red 181 drum recovered within 60 days of tagging were included in this analysis so that fish could be 182 classified accurately into a seasonal period; the midpoint of time at large for each fish was used 183 to determine its season of recovery. Seasonal periods were classified as spring (March - May), 184 summer (June – August), fall (September – November), and winter (December – February). 185 Differences in log-transformed movement rates by season and age class were tested with a two-186 way factorial ANOVA.

To visualize this seasonal effect, stacked and stepped histograms of distance moved and directionality were created within the circular plot. Age-1 and age-2 red drum were only examined in these plots due to low sample sizes of older age classes. The overall length of each wedge in the plot was the relative frequency of angular observations within 20° bins scaled to the largest number for each plot (because sample size was highly variable). Each wedge was further subdivided into the proportion of movements in a particular direction composed of various

distance categories. These unique diagrams allowed for an examination of both direction anddistance moved by season for red drum.

195 Anecdotal reports have suggested that some adult (age-4+) red drum migrate from 196 coastal North Carolina waters northward each spring to Virginia and Maryland, with a return 197 southward in the fall. We examined the hypothesis of a seasonal migration of adult red drum 198 with data from three sources: (1) the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) trawl survey, 199 (2) Virginia Institute of Marine Sciences (VIMS) shark longline survey, and (3) locations of 200 tagged and recovered adult red drum in coastal waters from North Carolina northward. The 201 NMFS trawl survey was conducted in spring (March) and fall (September - November) in 202 coastal waters from Cape Hatteras northward (Desprespatanjo et al., 1988); we used data from 203 1963 to 2004. The VIMS shark longline survey has been conducted in the lower Chesapeake 204 Bay and Virginia coastal waters from May or June through September or October (Conrath and 205 Musick, 2007), and data from 1974 to 2004 were used here. Data from these three surveys were 206 combined to provide a seasonally-based map of adult red drum captures along the mid-Atlantic 207 coast.

208

209 Ultrasonic telemetry

In order to quantify small-scale movements of subadult red drum, we also used ultrasonic telemetry in a small lateral tributary of the Neuse River, Hancock Creek. Age-2 red drum were implanted with transmitters in Hancock Creek between 2005 and 2007; surgical procedures can be found in Bacheler (2008). Fish were surgically implanted with coded ultrasonic transmitters (VEMCO, Ltd., Nova Scotia, Canada; V16 4H, 10 g in water; 10 mm wide; 65 mm long), and were released after swimming behavior returned to normal (approximately 10 min). The

transmitters operated on a frequency of 69 kHz, and were programmed to be continuously activefor a period of 641 d.

218 Telemetered red drum were manually relocated monthly using a VEMCO VR100 219 receiver and hydrophone. Upon relocation of a telemetered fish, the latitude and longitude 220 coordinates were recorded, and water depth, temperature, salinity, and dissolved oxygen measurements were taken with a YSI[®] 85. Monthly movement rates were calculated as the 221 222 shortest distance in water (km) between two successive relocation events. Upstream or 223 downstream movements were determined for fish moving greater than 50 m in an upstream or 224 downstream direction from its previous monthly location; otherwise, it was classified as 225 stationary.

226 Submersible VR2 VEMCO receivers were used at the mouth of each tributary to 227 document emigration events. Preliminary testing suggested that VR2 receivers could detect 228 nearly 100% of pulses from V16 tags at 400 m in our study system (Bacheler, 2008). Therefore, 229 three submersible receivers were deployed at the mouth of Hancock Creek, each being a 230 conservative distance of 600 m apart from one another. If a fish emigrated from a tributary, it 231 was censored from the movement analyses. Approximately 300,000 detections could be stored 232 in a single VR2 receiver, so data were downloaded every 1-5 mo to avoid filling the memory. 233 Preliminary observations of telemetered red drum in Hancock Creek suggested that fish 234 often moved in synchronized ways upstream or downstream among monthly relocation periods. 235 To test for seasonal effects, we related the proportion of fish moving upstream, moving 236 downstream, and remaining stationary in Hancock Creek with the month of relocation using an R 237 x C Test of Independence. Given that the salinity regime in Hancock Creek was near the lower 238 limit for red drum (Crocker et al., 1983; Forsberg et al., 1997), we also tested whether

239 fluctuations in salinity was correlated with upstream and downstream movements, as well as 240 emigrations, of age-2 red drum. We correlated the proportion of telemetered red drum moving 241 upstream or downstream each month with the observed change in salinity near the midpoint 242 (boat ramp) of Hancock Creek (see Fig. 1 for location) using Pearson's product-moment 243 correlation analysis. Months with sample sizes of less than four telemetered red drum were 244 excluded from analysis. 245 246 Results 247 Conventional tagging 248 A total of 48,136 red drum was tagged in this study, of which 6173 were recovered and 249 reported by fishers (Table 1). Overall, 58% of these recoveries were from fish tagged at age 1, 250 30% were from age 2, 2% were from age 3, and 9% were from age 4+. A majority of recoveries 251 occurred from fish originally tagged in the Neuse and Pamlico Rivers (59%), but many fish were 252 also recovered from releases in other regions as well (Table 1).

253 Red drum tagging and recoveries occurred broadly throughout estuaries and the coast of 254 NC (Fig. 2). Age-1 and age-2 red drum were tagged in large numbers in all estuarine and coastal 255 regions of the state, and recoveries occurred throughout NC waters (Fig. 2, A - B). Tagging of 256 age-3 red drum was mainly focused in the Neuse River (NPR) and in Pamlico Sound near Cape 257 Hatteras (EPS), and recoveries generally occurred in nearby areas (Fig. 2C). Tagging of age-4+ 258 red drum was concentrated around Ocracoke Inlet (EPS), the lower Neuse and Pamlico Rivers 259 (NPR), and Cape Fear River (SNC); recoveries appeared to be concentrated in these same three 260 areas (Fig. 2D).

261 There were regional differences in movement metrics among the four age classes of red 262 drum. Log-transformed mean days at large, mean distance moved, and mean movement rate of

263 red drum were all significantly influenced by both region of tagging and age of the fish (two-way 264 factorial ANOVA; all P < 0.001). Specifically, mean days at large was positively related to age 265 at tagging, with age-1 red drum spending 100.8 ± 2.8 d (mean \pm SE) at large, while age-4+ fish 266 were at large much longer (693.8 \pm 37.9 d; Table 2). Mean distance moved was lowest for age-3 267 red drum (10.1 \pm 1.2 km) and highest for age-4+ fish (30.2 \pm 2.0 km). Movement rates were much higher for age-1 red drum $(1.1 \pm 0.1 \text{ km} \cdot \text{d}^{-1})$ compared to other age classes, which varied 268 from $0.2 - 0.4 \text{ km} \cdot \text{d}^{-1}$ (Table 2). In addition, there were significant interactions between region 269 270 and age for all analyses (all interaction P < 0.01).

271 Prevailing movement directions were region- and age-dependent (Fig. 3). Generally, 272 age-1 and age-2 red drum moved parallel to the coast, except for fish tagged in NPR, which 273 tended to primarily move towards the coast. Rarely did subadult red drum move up rivers and 274 estuaries towards low salinity waters. Age-1 red drum tagged in EPS and WPS primarily moved 275 southwest along the coast, while those tagged in SNC primarily moved northeast and southwest. 276 Age-2 red drum generally showed more northward movements than age-1 red drum, especially 277 in the northern regions of EPS and WPS (Fig. 3). Age-3 red drum displayed limited movements, 278 but sample sizes were smaller than other age classes. Age-4+ red drum tagged in EPS moved the 279 furthest towards the north and south, but many fish moved shorter distances to the east and west. 280 Movement distances for age-4+ red drum were low in all other regions, with the exception of 281 primarily northeast (downriver) movements in NPR (Fig. 3).

Red drum of all ages had highest movement rates in fall (Fig. 4). Movement rate within 60 days of tagging was influenced by season (P < 0.01) and age (P = 0.04); the interaction between season and age was also significant (P < 0.01). Age-1 red drum showed the highest fall movement rates, while age-4+ displayed the highest movement rate of any age class in spring

and summer. Age-3 red drum had the lowest movement rate of any age class in spring and fall(Fig. 4).

288 Four observations were apparent for the detailed seasonal examination of directions and 289 distances moved for age-1 and age-2 red drum (Fig. 5). First, higher proportions of long-290 distance movements occurred in fall months; in fact, a majority of movements in fall months 291 consisted of distances greater than 20.1 km. Second, regional differences were observed in both 292 distances and directions moved, especially in fall months. For instance, most movements of age-293 1 red drum tagged in Eastern Pamlico Sound consisted of long-distance movements (> 20.1 km) 294 to the southwest, whereas age-1 fish in other regions moved primarily south (WPS), east (NPR), 295 or northeast and southwest (SNC). Third, during winter, spring, and summer months, 296 movements of subadult red drum tended to consist of short-distance moves of highly variable 297 directionality. Fourth, when comparing age-1 and age-2 red drum movements in fall months, the 298 direction and distances moved were mostly similar for EPS and WPS. However, age-specific 299 differences were observed for NPR, which had more long-distance, coastward movements of 300 age-2 compared to age-1 red drum, and for SNC, which had more westward movement of age-2 301 fish and highly variable movement of age-1 red drum.

A total of 36 red drum was recovered in states other than NC (0.6% of all recoveries). Most out-of-state recoveries were from fish tagged at age 2 (56%), but some had been tagged at age 1 (22%) or age 4+ (22%). Most out-of-state recoveries came from Virginia (78%), but recoveries also occurred in South Carolina (11%), Maryland (5%), Georgia (3%), and Delaware (3%). Most out-of-state recoveries came from fish tagged in EPS (82%), but some also came from NPR (6%), SNC (6%), and WPS (6%).

308 Catches of adult red drum in coastal waters of North Carolina, Virginia, and

309 Maryland from the NMFS and VIMS fishery-independent surveys and tagging showed a 310 seasonal geographic pattern (Fig. 6). In March, adult red drum were observed exclusively on the 311 continental shelf of North Carolina. Adult red drum were encountered in lower Chesapeake Bay 312 and coastal Virginia and Maryland in spring and summer months, while catches during late fall 313 were centered back in North Carolina. 314 315 Ultrasonic telemetry 316 In total, 105 age-2 red drum were implanted with transmitters in Hancock Creek from 317 March 2005 to December 2007. Most (77%) ultimately emigrated from the system, but some 318 were harvested by fishers (15%) and others remained alive at the end of the study (7%). One 319 fish (1%) died from the surgical procedure. Emigration rates from Hancock Creek were bimodal and seasonal ($\chi^2 = 41.6$; P < 0.001; 320 321 Fig. 7A), with most fish emigrating in spring (April – June) or fall months (September – 322 November). No fish emigrated during winter (December – February). Movement rates of 323 telemetered red drum within Hancock Creek (i.e., excluding movements of emigrating fish) were also seasonal (ANOVA: P = 0.01), with highest movements in May and lowest in January and 324 325 February. Last, directionality of movements in Hancock Creek was dependent upon month of relocation (R × C Test of Independence: $\chi^2 = 53.4$, P < 0.001), but no obvious seasonal trend 326 327 was observed (Fig. 7B). 328 Upstream and downstream movements of telemetered red drum were also significantly 329 correlated with fluctuations in salinity (Fig. 8). The proportion of red drum moving upstream

330 was correlated with a positive monthly change in salinity (r = 0.57; F = 9.19; P = 0.007; Fig.

8A), and, similarly, downstream movements were correlated with a negative monthly change in

salinity (r = -0.68; F = 16.28; P < 0.001; Fig. 8B). Changes in salinity did not influence emigration rate, however (r = -0.20; F = 0.19; P = 0.67; Fig. 8C).

334

335 Discussion

336 We used a 25-year tagging dataset in combination with 3 years of ultrasonic telemetry 337 and coastal fishery-independent survey data to provide a comprehensive examination of subadult 338 and adult red drum movement. Red drum movement patterns in NC were dependent upon the 339 age, region, and season of tagging. Longitudinal movements of age-2 red drum within a 340 tributary to the Neuse River were related to salinity fluctuations, but emigrations from the 341 tributary were dependent on season and not salinity. These results advance our understanding of 342 the seasonality, regional variability, age dependency, and spatial scale of movements of fish in 343 complex estuarine and coastal environments.

344 Movement patterns of red drum were distinctly age-dependent. From a physiological perspective, red drum are expected to show preferences for higher salinity with age (Neill et al., 345 346 2004), which may at least partially explain the observed age-dependent movement patterns 347 towards the coast. Red drum are also known to experience major ontogenetic shifts in diet 348 (Wenner, 1992) and habitat use (Bacheler et al., in press), but it was unknown how these 349 ecological shifts translated to age-dependent and seasonal movement patterns. While movement 350 rates of many fish species have been shown to be age-dependent (e.g., Skalski and Gilliam, 351 2000), previous work on the movements of red drum has focused on only one age class (Dresser 352 and Kneib, 2007) or has found no differences among age groups (Osburn et al., 1982). The 353 observation that red drum movement patterns are age-specific is important for explaining age-354 specific selectivity patterns of the fishery (Bacheler et al., 2008a). In addition, the timing of

movement for each age class can also be used to create temporal closures as a fishery
 management tool to protect red drum during particularly vulnerable periods when movement
 rates are high.

The use of multiple tag types in our study may have biased our analyses of movement patterns by age. Most subadult red drum were tagged with internal anchor tags, which have been shown to have higher retention rates than dart tags that were primarily applied to adult red drum in our study (Bacheler et al., 2008a). Unequal retention rates of tags likely did not bias movement rates or distances moved, but may have biased our analysis of days at large. It is likely that adult red drum would have likely shown even greater differences in days at large compared to subadult fish if a tag that lasted longer was used for adults.

365 By tagging and implanting large numbers of fish in this study, we were able to clearly 366 document the strong seasonality of movements of red drum. The limited temporal scope and 367 modest sample size of previous estuarine tagging studies made it difficult to quantify seasonal 368 variability in movement patterns of estuarine fish species like red drum. We documented a high 369 rate of (primarily southward) movement by age-1 red drum in fall months, especially in northern 370 regions of NC (EPS and WPS); NC happens to be the most significant northern overwintering 371 grounds for subadult red drum on the Atlantic coast (Ross et al., 1995). Atlantic silversides 372 (Menidia menidia) are known to migrate offshore in the northern but not the southern part of 373 their range in the Atlantic (Conover and Murawski, 1982), presumably to avoid overwintering 374 mortality due to acute cold stress in northerly latitudes (Munch et al., 2003). Adult bluefish 375 (*Pomatomus saltatrix*) on the Atlantic coast appear to consist of three groups that exhibit 376 different migratory behaviors; the group inhabiting the most northerly waters (i.e., New England) 377 in summer months tends to exhibit the furthest southerly migration in fall (Shepherd et al., 2006).

Likewise, southerly movements of age-1 red drum during fall months may be an avoidance
response to acute cold stress (e.g., Gunter, 1941) that may be particularly hazardous in the
northern part of the state.

381 Regional variability in the movement of tagged estuarine fish is likely a result of the 382 physiology of the species, geographic barriers, and the specific fisheries operating in each region. 383 In addition to the seasonal movements described above for age-1 red drum in northerly regions 384 of the state, there appeared to be a coastward (easterly) migration for both age-1 and age-2 fish 385 tagged in oligohaline waters, while fish tagged in polyhaline waters primarily moved along the 386 coast. This may be due as much to the physiological requirements of red drum as the geography 387 of the NC coast, which constrains the movements of red drum to specific directions (e.g., east – 388 west in NPR, northeast – southwest in SNC).

389 Because tag recoveries come from the fishery, conventional tagging analyses of 390 movement can be biased by spatially heterogeneous fishing effort. The distribution of recoveries 391 may therefore reflect the spatial distribution of fishing more than the true extent of fish 392 movement. Bolle et al. (2005) used electronic transmitters to show that conventional tagging 393 was able to provide a reliable interpretation of North Sea plaice (*Pleuronectes platessa*) 394 movement patterns in most areas of the North Sea; the only areas that appeared to be 395 undersampled by conventional tagging were places where residence time was short, fishing 396 effort was low, and catchability was reduced. We could not evaluate conventional tagging with 397 telemetry in our study because the spatial distribution of tagging and telemetry did not overlap. 398 However, we believe conventional tagging movement data were generally robust except for fish 399 tagged in the NPR. A large number of fish were tagged in conjunction with commercial pound 400 net operations in the Pamlico River, and many were recovered within a few days in the same or

401 nearby pound nets. Such intense localized fishing pressure adjacent to major tagging operations 402 likely biased NPR movement data, resulting in shorter mean distances moved and days at large 403 compared to other regions where fish were not tagged out of pound nets. In light of the unusual 404 pound net tagging in the Pamlico River, movement data from NPR should be viewed cautiously. 405 Our analyses could have been improved if fishing effort data across coastal NC were 406 available. Since heterogeneous fishing effort may influence movement results, recent movement 407 analyses have standardized tag recoveries by regionally-variable fishing effort (e.g., Schmalz et 408 al., 2002; Wang et al., 2007). Building upon the pioneering work of Hilborn (1990), McGarvey 409 and Feenstra (2002) went further and developed a movement model that uses fishing effort or 410 mortality data across space in a maximum likelihood framework to estimate movement 411 probability parameters. Accurate fishing effort data could be useful for future red drum tagging 412 analyses, and may improve both movement and mortality modeling.

413 The addition of a telemetry component to this study to examine small-scale movement 414 patterns of subadult red drum complemented large-scale analyses that used conventional tagging. 415 Since the salinity in Hancock Creek is near the lower tolerance limit for red drum (Crocker et al., 416 1983; Forsberg et al., 1997), upstream and downstream movements of telemetered red drum may 417 have been a physiological response to fluctuating salinities. In laboratory experiments, estuarine 418 organisms have been shown to respond to changes in salinity with increased swimming speed 419 and respiration (von Oertzen, 1984), and hatchery-reared juvenile red drum choose salinity 420 regimes that match conditions in which they were reared (Parkyn et al., 2002). Alternatively, 421 telemetered red drum may have been following the movements of prey species (Bacheler et al., 422 in press) that had their own physiological constraints. Regardless, telemetered red drum 423 appeared to remain in salinities around 4 - 5 psu (Bacheler et al., in press), following this

gradient up and down the creek with fluctuations in salinity. Our results contrast with Dresser
and Kneib (2007), who showed that subadult red drum movement patterns in a coastal Georgia
saltmarsh were primarily influenced by tide and time of day. The lack of lunar tides in Hancock
Creek, in addition to much lower salinities, may explain the discrepancy.

428 We developed a conceptual diagram to highlight the ways in which our conventional 429 tagging and telemetry data helped elucidate several critical aspects of red drum life history and 430 ontogeny (Fig. 9). Spawning occurs in late summer (Barrios, 2004; Luczkovich et al., 2008), 431 and fertilized eggs are advected upstream where they eventually hatch into pelagic larvae and 432 settle to benthic nursery habitats during fall (Bacheler et al., 2008b). Age-0 to age-3 red drum 433 are found in upper estuarine environments, but we have shown that each fall a portion of both 434 age-1 and age-2 cohorts move to high salinity, coastal waters (Fig. 9). It appears that some red 435 drum remain in upper estuary habitats until age 3, the age at which the last remaining red drum 436 move to coastal environments. Subadult red drum in coastal environments join the adult 437 population after maturity at age 3 or 4 (Ross et al., 1995). We have also shown that adults 438 overwinter on the continental shelf, and either move westward into NC estuaries or northward to 439 the lower Chesapeake Bay or coastal Virginia/Maryland during spring, and back east or south 440 during fall months. We could not eliminate the possibility that some adult red drum remain in 441 continental shelf waters year-round and spawn on the shelf or in passes or inlets, as has been 442 observed elsewhere (Murphy and Taylor, 1990). Therefore, the arrows in our conceptual 443 diagram highlighting the seasonal movements of adult red drum into the estuary were dotted to 444 acknowledge this uncertainty. Taken together, these movement results have direct implications 445 for the use of temporal and spatial management tools and also for the scale at which management 446 and assessment takes place.

447 Small marine reserves have been proposed as a management tool to protect red drum in 448 South Carolina because rebuilding of red drum stocks has been modest and movements of 449 hatchery-raised, stocked red drum has been minimal in the state (Collins et al., 2002; Jenkins et 450 al., 2004). The higher rate of movement we documented for subadult red drum in NC suggests 451 that marine reserves would need to be larger than those proposed in South Carolina to achieve 452 similar gains (Polacheck, 1990). Tremain et al. (2004) examined the movements of tagged red 453 drum inside and outside of the Merritt Island National Wildlife Refuge reserve in Florida and found that more red drum moved into the reserve than out, and that movement rates were higher 454 455 than previously reported. The authors suggest that the reserve may have been extracting 456 exploitable red drum from adjacent areas, although increased egg production and larval export 457 could have been replenishing nearby areas. The size and location of estuarine reserves in North 458 Carolina could be revisited in the future if the stock declines from current, near-optimal levels 459 (Takade and Paramore, 2007).

460 Assessment of NC and Virginia red drum together as one stock (Takade and Paramore, 461 2007) is justified by tagging data. Subadult red drum tagged in NC appear to be much more 462 likely to move northward to Virginia than to any other state, even though interstate movements 463 were generally low. Likewise, subadult red drum tagged in Virginia have consistently been 464 recovered in NC waters (Lucy and Bain, 2007). Few subadult red drum were captured in states 465 southward in our study, and, similarly, tagged red drum in South Carolina are rarely recovered in 466 North Carolina (Wenner, 1992). The interstate movement patterns of adult red drum appear to 467 mirror those of subadults, showing a northward seasonal migration to Virginia each year, but 468 very limited exchange with states south of NC. Our tagging results suggest that the state line

469 between NC and SC is an important ecological division for red drum and, thus, an appropriate470 division for management and assessment.

471 Our results generally contrast with red drum population genetic results that imply 472 significant interstate movement given low observed genetic variability (i.e., low F_{ST}) within 473 Atlantic and Gulf of Mexico basins (Gold and Richardson, 1991; Gold et al., 1993; Seyoum et 474 al., 2000). While low F_{ST} values may suggest a highly mixed population, they can also mean 475 that subpopulations became isolated only very recently, or that just enough gene flow exists to 476 homogenize genetic variability despite extremely limited exchange of individuals on average among sites (Conover et al., 2006). Given the many possible interpretations of low F_{ST} values in 477 478 genetic studies, we believe tagging and telemetry methods are more appropriate techniques for 479 defining the appropriate management unit for red drum.

480

481

482 Acknowledgements

483 Funding for field work, data collection, and analyses was supported by NC Sea Grant (#R/MRD-

484 48 and R/MRD-52), NC Beautiful, the Raleigh Saltwater Sportfishing Club, the state of North

485 Carolina, and Federal Aid in Sport Fish Restoration. We thank T. Averett, J. Edwards, T. Ellis,

486 A. Flynt, M. Fox, D. Heithaus, M. May, J. Merrell, J. Morley, P. Rudershausen, and A.

487 Waggener for field assistance. We also thank Jason Romine, Jack Musick, and the Virginia

488 Institute of Marine Science for compiling and sharing the longline survey data, as well as NOAA

489 Fisheries Woods Hole Laboratory for providing groundfish survey data. We also acknowledge

490 assistance from M. Hamric, D. Skinner, L. Judy, and C. Etheridge of NCDMF. We also thank K.

491 Pollock, J. Gilliam, and L. Daniel for reviews of previous versions of this manuscript. Reference

492 to trade names does not imply endorsement by the U. S. Government.

493

493 Literature cited

494

- Able, K. W., and T. M. Grothues. 2007. An approach to understanding habitat dynamics of
 flatfishes: advantages of biotelemetry. J. Sea Res. 58:1-7.
- 497 Able, K. W., and L. S. Hales, Jr. 1997. Movements of juvenile black sea bass Centropristis
- 498 *striata* (Linnaeus) in a southern New Jersey estuary. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 213:153499 167.
- Able, K. W., L. S. Hales, Jr., and S. M. Hagan. 2005. Movement and growth of juvenile (age 0
 and 1+) tautog (*Tautoga onitis* [L.]) and cunner (*Tautogolabrus adspersus* [Walbaum]) in
 a southern New Jersey estuary. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 327:22-35.
- 503 Bacheler, N. M. 2008. Factors influencing the mortality and distribution of subadult red drum

504 in North Carolina. Ph.D. Dissertation, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC.

- Bacheler, N. M., J. E. Hightower, L. M. Paramore, J. A. Buckel, and K. H. Pollock. 2008a. An
 age-dependent tag return model for estimating mortality and selectivity of an estuarine-
- 507 dependent fish with high rates of catch and release. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. 137:1422-
- 508 1432.
- Bacheler, N. M., L. M. Paramore, J. A. Buckel, and F. S. Scharf. 2008b. Recruitment of juvenile
 red drum in North Carolina: spatiotemporal patterns of year-class strength and validation
 of a seine survey. N. Am. J. Fish. Manag. 28:1086-1098.
- Bacheler, N. M., L. M. Paramore, J. A. Buckel, and J. E. Hightower. In press. Abiotic and biotic
 factors influence the habitat use of an estuarine fish. Mar. Ecol. Progr. Ser.
- 514 Barrios, A. T. 2004. Use of passive acoustic monitoring to resolve spatial and temporal
- 515 patterns of spawning activity for red drum, *Sciaenops ocellatus*, in the Neuse River
- 516 Estuary, North Carolina. M.S. Thesis, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC.

- 517 Batschelet, E. 1981. Circular statistics in biology, 371 pp. Academic Press, London.
- 518 Beck M. W., K. L. Heck, K. W. Able, D. L. Childers, and 9 others. 2001. The identification,
- 519 conservation, and management of estuarine and marine nurseries for fish and
- 520 invertebrates. BioSci. 51:633-641.
- 521 Bolle, L. J., E. Hunter, A. D. Rijnsdorp, M. A. Pastoors, J. D. Metcalfe, and J. D. Reynolds.
- 522 2005. Do tagging experiments tell the truth? Using electronic tags to evaluate
 523 conventional tagging data. ICES J. Mar. Sci. 62:236-246.
- Botsford, L. W., F. Micheli, and A. Hastings. 2003. Principles for the design of marine
 reserves. Ecol. App. 13 (Sup. S): S25-S31.
- 526 Burdick, S. M., J. E. Hightower, J. A. Buckel, K. H. Pollock, and L. M. Paramore. 2007.
- 527 Movement and selectivity of red drum and survival of adult red drum: an analysis of 20 528 years of tagging data. North Carolina Division of Marine Fisheries, Morehead City, NC.
- Cadrin, S. X., and D. H. Secor. In press. Accounting for spatial population structure in
 stock assessment: past, present, and future. Proc. AIFRB 50th Anniv. Symp.
- 531 Chen, Y. H., P. T. Shaw, and T. G. Wolcott. 1997. Enhancing estuarine retention of planktonic
 532 larvae by tidal currents. Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci. 45:525-533.
- Collins M. R., T. I. J. Smith, W. E. Jenkins, and M. R. Denson. 2002. Small marine reserves
 may increase escapement of red drum. Fisheries 27:20-24.
- 535 Conover, D. O., and S. A. Murawski. 1982. Offshore winter migration of the Atlantic
 536 silverside, *Menidia menidia*. Fish. Bull. 80:145-150.
- 537 Conover, D. O., L. M. Clarke, S. B. Munch, and G. N. Wagner. 2006. Spatial and temporal
- 538 scales of adaptive divergence in marine fishes and the implications for conservation. J.
- 539 Fish Biol. 69 (Sup. C):21-47.

540	Conrath, C. L., and J. A. Musick. 2007. The sandbar shark summer nursery within bays and					
541	lagoons of the eastern shore of Virginia. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. 136:999-1007.					
542	Crocker, P. A., C. R. Arnold, J. A. DeBoer, and G. J. Holt. 1983. Blood osmolality shift in					
543	juvenile red drum Sciaenops ocellatus L. exposed to fresh water. J. Fish. Biol. 23:315-					
544	319.					
545	Cunjak, R. A., JM. Roussel, M. A. Gray, J. P. Dietrich, D. F. Cartwright, K. R. Munkittrick,					
546	and T. D. Jardine. 2005. Using stable isotope analysis with telemetry or mark-recapture					
547	data to identify fish movement and foraging. Oecologia 144:636-646.					
548	Desprespatanjo, L. I., T. R. Azarovitz, and C. J. Byrne. 1988. 25 years of fish surveys in the					
549	northwest Atlantic – the NMFS Northeast Fisheries Center bottom trawl survey program.					
550	Mar. Fish. Rev. 50:69-71.					
551	Dresser B. K., and R. T. Kneib. 2007. Site fidelity and movement patterns of wild subadult					
552	red drum, Sciaenops ocellatus (Linnaeus), within a salt marsh-dominated estuarine					
553	landscape. Fish. Manag. Ecol. 14:183-190.					
554	Forsberg, J. A., and W. H. Neill. Saline groundwater as an aquaculture medium: physiological					
555	studies on the red drum Sciaenops ocellatus. Env. Biol. Fish. 49:119-128.					
556	Gillanders, B. M., K. W. Able, J. A. Brown, D. B. Eggleston, and P. F. Sheridan. 2003.					
557	Evidence for connectivity between juvenile and adult habitats for mobile marine fauna:					
558	an important component of nurseries. Mar. Ecol. Progr. Ser. 247:281-295.					
559	Glover, D. C., J. M. Dettmers, D. H. Wahl, and D. F. Clapp. 2008. Yellow perch (Perca					
560	flavescens) stock structure in Lake Michigan: an analysis using mark-recapture data. Can.					
561	J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 65:1919-1930.					
562						

563	Gold, J. R., and L. R. Richardson. 1991. Genetic studies in marine fishes. IV. An analysis					
564	of population structure in the red drum (Sciaenops ocellatus) using mitochondrial DNA.					
565	Fish. Res. 12:213-241.					
566	Gold, J. R., L. R. Richardson, C. Furman, and T. L. King. 1993. Mitochondrial DNA					
567	differentiation and population structure in red drum (Sciaenops ocellatus) from the Gulf					
568	of Mexico and Atlantic Ocean. Mar. Biol. 116:175-185.					
569	Gunter, G. 1941. Death of fishes to cold on the Texas coast, January, 1940. Ecology					
570	22:203-208.					
571	Hilborn, R. 1990. Determination of fish movement patterns from tag recoveries using					
572	maximum likelihood estimators. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 47:635-643.					
573	Jenkins, W. E., M. R. Denson, C. B. Bridgham, M. R. Collins, and T. I. J. Smith. 2004. Year-					
574	class component, growth, and movement of juvenile red drum stocked seasonally in a					
575	South Carolina estuary. N. Am. J. Fish. Manag. 24:636-647.					
576	Johnson, D. R., and N. A. Funicelli. 1991. Spawning of red drum in Mosquito Lagoon, East-					
577	Central Florida. Estuaries 14:74-79.					
578	Kramer, D. L., and M. R. Chapman. 1999. Implications of fish home range size and					
579	relocation for marine reserve function. Env. Biol. Fish. 55: 65-79.					
580	Lucy, J. A., and C. M. Bain III. 2007. Virginia game fish tagging program annual report,					
581	2006. Virginia Institute of Marine Science, Marine Resource Report No. 2007-1.					
582	Luczkovich, J. J., R. C. Pullinger, S. E. Johnson, and M. W. Sprague. 2008. Identifying sciaenid					
583	critical spawning habitats by the use of passive acoustics. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. 137:576-					
584	605.					
585						

586	McGarvey, R., and J. E. Feenstra. 2002. Estimating rates of fish movement from tag
587	recoveries: conditioning by recapture. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 59:1054-1064.
588	Metcalfe, J. D. 2006. Fish population structuring in the North Sea: understanding processes
589	and mechanisms from studies of the movement of adults. J. Fish. Biol. 69 (Sup. C):48-65.
590	Munch, S. B., M. Mangel, and D. O. Conover. 2003. Quantifying natural selection on body
591	size from field data: winter mortality in Menidia menidia. Ecology 84:2168-2177.
592	Murphy, M. D., and R. G. Taylor. 1990. Reproduction, growth, and mortality of red drum
593	Sciaenops ocellatus in Florida waters. Fish. Bull. 88:531-542.
594	Neill, W. H., T. S. Brandes, B. J. Burke, S. R. Craig, L. V. Dimichele, K. Duchon, R. E.
595	Edwards, L. P. Fontaine, D. M. Gatlin III, C. Hutchins, J. M. Miller, B. J. Ponwith, C. J.
596	Stahl, J. R. Tomasso, and R. R. Vega. 2004. Ecophys. Fish: a simulation model of fish
597	growth in time-varying environmental regimes. Rev. Fish. Sci. 12:233-288.
598	Osburn, H. R., G. C. Matlock, and A. W. Green. 1982. Red drum (Sciaenops ocellatus)
599	movement in Texas bays. Contr. Mar. Sci. 25:85-97.
600	Parkyn, D. C., D. J. Murie, and E. T. Sherwood. 2002. Salinity preference in hatchery-reared
601	juvenile red drum. Sci. World J. 2:1326-1331.
602	Patterson, H. M., R. S. McBride, and N. Julien. 2004. Population structure of red drum
603	(Sciaenops ocellatus) as determined by otolith chemistry. Mar. Biol. 144:855-862.
604	Polacheck, T. 1990. Year round closed areas as a management tool. Nat. Res. Mod. 4: 327-354.
605	Ross, J. L., T. M. Stevens, and D. S. Vaughan. 1995. Age, growth, mortality, and
606	reproductive biology of red drums in North Carolina waters. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.
607	124:37-54.
608	

- Rulifson, R. A., and M. J. Dadswell. 1995. Life history and population characteristics of striped
 bass in Atlantic Canada. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. 124:477-507.
- 611 Sackett, D. K., K. W. Able, and T. M. Grothues. 2008. Habitat dynamics of summer flounder
- 612 *Paralichthys dentatus* within a shallow USA estuary, based on multiple approaches using
 613 acoustic telemetry. Mar. Ecol. Progr. Ser. 364:199-212.
- 614 Schmalz, P. J., M. J. Hansen, M. E. Holey, P. C. McKee, and M. L. Toneys. 2002. Lake
- 615 trout movements in northwestern Lake Michigan. N. Am. J. Fish. Manag. 22:737-749.
- 616 Secor, D.H. 1999. Specifying divergent migrations in the concept of stock: the contingent
- 617 hypothesis. Fish. Res. 43:13-34.
- 618 Seyoum, S., M. D. Tringali, T. M. Bert, D. McElroy, and R. Stokes. 2000. An analysis of
- genetic population structure in red drum, *Sciaenops ocellatus*, based on mtDNA control
 region sequences. Fish. Bull. 98:127-138.
- Shepherd, G. R., J. Moser, D. Deuel, and P. Carlsen. 2006. The migration patterns of bluefish
 (*Pomatomus saltatrix*) along the Atlantic coast determined from tag recoveries. Fish.
 Bull. 104:559-570.
- 624 Skalski, G. T., and J. F. Gilliam. 2000. Modeling diffusive spread in a heterogeneous
 625 population: a movement study with stream fish. Ecology 81:1685-1700.
- Takade, H. M., and L. M. Paramore. 2007. Stock status of the northern red drum stock.
- 627 North Carolina Division of Marine Fisheries, Morehead City, NC.
- Thorrold, S. R., C. Latkoczy, P. K. Swart, and C. M. Jones. 2001. Natal homing in a marine fish
 metapopulation. Science 291:297-299.
- 630
- 631

632	Tremain, D. M., C. W. Harnden, and D. H. Adams. 2004. Multidirectional movements of
633	sportfish species between an estuarine no-take zone and surrounding waters of the Indian
634	River Lagoon, Florida. Fish. Bull. 102:533-544.
635	Turchin, P. 1998. Quantitative analysis of movement: measuring and modeling population
636	redistribution in animals and plants, 396 pp. Sinauer Associates, Inc., Sunderland, MA.
637	von Oertzen, JA. 1984. Influence of steady-state and fluctuating salinities on the oxygen
638	consumption and activity of some brackish water shrimps and fishes. J. Ex. Mar. Biol.
639	Ecol. 80:29-46.
640	Walters, C., D. Pauly, and V. Christensen. 1999. Ecospace: prediction of mesoscale
641	spatial patterns in trophic relationships of exploited ecosystems, with emphasis on the
642	impacts of marine protected areas. Ecosystems 2:539-554.
643	Wang, HY., E. S. Rutherford, H. A. Cook, D. W. Einhouse, R. C. Haas, T. B. Johnson, R.
644	Kenyon, B. Locke, and M. W. Turner. 2007. Movement of walleyes in Lakes Erie and St.
645	Clair inferred from tag return and fisheries data. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. 136:539-551.
646	Wenner, C. 1992. Red drum: natural history and fishing techniques in South Carolina.
647	South Carolina Marine Resources Research Institute, Educational Report No. 17,
648	Charleston, SC.
649	Zar, J.H. 1999. Biostatistical analysis, 4 th edition, 929 pp. Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River,
650	NJ.
651	
652	

Table 1. Number of recovered North Carolina red drum, classified based on their age, region,
and season of tagging, 1983 - 2007. No winter or spring data exist for age-1 red drum because
these individuals were too small to be tagged in either tagging program.

656						
657						
658		EPS	WPS	NPR	SNC	Total
659	Age 1					
660	Summer	179	58	871	104	1212
661	Fall	340	200	1,550	220	2310
662						
663	Age 2					
664	Winter	184	48	356	79	667
665	Spring	171	13	523	47	754
666	Summer	98	6	102	29	235
667	Fall	119	20	59	99	297
668						
669	Age 3					
670	Winter	12	0	24	2	38
671	Spring	17	2	4	9	32
672	Summer	13	0	0	16	29
673	Fall	40	1	2	7	50
674						
675	Age 4+					
676	Winter	1	0	1	4	6
677	Spring	71	0	0	1	72
678	Summer	27	0	86	30	143
679	Fall	242	2	73	11	328
680						
681	Total	1514	350	3651	658	6173
682						

Table 2. Summary of movement information for four age groups of red drum in North Carolina, based on their age at tagging. Age-1 and age-2 red drum were analyzed within each of four tagging regions: eastern Pamlico Sound (EPS), western Pamlico Sound (WPS), Neuse and Pamlico Rivers (NPR), and southern North Carolina (SNC). Age-3 and age-4+ red drum movement information was summarized across all regions due to low sample sizes in some regions. Shortest distance in water was used for all distance and movement rate calculations.

	Total	Mean (SE) days	Max days	Mean (SE) dist	Max dist	Mean (SE) mov	Prop moving
	recoveries	at large	at large	moved (km)	moved (km)	rate (km·d ⁻¹)	< 10km
Age-1							
ĒPS	519	134.2 (7.4)	1079	49.0 (2.3)	353.5	2.0 (0.2)	0.32
WPS	258	186.7 (15.3)	1532	44.7 (2.5)	314.5	2.4 (0.5)	0.25
NPR	2421	77.9 (3.0)	1882	21.0 (0.6)	202.6	1.0 (0.1)	0.66
SNC	324	147.3 (9.3)	1125	27.8 (2.4)	306.7	0.5 (0.1)	0.49
Overall	3522	100.8 (2.8)	1882	24.9 (0.6)	353.5	1.1 (0.1)	0.56
Age-2							
EPS	572	164.8 (7.6)	2056	28.7 (1.9)	622.5	0.7 (0.1)	0.45
WPS	87	179.4 (13.7)	621	43.3 (3.7)	166.7	0.8 (0.1)	0.21
NPR	1040	150.5 (4.0)	816	20.4 (1.0)	220.7	0.2 (0.1)	0.57
SNC	254	151.2 (12.8)	1043	11.9 (2.2)	186.9	0.3 (0.1)	0.74
Overall	1953	155.6 (3.5)	2056	22.4 (0.8)	622.5	0.4 (0.1)	0.54
Age-3	149	176.7 (35.6)	4752	10.1 (1.2)	80.0	0.2 (0.1)	0.68
Age-4+	549	693.8 (37.9)	5955	30.2 (2.0)	305.8	0.3 (0.1)	0.47



Bacheler. Figure 1.



Bacheler. Figure 2.



Bacheler. Figure 3.



Bacheler. Figure 4.



Bacheler. Figure 5.



Bacheler. Figure 6.



Bacheler. Figure 7.



Bacheler. Figure 8.



Bacheler. Figure 9.

Figure legends

Figure 1. Map of study areas in North Carolina. Left map shows location of coastal North Carolina (in box) along the Atlantic coast of the U.S.A. Middle map shows view of entire coastline of North Carolina, with the four regions used in the movement analyses demarcated by the dashed lines. The four regions are: eastern Pamlico Sound (EPS), western Pamlico Sound (WPS), Neuse and Pamlico Rivers (NPR), and southern North Carolina (SNC). The small box in the Neuse River highlights the location of Hancock Creek, which is enlarged in the right panel. Locations of submersible receivers in Hancock Creek are shown by the black dots, while the star shows where salinity measurements occurred.

Figure 2. Tagging (gray circles) and recovery locations (black circles) for age-1 (A), age-2 (B), age-3 (C), and age-4+ red drum tagged by North Carolina Division of Marine Fisheries and North Carolina State University, 1983 – 2007. Only recoveries occurring within North Carolina waters are shown.

Figure 3. Rose diagrams showing the direction and distances moved for tagged red drum in North Carolina, 1983 – 2007. Age classes are shown in columns and region of tagging in rows. Northward movements are straight up, southward movements are straight down, and outer circle is scaled to 300 kms. Sample size is provided on each diagram.

Figure 4. Mean movement rate $(\text{km} \cdot \text{d}^{-1})$ of four age classes of red drum by season in which tagging occurred. Only movement rates of fish recovered within 60 days of tagging were used.

Figure 5. Frequency distributions of angular directions moved for subadult red drum recovered within 60 days of tagging, with season and age class shown as rows and region tagged as columns. The overall length of each wedge shows the relative frequency of angular observations within 20° bins scaled to the largest number for each plot. Each wedge is further subdivided into the proportion of movements in a particular direction composed of distances less than or equal to 20 km (white), 20.1 to 40.0 (gray), or greater than 40 km (black). Northward movements are straight up, and southward movements are straight down. Sample size is given for each diagram.

Figure 6. Seasonality of captures of adult (age-4+) red drum caught in coastal North Carolina, Virginia, and Maryland. Data are from the National Marine Fisheries Service trawl survey, Virginia Institute of Marine Sciences shark longline survey, or tagged or recovered in the North Carolina Division of Marine Fisheries tagging project (1983 – 2007). The National Marine Fisheries Service survey took place in continental shelf waters north of Cape Hatteras in spring (March) and fall (September – November) each year in 1963 – 2004, and the Virginia Institute of Marine Sciences survey was conducted in Chesapeake Bay and coastal Virginia waters in May or June through September or October in 1974 – 2004.

Figure 7. Seasonal ultrasonic telemetry relocation information for age-2 red drum in Hancock Creek, 2005 – 2007. (A) Proportion of telemetered red drum emigrating each month from Hancock Creek, combined across years. (B) Proportion of telemetered red drum moving upstream, downstream, or remaining stationary within Hancock Creek, combined across years.

Figure 8. Proportion of telemetered red drum in Hancock Creek moving upstream (A), moving downstream (B), or emigrating (C) in relation to the salinity change between two consecutive monthly relocation periods. Salinity sampling took place midriver near the boat ramp, and monthly periods are only included if at least four telemetered red drum were relocated in that period.

Figure 9. Conceptual diagram of red drum life history and movement patterns in North Carolina. A, spawned eggs in August/September; B, pelagic larvae in August – November; C, age-0 juvenile red drum settle to benthic habitats in upper estuaries in September – November; D and E, age-1 and age-2 red drum either remain in upper estuaries or migrate downstream to coastal habitats in fall; F, age-3 red drum migrate towards the coast throughout the year; G, multiple age classes of subadult red drum inhabiting coastal habitats, eventually joining adults after maturity at approximately age 3 or 4; H, overwintering, nonspawning adult (age-4+) red drum; I, spawning adult red drum during summer months. Dotted lines indicate that a particular pathway is not necessarily followed by all members of a cohort in a particular year.