



SEDAR

SouthEast Data, Assessment, and Review

4055 Faber Place Drive, Suite 201, North Charleston, SC 29405

Phone: (843) 571-4366 Fax: (843) 769-4520 SEDARweb.org

SEDAR 93

2024 ASMFC Red Drum Benchmark Stock Assessment

Peer Review Terms of Reference

1. Evaluate responses to recommendations from the 2022 Simulation Assessment Peer Review.
2. Evaluate the thoroughness of data collection and the presentation and treatment of fishery-dependent and fishery-independent data in the assessment, including the following but not limited to:
 - a. Presentation of data source variance (e.g., standard errors).
 - b. Justification for inclusion or elimination of available data sources.
 - c. Consideration of data strengths and weaknesses (e.g., temporal and spatial scale, gear selectivities, aging accuracy, sample size).
 - d. Calculation and/or standardization of abundance indices.
3. Evaluate the methods and models used to estimate population parameters (e.g., F , abundance) and reference points, including but not limited to:
 - a. If modeling approaches differ from those recommended during the Simulation Assessment, were these differences warranted and appropriate?
 - b. Evaluate the choice and justification of the preferred model(s). Was the most appropriate model (or model averaging approach) chosen given available data and life history of red drum?
 - c. Evaluate model parameterization and specification (e.g., choice of CVs, effective sample sizes, likelihood weighting schemes, calculation/specification of M , stock-recruitment relationship, choice of time-varying parameters, plus group treatment).
4. Evaluate the diagnostic analyses performed, including but not limited to:
 - a. Sensitivity analyses to determine model stability and potential consequences of major model assumptions.
 - b. Retrospective analysis.
5. Evaluate the methods used to characterize uncertainty in estimated parameters. Ensure that the implications of uncertainty in technical conclusions are clearly stated.

6. Recommend best estimates of stock biomass, abundance, and exploitation from the assessment for use in management, if possible, or specify alternative estimation methods.
7. Evaluate the choice of reference points and the methods used to estimate them. Recommend stock status determination from the assessment, or, if appropriate, specify alternative methods/measures.
8. Review the research, data collection, and assessment methodology recommendations provided by the TC and make any additional recommendations warranted. Clearly prioritize the activities needed to inform and maintain the current assessment, and provide recommendations to improve the reliability of future assessments.
9. Review the recommended timeframe for future assessments provided by the TC and recommend any necessary changes.
10. Prepare a peer review panel terms of reference and advisory report summarizing the panel's evaluation of the stock assessment and addressing each peer review term of reference. Develop a list of tasks to be completed following the workshop. Complete and submit the report within 4 weeks of workshop conclusion.