

***SEDAR* Guidelines**

SouthEast Data, Assessment, and Review

Cooperative Stock Assessment Development Program of:

NOAA Fisheries Southeast Fisheries Science Center

NOAA Fisheries Southeast Regional Office

South Atlantic Fishery Management Council

Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council

Caribbean Fishery Management Council

Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission

Gulf States Marine Fisheries Commission

NOAA Fisheries Highly Migratory Species Division

SEDAR

4055 Faber Place #201
North Charleston, SC 29405

DRAFT Version 18
May 2009

Table of Contents

1. Introduction.....	4
2. Oversight and Administration.....	5
2.1 Oversight.....	5
2.2 Administration	6
2.3 Council and Agency Obligations	6
2.4 Project Scheduling	7
2.5 Workload.....	7
3. SEDAR Workshops	8
3.1 Workshop Overview	8
3.2 Terms of Reference.....	9
3.3 Data Workshop	9
3.4 Assessment Workshop.....	11
3.5 Review Workshop.....	13
3.6 Summarized SEDAR Workshop Responsibilities	16
4. General Policies	17
4.1 Management Advice	17
4.2 SEDAR Documents	17
4.3 Treatment of Confidential Data	18
4.4 Dissemination of SEDAR reports	18
4.5 Documentation of Post-SEDAR Dissemination Revisions and Updates	19
4.6 Model Acceptance Criteria	19
4.7 Continuity Models	20
4.8 SEDAR Administrative Record.....	20
4.9 Paperless Policy	20
4.10 SEDAR Website	21
5. SEDAR Assessment Classifications	21
5.1 Benchmark Assessments.....	21
5.2 Assessment Updates.....	21
6. Relationship of SEDAR to its Cooperators and their Committees.	22
6.1 Council & Committees	23
6.2 Interstate Commissions	25
6.3 State Agencies.....	25

6.4 NOAA Fisheries Highly Migratory Species Division	25
7. Public Participation.....	26
8. Appendices.....	27
8.1 General Terms of Reference	27
8.2 SEDAR Stock Assessment Report Outline	30
8.3 Recommended Tables and Figures	35
8.4 SEDAR Document Series	37
8.4.1 Document Types	37
8.4.2 Working Paper Submission Guidelines	38
8.4.3 Document Distribution.....	39
8.5 SEDAR Workshop Participation Guidelines	40
8.6 Review of SEDAR Workload and Scheduling	43
8.7 SEDAR Assessment Projects.....	44
8.7.1 SEDAR Benchmark Assessment List.....	44
8.7.2 SEDAR Assessment Update Schedule	45
8.8 Overview of the Center for Independent Experts	46
8.9 Workshop Panel and Participant Instructions	48
8.9.1 Data Workshop	48
8.9.2 Assessment Workshop	53
8.9.3 Review Workshop.....	57
8.10 Detailed Contents of SEDAR Summary Reports	62

1. Introduction

SouthEast Data, Assessment, and Review (SEDAR) is a cooperative Fishery Management Council process initiated with the goal of improving the quality and reliability of assessments of fishery resources in the southeastern United States, including the South Atlantic, Gulf of Mexico, and US Caribbean. SEDAR is managed by the three Regional Fishery Management Councils in the Southeast (Caribbean, Gulf of Mexico, and South Atlantic) in coordination with NOAA Fisheries (Southeast Regional Office, Southeast Fisheries Science Center, and Highly Migratory Species Management Division of the Office of Sustainable Fisheries), and the Interstate Fishery Commissions of the Atlantic and Gulf (Atlantic and Gulf States Marine Fisheries Commissions). These eight entities are hereafter referred to as ‘Cooperators’ in this document.

SEDAR has the following objectives:

- Improve the rigor and reliability of assessments of southeastern US fishery resources
- Advance the quantitative foundation of stock assessments of southeastern fishery resources
- Provide independent peer review of stock assessments
- Broaden the level of expertise involved and increase the level of participation in all phases of assessment production
- Ensure accessibility, openness, and transparency in assessment production and review
- Provide timely assessments that form a reliable foundation and best available science for fishery management actions
- Increase the frequency of assessment information provided to regional councils
- Increase the relevance of research and monitoring programs in the Southeast Region.
- Improve coordination between cooperators in research, monitoring, and workload management

SEDAR strives to provide the best available science for use by Cooperators in developing management actions. SEDAR incorporates a multi-year planning cycle to facilitate timely data collection and sample preparation, population dynamics model development, and stock evaluation exercises. This document describes SEDAR policies and procedures, specifies how the SEDAR process relates to existing Cooperator committees and panels, and provides operational guidelines that address the responsibilities and expectations of SEDAR staff, Cooperators, and project participants.

SEDAR places special emphasis on increasing constituent and stakeholder participation in assessment development, providing an open and transparent process, and ensuring a rigorous and independent scientific review of completed stock assessments. . Primary changes from the past assessment development process include the addition of a Data Workshop which involves many participants representing various disciplines and interests who rigorously review input data, a Review Workshop which provides an independent peer review of the methods and results, and cooperative oversight of

regional assessment priorities . Additional workshops, broader participation, and conducting operations through publicly accessible workshops all increase the time demands on federal and state agencies as well as constituent representatives. Although SEDAR reduces the burden on specific individuals who otherwise would be charged with developing complete stock assessments by themselves, it increases the burden and responsibility on the collective assessment and technical expertise of the region to generate, verify, and review the many pieces that contribute to an assessment. To this end, those appointed to SEDAR panels are expected to be true participants and contribute analyses and report text in addition to comment and critique.

SEDAR stock assessments are prepared through SEDAR projects consisting of three separate and sequential workshops:

- 1) The Data Workshop -- involves the assembly and review of all available fishery data and life history information, resulting in consensus databases to be used in stock assessments. Analytical techniques and models appropriate for the available data are also suggested.
- 2) The Assessment Workshop -- data sets from the Data Workshop are used with population dynamics modeling techniques to determine the status of stocks; and
- 3) Review Workshop -- a rigorous review of the stock assessment by independent peers.

The product of SEDAR projects is a stock assessment report to a Council, Agency, or Commission. SEDAR assessment reports are analogous to the assessment reports previously prepared by Council Assessment Panels and NOAA Fisheries as outlined under some framework procedures. The final assessment report must specify management parameters required under the Magnuson-Stevens Act, Council or HMS FMP's or framework procedures; document the activities and opinions of all SEDAR workshops; and provide values of population parameters and management benchmarks required to evaluate stock status. Specific parameters to be provided by an assessment are listed in the Terms of Reference developed for each SEDAR Workshop..

2. Oversight and Administration

2.1 Oversight

Oversight of the SEDAR process and operations schedule is provided by the SEDAR Steering Committee. The Steering Committee is composed of the NOAA Fisheries Southeast Science Center Director; NOAA Fisheries Southeast Regional Administrator; Executive Directors of the South Atlantic, Gulf of Mexico and Caribbean Fishery Management Councils; Chairs of the South Atlantic, Gulf of Mexico and Caribbean Fishery Management Councils; the Executive Directors of the Atlantic and Gulf States Marine Fisheries Commissions, and the Chief of NOAA Fisheries HMS division. Designees may attend Steering Committee meetings in place of these individuals. The Steering Committee shall elect a Chair and vice-Chair from its membership. Steering Committee officers shall serve 2 year terms. Officers may serve successive terms without limit.

Policy decisions, approval of SEDAR guidelines, determination of species to be assessed, and assessment project timing are established by the SEDAR Steering

Committee. The SEDAR Steering Committee will meet annually to schedule species to be assessed and consider any other issues associated with the SEDAR process. Ideally, assessments are scheduled a minimum of three years in advance and potential species are identified out several additional years. Such long-term advanced planning is intended to allow researchers to develop updated inputs and assess appropriate techniques and models for use in assessments. The committee also reviews progress on SEDAR assessments and recommends modifications of the SEDAR Process. SEDAR staff support steering committee activities.

2.2 Administration

The South Atlantic and Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Councils are funded by the SEFSC to administer the SEDAR process for the southeast region. The South Atlantic Council provides the SEDAR Program Manager, SEDAR Coordinators, and SEDAR administrative support. The Gulf Council provides administrative support for SEDAR workshop involving Gulf Council managed species. The South Atlantic Council handles participant travel reimbursement and workshop facility arrangements. SEDAR staff is responsible for the administrative duties of SEDAR, with administrative assistance provided by the Gulf and South Atlantic Council's administrative staff as necessary for duties such as lodging and meeting contracts, travel reimbursements under the SEDAR grant, meeting support, and meeting materials distribution. The SEDAR Coordinator chairs the data and assessment workshops and supports review workshops. Scheduling of SEDAR workshops, developing attendee lists, and making arrangements for workshops is done collaboratively by SEDAR staff and the associated management entity for the given SEDAR project.

2.3 Council and Agency Obligations

Each Council and Agency affiliated with SEDAR and engaged in a SEDAR assessment project is expected to meet certain obligations and fill certain roles that are designated by the Steering Committee and described throughout this document. In general, each partner is responsible for approving workshop scheduling and terms of reference and appointing workshop participants. Partners are also responsible for providing notices of workshops and SEDAR activities through press releases, mailing lists, or newsletter notices as they deem appropriate.

Each Steering Committee member shall designate a staff person who shall serve as a lead contact and liaison between their agency and SEDAR Staff for each SEDAR project involving their agency. This designee is responsible for ensuring appointments to SEDAR panels are made promptly and that their agency's participants meet deadlines for data and document submissions. This designee is responsible for assisting SEDAR staff in preparing for SEDAR workshops and ensuring designated workshop roles are filled.

Each SEDAR Cooperator establishes guidelines and procedures for appointing individuals from its SEDAR Advisory Panel to participate in SEDAR workshop panels; these procedures need not be identical for each Cooperator. The SEDAR program reimburses travel expenses for workshop panelists appointed by Cooperators, Council members appointed as observers, and SEDAR support staff. Notification of appointment and invitations to Cooperator appointed participants in SEDAR workshops are to be issued by the Cooperator making the appointment.

2.4 Project Scheduling

SEDAR projects require a minimum of nine months for completion, not counting the time that may be necessary for tasks such as research projects, data collection and entry, or age structure interpretation. A general schedule can be developed based on the preparation time needed before and after each workshop. Dates for all three workshops should be approved, a preliminary count of expected participants should be provided to the SEDAR Coordinator, and key participants (Council Staff, Lead Analysts, Data Workgroup leaders) should be identified approximately 9 months prior to the Data Workshop so that meeting arrangements can be made. Analysts and data collectors should begin drafting issue papers and preparing data for submission approximately 3 months prior to the data workshop. Data workshops require a weeklong meeting and additional time over the following weeks to finalize the report. The Data workshop report should be completed and the datasets finalized within 2 months of the assessment workshop so that assessment analysts can begin preliminary model development and draft issue papers describing model options. Approximately three months are needed between the data and assessment workshops to complete these tasks. The assessment workshop requires another weeklong meeting, followed by approximately 4 weeks to finalize the report, complete any subsequent analyses, and produce the necessary figures and tables. The Assessment Report should be finalized for distribution to the review panelists at least 2 weeks before the review workshop. The review workshop requires a weeklong meeting, followed by 3-4 weeks to finalize the report.

SEDAR scheduling is based on 2 annual projects spread over a calendar year, with a Spring project running generally from January –September and a Fall project running generally between June and March. Approximately 9 months are allotted to each SEDAR project, with overlap of projects allowed to maintain completion of 2 projects per year. Data workshops of one project will be scheduled approximately midway between the assessment and review workshops of the prior project. Actual dates will be determined by the SEDAR coordinator with advice from the Steering Committee and Cooperators involved in the assessment.

2.5 Workload

Individual SEDAR benchmark projects will typically be limited to 2 - 3 assessments. These may include a single species having multiple management units or stocks, as in the case of king or Spanish mackerel, or may include multiple separate species that are closely related based on life history or fisheries. SEDAR projects will typically be devoted to species within a single Council's jurisdiction. Exceptions may occur with those stocks or stock units managed by more than one Council, such as king and Spanish Mackerel where there is a joint Gulf-South Atlantic FMP and each Council has jurisdiction over a particular migratory unit, or in the event the Steering Committee believes efficiency will be gained by crossing jurisdictions. Additional related species may occasionally be added to data workshops for data quality or availability documentation or to identify research and monitoring needs. Additional assessments completed by State agencies or the Interstate Commissions may be added to review workshops. A SEDAR project may be devoted to a single assessment if the assessment is expected to be particularly complex.

3. SEDAR Workshops

3.1 Workshop Overview

SEDAR is structured around three separate workshops. At the Data workshop participants will review and compile data necessary for stock assessment. At the Assessment workshop participants will review and refine assessment models, select base and sensitivity configurations, recommend a preferred model for providing assessment advice, and provide estimates of stock status and management parameters. At the review workshop the entire process is reviewed by an independent panel of experts charged with ensuring that final assessment products are complete, accurately presented, and reliable.

The charge to each workshop is specified in terms of reference. General terms of reference are included for each workshop in the following section. Each Council or Agency shall approve final terms of reference for assessments of those stocks under its jurisdiction.

The success of SEDAR depends on the willingness of appointed workshop panelists from all partners to contribute to the required tasks. All members of the panel at each workshop are expected to actively contribute to the workshop process, not just through participation in group discussions, but also by preparing supporting analyses, contributing documents and datasets, and producing workshop report text. Panelists are expected to provide alternative solutions along with any criticisms and work toward consensus while conducting themselves with respectful and professional behavior. No personal attacks or aggressive behavior will be tolerated from any participants, and those who persist in such actions will be asked to leave.

SEDAR is a public process. SEDAR workshops are open public meetings, SEDAR documents are distributed to the public upon request, and SEDAR proceedings are part of the official administrative records of the Southeast Regional Councils, the Atlantic and Gulf States Fishery Commissions and the Highly Migratory Species Division of NMFS. Appointed panelists should expect that members of the public will attend and observe SEDAR workshops. In fact, the associated Councils, Commissions, and NOAA Fisheries Agencies may appoint official observers, such as council members or senior agency representatives, to participate in the workshop process.

Public comment may be taken during workshop deliberations. Comments and questions from the observers in attendance will be accepted by the workshop chair as appropriate. In general, the degree of formality in accepting observer comment and questions will increase from the data workshop to the review workshop. Written comment will also be accepted by the associated Councils and agencies in accordance with Council or agency guidelines. Officially appointed observers may submit written comment that will be included in the report for each workshop. All documents, including workshop reports and submitted and numbered working documents, are made available to the public and posted on the internet.

The basic workshop nature of the SEDAR process generally prevents development of and adherence to structured and timed workshop agendas. Although starting and ending times of workshops are strictly followed due to the demands of

planning travel, activities during workshops will be scheduled according to progress and workload. Participants should be prepared for possible workshop sessions outside the normal '9 to 5' hours of typical meetings.

3.2 Terms of Reference

The charge to each workshop is specified in Terms of Reference (ToR) that shall be approved within 4 months of the start of the data workshop by the Council, Agency, or Commission requesting the assessment. Specific ToRs are typically modified from a generic set approved by the Steering Committee (Appendix 1). Approved ToRs may be further modified prior to a workshop if the approving body determines that additional issues should be addressed, or if items arise in a workshop (e.g., data workshop) that need to be addressed in a subsequent workshop (e.g., assessment workshop).

3.3 Data Workshop

Data workshop participants assemble and critique all available fishery data, monitoring programs, and life history information. Data workshop participants provide the consensus databases used to conduct stock assessments. Analytical techniques appropriate for the available datasets are recommended for the Assessment Workshop. Data workshop decisions and recommendations are documented in the final SEDAR Stock Assessment Report. Data formats and documentation guidelines are distributed in advance, and some preliminary analyses of the data are conducted prior to the workshop.

Data workshop participants include database managers; data specialists; data collectors; life history researchers; stock assessment scientists from States, NOAA Fisheries, Commission, universities, independent laboratories and institutions; and Council representatives (advisory panel leaders or chairs: commercial, recreational, NGO, staff and Council members). The data workshop panel is composed of those scientists, data managers, and advisors appointed in accordance with SEDAR guidelines by the Councils, Commissions, and NOAA Fisheries for their knowledge of the fisheries and stocks to be assessed. Council or senior agency representatives participate as official observers but not as panel members. Members of the public who attend are noted as observers. The SEDAR coordinator will typically serve as the data workshop Chair.

Data workshops are structured around working groups dedicated to particular data issues, such as commercial statistics, recreational statistics, life history, and abundance indices. Specific groups are determined based on the needs of the candidate species. Participants are assigned to workgroups in advance, based on their particular skills, experience, and expertise. Each group ideally includes someone experienced in assessment modeling. A leader appointed for each workgroup is responsible for recording panel discussions and decisions on their workgroups data charge and ensuring that relevant report sections are drafted.

Data workshop working groups review submitted data and working papers within their area of responsibility and develop initial recommendations and alternatives for consideration by the entire panel. Most of the data workshop meeting time is devoted to break-out sessions where the workgroups deliberate. Plenary sessions of the entire data workshop panel are convened as needed to review workgroup recommendations and

develop consensus opinions on all issues. All decisions of the data workshop are made during the plenary sessions by consensus of the entire membership.

Workgroup products include the complete time-series of data necessary to run stock assessment models (see the SEDAR outline for complete details), clearly stated recommendations indicating which available data sources are appropriate for use in assessment modeling, and complete documentation of datasets and workshop activities. Final datasets accepted by the group will be documented in the workshop report and available in electronic format at the conclusion of the workshop. Supporting documentation and preliminary or exploratory analyses are typically documented in the working papers. Results of the workshop are documented in the data workshop report, which is section II of the SEDAR Stock Assessment Report.

Data Workshop Responsibilities:

A number of specific jobs are required for to complete the terms of reference for data workshops. Each partner in the process is expected to appoint participants with the intent of ensuring each job is filled. The Steering Committee approved the following jobs and identified the SEDAR partner (noted in parentheses) that will typically provide the personnel to fill the job:

Chair: (SEDAR Coordinator) Runs the workshop, schedules work and plenary sessions, ensures Terms of Reference are addressed.

Workgroup Leaders: (SEFSC and SEDAR Cooperator appointees) Lead individual workgroups, coordinate initial data analyses and working papers prior to the workshop, present group recommendations during plenary sessions, serve as lead author for group's data report section.

Workgroup Rapporteur: (SEFSC and SEDAR Cooperator appointees) Take notes during group work sessions and plenary, help group leader draft report text and plenary reports.

Workshop Data Manager: (Lead assessment agency) Manage submitted data and ensure all data products are tabulated in the SEDAR input worksheet. Oversee data review and finalization following the workshop. May be expected to contribute data presentations at the Assessment and Review Workshops.

Chief Editor: (SEDAR Staff): Responsible for compiling group document segments into the final workshop report ensuring the full panel has an opportunity to review the report.

Participant Information

Serving as a data workshop panelist is a considerable commitment that will require more time than simply the week of the workshop. Panelists will need to set aside time in the weeks prior to the workshop to review documents and for some, to prepare datasets. Workgroup meetings often extend beyond the normal '9 to 5' of most meetings; evening sessions are the norm rather than the exception. Time is required following the workshop to review and finalize reports. In many instances further data analysis is required to address workshop recommendations and prepare datasets for the assessment.

Workshop participants should bring a laptop computer for data manipulation, analysis, and text drafting. Participants are encouraged to bring basic datasets and appropriate software so that additional analyses and corrections can be prepared during the workshop. Participants are encouraged to submit working papers documenting their data and analyses.

In general, the Data Workshop will occur about 12 weeks prior to the Stock Assessment Workshop to allow time for completion of the report and datasets and development of initial model runs.

3.4 Assessment Workshop

Participants at the assessment workshop conduct stock assessments, prepare stock rebuilding analyses, and estimate population benchmarks. Specific assessment methods vary and are based on the level of available data. Provisions of the NMFS Technical Guidance Document are considered when assessing the status of data poor stocks.

Assessment workshop participants include NOAA Fisheries stock assessment scientists, Commission/State/university/independent assessment scientists, Council advisory panel (commercial, recreational, and/or NGO) representatives, Scientific & Statistical Committee members, **an independent appointee of the CIE**, and Council staff and members. The assessment workshop panel is composed of those scientists, data managers, and advisors appointed in accordance with SEDAR guidelines by the Councils, Commissions, and NOAA Fisheries for their knowledge of the fisheries and stocks to be assessed. Council or senior agency representatives participate as official observers, but not as panel members. Members of the public who attend are noted as observers. The SEDAR coordinator will typically serve as the workshop Chair.

The workshop panel performs functions outlined in various Council and agency FMP framework procedures, including producing an assessment report, evaluating stock status, and providing values of SFA criteria such as B_{msy} , F_{msy} , MSST, MFMT, and T_{min} . Assessment workshop products are specified in the workshop Terms of Reference **and based upon Council management requirements and applicable Federal Acts, Agency and Executive Orders, and National Standards.**

Workshop Responsibilities

A number of specific jobs are required to complete the terms of reference for assessment workshops. Each partner in the process is expected to appoint participants with the intent of ensuring each job is filled. The Steering Committee approved the following jobs and identified the SEDAR partner (noted in parentheses) that will typically provide the personnel to fill the job:

Workshop Chair: (SEDAR Coordinator) Responsible for conducting the workshop, scheduling workshop sessions, and ensuring the Terms of Reference are addressed.

Stock Rapporteur: (**SEDAR Cooperator** Appointee, 1 per stock) Responsible for taking notes during plenary sessions to ensure that discussion items are reflected in the workshop report, assists chair in ensuring Terms of Reference

and Council requirements are addressed. May be asked by appointing Council to assist in presenting workshop findings to the SSC and other Council bodies.

Stock Leader (SEDAR Cooperator Appointee, 1 per stock) Prepares and edits the proceedings section of the assessment workshop report. Responsible for compiling segments drafted by workshop participants and completing and submitting report in accordance with project deadlines. Represents the assessment panel at the Review Workshop and subsequent Council meetings. Rapporteur and Editor roles may be filled by one individual at Cooperator discretion.

Lead Analyst: (SEFSC or other Assessment Agency, 1 per stock) Leader of the assessment team, responsible for preparing population models and making presentations to the assessment panel. Also responsible for presenting the assessment to the Review Panel and possibly the SSC and Council.

Analytical Team: Core group of assessment analysts responsible for conducting model runs, presenting results, and conducting further analyses during the Review Workshop.

Data Presenters: Responsible for presenting overviews of data sources, including the results of any post-DW analyses and compilations. May be filled by the same individuals as other workshop roles.

A written draft report, providing an overview of the analyses, general findings, and recommendations of the workshop, shall be completed during the workshop. All workshop panelists are expected to contribute to the report effort. This report may be expanded and modified following the workshop. The assessment workshop report consists of two primary sections: 1) workshop proceedings, documenting panel discussion and recommendations, and 2) assessment methods and results, documenting the specifics of each assessment model.

Participant Information

Serving as an assessment workshop panelist is a considerable commitment requiring more time than the typical meeting. Panelists will need to set aside time in the weeks prior to the workshop to review documents and prepare analyses. Workshops often extend beyond the normal '9 to 5' of most meetings and evening working sessions are often required for conducting analyses and drafting the report. Time is needed following the workshop to review and finalize the assessment report.

If final assessment results cannot be provided during the scheduled assessment workshop, the workshop panel shall agree to a process and timeline for reviewing the final products and finalizing the workshop report. In the event issues arise that cannot be addressed during the time scheduled for the assessment workshop, the workshop panel should develop a recommended course of action for consideration by the SEDAR steering committee. Alternatives include reconvening the panel at a later date, conference calls, written reviews, or electronic 'email' discussion threads.

Participants should bring a laptop computer for word processing, data manipulation, and modeling.

3.5 Review Workshop

SEDAR Review Workshops provide independent peer review of stock assessments prepared through SEDAR data and assessment workshops. The term ‘review’ is applied broadly, as the goal is not a simple pass-fail evaluation of the assessment. The intent is to ensure that the assessment and results presented are scientifically sound and that decision makers are provided adequate advice. The Review Panel may request additional analyses, corrections of existing analyses and sensitivity runs from the assessment model provided by the Assessment Workshop. An Analytical Team composed of a subset of the Assessment Workshop panel and led by the primary analyst for each assessment, will be present at the workshop to present assessment findings, provide an overview of assessment data, provide additional results or model information, and prepare any additional analyses requested by the Review Panel. Although many individuals contribute to a SEDAR assessment, the Review Panel is ultimately responsible for ensuring that the best possible assessment is provided through the SEDAR process.

The review panel shall not provide specific management advice. Such advice will be provided by existing Council, **Agency, or Commission** Committees following completion of the assessment.

SEDAR review workshop panels are typically composed of a Chair, 3 reviewers appointed by the CIE (Center for Independent Experts), and 1 reviewer appointed by each Council, Commission or Agency having jurisdiction over the stocks under review. All reviewers must be independent, meaning that they did not have any involvement in the assessments under review and must not have any involvement in any regulatory actions that may stem from the assessment results. Each Cooperator may appoint several official observers, typically including representatives of the Council, its SSC, and appropriate Advisory Panels. In appointing observers the Councils should take care to ensure continuity with previous workshops to ensure that all observers are adequately prepared to provide appropriate comment and feedback to the review panel..

The review panel is supported by SEDAR staff, the analytical team, , one or more rapporteurs, and the Assessment Workshop representative.

All SEDAR workshops, including the Review Workshop, are open, transparent, public processes administered according to the rules and regulations governing Federal Fishery Management Council operations. The names and affiliations of reviewers will be disclosed in the review workshop documents. Review and Workshop Report will be publicly distributed along with the other SEDAR Workshop working papers and reports. The public will be given an opportunity to comment during the Review Workshop and may submit written comments in accordance with Cooperator guidelines.

Review workshop panelists receive the draft Stock Assessment Report including sections prepared by the data and assessment workshops, supplemental analytical materials including all working papers and reference documents from prior workshops, and consensus data sets at least two weeks prior to the review workshop.

During the review, the Review Workshop panel will prepare a Review Panel Report addressing each of the Terms of Reference. The Review Panel Report should represent the full range of views and opinions of the group as a whole, which may include any

dissenting or minority views of individual panelists. Outlines and example documents will be provided by SEDAR staff.

Review Workshop Panel Instructions

The Review Panel Chair is responsible for compiling and editing the Review Panel Report for each stock addressed and submitting these report to the SEDAR Coordinator in accordance with project deadlines. The Chair may assign each panelist specific duties at the start of the workshop, such as drafting specific report sections or serving as panel leader for a particular assessment. The assessment leader is responsible for preparing initial drafts of the Review Panel report for the assigned assessment. Such duties may be further subdivided if workshop manpower allows. The lead assessment agency will provide a rapporteur for each assessment under review.

The Review Panel's primary responsibility is to ensure that assessment results are based on sound science, appropriate methods, and appropriate data. During the course of review, the panel is allowed limited flexibility to deviate from the assessment provided by the Assessment Workshop. This flexibility may include modifying the assessment configuration and assumptions, requesting a reasonable number of sensitivity runs, requesting additional details and results of the existing assessments, or requesting correction of any errors identified. However, the allowance for flexibility is limited, and the review panel is not authorized to conduct an alternative assessment or to request an alternative assessment from the technical staff present. The Review Panel is responsible for applying its collective judgment in determining whether proposed changes and corrections to the presented assessment are sufficient to constitute an alternative assessment. The Review Panel Chair will coordinate with the technical staff present to determine which requests can be accomplished and prioritize desired analyses.

Any changes in assessment results stemming from modifications or corrections solicited by the review panel will be documented in addenda to the assessment report. If updated estimates are not available for review by the conclusion of the workshop, the review panel shall agree to a process for reviewing the final results. Any additional or supplemental analyses requested by the Review Panel and completed by the analytical team shall, at the discretion of the chair and panel, be either documented through a supplemental report or included in the Review Panel Report.

If the Review Panel finds an assessment lacking to the extent that the analytical team cannot correct the deficiencies during the course of the workshop, or the Panel deems that desired modifications would result in an alternative assessment, then the Review Panel shall provide in writing the required remedial measures and suggest an appropriate approach for correcting the assessment and subsequently reviewing the corrected assessment.

SEDAR Independent Peer Review Panel Composition

Chair: Appointed by the SEFSC Director.

CIE Reviewers: 3 Independent Scientists appointed by the CIE.

SEDAR Cooperator Reviewer: 1 independent scientist appointed by each entity having jurisdiction over the species assessed.

Review Workshop Responsibilities

Chair (SEFSC appointee): Responsible for conducting workshop sessions; developing a work plan with staff and workshop appointees to address each Term of Reference and panel requests; ensuring recommendations and comments are reflected in panel reports; submitting panel documents by stated deadlines

Reviewer (CIE): Responsible for reviewing workshop documents in advance and contributing to a rigorous peer review of the presented assessment, including drafting required workshop reports, in accordance with the SEDAR Guidelines and CIE contract.

Reviewer (SEDAR Cooperator): Responsible for reviewing workshop documents in advance and contributing to a rigorous peer review of the presented assessment, including drafting required workshop reports, in accordance with the SEDAR Guidelines. Also responsible for presenting review findings to the SSC and other Council bodies as directed by the appointing Council.

Analytical Team (Assessment Agency): Responsible for presenting assessment results, fulfilling panel requests for additional analyses or model corrections in accordance with SEDAR guidelines.

Data Presenters: (Assessment Agency and Council Representatives) Responsible for presenting data overviews to the panel. Task may be filled by members of the Analytical Team.

Assessment Workshop Representative: (SEDAR Cooperator Appointee, ideally an SSC or similar technical panel representative) Responsible for representing the Assessment Workshop Panel's positions at the Review Workshop, including assisting the analytical team in addressing Review Panel questions if necessary.

Rapporteur (lead assessment agency; 1 per assessment): Responsible for keeping notes on panel discussion of assigned species.

Review Workshop Participant Information

Serving as a review workshop panelists is a considerable time commitment that requires more than simply the daily sessions of the review workshop. Panelists will need to set aside time in the weeks prior to the workshop to review data and assessment documents. During the workshop, time beyond that of the scheduled daily sessions may be required to complete workshop tasks and reports. Time is required following the workshop to review and finalize panel reports.

Review panelists are expected to prepare workshop reports and should come prepared with a laptop computer for drafting text and possibly conducting additional analyses and data summarizations.

The Cooperators and SEDAR Coordinator establish deadlines for document submission in accordance with SEDAR policies. SEDAR staff distributes working documents and support materials (agenda, participant instructions) to workshop participants, typically two weeks prior to the workshop.

3.6 Summarized SEDAR Workshop Responsibilities

Each Cooperator designates a project lead for each assessment who will assist SEDAR staff in developing a project schedule and identifying potential participants. The Cooperator lead will also ensure that Cooperator obligations, such as appointing participants, are completed in accordance with SEDAR policies and the deadlines established in the project schedule. The lead is responsible for ensuring that all relevant SEDAR correspondence is distributed to the appropriate individuals within the organization they represent.

SEDAR staff work with Council administrative staff to secure meeting and lodging space and provide staff support for the workshops.

SEDAR Cooperators appoint participants, issue invitations to those whom they appoint, and provide the SEDAR coordinator with a list of appointments and current contact information.

The SEFSC Director and SE Regional Administrator designate members of their staff to participate in workshops and provide the SEDAR Coordinator with a list of designated participants.

The SEFSC Director may appoint additional experts (such as university researchers, international experts, and NOAA Fisheries employees from outside the SE Region) for Data and Assessment Workshop panels as necessary to complete assigned Terms of Reference and ensure adequate expertise is available at each workshop.

SEDAR and Cooperator staff provide administrative support for workshops.

Each Workshop chair shall prepare an itemized lists of tasks and expectations that are to be completed following each workshop. This list shall identify specific products, those responsible for providing products, and deadlines. This list shall be provided to Cooperator SEDAR operations contacts as appropriate.

Lead assessment agencies provide rapporteurs for Review Workshops, typically 1 rapporteur for each assessment

The assessment team and lead analyst are responsible for presenting the assessment to the Review Panel.

A SEDAR Cooperator-appointed member of the Assessment Workshop Panel is responsible for representing the assessment workshop panel at the review workshop.

SEDAR staff distributes meeting materials. Authors are responsible for distribution of any meeting materials that are not provided by stated deadlines.

SEDAR staff submits Federal Register Notices. Cooperator staff may review the FRN before submission.

The SAFMC provides travel orders for all eligible Cooperator-appointed participants.

The lead SEDAR Cooperator for each SEDAR project is responsible for reviewing and approving the specific Terms of Reference for each workshop in the project. The SEDAR coordinator will provide draft Terms of Reference based on these guidelines.

4. General Policies

4.1 Management Advice

SEDAR is intended to improve the quality of scientific advice provided to management entities in the Southeast Region. An important component to improving science is maintaining separation of management and science. SEDAR workshop panels are charged with considering biological and technical aspects of stock assessments and basing their decisions upon the scientific merit of the alternatives proposed. Decisions should never be based on possible management outcomes or regulatory impacts.

At no point during the deliberations of any SEDAR workshop should the panel consider the implications of an assessment or assessment decision upon future management actions, resource users, or social and economic circumstances. Any participants who embark upon such discussions will be notified by the workshop chair that such deliberations are beyond the scope of SEDAR workshops and explicitly and intentionally prohibited. If such issues continue to be raised and notices to the contrary are ignored, the workshop Chair, Council staff, and Council members present are authorized by the Steering Committee to ask the offending individual to leave the workshop. If the individual refuses to leave the workshop upon such a request, the Chair shall be under no obligation to recognize them during further workshop panel discussions.

Additionally, SEDAR Workshop Panels are not approved to provide specific management advice. “Specific advice” includes any recommendations that a council take particular actions such as seasonal closures or specific bag limits or size limits, any recommendations of specific values for exploitation or harvest limit changes, or any recommendations regarding specific catch limits.

These policies are not intended to imply in any way that management advice is not necessary or that social and economic considerations are not important. Rather, the intent is to acknowledge several important facts of the Council SEDAR process: (1) consideration of management impacts is beyond the scope of and charge to SEDAR panels; (2) SEDAR specifically strives to separate management considerations from scientific decisions; (3) SEDAR Panel participants are selected based on technical, biological, and assessment knowledge, not social, economic, and management knowledge; and (4) consideration of social and economic consequences is specifically mandated to the Councils and SEDAR Cooperators, and various committees composed of experts qualified to evaluate the social and economic consequences of management actions.

4.2 SEDAR Documents

The SEDAR document series provides a tool for organizing and tracking the multitude of documents submitted in support of each assessment... The Series addresses three types of documents: Working Papers, Research Documents, and Stock Assessment Reports. Working papers are original works prepared for a SEDAR workshop. Research Documents are peer reviewed publications provided or reviewed during SEDAR workshops. Stock Assessment Reports are the combined data, assessment, and review workshop reports.

Starting with the fourth SEDAR, Atlantic and Caribbean Deepwater snapper grouper, documents prepared for SEDAR workshops follow the SEDAR document series numbering convention. Documents are numbered separately for each workshop in a SEDAR project. The SEDAR coordinator will maintain the master document list and issue document numbers to authors. Each SEDAR working paper should list an associated author and date and contain an abstract. Working papers may be revised or updated after initial submission. The date of each revision should be indicated on the cover page of each revised document. Additional specifications for the SEDAR document series are provided in Appendix C.

SEDAR is a public process and therefore all documents will be made available to any member of the public upon request. Working papers, research references, and Stock Assessment Reports will be distributed to all workshop participants, and those Cooperators involved in the particular assessment. All documents will be posted to the SEDAR website as “pdf” files. Exceptions may be made for any reference documents protected by copyright regulations that prohibit website posting and distribution.

4.3 Treatment of Confidential Data

SEDAR is considered a public process and every effort is made to ensure that all participants have equal access to data and reports and that the overall process is open and transparent. However, in the course of stock assessment and fisheries data analysis, it is at times necessary to conduct analyses on data that are considered confidential and which therefore may not be distributed to the general public. Such data should be aggregated in a manner consistent with confidentiality requirements before being included in any SEDAR working documents or stock assessment reports as such reports will be publicly available and posted on the internet. Those researchers working with confidential datasets are responsible for ensuring that only information that can be publicly disseminated is included in SEDAR reports and any documents submitted for consideration by a workshop panel during a SEDAR workshop. Datasets containing confidential data shall not be loaded onto publicly accessible locations on the SEDAR LAN's that are available at SEDAR workshops or onto any SEDAR website locations. Any SEDAR workshop panelist lacking clearance to access confidential datasets is responsible for obtaining access prior to the workshop if they wish to view confidential data during the workshop.. Appointment to a SEDAR panel is not intended in any way to circumvent any agency confidentiality requirements and does not in any way provide default clearance to access confidential data.

4.4 Dissemination of SEDAR reports

SEDAR staff will provide the final stock assessment reports and all working papers prepared during a given SEDAR project to the Cooperators involved in the assessment, the SEFSC Director, and the SE Regional Administrator. A cd containing all documents, submitted datasets, and working analyses provided to each workshop in a project will be provided to the Cooperator, SEFSC Director, and SE Regional Administrator. Each Cooperator is responsible for any further distribution of the reports and working papers to their technical and advisory bodies as they deem necessary. Final reports and working papers will be posted on the SEDAR website.

Reports of each SEDAR workshop are made available to the public and other non-participants as they are finalized. Typically, this results in the data workshop report being distributed around the time of the assessment workshop and distribution of the review workshop reports following conclusion of the review workshop. SEDAR working papers and key reference documents are distributed at the conclusion of each workshop; distribution of some working papers may be delayed by the need to revise or update findings and methods. Draft reports are circulated among workshop panelsits for review and editing, and provided to appointed participants in subsequent workshops for consideration and evaluation. Draft reports are not made available to the general public or other workshop observers.

The final SEDAR report containing the complete documentation from all workshops is disseminated to the Cooperators once the review workshop reports and any supporting documentation are submitted and the full stock assessment report is completed. Typically this occurs between 6 and 8 weeks following conclusion of the review workshop. At this time the project is considered complete and the results disseminated. This final assessment report will include documentation of any changes in methods or revisions to results stemming from review panel recommendations.

4.5 Documentation of Post-SEDAR Dissemination Revisions and Updates

SEDAR policy allows cooperators to submit SEDAR assessment reports for further review and consideration by their technical panels, such as the Scientific and Statistical Committees of the SSC. These panels may identify additional sensitivities or revisions to the assessment provided by SEDAR and may request further projection scenarios and exploration of other management evaluations. As such additional work is conducted outside the scope of SEDAR and after the SEDAR assessment is peer reviewed, completed, and disseminated, SEDAR is unable to provide oversight or tracking of such changes. Therefore, each cooperator is responsible for maintaining a record of such changes and additional analyses and encouraged to provide documentation to SEDAR staff for posting along with the original SEDAR assessment.

4.6 Model Acceptance Criteria

To prevent errors in model code and discourage computer programming mistakes, the SEDAR Steering Committee requires that analysts use NMFS National Assessment Toolbox assessment models whenever possible. Other accepted, proven, and validated fisheries assessment models may also be considered, such as those used by various international scientific bodies such as ICES or ICCAT. Basic program documentation and manuals should be provided for all models offered during SEDAR workshops.

The Steering Committee further acknowledges that many Southeast species lack sufficient data for assessment through standard models and therefore will allow custom-programmed models for SEDAR assessments under the following conditions:

- 1) complete documentation and code must be provided;
- 2) an executable version of the program and all necessary input and control files must be provided to workshop participants;
- 3) the custom code/application used must be validated through application of known outcome datasets and such results must be provided as part of the assessment documentation; (*may be met through reference documents*)

- 4) justification for use of custom programming in lieu of readily available models must be provided in the assessment documentation.

Analysts who develop a particular model that proves useful for multiple SEDAR assessments are encouraged to submit the model for inclusion in the NMFS toolbox.

4.7 Continuity Models

The SEDAR process requires evaluation and explicit approval of all stock assessment components and decisions. SEDAR benchmarks are intended to be completely new assessments during which all past decisions and recommendations are reconsidered. However, it is critical to maintain a linkage between previous and current assessment efforts and, in the event assessment results change, to provide some insight as to whether such changes are largely due to changes in basic datasets or changes in assessment methodology. Therefore, each benchmark SEDAR assessment shall include a 'continuity model' that, to the extent possible, is an identical replication of the previous assessment updated to include the most recent available data.

4.8 SEDAR Administrative Record

The SEDAR Administrative Record, including the official records of SEDAR workshop and Steering Committee meetings, will be housed at the SEDAR office at the SAFMC and managed by the SEDAR coordinator and Administrative Assistant. The Administrative Record from each SEDAR project will include the following:

- all documents distributed at SEDAR workshops;
- electronic copies of any datasets, programs, and code provided to participants;
- administrative correspondence including travel notices, Federal Register Notices, document distribution memos, workshop agendas, and participant sign-in sheets;
- media containing recorded workshop proceedings.

The Administrative Record of the Steering Committee will include administrative correspondence such as Federal Register Notices, document distribution memos, and meeting notices; all briefing documents; summary motions and consensus statements; and media containing recorded meeting proceedings.

Written transcripts of workshop proceedings and steering committee meetings will be prepared upon written request by any SEDAR Steering Committee member.

4.9 Paperless Policy

SEDAR workshops rely on electronic distribution of materials to save paper and postage costs and reduce administrative workloads. Most communication in preparation for workshops is conducted via email and distribution of drafts and analyses during workshops is conducted via electronic transfer using the internet, temporary LANs, or removeable media. Final reports and working papers are posted on the SEDAR website. Final workshop documentation is provided to the Cooperators on cd or through internet distribution in accordance with the deadlines and criteria established ifor the project.

All workshop participants and observers are encouraged to obtain an email address to ensure timely and complete receipt of project communications. Participants are also encouraged to bring laptop computers to workshops. Hard copies of documentation are not routinely available at SEDAR workshops. Hard copies or cd's of workshop materials will be provided to any workshop panelist upon request; requests for copies must be made at least three weeks prior to any workshop.

4.10 SEDAR Website

General process information and final stock assessment documentation is distributed via the SEDAR website (<http://www.sefsc.noaa.gov/sedar/>) which is hosted by SEFSC and administered by SEDAR Staff. Content is largely technical in nature, consisting of stock assessment reports, working papers, workshop presentations, and reference documents. Other postings include the SEDAR schedule of events, these guidelines, compiled research recommendations, and links to the Councils and state agencies in the Southeast Region. General information on stock assessment and fisheries science is also posted to provide a resource for those participants who lack formal scientific training.

5. SEDAR Assessment Classifications

5.1 Benchmark Assessments

Standard SEDAR assessments are considered benchmarks that are solicited for the most pressing management issues and first time assessments. Stocks assessed prior to SEDAR should be assessed through a SEDAR benchmark, in accordance with the guidance established in this document, before any updates to previous assessments are considered. When a stock is assessed through a SEDAR benchmark assessment, all previous decisions, methods, and datasets shall be reconsidered.

5.2 Assessment Updates

Once an assessment is approved through SEDAR, the basic framework of input data and model configuration may be updated in the future by adding additional years of data. It is intended that the update process is considerably less time consuming than benchmark development, while providing results that are of equal reliability to those in the benchmark. Minor modifications and changes to input data and modeling techniques may also be incorporated in updates, although in all instances a strict update, defined as only including incorporation of additional data into the previous framework, will be prepared.

The general update process is described below. Each SEDAR Cooperator is allowed latitude to develop a more detailed process to conduct assessment updates.

The SEDAR Steering Committee will approve and schedule requests for assessment updates and determine the entity which will take lead in conducting the assessment update. The lead Cooperator shall establish a specific submission date for the final update report.

SEDAR staff shall provide documentation including the process overview, general scheduling and generic terms of reference for consideration by the Council, Commission, or Agency and its SSC or scientific body.

The lead Cooperator will provide administrative support for the update workshop and any additional meetings or conference calls required to complete the update. This will include providing workshop invitations and travel information notices to appointed participants. This will also include recording the workshop and providing copies of the recordings to SEDAR staff for inclusion in the Administrative Record.

The Cooperator(s) involved in the update assessment shall make appointments to the update workshop panel in accordance with their SEDAR appointment guidelines. The Regional Administrator and Science Center Director shall designate appropriate participants from their staff.

Oversight and review of assessment updates will be provided by each Cooperator's SSC or scientific body. The scientific body shall establish specific terms of reference for the update assessment, including determining acceptable changes and modifications to the benchmark assessment procedures and analyses.

The update assessment shall provide current values for all inputs and outputs provided in the original benchmark assessment. The Cooperator shall appoint an update workshop chair, and it is suggested that the chair be a representative of the SSC or scientific body. The chair or another cooperator designee shall present workshop findings to their council, including its various committees as requested by Cooperator leadership. The lead analyst for the update assessment shall provide the technical presentation required for the SSC or scientific body review, similar to the presentations expected at a benchmark review panel.

Prior to beginning the update, the SSC or scientific body shall provide a written report to the Cooperator describing the terms of reference and suggested schedule for the update. Following the update, the SSC or scientific body shall provide a written Summary Report to the Cooperator detailing their review of the update. The Cooperator shall provide copies of these reports to the SEDAR Program Manager for inclusion in the SEDAR Administrative Record. The Summary Report should follow the same format as those prepared for SEDAR benchmark assessments.

All documentation standards of SEDAR workshops apply to assessment updates. Working papers, Stock Assessment Reports, and Summary Reports shall be provided to the SEDAR coordinator for inclusion in the Administrative Record and website posting.

6. Relationship of SEDAR to its Cooperators and their Committees.

SEDAR exists within the framework of Council and Commission committees and advisory bodies and NOAA Fisheries Southeast Fisheries Science Center and Southeast Regional Office. The primary goal of SEDAR is to provide best available science for consideration by the Council and their advisory bodies. Nothing in these guidelines is intended to prohibit any Council or Commission from pursuing its own chosen process of further technical review and development of advice necessary for its management programs.

Each Cooperator may review the products of SEDAR stock assessments in accordance with its rules and procedures. As such, the guidance provided herein addressing how the completed assessment will be handled by the Cooperators is advisory in nature and simply establishes minimum expectations for the various participants. Each

Cooperator is allowed to determine how SEDAR assessment products are presented to the Cooperator and its technical and advisory bodies.

For the Interstate Commissions and state agencies in the Southeast, SEDAR provides a source of independent peer review of stock assessment products. The degree to which such assessments follow these guidelines will be determined in advance by the participating parties. Commissions and agencies desiring SEDAR review of their assessments shall submit such requests in writing to the SEDAR Steering Committee for consideration. The Steering Committee will work with the requesting agency and SEDAR staff to determine the specifics of the review and the responsibilities of both SEDAR staff and the SEDAR cooperators in developing the assessment.

6.1 Council & Committees

Cooperator technical advisory body members (including Science & Statistical Committees as well as various additional committees devoted to particular areas such as stock assessment or social and economic issues) are included in Cooperator SEDAR Advisory Pools and appointed to workshops and therefore perform, within the SEDAR process and during SEDAR workshops. Within the SEDAR process these bodies therefore perform functions currently outlined in a number of Cooperator FMPs and SOPPS. This includes producing an assessment report and recommending management and SFA parameters such as Bmsy, Fmsy, MFMT, and MSST as necessary to meet SFA requirements. It is the intention of the Steering Committee that SEDAR reports serve as the required assessment reports to meet Cooperator guidelines and mandates, thereby avoiding the need for Cooperator Committees to draft additional separate reports.

NOAA General Counsel recommended that Council and HMS FMP's and Amendments incorporate the SEDAR process as outlined in these Guidelines as the source of assessment information and SFA criteria. Each Cooperator is given latitude in deciding how SEDAR reports will be reviewed once the SEDAR project is completed and the stock assessment report finalized and submitted.

Separation of responsibility for specific management recommendations between SEDAR panels and Council SSC's and other standing committees is intentional. It is the expressed intent of the SEDAR Steering Committee and the Cooperators that no specific management recommendations be included in SEDAR reports. The management related advice provided by SEDAR shall be restricted to recommended values for designated management criteria, recommendations of appropriate management criteria when requested, statements of stock status, and evaluations of the biological effects of past management actions.

Role of the SSC

Council standing Scientific and Statistical Committees, in accordance with Section 302 of the Magnuson-Stevens Act, "assist in the development, collection, and evaluation of such statistical, biological, economic, social, and other scientific information as is relevant to such Council's development and amendment of any fishery management plan". The goal of SEDAR is to produce, with involvement of SSC

members, AP members, agency staff, and constituents, an assessment which represents best available science.

Once a SEDAR benchmark is completed the assessment report and all supporting documentation is distributed by SEDAR staff to the appropriate Council. The Council is then responsible for subsequent distributions to its committee's and members. Specific guidance for SSC review is provided by each Council. Individual Councils may also request review and action by their various other technical committees in accordance with their desires and SOPPs.

The SSC shall review the report and the process, but is neither expected nor obligated to provide an exhaustive and detailed technical review such as that already provided by the SEDAR independent review panel. SSC appointees to the various SEDAR workshops are expected to be important contributors in presenting the assessment findings to their committee. SSCs should be directed to focus their review on ensuring the SEDAR process was followed and that those issues important to the Council and SSC are addressed in the report. SSCs should determine whether or not the assessment findings are adequate for management and develop management recommendations for consideration by the council in accordance with MSA requirements and Council SOPPs.

During review of the assessment, the SSC may request further evaluation of assessment uncertainties and alternative projection scenarios if deemed necessary to develop required management recommendations. The outcome of such requests shall be documented through the Council administrative record and copies provided to SEDAR for inclusion in the SEDAR administrative record.

If the SSC determines that a mistake has been made in the assessment model or in any input datasets, and further determines that such mistakes significantly impact the assessment results, and in particular the magnitude and direction of required management actions, the SSC shall prepare a written report for submission to the Council and SEDAR Steering Committee which details (1) the nature of the mistake, including appropriate documentation of the correct information; (2) specific concerns related to the mistake, including the estimated parameters that are affected; and (3) the recommended process and timeline for correction, review, and reconsideration by the SSC.

Upon completion of its review, the SSC shall consider the SEDAR assessment findings and the results of any additional supplementary analyses requested in developing specific management recommendations as obligated under Council procedures and the Magnuson-Stevens Act. Representatives of the analytical team will assist those SSC members assigned to a particular SEDAR assessment project in making a detailed technical presentation of the assessment to the full SSC during the SSC review and recommendations phase.

Once an assessment is presented to and accepted by the SSC, the SSC is responsible for presenting to their Council their evaluation of the adequacy of the assessment, their interpretation and summary of the assessment methods and findings, and their recommendations regarding appropriate actions. A representative of the SSC shall be responsible for presenting SEDAR assessments to the Council and any standing Council committees as necessary. Councils should consider this responsibility when making appointments to SEDAR workshops, especially the review workshop.

Councils may request that the lead analyst or other members of the analytical team provide an overview presentation to the Council and Advisory Panels. Such requests should be made to the appropriate representative of the lead assessment agency in accordance with normal Council procedures.

Councils should make every effort to schedule their meetings such that the number of assessment presentations required of the analytical team and SSC representatives is minimized. Councils are encouraged to schedule meetings to accommodate a technical presentation to all of their various technical bodies at once, rather than individual presentations across several meetings of the various bodies. Analytical representatives should be on hand to answer questions when the assessment is presented to the Council. Analytical representatives are not obligated under SEDAR guidelines to lead the presentation but may be requested to do so by the Council as stated above.

6.2 Interstate Commissions

The Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission's Stock Assessment Committee reviewed the Commission's internal process for conducting stock assessments in relation to SEDAR, and recommended that data workshop and stock assessment workshops become a standard part of the Commission stock assessment process. The additional input that SEDAR provides from both data holders and stakeholders will improve buy-in and transparency from the earliest part of the assessment process. ASMFC technical committees or stock assessment subcommittees conduct assessment workshops with an expanded number of participants. Federal, state, university, industry, and other outside experts are invited to participate in evaluating the data inputs to the model, as well as conducting the assessment model. Assessments prepared through ASMFC may be reviewed by SEDAR Review Workshop Panels.

6.3 State Agencies

State agencies may request SEDAR peer review of their assessments. This may include assessments developed by agency staff as well as those developed through contract with outside individuals. The Steering Committee may also request that state agencies provide analytical lead in developing assessments through SEDAR, especially with regard to species found primarily within one state's boundaries.

6.4 NOAA Fisheries Highly Migratory Species Division

Who gets assessment? Who gives presentation and to whom? Who "approves" assignment for management use? (Pending Resolution)

7. Public Participation

SEDAR is a Council process, and as such, public participation is encouraged. SEDAR meetings are open to the public and advertised by the Councils and through the Federal Register. To clarify the role and nature of public participation, the following is noted on SEDAR workshop agendas: “Public participation during SEDAR workshops is handled similar to current Council technical and committee meetings, in that no formal period of public testimony is scheduled. Instead, the Chair is free to call on the public for comment as necessary and appropriate during workshop deliberations. Written public comment should be submitted in accordance with the guidelines of the host Cooperator”.

During all workshops, interested parties are permitted to comment on discussion items as the meeting proceeds. The degree of formality typically varies with workshop, with data workshops providing the most. Written comments are handled in accordance with guidelines established by each Cooperator.

8. Appendices

8.1 General Terms of Reference

I. Data Workshop

1. Characterize stock structure and develop a unit stock definition. Provide maps of species and stock distribution.
2. Tabulate available life history information (e.g., age, growth, natural mortality, reproductive characteristics); provide appropriate models to describe growth, maturation, and fecundity by age, sex, or length as applicable. Evaluate the adequacy of available life-history information for conducting stock assessments and recommend life history information for use in population modeling.
3. Provide measures of population abundance that are appropriate for stock assessment. Consider all available and relevant fishery dependent and independent data sources. Document all programs evaluated, addressing program objectives, methods, coverage, sampling intensity, and other relevant characteristics. Provide maps of survey coverage. Develop CPUE and index values by appropriate strata (e.g., age, size, area, and fishery); provide measures of precision and accuracy. Evaluate the degree to which available indices adequately represent fishery and population conditions. Recommend which data sources are considered adequate and reliable for use in assessment modeling.
4. Characterize commercial and recreational catch, including both landings and discard, in pounds and number. Evaluate the adequacy of available data for accurately characterizing harvest and discard by species and fishery sector. Provide length and age distributions if feasible. Provide maps of fishery effort and harvest.
5. Provide recommendations for future research in areas such as sampling, fishery monitoring, and stock assessment. Include specific guidance on sampling intensity (number of samples including age and length structures) and appropriate strata and coverage.
6. Develop a spreadsheet of assessment model input data that reflects the decisions and recommendations of the Data Workshop. Review and approve the contents of the input spreadsheet within 6 weeks prior to the Assessment Workshop.
7. Prepare the Data Workshop report providing complete documentation of workshop actions and decisions (Section II. of the SEDAR assessment report). Develop a list of tasks to be completed following the workshop.

II. Assessment Workshop

1. Review any changes in data following the data workshop and any analyses suggested by the data workshop. Summarize data as used in each assessment model. Provide justification for any deviations from Data Workshop recommendations.
2. Develop population assessment models that are compatible with available data and recommend which model and configuration is deemed most reliable or useful for providing advice. Document all input data, assumptions, and equations.
3. Provide estimates of stock population parameters (fishing mortality, abundance, biomass, selectivity, stock-recruitment relationship, etc); include appropriate and representative measures of precision for parameter estimates.
4. Characterize uncertainty in the assessment and estimated values, considering components such as input data, modeling approach, and model configuration. Provide appropriate measures of model performance, reliability, and 'goodness of fit'.
5. Provide yield-per-recruit, spawner-per-recruit, and stock-recruitment evaluations, including figures and tables of complete parameters.
6. Provide estimates for SFA criteria consistent with applicable FMPs, proposed FMPs and Amendments, other ongoing or proposed management programs, and National Standards. This may include: evaluating existing SFA benchmarks, estimating alternative SFA benchmarks; and recommending proxy values.
7. Provide declarations of stock status relative to SFA benchmarks.
8. Perform a probabilistic analysis of proposed reference points and provide the probability of overfishing at various harvest or exploitation levels.
9. Project future stock conditions (biomass, abundance, and exploitation) and develop rebuilding schedules if warranted; include estimated generation time. Stock projections shall be developed in accordance with the following:
 - A) If stock is overfished:
 $F=0$, $F=current$, $F=F_{msy}$, F_{target} (OY),
 $F=F_{rebuild}$ (max that rebuild in allowed time)
 - B) If stock is overfishing
 $F=F_{current}$, $F=F_{msy}$, $F=F_{target}$ (OY)
 - C) If stock is neither overfished nor overfishing
 $F=F_{current}$, $F=F_{msy}$, $F=F_{target}$ (OY)
10. Evaluate the results of past management actions and, if appropriate, probable impacts of current management actions with emphasis on determining progress toward stated management goals.
11. Provide recommendations for future research and data collection (field and assessment); be as specific as practicable in describing sampling design and sampling intensity.
12. Prepare an accessible, documented, labeled, and formatted spreadsheet containing all model parameter estimates and all relevant population information resulting from model estimates and any projection and simulation exercises. Include all data included in assessment report tables and all data that support assessment workshop figures.
13. Complete the Assessment Workshop Report (Section III of the SEDAR Stock Assessment Report), prepare a first draft of the Summary Report, and develop a list of tasks to be completed following the workshop.

3. Review Workshop

1. Evaluate the adequacy, appropriateness, and application of data used in the assessment.
2. Evaluate the adequacy, appropriateness, and application of methods used to assess the stock.
3. Recommend appropriate estimates of stock abundance, biomass, and exploitation.
4. Evaluate the methods used to estimate population benchmarks and management parameters (*e.g., MSY, Fmsy, Bmsy, MSST, MFMT, or their proxies*); recommend appropriate management benchmarks and provide estimated values for management benchmarks, and declarations of stock status.
5. Evaluate the adequacy, appropriateness, and application of the methods used to project future population status; recommend appropriate estimates of future stock condition (*e.g., exploitation, abundance, biomass*).
6. Evaluate the adequacy, appropriateness, and application of methods used to characterize uncertainty in estimated parameters. Provide measures of uncertainty for estimated parameters^{*}. Ensure that the implications of uncertainty in technical conclusions are clearly stated.
7. Ensure that stock assessment results are clearly and accurately presented in the Stock Assessment Report, and that reported results are consistent with Review Panel recommendations^{**}.
8. Evaluate the SEDAR Process as applied to the reviewed assessments and identify any Terms of Reference which were inadequately addressed by the Data or Assessment Workshops.
9. Consider the research recommendations provided by the Data and Assessment workshops and make any additional recommendations or prioritizations warranted. Clearly denote research and monitoring needs that could improve the reliability of future assessments. Recommend an appropriate interval for the next assessment, and whether a benchmark or update assessment is warranted.
10. Prepare a Review Panel Report summarizing the Panel's evaluation of the stock assessment and addressing each Term of Reference. Develop a list of tasks to be completed following the workshop. Complete and submit the Review Panel Report within 3 weeks of workshop conclusion.

* The review panel may request additional sensitivity analyses, evaluation of alternative assumptions, and correction of errors identified in the assessments provided by the assessment workshop panel; the review panel may not request a new assessment. Additional details regarding the latitude given the review panel to deviate from assessments provided by the assessment workshop panel are provided in the *SEDAR Guidelines* and the *SEDAR Review Panel Overview and Instructions*.

** The panel shall ensure that corrected estimates are provided by addenda to the assessment report in the event corrections are made in the assessment, alternative model configurations are recommended, or additional analyses are prepared as a result of review panel findings regarding the TORs above.

8.2 SEDAR Stock Assessment Report Outline

The following outline provides a guide to desired contents and organization of SEDAR Stock Assessment Reports. Each SEDAR workshop prepares a complete Workshop report that ultimately becomes one section of the SEDAR Stock Assessment Report. The SEDAR Stock Assessment Report is therefore separated into six sections: Section I summarizes the SEDAR process, management history, and assessment background; Section II documents input data and is drafted by the Data Workshop Panel; Section III documents the assessment methods and results and is drafted by the Assessment Workshop Panel; Section IV documents all of the research recommendations from the three workshops; Section V documents the Review Workshop actions and is prepared by the Review Workshop Panel, and Section VI contains any addenda or revisions that occur following the review. A Stock Assessment Report will be prepared for each stock assessed during a project.

I. Introduction

Cover Page

Table of Contents

- | | |
|--|--------------------|
| 1. SEDAR Process Description | SEDAR STAFF |
| 2. Management Overview | COUNCIL/SERO STAFF |
| 2.1 Management Unit Definition | |
| 2.2 Regulatory History | |
| 2.3 Current Management Criteria and Stock Benchmarks | |

3. Assessment History & Review

Assessment Agency

4. Regional Maps

5. Summary Report Workshop Panels/SEDAR Staff

Stock Status and Determination Criteria

Stock Identification and Management Unit

Species Distribution

Stock Life History

Assessment Methods

Assessment Data

Catch Trends

Stock Abundance and Biomass Trends

Projections

Scientific Uncertainty

Significant Assessment Modifications

Special Comments

Sources of Information

Tables and Figures

Tables

- Catch and discards by fishery sector
- Fishing mortality estimates
- Stock abundance and biomass
- Spawning stock biomass
- Recruitment

Figures

- Landings
- Discards
- Fishing Mortality
- Stock Biomass
- Abundance Indices
- Stock-Recruitment
- Yield per Recruit
- Stock Status and Control Rule
- Projections

*6. Projections***II. Data Workshop Report***(Developed by Data Workshop Panel)*

Cover Page

Table of Contents

1. Introduction *(Provided by SEDAR Staff)*
 - 1.1. Workshop Time and Place
 - 1.2. Terms of Reference
 - 1.3. List of Participants
 - 1.4. List of Data Workshop Working Papers
2. Life History
 - 2.1. Overview (Group Membership, Leader, Issues)
 - 2.2. Review of Working Papers
 - 2.3. Stock Definition and Description
 - 2.4. Natural Mortality
 - 2.5. Discard Mortality
 - 2.6. Age
 - 2.7. Growth
 - 2.8. Reproduction
 - 2.9. Movements & Migrations
 - 2.10. Meristics & Conversion factors
 - 2.11. Comments on adequacy of data for assessment analyses
 - 2.12. Itemized list of tasks for completion following workshop
(Include expected completion dates and responsible parties)
 - 2.13. Literature Cited
 - 2.14. Tables
 - 2.15. Figures
3. Commercial Fishery Statistics (may be subdivided by gears/fleets)
 - 3.1. Overview (group membership, leader, issues, Map of fishery area)
 - 3.2. Review of Working Papers
 - 3.3. Commercial Landings
 - 3.4. Commercial Discards
 - 3.5. Commercial Effort
 - 3.6. Biological Sampling
 - 3.6.1. Sampling Intensity Length/Age/Weight
 - 3.6.2. Length/Age distributions
 - 3.6.3. Adequacy for characterizing catch
 - 3.6.4. Alternatives for characterizing discard length/age
 - 3.7. Commercial Catch-at-Age/Length ; directed and discard
 - 3.8. Comments on adequacy of data for assessment analyses
 - 3.9. Itemized list of tasks for completion following workshop

- (Include expected completion dates and responsible parties)
- 3.10. Literature Cited
- 3.11. Tables
- 3.12. Figures
- 4. Recreational Fishery Statistics (May be further divided by Sectors, e.g., headboat, private, charter)
 - 4.1. Overview (group membership, leader, issues, Include map of fishery area)
 - 4.2. Review of Working Papers
 - 4.3. Recreational Landings
 - 4.4. Recreational Discards
 - 4.5. Biological Sampling
 - 4.5.1. Sampling Intensity Length/Age/Weight
 - 4.5.2. Length – Age distributions
 - 4.5.3. Adequacy for characterizing catch
 - 4.5.4. Alternatives for characterizing discards
 - 4.6. Recreational Catch-at-Age/Length; directed and discard
 - 4.7. Recreational Effort
 - 4.8. Comments on adequacy of data for assessment analyses
 - 4.9. Itemized list of tasks for completion following workshop
(Include expected completion dates and responsible parties)
 - 4.10. Literature Cited
 - 4.11. Tables
 - 4.12. Figures
- 5. Measures of Population Abundance
 - 5.1. Overview (Group membership, leader, issues)
 - 5.2. Review of Working Papers
 - 5.3. Fishery Independent Surveys
 - 5.3.1. Methods, Gears, and Coverage (Map Survey Area)
 - 5.3.2. Sampling Intensity – Time Series
 - 5.3.3. Size/Age data
 - 5.3.4. Catch Rates – Number and Biomass
 - 5.3.5. Uncertainty and Measures of Precision
 - 5.3.6. Comments on Adequacy for assessment
 - 5.4. Fishery-Dependent Measures
 - 5.4.1. Methods of Estimation
 - 5.4.2. Sampling Intensity
 - 5.4.3. Size/Age data
 - 5.4.4. Catch Rates – Number and Biomass
 - 5.4.5. Uncertainty and Measures of Precision
 - 5.4.6. Comments on Adequacy for Assessment
 - 5.5. Consensus Recommendations and Survey Evaluations
 - 5.6. Itemized list of tasks for completion following workshop
(Include expected completion dates and responsible parties)
 - 5.7. Literature Cited
 - 5.8. Tables
 - 5.9. Figures
- 6. Research Recommendations
 - 6.1. Life History
 - 6.2. Commercial Statistics
 - 6.3. Recreational Statistics
 - 6.4. Indices
- 7. Submitted Comment
(Any written comment or opinion statements submitted by participants or observers)

III. Stock Assessment Workshop Report

(Developed by Assessment Workshop Panel)

i. Cover Page

ii. Table of Contents

1. Workshop Proceedings

1.1. Introduction

(Provided by SEDAR Staff)

- 1.1.1. Workshop Time and Place
- 1.1.2. Terms of Reference
- 1.1.3. List of Participants
- 1.1.4. List of Assessment Workshop Working Papers
- 1.1.5. Notice of Addenda

1.2. Panel Recommendations and Comment

(Developed by AW Panel)

Consensus comments & recommendations, very similar to assessment panel reports prepared prior to SEDAR.

Each Term of Reference should be addressed directly and sequentially:

1.2.1. Term of Reference 1

to

1.2.x Term of Reference X..

2. Data Review and Update

(Lead analyst or data manager)

Input data as used in assessment modeling should be tabulated here. Also address deviations from DW; Resolution of issues raised by DW; document any additional data analyses.

3. Stock Assessment Models and Results *(Prepared by Analyst for each model; may be finalized after AW)*

3.1. Model 1 (Repeat to 3.X; X = # models considered. Model 1 is typically the 'continuity case')

3.1.1. Model 1 Methods

- 3.1.1.1. Overview
- 3.1.1.2. Data Sources *(State sources and tabulate all data as used in the model - even if replication of some information in the data workshop report section)*
- 3.1.1.3. Model Configuration and Equations *(Describe the configuration, explicitly state assumptions, list equations. If a standard accepted model (e.g. NFT, ICCAT, ICES, FAO, equations requirement may be accommodated by citation of program documentation.)*
- 3.1.1.4. Parameters Estimated *(list all model estimated parameters)*
- 3.1.1.5. Uncertainty and Measures of Precision *(Describe the methods used to evaluate sources of error- process, observation, etc)*
- 3.1.1.6. Benchmark / Reference points methods
- 3.1.1.7. Projection methods *(Describe methods, including assumptions)*

3.1.2. Model 1 Results

- 3.1.2.1. Measures of Overall Model Fit
- 3.1.2.2. Parameter estimates & associated measures of uncertainty *(Provide table of all model parameters and their values. Include SE, CV, or other appropriate measures of variation)*
- 3.1.2.3. Stock Abundance and Recruitment
- 3.1.2.4. Stock Biomass (total and spawning stock)
- 3.1.2.5. Fishery Selectivity
- 3.1.2.6. Fishing Mortality
- 3.1.2.7. Stock-Recruitment Parameters
- 3.1.2.8. Evaluation of Uncertainty *(Broader than 3.1.2.2; evaluation of assumptions, model configurations etc. May include retrospective analyses, sensitivities)*
- 3.1.2.9. Benchmarks / Reference Points / ABC values *(Provide the management parameters)*
- 3.1.2.10. Projections

3.1.3. Discussion

3.1.4. Tables

3.1.5. Figures

3.1.6. References

4. Submitted Comment

(Any written comment or opinion statements submitted by participants or observers)

IV. Research Recommendations**Cover Page****1. Data Workshop Research Recommendations****2. Assessment Workshop Research Recommendations****3. Review Workshop Research Recommendations****V. Review Workshop Report****Cover Page****Table of Contents**

1. Introduction *(Provided by SEDAR Staff)*
 - 1.1. Workshop Time and Place
 - 1.2. Terms of Reference
 - 1.3. List of Participants
 - 1.4. List of Review Workshop Working Papers & Documents
2. **Review Panel** Report *(Completed by Review Panel)*
 - 2.1. Statements addressing each TOR
 - 2.2. Summary Results of Analytical Requests *(Sensitivities, corrections, additional analyses etc)*
 - 2.3. Additional Comments *(if necessary, to address issues or discussions not encompassed above)*
 - 2.4. Reviewer Statements
3. Submitted Comment
(Any written comment or opinion statements submitted by participants or official observers)

VI. Addenda

Revisions or corrections to preceding sections.

Additional documentation of final review model configuration if required.

8.3 Recommended Tables and Figures

All input data and model configuration information should be included in the assessment report in tabular form. Tables that are included in a workshop working paper need not necessarily be replicated in the report, especially those tables that support information summarized elsewhere in the workshop report. Figures should be used to support the assessment and describe the input data, but no input data shall be presented solely in figure format and tables shall be provided for all data presented in figures. Large datasets such as length distributions or age-length keys may be included as appendices or provided in well organized spreadsheets that are submitted along with other workshop materials. Preliminary work and accessory tables in working papers may also be cited. However, all information required as input data for the chosen assessment models shall be listed in the report tables in the level of detail required for the assessment. The basic rule of thumb to follow is that the assessment report should contain all data necessary for one to duplicate the stock assessment.

The following list indicates the general information to be included in the tables of the assessment report. In some instances the list may include information (such as fecundity) or suggest a level of detail (such as ‘by age’) that is not feasible given the available data. Several listed items may be included in a single table. It is recognized that the specifics of each table can and will vary by assessment. The required reporting detail will be dictated by both data availability and modeling approach. For example, if the assessment model is based on annual landings at length by gear, then the report must include a table of landings by gear, year, and length class. Further, a model based on length may require that life history characteristics such as mean weight be reported by length class as well as age. Fisheries that have ‘fishing years’ that do not correspond to calendar years will require reporting of some data in both calendar and fishing year.

INPUT DATA TABLES & FIGURES (Data Workshop Report)

Life History

Mean weight & length
Maturation & sex ratio schedules
Fecundity
Age-Length/weight plots, age sample N, age distributions.
Growth models
Conversion factors
Natural Mortality ests.
Movement and Distribution Figures
Release mortality, depth relation

Catch Statistics

Landings data ‘as provided’
Details to support ‘adjustments’
Final total annual landings, Number and Weight
Landings by sector (i.e., comm and rec)
Landings by month
Landings by gear/sector
Landings by state/jurisdiction/sector
Discards, discard losses, release mortality, by sector/gear

Catch mean weights, by sector/gear Length distributions, by sector/gear/year, season
Biological sampling details - N samples, trips, lengths, weights, ages, % trips sampled
Economic Information (price per pound, etc)

Dependent Surveys and Effort

Total effort measures, by fishery, gear, jurisdiction, month
Associated landings (esp. if differ from basic stats due to adjustments)
Survey CPUE time series, nominal and modeled

Independent Surveys

Survey Effort
Survey Coverage - geo (maps) and spatial
Survey length/age distribution
Survey CPUE, Catch
Survey CPUE time series as input to model

ASSESSMENT INFORMATION (Assessment Workshop Report)**MODEL INPUTS**

Actual model inputs:

- Total catch and discard (at age, by area, gear, etc.)
- Survey CPUEs (at age, etc)
- Age Distributions
- Length Distributions
- Maturity, fecundity, sex ratio schedules
- And any other relevant inputs required by the model

Model specifications

- Complete list of input specifications and parameters required for the model
e.g., fitting methods, min/max limits, ages for averaging, assumptions
- List of all parameters estimated
- List of model equations if a 'custom' model; provide reference otherwise

Measures of precision and fit

- Error components, contribution to total error
- Sums of squares, variances, CV's, and other statistical measures for est. values
- Error weighting values
- Residuals (plotted)
- Time series of observed and predicted values for fitting/tuning criteria (plotted)

Population Estimates

- Total annual abundance
- Abundance at age
- Recruitment
- Biomass, annual and by age
- Spawner abundance and biomass, annual and by age
- Fecundity, total annual and by age

Exploitation

- Fishing mortality, instantaneous and annual, "Fully recruited" and by age
- Selectivity or partial recruitment
- Estimated landings and discards, and by age/length if appropriate

PROJECTIONS AND BENCHMARKS TABLES**Inputs**

- Catch or exploitation assumptions
- Starting population values
- Fishery characteristics – selectivity, limits, weights
- Stock-recruit model or assumption, reproductive info

Projection Results

- Population abundance
- Recruitment
- Biomass
- Catch
- Exploitation

Benchmark Results

- SFA criteria values, confidence intervals
Fmsy, MSST, MFMT, Bmsy, Generation time estimate

8.4 SEDAR Document Series

The SEDAR process generates many documents, from simple descriptions of sampling projects to complete stock assessments. Organizing and keeping an administrative record of SEDAR documents requires a tracking system that can accommodate these many different document types. Starting with the fourth SEDAR, Atlantic and Caribbean Deepwater snapper grouper, documents prepared for SEDAR workshops follow the SEDAR document series numbering convention.

8.4.1 Document Types

Working Papers

Working Papers are the backbone documents of the Data and Assessment workshops. Through these informal papers authors describe data collection programs; present preliminary analyses of assessment components such as surveys, CPUE indices, and age composition; summarize life history information, and develop general descriptions of fisheries and landings. Ideally, the working papers contain much of the text needed to draft various assessment report segments, and the authors can ‘cut and paste’ relevant sections. There is no strict format imposed for Working Papers; as long as the relevant information is provided authors are encouraged to follow a standardized journal format of their choosing. Although working papers are not peer reviewed, they do provide an authorship opportunity for those who do much of the work on the stock assessment, and ideas developed in the working papers and advanced during the Workshop discussions may ultimately lead to peer-reviewed articles.

Documents in the Working Papers series become part of the SEDAR Administrative Record and are available upon request from the SEDAR staff. Authors shall submit electronic copies that are archived as .PDF files and posted to the SEDAR website. Those not available electronically will be scanned to create .PDF files.

The numbering convention includes a workshop designation, SEDAR series number, and a document number. For example, SEDAR4-DW-1 would designate working paper number 1 generated for the Data Workshop of the fourth SEDAR.

Research Documents

Research Documents include any peer reviewed articles provided as general background or general documentation of data sets and assessment methods. Research documents will be numbered for tracking and distribution purposes, but should be cited appropriately (as author/year) if referenced in a SEDAR Assessment Report.

Documents provided for consideration at workshops and included in the Research Document series become part of the SEDAR Administrative Record and are available upon request from the SEDAR staff. If electronic copies are not available, documents will be scanned and converted to .PDF.

Research documents are numbered sequentially within a SEDAR project. The numbering convention includes a workshop designation, the letters ‘RD’ to denote research document, and a document number. For example, SEDAR4-RD-1 would designate research document number 1 of the fourth SEDAR.

Stock Assessment Reports:

Assessment Reports are the final products of the SEDAR process. Reports prepared by each individual workshop are compiled by SEDAR staff into a single document consisting of multiple sections devoted to each workshop. Report sections shall be submitted in Microsoft Word or compatible format. Stock Assessment Reports are formatted according to the SEDAR Assessment Report Outline, as modified during the Workshops to meet the needs of the particular species or complex. Typically, a separate stock assessment report will be prepared for each species assessed in a SEDAR project.

Documents in the Stock Assessment Reports series become part of the SEDAR Administrative Record and are available upon request from the SEDAR staff. Electronic copies are required so that the reports can be made available through the Internet.

The numbering convention includes the SEDAR series number, the designation 'SAR' to indicate the Assessment Report series, and a document number. For example, SEDAR4-SAR-1 would indicate Stock Assessment Report 1 from the fourth SEDAR.

8.4.2 Working Paper Submission Guidelines

All SEDAR working papers shall be submitted to SEDAR staff electronically in Microsoft Word or compatible format. Submitting documents in an accessible format will allow SEDAR staff to correct the inevitable minor errors, such as spelling mistakes or document numbering errors, and to ensure appropriate revision tracking information is included in the document. SEDAR staff will convert the documents to .pdf formats before distribution to the workshop participants and posting to the SEDAR website.

Documents shall include a cover page including the title, authors name and address, submission date, record of any revisions, and SEDAR document number. Pages should be numbered, but no other headers or footers should be included. **All documents should include an abstract or executive summary.**

SEDAR document numbers will be provided by the SEDAR coordinator. Those wishing to submit documents for consideration at a SEDAR workshop should contact the Coordinator to request the next available document number.

SEDAR has not adopted any formal style guidelines. Working paper authors are at liberty to use any document style meeting their wishes or their agency requirements.

SEDAR working papers are considered final once they are made available to a workshop panel for consideration. Minor revisions and corrections are allowed to the original document, especially to correct issues identified by the workshop panel. Substantial changes or additional content should be submitted as separate documents or addenda to the existing paper to prevent confusion that stems from multiple versions of the same document. All revisions or additions to existing papers should be noted sequentially on the cover page with the appropriate date stamp. A summary of each revision, including the reason for the revision and a summary of the outcomes, should be included in the abstract or executive summary.

8.4.3 Document Distribution

All SEDAR documents are part of a public process, included in the SEDAR Administrative record, and made available to the public as necessary. **Authors are responsible for ensuring that presentations made during SEDAR workshop, SEDAR working papers, and SEDAR workshop reports contain no confidential data.** SEDAR documents, including working papers, will be posted to the publicly accessible SEDAR website. All public document distribution will be made via electronic (.pdf) format.

Documents are distributed once they are considered final by the appropriate SEDAR workshop. Typically, this means SEDAR working papers are distributed following the workshop during which they were presented, although this may be delayed if the workshop panel recommends changes or revisions. The Data Workshop report segment is typically distributed following the assessment workshop, and the Assessment workshop report segment is distributed following the Review Workshop.

8.5 SEDAR Workshop Participation Guidelines

Concerns over selection and appointment of participants to SEDAR workshop panels and confusion surrounding the appointment process compelled the SEDAR Steering Committee to adopt expanded guidelines for workshop participation. One problem in particular involved uncertainty as to who is responsible for workshop panel appointments, as illustrated by members of special interest groups interested in participating in SEDAR workshops, particularly as review panelists, contacting the SEDAR Coordinator and the Councils to volunteer their services and request appointment to workshop panels. Other issues included uncertainty around those eligible for appointment to workshop panels and the process the Councils should follow in making appointments. A final concern involved identifying the range of expertise and knowledge necessary for each workshop panel to complete its charge.

The following guidelines are intended to clarify who may participate and how participants are selected. The goal is to provide enough formal guidance to ensure consistency and compliance with federal regulations and Council procedures, while preserving enough flexibility to respond to unforeseen circumstances. These guidelines will also help clarify the responsibilities of SEDAR staff and its Cooperators in identifying participants. Adhering to process and procedures in selecting participants is perhaps most critical for the Review Workshop, since this body has the task of establishing whether or not the assessment is technically sound.

NOAA General Counsel provided guidance on SEDAR participation when SEDAR was approved for all 3 Councils and NOAA Fisheries. This guidance stated that each Council would establish a **SEDAR Advisory Panel** (typically considered the SEDAR Pool) from which participants shall be selected for each workshop. All Workshop Panel participants appointed by a Council must be included in that Council's SEDAR Advisory Panel. The SEDAR Advisory Panel is governed by the same requirements as any other Council Panel. Employees of state and federal agencies, the Councils, and the Interstate Commissions must be appointed to the SEDAR Advisory Panel if they are to be appointed to a SEDAR Workshop Panel.

1. General Appointment Procedures

Participants for SEDAR workshop panels are appointed by the **Cooperators** from the membership of their SEDAR Advisory Panels. The **Cooperator** requesting the assessment and having jurisdiction over the species assessed is responsible for appointing panelists. The SEFSC Director and SERO Administrator are responsible for submitting designees to the Council for appointment to workshop panels to provide expertise and represent their offices as appropriate.

In the event of joint jurisdiction, each **Cooperator** with an interest makes appointments from within its SEDAR Advisory Panel. For a Review Workshop Panel where the number of panelists is more restrictive, when multiple Councils or Commissions have an interest in the species being assessed, the Councils and Commissions shall each agree to an equitable division of the available seats when the SEDAR project is approved by the Steering Committee.

Each **Cooperator** is responsible for establishing guidelines and procedures for making appointments. It is not necessary for these guidelines and procedures to be identical for each Cooperator and each Cooperator is responsible for ensuring that the participants it appoints are eligible under its Advisory Panel procedures.

When soliciting participants and making appointments, Cooperators should clearly indicate the expected level of participation and the nature of the workshops as described herein. Participants appointed to Workshop Panels are expected to participate in the entire workshop. The structure of the SEDAR workshops is such that many decisions are not made until near the end, after considerable deliberation and analyses. Further, reports are often not finalized until several weeks following the meeting. All participants should be informed that participation may involve considerable time and effort and that workshop sessions may extend beyond normal working hours (e.g., evening sessions are possible at all workshops). It is especially critical that Review Workshop Panelists participate in all stages of the Workshop. The need to draft reports during the workshop and bring those drafts to the Panel for review throughout the workshop dictates that Review Panel seats cannot 'revolve' among several individuals as particular species are addressed. Those having specific knowledge or interest of a single species or issue better serve the process through participation in Data and Assessment Workshops, whereas those with broader knowledge and strong analytical expertise are most appropriate as Review Workshop Panelists.

2. Suggested Participants

The following sections describe in general terms the expertise that is typically required for each workshop panel. The classifications are neither obligatory nor restrictive. Each Cooperator is responsible for making those appointments it deems necessary for the task at hand.

2.1 Data Workshop

The Data Workshop Panel is charged with reviewing the full spectrum of input data, including fisheries statistics, monitoring programs, life history, and management history. This requires individuals from many disciplines possessing a broad range of skills and expertise. It is also the point in the SEDAR process where the anecdotal knowledge and first person observations of experienced fishermen and constituents are the most useful.

Suggested Participants:

- SEFSC Assessment Scientists
- Other NMFS Assessment Scientists
- Council SSC representatives
- Cooperator Assessment Panel representatives
- Cooperator Socio-economic Panel representatives
- Cooperator Advisory Panel representatives
- SERO representatives
- Council/Commission Technical staff
- State Agency researchers, biologists, data collectors, analysts

- University assessment analysts
- Life history researchers, from NMFS, State Agencies, or Universities
- Marfin research grant recipients
- NMFS General Canvass representatives
- MRFSS representatives
- State data collection representatives (e.g., trip ticket program, FIN)
- Logbook Program representatives
- SE Headboat Survey representatives
- Cooperative Monitoring Program representatives (e.g., MARMAP, SEAMAP)
- NGO representatives
- Independent or contracted consultants
- Fishery or constituent representatives

2.2 Assessment Workshops.

Assessment Workshop panels must complete the assessment model and prepare the results. This requires a high level of technical expertise, and Assessment Workshop Panels should be composed primarily of assessment scientists.

Suggested Participants

- SEFSC Assessment Scientists
- Other NMFS Assessment Scientists
- CIE Appointee
- Council SSC representatives
- Cooperator Assessment Panel representatives
- Cooperator Socio-economic Panel representatives
- Cooperator Advisory Panel representatives
- SERO representatives
- Council/Commission Technical staff
- State Agency researchers, biologists, data collectors, analysts
- University assessment analysts
- NGO representatives or designees
- Independent or constituent group contracted consultants
- Fishery or constituent representatives from outside the AP's

2.3. Review Workshops.

Review Workshop panelists include 3 reviewers appointed through the CIE, an independent reviewer appointed by each Cooperator having jurisdiction over the stocks included in the assessments, and a chair appointed by the SEFSC Director. The Director is allowed wide latitude in selecting a chair, and may consider Federal employees outside the SEFSC, current and former SSC members, retired employees, state agency employees, and academia. The chair should not be an employee of the SEFSC or SERO.

8.6 Review of SEDAR Workload and Scheduling

I. Number of SEDAR Projects per year

The concept of 2 annual projects was endorsed by the Steering Committee in January 2004. The timing of these projects will be determined by the Steering Committee when it establishes assessment priorities. The particular labs assigned to a project will be determined by the SEFSC Director.

II. Assessments per project

Limiting SEDAR projects to 3 complete benchmark assessments was endorsed by the Steering Committee in January 2004.

III. Mixing of Jurisdictions or Separate Stocks of a Species

Limiting SEDAR projects to a single jurisdiction (Council), except when Councils have joint FMP's or in some other way share jurisdiction over a unit stock was endorsed by the Steering Committee in January 2003.

IV. Increasing Assessment Productivity.

The concept of establishing a hierarchical review process was endorsed by the Steering Committee in January 2004. SEDAR will consist of both benchmark and update assessments. The primary focus is on conducting benchmark assessments. A limited number of update assessments can also be accommodated. Specific details for conducting assessment updates are included in the procedures.

V. Project overlap

The SEDAR Steering Committee agreed in February 2007 to allow overlap of SEDAR projects. Thus the data workshop for one project may occur before the review workshop of the previous project. The Steering Committee will strive to prevent overlap of personnel between subsequent projects to minimize potential personnel conflicts.

8.7 SEDAR Assessment Projects

8.7.1 SEDAR Benchmark Assessment List

SEDAR	SPECIES	Year
1	SAFMC red porgy	2002
2	SAFMC vermillion snapper & black sea bass	2003
3	SAFMC & GMFMC yellowtail snapper Review ASMFC Atlantic menhaden & croaker	2003
4	SAFMC tilefish & snowy grouper	2004
5	SAFMC & GMFMC king mackerel	2004
6	SAFMC & GMFMC goliath grouper & hogfish	2004
7	GMFMC red snapper	2004
8	CFMC yellowtail snapper & spiny lobster Review FL spiny lobster	2005
9	GMFMC vermillion snapper, greater amberjack, & gray triggerfish	2005
10	SAFMC & GMFMC gag grouper	2006
11	HMS large coastal sharks	2006
12	GMFMC red grouper	2006
13	HMS small coastal sharks	2007
14	CFMC yellowfin grouper, mutton snapper & queen conch	2007
15	SAFMC greater amberjack & red snapper Review SAFMC & GMFMC mutton snapper	2007
16	SAFMC & GMFMC king mackerel	2008
17	SAFMC Spanish mackerel & vermillion snapper	2008
18	Atlantic red drum	2009
19	SAFMC & GMFMC black grouper, SAFMC red grouper	2009
20	ASMFC Menhaden & Croaker Review	2010
21	Shark TBD	2010
22	GMFMC yellowedge grouper & tilefish	2010
23	CFMC TBD through data evaluation workshops 2008	2010
24	SAFMC black sea bass & TBD	2011
25	CFMC TBD	2011
26	GMFMC red snapper	2012
27	SAFMC speckled hind, Warsaw grouper	2012
28	Shark TBD	2012
29	SAFMC & GMFMC Goliath grouper	2013
30	CFMC TBD	2013

8.7.2 SEDAR Assessment Update Schedule

Benchmark #	Species	Scheduled Completion	Status
2	SA black sea bass	April 2005	FINAL
1	SA red porgy	May 2006	FINAL
2	SA vermilion snapper	2007	FINAL
10/12	Gulf gag & red grouper	early 2009	Scheduled
7	Gulf red snapper	Late 2009	Scheduled
8	FL spiny lobster	Mid 2010	Scheduled
9	Gulf greater amberjack	Late 2010	Scheduled
4	SA golden tilefish & snowy grouper	Mid 2010	Scheduled
10	Atlantic gag	late 2011	Scheduled
1	Atlantic red porgy	mid 2012	Scheduled
9	Gulf vermilion, gray triggerfish	late 2012	Scheduled

8.8 Overview of the Center for Independent Experts

The following information providing an overview of the CIE is excerpted from the CIE website (<http://www.rsmas.miami.edu/groups/cie/cieprocess.htm>)

There has been a tremendous increase recently in the number of peer reviews of NOAA Fisheries science programs and scientific products, as part of the agency's effort to strengthen its mission. NOAA's current Strategic Plan calls for an ever-stronger Science Quality Assurance Program so that the need for external input will be even greater in the near future. However, the existing, informal pool of qualified outside experts is limited and already overburdened. It is unreasonable to expect that the availability of qualified volunteers will increase with the new demands. Presently, the same scientists are often asked to participate in multiple reviews each year, leading to increased time demands and the lack of concrete rewards.

To address this concern and to provide more objective peer review input, NOAA Fisheries formalized the process of independent peer reviews of NOAA Fisheries science with the development of a Center for Independent Experts (CIE), a project commenced in 1998 at the University of Miami's Cooperative Institute of Marine and Atmospheric Studies, a UM-NOAA Joint Institute. The CIE consisted of a pool of qualified scientists who aided first in the design and review of NOAA Fisheries stock assessments, and since then, the CIE has expanded the concept beyond a stock assessment focus, recruiting scientists in the fields of endangered species, marine mammals, and other marine and coastal resources under the purview of NOAA Fisheries.

CENTER FOR INDEPENDENT EXPERTS (CIE) REVIEW PROCESS

The Center for Independent Experts (CIE), operated from the Cooperative Institute for Marine and Atmospheric Science (CIMAS) at the University of Miami, is a program designed to promote independent participation in peer reviews of the science carried out by NOAA Fisheries. The goal of the CIE initiative is to strengthen NOAA Fisheries Quality Assurance efforts under the current NOAA Strategic Plan. Under the program, participating scientists receive remuneration for the time spent in their review activities.

While NOAA Fisheries provides the funding and crafts the terms of reference for the peer reviews, the agency is NOT involved in the selection of reviewers nor can it influence the content of the review reports. All reviewers are selected by the CIE, working **independently** from NOAA Fisheries, and all work conducted by the reviewers is analyzed internally by the CIE prior to its submission to NOAA Fisheries.

The review activities consist of three types:

1. Independent reviews of stock assessments or science products;
2. Independent reviews through active participation in ongoing assessment working groups, stock assessment panels, or other science product groups;
3. Participation as chairs on advisory panels and working groups.

There are two modes of reviews: One, the reviews that are conducted on site, at NOAA Fisheries fishery science centers or other locations, in conjunction with NOAA Fisheries scientists; and two, the reviews that are performed at the participants' primary locations.

NOAA Fisheries establishes the terms of reference and statements of tasks for all reviews, in collaboration with CIE, to ensure that terms and statements meet CIE standards.

These terms and statements are forwarded to the CIE at the University of Miami, and that is where NOAA Fisheries' participation ends in running the peer review process.

The CIE team, comprised of a three-member Steering Committee and a three-member Coordination Team, selects reviewers and oversees the review process. The Coordination Team is a permanent component of the CIE, and it is comprised of a CIE coordinator, manager, and intern. The Steering Committee is comprised of three senior researchers (with no affiliation with NOAA Fisheries) with strong backgrounds in fisheries and other fisheries-related topics, who serve periodic terms.

In some cases, selected participants are chosen from a database of experts developed by the CIE. Depending on the nature of the review, experts may be assigned to focus on different aspects (based on their expertise) or to participate as meeting chairs. In cases where the expertise is not available within the CIE database, other experts are contacted and invited to participate. All efforts are made to recruit the most qualified participants and to ensure that candidates have no conflicts of interest. If the CIE or the candidate identifies a conflict of interest, the expert is rendered ineligible.

To ensure transparency, all background material provided to participants from NOAA Fisheries is also collected and housed at the CIE. The CIE also acts as the moderator for all review-related correspondence between participants and the NOAA Fisheries fishery science center scientists.

Participants are generally required to complete a summary of findings and recommendations in formal, independent review reports. All review reports are to reflect the independent opinion of the expert. That is, no consensus reports among two or more participants are accepted.

In reviews where the CIE provides a chair for a meeting, the duties of the chair may include facilitation of the meeting process and the production of a meeting report that reflects the consensus reached by the meeting participants. This meeting report is not a CIE review report. Instead, the CIE expert is asked to produce a report on the meeting process and is not required to express views about the science discussed during the meeting.

The CIE receives all reports electronically at the completion of the review period. Then, each member of the CIE Steering Committee and Coordination Team comments on the reports, sending all comments to the author of the report. Comments made by the CIE relate to formatting, clarity, and only to whether the report satisfies the terms of reference. Once the experts satisfactorily responded to all CIE comments, the reports are released to NOAA Fisheries.

The CIE project manager from NOAA Fisheries reviews all reports only to verify that the reports conform to the review's terms of reference.

8.9 Workshop Panel and Participant Instructions

8.9.1 Data Workshop

Tasks, Responsibilities, and Supplemental Instructions for SEDAR Data Workshop Participants

SEDAR Overview

SouthEast Data, Assessment, and Review (**SEDAR**) is a cooperative Fishery Management Council process initiated in 2002 to improve the quality and reliability of fishery stock assessments in the South Atlantic, Gulf of Mexico, and US Caribbean. SEDAR is managed by the Caribbean, Gulf of Mexico, and South Atlantic Regional Fishery Management Councils in coordination with NOAA Fisheries and the Atlantic and Gulf States Marine Fisheries Commissions. Oversight is provided by a Steering Committee composed of representatives of these partner agencies.

SEDAR is organized around three workshops. First is the Data Workshop, during which fisheries, monitoring, and life history data are reviewed and compiled. Second is the Assessment workshop, during which assessment models are developed and population parameters are estimated using the information provided from the Data Workshop. Third and final is the Review Workshop, during which independent experts review the input data, assessment methods, and assessment products. The charge to each SEDAR Workshop is specified in Terms of Reference that are approved by the appropriate Cooperator. The completed assessment, including the reports of all 3 workshops and all supporting documentation, is then forwarded to the Cooperator's SSC or other Scientific body for certification as 'appropriate for management' and development of specific management recommendations.

Data workshop participants include NOAA Fisheries stock assessment scientists, researchers, data collectors, and data managers; Commission, State agency, University, and independent researchers, biologists, and fisheries analysts; Council advisory panel (commercial, recreational, and/or NGO) representatives; Cooperator technical panel representatives such as Scientific & Statistical Committee members. Council members and senior agency staff may participate as official observers but do not serve on the actual workshop panel which is responsible for making assessment decisions. Members of the public who attend are noted as observers. The SEDAR coordinator will typically serve as the workshop Chair. As with all SEDAR workshops, stock assessment workshop panelists are appointed from each Cooperator's SEDAR Advisory Panel.

SEDAR workshops are open, transparent, public processes administered according to the rules and regulations governing Federal Fishery Management Council operations and other applicable Federal laws. All workshops are recorded. The names and affiliations of workshop panel participants and workshop observers will be disclosed. SEDAR workshop reports and submitted working papers are public documents that become part of the official SEDAR Administrative Record and will be posted on the SEDAR website. The public is given

opportunities to comment during SEDAR Workshops and may submit written comments to the associated Cooperators in accordance with the Cooperator's guidelines.

Data Workshop Goal

The goal of SEDAR data workshops is to evaluate and compile assessment datasets. Basic data compilations should be completed by the conclusion of the workshop.

Pre-Workshop Preparation

Workshop panel members are encouraged to prepare and summarize data prior to the workshop and present preferred treatments to the group for consideration. Issues and ideas always arise through group discussion and evaluation, so data providers should come prepared with the basic data and analytical tools to enable analyses during the workshop if necessary. A data scoping conference call will be held approximately 6 weeks prior to the workshop to establish initial summary guidelines.

Data Working Groups

SEDAR data workshops are organized around working groups assigned particular data components (e.g., life history, commercial statistics, recreational statistics, and indices). Working groups are responsible for reviewing data and working papers and developing recommendations for consideration by the full workshop panel (Plenary). **All decisions are made by the full group in plenary sessions.**

Data Workshop Report

Working groups are also responsible for drafting data workshop report sections. Each working group has a leader, usually assigned in advance, who guides the group during the workshop and serves as editor of the report section. The group is encouraged to appoint a rapporteur to keep a record of deliberations during group sessions, recommendations during plenary sessions, and assist the leader in drafting report text. All group members are expected to contribute to the group's report. Each appointed participant is assigned to one of the workshop working groups. Each group's report segment will be compiled into an overall data workshop report.

Working Papers

Initial analyses, data summaries, and program documentation should be submitted in advance as SEDAR Working Papers. Deadlines for submission will be provided on the schedule for each project. Working papers and all other documentation will be distributed electronically via email and the SEDAR website (<http://www.sefsc.noaa.gov/sedar/>). Papers should be submitted as word documents. Authors may follow any format of their choosing. Working papers are numbered sequentially by SEDAR cycle and workshop. Please contact the SEDAR Coordinator to obtain document numbers. Working papers shall not contain confidential information.

Data Submission

Panelists are encouraged to submit data in advance. Datasets should be submitted to the SEDAR Coordinator, Data Compiler, and appropriate data working group leader.

SEDAR Agendas

Establishing strict agendas for SEDAR workshops is not usually practical, as no one can foresee all the issues that will develop or predict the amount of discussion that will be generated for any particular item. Therefore, workshop agendas provide a general listing of meeting times and are constructed around daily milestones and tasks. Evening working sessions are likely. Only the starting and ending time of the workshop are certain, to enable appropriate travel planning; all other events during the workshop may change as necessary to meet the tasks outlined in the Terms of Reference.

Consensus

SEDAR workshops strive to achieve group consensus on many potentially complex and controversial issues, and it is recognized that consensus may not always equate to unanimous consent for each issue. For SEDAR purposes, consensus is taken to mean that all workshop panelists consent to the range and treatment of recommendations included in the report.

Nature of Discussions

Those criticizing the work and recommendations of others are expected to do so constructively and to offer reasonable solutions to go along with any criticisms. Recommendations for sensitivity and exploratory analyses along with ranges for critical parameters should all be considered when evaluating uncertain information.

Materials Distribution

SEDAR workshops are 'paperless' to the extent possible. Materials such as datasets and working papers that are received within submission deadlines will be distributed by SEDAR staff via email and website posting, and hard copies or cds will be mailed upon request. Paper copies of the agenda and Terms of Reference will be provided at the workshop. Working papers that are distributed in advance by SEDAR staff and made available on the website will not be provided in print copy at the workshop, but will be available by cd and posted to the workshop network. Those who submit working papers after the submission deadline are responsible for providing both print and electronic copies for distribution at the workshop. **Please contact the SEDAR Coordinator for the appropriate number of copies.**

Confidentiality

SEDAR is a Council Process and therefore it is an open and public process. All working papers are available for distribution to the general public, all data summaries are available for distribution to the general public, but not all workshop participants have clearance to view

confidential data. Therefore, no confidential data should be included in any SEDAR documentation. This includes working papers, reference documents, workshop presentations, and SEDAR assessment reports. Under no circumstances should confidential data be stored on publicly accessible locations of SEDAR workshop networks. **Authors and data submitters are responsible for ensuring that submitted papers and datasets do not contain confidential data.**

Administrative Record and Public Comment

SEDAR is a public Council process. All submitted documents and official correspondence become part of the official administrative record. All SEDAR workshops are announced in the Federal Register. All workshop discussion sessions are recorded. All working papers and final documents will be publicly posted on the SEDAR website. The names and affiliations of all workshop participants and observers will be listed in the workshop reports. The general public is welcome to view all workshop proceedings and will be given the opportunity to comment during plenary sessions as necessary. Written public comments will be accepted in accordance with each Cooperator's Standard Operating Procedures.

Network and IT

A wireless network is available at each SEDAR workshop to provide internet and file server access. IT staff will be available during each workshop to aid each participant in securing network access.

What to Bring

Workshop participants should come prepared to conduct analyses and prepare report text. Ideally they should bring a laptop computer with word processing, analytical and networking capabilities. Participants should bring electronic copies of any documents they want considered during the workshop. Participants should bring accessible copies of relevant datasets to facilitate evaluation and analysis during the workshop.

Meeting Attendance and Sign-in Forms

Sign in forms will be posted in the meeting space during each day of the workshop. All appointed participants are expected to sign in each day that they attend. Failure to sign-in could result in denial of reimbursement requests. SEDAR workshops seldom 'end early' and it is never known when a critical issue may be discussed; therefore, official participants are strongly encouraged to stay for the entire workshop.

Data Workshop Roles and Responsibilities

Chair: (SEDAR Coordinator) Runs the workshop, chairs plenary discussions, schedules work and plenary sessions during the workshop, ensures Terms of Reference are addressed.

Workgroup Leaders: (SEFSC and Cooperator appointees) Lead individual workgroups, coordinate initial data analyses and working papers prior to the workshop, present group recommendations during plenary sessions, serve as lead author and editor for group's data report section.

Workgroup Rapporteur: (SEFSC and Cooperator appointees, chosen for each group by group members) Take notes during group work sessions and plenary, help group leader draft report text and plenary reports and help in addressing report edits following the workshop.

Workshop Data Manager: (Lead assessment agency) Manage submitted data and ensure all data products are tabulated in the SEDAR input worksheet. Oversee data review and finalization following the workshop. Should expect to contribute data presentations at the Assessment and Review Workshops.

Chief Editor: (SEDAR Staff): Responsible for compiling group document segments into the final workshop report, distributing document to the workshop panel for review, and submitting the final workshop report to the SEDAR coordinator.

SEDAR Workshop Panelist Code of Conduct

- SEDAR workshop panel decisions shall be based on science. Discussions and deliberations shall not consider possible future management actions, agency financial concerns, or social and economic consequences.
- SEDAR workshop decisions are based on consensus. Panels are expected to reach conclusions that all participants can accept, which may include agreeing to acknowledge multiple possibilities.
- Personal attacks will not be tolerated. Advancement in science is based on disagreement and healthy, spirited discourse is encouraged. However, professionalism must be upheld and those who descend into personal attacks will be asked to leave.
- SEDAR workshop panelists are expected to support their discussions with appropriate text and analytical contributions. **Each panelist is individually responsible for ensuring that their points and recommendations are addressed in workshop reports; they should not rely on others to address their concerns.**
- Panelists are expected to provide constructive suggestions and alternative solutions; criticisms should be followed with recommendations and solutions.

8.9.2 Assessment Workshop

Tasks, Responsibilities, and Supplemental Instructions for

SEDAR Assessment Workshop Participants

SEDAR Overview

SouthEast Data, Assessment, and Review (**SEDAR**) is a cooperative Fishery Management Council process initiated in 2002 to improve the quality and reliability of fishery stock assessments in the South Atlantic, Gulf of Mexico, and US Caribbean. SEDAR is managed by the Caribbean, Gulf of Mexico, and South Atlantic Regional Fishery Management Councils in coordination with NOAA Fisheries and the Atlantic and Gulf States Marine Fisheries Commissions. Oversight is provided by a Steering Committee composed of representatives of these partner agencies.

SEDAR is organized around three workshops. First is the Data Workshop, during which fisheries, monitoring, and life history data are reviewed and compiled. Second is the Assessment workshop, during which assessment models are developed and population parameters are estimated using the information provided from the Data Workshop. Third and final is the Review Workshop, during which independent experts review the input data, assessment methods, and assessment products. The charge to each SEDAR Workshop is specified in Terms of Reference that are approved by the appropriate SEDAR Cooperator. The completed assessment, including the reports of all 3 workshops and all supporting documentation, is then forwarded to the Cooperator's SSC or other Scientific Body for certification as 'appropriate for management' and development of specific management recommendations.

Assessment workshop participants include the workshop panel, appointed observers, and other observers. Workshop panels are composed of include NOAA Fisheries stock assessment scientists, Commission/State/university/independent assessment scientists, Council advisory panel (commercial, recreational, and/or NGO) representatives, and Cooperator technical committee representatives, such as members of the Scientific & Statistical Committee. Council or senior agency representatives may participate as official observers, but cannot serve as panel members. Members of the public who attend are noted as observers. The SEDAR coordinator will typically serve as the workshop Chair. As with all SEDAR workshops, stock assessment workshop panelists are to be appointed from each Copeator's SEDAR Advisory Panel.

SEDAR workshops are open, transparent, public processes administered according to the rules and regulations governing Federal Fishery Management Council operations and other applicable Federal laws. All workshops are recorded. The names and affiliations of workshop panel participants and workshop observers will be disclosed. SEDAR workshop reports and submitted working papers are public documents that become part of the official SEDAR Administrative Record and will be posted on the SEDAR website. The public is given opportunities to comment during SEDAR Workshops and may submit written comments to the associated Councils, Commissions or other agencies in accordance with Council guidelines.

Assessment Workshop Goal

The goal of SEDAR assessment workshops is to conduct quantitative population analysis to determine stock status, evaluate management benchmarks, and project future stock conditions.

Pre-Workshop Preparation

Panelists should review the findings of the data workshop, including any submitted working papers and reference documents.

Panelists should review working papers prepared for the Assessment Workshop and review preliminary model configurations and outcomes. Those with analytical capabilities may wish to conduct their own model runs.

Panelists should expect to participate in at least 2 conference calls - one prior to the workshop and one following the workshop.

Working Papers

Initial analyses, data summaries, and program documentation should be submitted in advance as SEDAR Working Papers. Deadlines for submission will be provided on the schedule for each project. Working papers and all other documentation will be distributed electronically via email and the SEDAR website (<http://www.sefsc.noaa.gov/sedar/>). Papers should be submitted as word documents or .pdf files. Authors may follow any format of their choosing, but are encouraged to review instruction in the SEDAR workshop guidelines pertaining to content and formatting. Working papers are numbered sequentially by SEDAR cycle and workshop. Please contact the SEDAR Coordinator to obtain document numbers. Working papers shall not contain confidential information.

SEDAR Agendas

Establishing strict agendas for SEDAR workshops is not usually practical, as no one can foresee all the issues that will develop or predict the amount of discussion that will be generated for any particular item. Therefore, workshop agendas provide a general listing of meeting times and are constructed around daily milestones and tasks. Evening working sessions are likely. Only the starting and ending time of the workshop are certain, to enable appropriate travel planning; all other events during the workshop may change as necessary to meet the tasks outlined in the Terms of Reference.

Consensus

SEDAR workshops strive to achieve group consensus on many potentially complex and controversial issues, and it is recognized that consensus may not always equate to unanimous consent for each issue. For SEDAR purposes, consensus is taken to mean that all workshop panelists consent to the range and treatment of recommendations included in the report.

Nature of Discussions

Those criticizing the work and recommendations of others are expected to do so constructively and to offer reasonable solutions to go along with any criticisms. Recommendations for sensitivity and exploratory analyses along with ranges for critical parameters should all be considered when evaluating uncertain information.

Materials Distribution

SEDAR workshops are ‘paperless’ to the extent possible. Materials such as datasets and working papers that are received within submission deadlines will be distributed by SEDAR staff via email and website posting, and hard copies or cds will be mailed upon request. Paper copies of the agenda and Terms of Reference will be provided at the workshop. Working papers that are distributed in advance by SEDAR staff and made available on the website will not be provided in print copy at the workshop, but will be available by cd and posted to the workshop network. Those who submit working papers after the submission deadline are responsible for providing both print and electronic copies for distribution at the workshop. **Please contact the SEDAR Coordinator for the appropriate number of copies.**

Confidentiality

SEDAR is a Council process and therefore it is an open and public process. All working papers are available to distribution to the general public, all data summaries are available to distribution to the general public, but not all workshop participants have clearance to view confidential data. Therefore, no confidential data should be included in any SEDAR documentation. This includes working papers, reference documents, workshop presentations, and SEDAR assessment reports. Under no circumstances should confidential data be stored on publicly accessible locations of SEDAR workshop networks. **Authors and data submitters are responsible for ensuring that submitted papers and datasets do not contain confidential data.**

Administrative Record and Public Comment

SEDAR is a public Council process. All submitted documents and official correspondence become part of the official administrative record. All SEDAR workshops are announced in the Federal Register. All workshop discussion sessions are recorded. All working papers and final documents will be publicly posted on the SEDAR website. The names and affiliations of all workshop participants and observers will be listed in the workshop reports. The general public is welcome to view all workshop proceedings and will be given the opportunity to comment during plenary sessions as necessary. Written public comments will be accepted in accordance with each Council’s Standard Operating Procedures.

Meeting Attendance and Sign-in Forms

Sign in forms will be posted in the meeting space during each day of the workshop. All appointed participants are expected to sign in each day that they attend. Failure to sign-in could result in denial of reimbursement requests. SEDAR workshops seldom ‘end early’ and it is never known when a critical issue may be discussed; therefore, participants are strongly encouraged to stay for the entire workshop.

Network and IT

A wireless network is available at each SEDAR workshop to provide internet and file server access. IT staff will be available during each workshop to aid each participant in securing network access.

What to Bring

Workshop participants should come prepared to conduct analyses and prepare report text. Ideally they should bring a laptop computer with word processing and networking capabilities. Participants should bring electronic copies of any documents they want considered during the

workshop. Participants should bring copies of any relevant research documents which are not already provided in the project document list

Assessment Workshop Roles and Responsibilities

Workshop Chair: (SEDAR Coordinator) Responsible for conducting the workshop, scheduling workshop sessions, and ensuring the Terms of Reference are addressed.

Workshop Rapporteur: (Cooperator Appointee, 1 per stock) Responsible for taking notes during plenary sessions to ensure that discussion items are reflected in the workshop report, assists chair in ensuring Terms of Reference and Council requirements are addressed. May be asked by appointing Council to assist in presenting workshop findings to the SSC and other Council bodies.

Stock Leader (Cooperator Appointee, 1 per stock) Prepares and edits the proceedings section of the assessment workshop report. Responsible for compiling segments drafted by workshop participants and completing and submitting report in accordance with project deadlines. Represents the assessment panel at the Review Workshop and subsequent Council meetings. Rapporteur and Editor roles may be filled by one individual at Council's discretion.

Lead Analyst: (SEFSC/Assessment Agency, 1 per stock) Leader of the assessment team, responsible for preparing population models and making presentations to the assessment panel. Also responsible for presenting the assessment to the Review Panel and the SSC and Council.

Analytical Team: Core group of assessment analysts responsible for conducting model runs, presenting results, and conducting further analyses during the Review Workshop.

Data Presenters: Responsible for presenting overviews of data sources, including the results of any post-DW analyses and compilations. May be filled by the same individuals as other workshop roles, or may be filled by data workshop workgroup leaders.

SEDAR Workshop Panelist Code of Conduct

- SEDAR workshop panel decisions shall be based on science. Discussions and deliberations shall not consider possible future management actions, agency financial concerns, or social and economic consequences.
- SEDAR workshop decisions are based on consensus. Panels are expected to reach conclusions that all participants can accept, which may include agreeing to acknowledge multiple possibilities.
- Personal attacks will not be tolerated. Advancement in science is based on disagreement and healthy, spirited discourse is encouraged. However, professionalism must be upheld and those who descend into personal attacks will be asked to leave.
- SEDAR workshop panelists are expected to support their discussions with appropriate text and analytical contributions. Each panelist is individually responsible for ensuring that their points and recommendations are addressed in workshop reports; they should not rely on others to address their concerns.
- Panelists are expected to provide constructive suggestions and alternative solutions; criticisms should be followed with recommendations and solutions.

8.9.3 Review Workshop

Tasks, Responsibilities, and Supplemental Instructions for SEDAR Review Workshop Participants

SEDAR Review Workshop Overview

SEDAR Review Workshops provide independent peer review of stock assessments prepared through SEDAR data and assessment workshops. The goal of the review is to ensure that the assessment and results presented are scientifically sound and that managers are provided adequate advice regarding stock status and management benchmarks, and the general nature of appropriate future management actions. The Review Panel has limited authority to request additional analyses, corrections of existing analyses and sensitivity runs.

An analytical and presentation team, composed of a subset of the Assessment Workshop panel and representing the primary analysts for each assessment, will be present at the workshop to present assessment findings, provide an overview of assessment data, provide additional results or model information, and prepare any additional analyses requested by the Review Panel. Although many individuals contribute to a SEDAR assessment, the Review Panel is ultimately responsible for ensuring that the best possible assessment is provided through the SEDAR process.

The review panel shall not provide specific management advice. Such advice will be provided by existing Cooperator Committees, such as the Science and Statistical Committee and Advisory Panels, following completion of the assessment.

SEDAR review workshop panels are typically composed of a Chair, 3 reviewers appointed by the CIE (Center for Independent Experts), and 1 reviewer appointed by each Cooperator having jurisdiction over the stocks under review. All reviewers must be independent, in that they should not have contributed to the assessment under review and should not have a role in any management actions that may stem from the assessment. Each Cooperator may appoint several official observers, typically including representatives of the Council, its SSC, and appropriate Advisory Panels.

All SEDAR workshops, including the Review Workshop, are open, transparent, public processes administered according to the rules and regulations governing Federal Fishery Management Council operations. All SEDAR workshops are recorded and transcripts of workshop discussions may be prepared upon request through the SEDAR Steering Committee. The names and affiliations of reviewers will be disclosed in the review workshop documents. The Review Workshop Report will be publicly distributed along with the other SEDAR Workshop working papers and workshop reports. The public may submit written comments in accordance with Cooperator guidelines once the report is disseminated to the relevant Cooperator.

Review workshop panelists receive the draft Stock Assessment Report, including sections prepared by the data and assessment workshops; supplemental analytical materials including all working papers and reference documents from prior workshops; and general information regarding the Review Workshop, including the agenda, report outlines, terms of reference, and participant list. Review panelists are expected to read and review the provided materials to become familiar with the assessment.

The charge to each SEDAR Review Workshop is specified in Terms of Reference. During the review the Review Workshop panel will prepare a Review Panel Report for each stock assessed addressing each of the Terms of Reference. The report should represent the views of the group as a whole, and shall include any dissenting views of individual panelists if appropriate. Outlines and example documents will be provided by SEDAR staff.

Review Workshop Panel General Instructions

The Review Panel Chair is responsible for compiling, editing, and submitting the Review Panel Report to the SEDAR Coordinator by a deadline specified in the assessment schedule. At the start of the workshop the Chair will assign each panelist specific duties, such as drafting specific report sections. The Chair may select one panelist to serve as assessment leader for each stock assessment under review. The assessment leader is responsible for preparing initial drafts of the report for the assigned assessment. Such duties may be further subdivided if workshop manpower allows. The SEFSC will provide a rapporteur to take notes on the discussions so that panelists can more fully participate in discussions and assist the analytical team in documenting panel recommendations.

The Review Panel's primary responsibility is to ensure that assessment results are based on sound science, appropriate methods, and appropriate data. During the course of review, the panel is allowed limited flexibility to deviate from the assessment provided by the Assessment Workshop. This flexibility may include modifying the assessment configuration and assumptions, requesting a reasonable number of sensitivity runs, requesting additional details and results of the existing assessments, or requesting correction of any errors identified. However, the allowance for flexibility is limited, and the review panel is not authorized to conduct an alternative assessment or to request an alternative assessment from the technical staff present. The SEDAR Steering Committee recognizes that determining when modifications constitute an 'alternative' assessment is a subjective decision, and has therefore determined that the Review Panel is responsible for applying its collective judgment in determining whether proposed changes and corrections to the presented assessment are sufficient to constitute an alternative assessment. The Review Panel Chair will coordinate with the SEDAR Coordinator and technical staff present to determine which requests can be accomplished and prioritize desired analyses.

Any changes in assessment results stemming from modifications or corrections solicited by the review panel will be documented in an addendum to the assessment report. If updated estimates are not available for review by the conclusion of the workshop, the review panel shall agree to a process for reviewing the final results. Any additional or supplemental analyses requested by the Review Panel and completed by the Analytical team shall, at the discretion of the chair and panel, be either documented through a supplemental report or included in the Review Panel Report.

If the Review Panel finds an assessment deficient to the extent that technical staff present cannot correct the deficiencies during the course of the workshop, or the Panel deems that desired modifications would result in an alternative assessment, then the Review Panel shall provide in writing the required remedial measures and suggest an appropriate approach for correcting the assessment and subsequently reviewing the corrected assessment.

Review Workshop Panel Participant Information

Serving as a review workshop panelists is a considerable time commitment that requires more than simply the daily sessions of the review workshop. Panelists will need to set aside time in the weeks prior to the workshop to review data and assessment documents. During the workshop, time beyond that

of the scheduled daily sessions may be required to complete workshop tasks and reports. Time is required following the workshop to review and finalize panel reports.

Review panelists are expected to author workshop reports and may conduct supplementary analyses or data summaries. Panelists should come prepared with a laptop computer for these tasks.

The SEDAR Steering Committee and SEDAR Coordinator establish deadlines for document submission. SEDAR staff distributes working documents and support materials (agenda, participant instructions) to workshop participants, typically two weeks prior to the workshop.

SEDAR Workshop Panelist Code of Conduct

- SEDAR workshop panel decisions shall be based on science and analysis. Discussions and deliberations shall not consider possible future management actions, agency financial concerns, or social and economic consequences.
- SEDAR Review Workshop Panels are encouraged to reach a group consensus that all participants can accept, which may include agreeing to acknowledge and present multiple possibilities. If this is not feasible, then each reviewer may state their individual opinion with regard to the Terms of Reference and are responsible for providing appropriate text that captures their opinion for the Review Workshop Report.
- Personal attacks will not be tolerated. SEDAR recognizes that advancement in science is often preceded by disagreement and therefore encourages spirited discourse. However, professionalism must be upheld and those who descend into personal attacks will be asked to leave.
- SEDAR workshop panelists are expected to support their discussions with appropriate text and analytical contributions. Each panelist is individually responsible for ensuring that their points and recommendations are addressed in workshop reports; they should not rely on others to address their concerns.
- Panelists are expected to provide constructive suggestions and alternative solutions; criticisms should be followed with recommendations and solutions.

Review Workshop Networking and IT

A wireless network is available at each SEDAR workshop to provide internet and file server access. All reports and documents pertaining to the review will be available on the server. IT staff will be available during the review workshop to aid each participant in securing network access.

Review Workshop Chair, Reviewer, and Support Staff Responsibilities and Task Statements

Review Workshop Chair:

1. Approximately 3 weeks prior to the Assessment Review Panel workshop the Chair shall be provided with same document package provided to the Technical Reviewers and appointed observers, including draft stock assessment reports and associated documents. The Chair shall read and review all documents to gain an in-depth understanding of the stock assessment under consideration and the data and information considered in the assessment.
2. Approximately 1 week prior to the workshop the Chair may be asked to participate in a conference call with the SEDAR Coordinator and representatives of the stock assessment teams to review the final agenda, plan for presentations, and meeting format.
3. During the Review Workshop the Chair shall control and guide the meeting, including the

coordination of presentations, discussions, and task assignments.

4. During the Review Workshop the Chair may participate in technical discussions and serve as a technical reviewer.
5. During the Review Workshop the Chair shall work with the SEDAR Coordinator and the analytical and presentation team to manage the workload of panel requests and recommendations. At the conclusion of each session the Chair shall provide prioritized task lists to the analytical team and SEDAR Coordinator.
6. The Chair shall facilitate preparation and writing of the Review Panel Report. Review panel members, agency staff, and others present at the meeting will assist the Chair as needed. The Chair shall be responsible for the editorial content of Panel report, and the Chair shall be responsible for ensuring that report is produced and distributed to appropriate contacts on schedule (see “Final Reports” below).
7. The SEDAR coordinator shall assist the Review Panel Chair prior to, during, and after the meeting to ensure that documents are distributed in a timely fashion.
8. Expected Time Obligation: It is estimated that the Chair’s duties shall occupy up to 14 days: several days prior to the Review Panel meeting for document review, five days for the workshop, and several days following the meeting to ensure that the final documents are completed.

Review Workshop Technical Reviewer:

1. Approximately three weeks prior to the meeting, the reviewers shall be provided with the stock assessment reports, associated supporting documents, and review workshop instructions including the Terms of Reference. Reviewers shall read these documents to gain an in-depth understanding of the stock assessment, the resources and information considered in the assessment, and their responsibilities as reviewers.
2. During the Review Panel meeting, reviewers shall participate in panel discussions on assessment methods, data, validity, results, recommendations, and conclusions as guided by the Terms of Reference. The reviewers shall develop a Review Panel Report for each assessment reviewed. Reviewers may be asked to serve as an assessment leader during the review to facilitate preparing first drafts of review reports.
3. Following the Review Panel meeting, reviewers shall work with the chair to complete and review the Review Panel Report. The Report shall be completed, reviewed by all panelists, and comments submitted to the Chair within two weeks of the conclusion of the workshop.
4. Additional obligation of CIE-appointed reviewers: Following the Review Panel meeting, each reviewer appointed by the CIE shall prepare an individual CIE Reviewer Report and submit it in accordance with specifications provided in the Statement of Work.
5. Additional obligation of Cooperator-appointed reviewers: Present assessment findings to the SSC or other Scientific body and other Cooperator bodies as directed.

Review Workshop Support Staff:

SEDAR Coordinator: Arrange workshop and handle meeting logistics; distribute workshop materials and notices; support chair and reviewers during review workshop; coordinate with chair and

analytical team to prioritize panel task requests; address procedural issues that arise; distribute final workshop products in accordance with SEDAR protocols.

Analytical and Presentation Team: Present data overview and assessment results, address panel questions and comments as required; complete panel requests for additional analyses or model corrections in accordance with SEDAR guidelines; document any analyses conducted during the workshop.

Rapporteur: Take notes on panel discussion of assigned species for use by technical reviewers in preparing initial report drafts, assist SEDAR Coordinator, Chair, and Analytical team in addressing panel requests and completing workshop documents as necessary.

IT Support: Set-up and manage the SEDAR network to provide internet and file server capabilities during the workshop, work with hotel or vendor contacts to provide internet and email access, ensure all participants are able to access the network, and address any IT-related issues that arise during the workshop

SEDAR Administrative Assistant: Provide general support to workshop participants, coordinate with hotel banquet and events staff to facilitate proper room arrangements and daily catering orders, record workshop sessions, manage submitted documents and written statements for administrative record.

SEDAR Review Panel Report Outline

Executive Summary

I. Terms of Reference

List each Term of Reference, and include a summary of the Panel discussion regarding the particular item. Include a clear statement indicating whether or not the criteria in the Term of Reference are satisfied.

II. Analyses and Evaluations

Summary results and findings of review panel analytical requests.

III. Additional Comments

Provide a summary of any additional discussions not captured in the Terms of Reference statements.

IV. Reviewer Statements

Each individual reviewer should provide a statement attesting whether or not the contents of the Consensus Report provide an accurate and complete summary of their views on the issues covered in the review. Reviewers may also make any additional individual comments or suggestions desired.

8.10 Detailed Contents of SEDAR Summary Reports

(Proposed text for insertion here will be provided in a separate document)