

MRIP Calibration Workshop: For-Hire Survey Calibration

V. Matter, S. Turner, G. Diaz, and T. Sminkey March 27, 2012 Raleigh, NC NOAA FISHERIES SERVICE



Overview

Change in the effort of charter boat mode over time

- -traditional MRFSS estimation
- -For-Hire Survey estimation

Need to develop consistent time series of catch and effort estimates

GLM approaches used to estimate the marginal mean ratios of MRFSS to FHS effort

Calibration factors can be applied to all species' catch estimates



Traditional MRFSS effort Coastal Household Telephone Survey

- Surveys households in coastal counties
- Random-digit-dialing sampling method
- Only gathers fishing <u>effort</u> (number of trips) from coastal residents' marine recreational fishing activity
- No catch information is collected.
- Two-month recall
- Low incidence of charter fishing by households contacted in the telephone survey





Traditional MRFSS Catch Estimation

Total angler trips

estimated
angler trips
by coastal
residents
with
landline
phone

*

sampled angler trips

sampled
angler trips
by coastal
residents with
landline
phones

catch per trip

= TOTAL CATCH

Coastal Household Telephone Survey

Angler Intercept Survey



For-Hire Survey effort

- Surveys charter boats
- Utilizes directory of charter boats
- Weekly survey within 2-month 'wave'
 - Selects random sample of vessels
 - Contacts operators/owners
 - Collects fishing <u>effort</u> only
 - (trips & number anglers per trip)
 - Only one week recall



MRIP Charter Catch Estimation

Total for-hire angler trips

estimated for-hire angler trips on vessels in directory

*

sampled for-hire angler trips

sampled for-hire angler trips on vessels in directory catch per trip

= TOTAL CATCH

For-Hire Telephone Survey **Angler Intercept Survey**



GLM approaches Gulf of Mexico, 1986+

FHS implemented in 2000 (FLW-LA); pilot years 98-99

Calibration factors estimated using 1998-2003 data and applied to 1986-1997 estimates of catch and effort

FLW treated separately from LA, MS, and AL

Resulting ratios significant among waves and areas fished



GLM approaches South Atlantic, 1986+

FHS implemented in 2003 (FLE) and 2005 (GA-NC); pilot year 2004 for GA and north

Calibration factors estimated using 2004-2010 data and applied to 1986-2003 estimates of catch and effort

Resulting ratios significant among states, waves and areas fished



GLM approaches Gulf of Mexico and South Atlantic, 1981-1985

MRFSS combined charter and headboat modes 1981-1985

Calibration factors estimated using 1986-1990 effort estimates (charter plus headboat effort (SRHS)) and applied to 1981-1985 cbt/hbt estimates of catch and effort

Resulting ratios significant among states and waves



GLM approaches Mid-Atlantic

FHS implemented in 2005; pilot year 2004
MRFSS combined charter and headboat 1981-2003
Calibration factors estimated using 2004-2007 data and applied to 1981-2003 estimates of catch and effort
Resulting ratios significant among states and waves



Considerations for MRIP-MRFSS Calibration

MRFSS to MRIP calibration needed to account for changes in intercept survey design

Need to calibrate historical estimates for changes in methods of charter effort as well

Highly desirable to account for multiple design changes simultaneously

Serve as model for dealing with future changes in survey design and/or methology