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## I. Introduction

A Southeast Data, Assessment, and Review (SEDAR) has been established for greater amberjack, vermilion snapper, and gray triggerfish that are managed under the Gulf of Mexico Management Council's Fishery Management Plan for the Reef Fish. As part of the data that are provided for the Data Workshop, discard data from the Southeast Fisheries Science Center (SEFSC) coastal fisheries logbook program are used to estimate the numbers of fish that were discarded during the period August 1, 2001 through December 31, 2004.

In August 2001, the Southeast Fisheries Science Center (SEFSC) initiated a program to collect information on the numbers of fish that are being discarded in the Gulf of Mexico and South Atlantic fisheries. To collect this information, the SEFSC developed a form that supplements the existing vessel logbooks that are currently mandatory for these fisheries (Poffenberger and McCarthy, 2004).

A $20 \%$ sample of the vessels with a Gulf of Mexico, South Atlantic snapper-grouper, king mackerel, Spanish mackerel or shark permit were selected to report. The random selection process is done without replacement, i.e. when a vessel is selected, the same vessel is not selected in subsequent years. To assure that the sample is representative of the total universe of vessels with these Federal permits, the universe of permitted vessels is stratified and a random sample is selected, without replacement, from each stratum. During the first year (8/1/2002-7/31/2003), three strata were used. One of the strata was geographical location where the vessel fished during 2000. There are two categories in this stratum - the Gulf of Mexico (Florida Keys to the Texas-Mexican border) and the South Atlantic (which extends from the North Carolina - Virginia border to the Florida Keys). The second stratum was based on the type of gear. There are 5 categories in this stratum - handline, longline, trolling, trap and gill nets. The third stratum is based on the fishing activity of the vessels during calendar year 2000 which were divided into two groups: vessels that made between 1 and 10 trips and vessels that made more than 10 trips. In all, there are 20 strata; however, there were too few boats that used gill nets in the Gulf of Mexico, so all of the boats that used gill nets in the Gulf were combined into a single stratum. Consequently, random selections were made in 19 strata.

Inconsistency between the vessel's fishing activity during 2000 and the $8 / 1 / 2001-7 / 31 / 2002$ reporting period resulted in the fishing activity strata being dropped from the sample selection for the second year of the survey beginning in $8 / 1 / 2002$. As a result of this change the data were categorized by only 10 strata after the first year of the survey (Poffenberger and McCarthy, 2004).

The selected fishermen were instructed to complete a supplemental discard form for every fishing trip that they made. If they did not have any discards for the trip, they were instructed to complete a discard form and write "no discards" on the form. If they did not fish during a calendar month and submitted a nofishing form, then they did not have to submit a discard form.

In addition to the numbers of discards, fishermen are asked to record their best estimate of the condition of the fish when they were released. The fishermen are given 6 options for the condition of released fish. These options are: all animals are dead, majority of the animals are dead, all animals are alive when released, majority of animals are alive, the fish are kept but not sold, and the condition of the animals is unknown. The fishermen are also asked to specify a reason why the fish (animals) were discarded. The
choices are that the fish are discarded because of regulations or because the fish are unmarketable or unwanted.

## II. Methods

The data set for this analysis includes all trips from sampled vessel that reported discards between August 1,2001 and December 31, 2004. During this period, discard information was submitted for 7,194 trips. Of those trips, discards were reported on 5,383 trips and no discards were reported on the remaining 1,811 trips. Discards of greater amberjack were reported on 853 trips or $15.8 \%$ of the trips where discards were reported. Discards of vermilion snapper were reported on 341 trips ( $6.3 \%$ ) and gray triggerfish discards were reported on 69 trips ( $1.3 \%$ ).

By way of comparison, there were 58,891 trips reported by vessels that have been issued a Federal permit to fish for species in the reef fish, shark, and king/Spanish mackerel fisheries in the Gulf of Mexico during the period for which the discard program has been conducted. Greater amberjack catches were reported for 7,384 of this total number of trips or about $12.5 \%$ of the trips. There were 13,551 trips landing vermilion snapper ( $23 \%$ ) and 12,365 trips landing gray triggerfish ( $21 \%$ ).

The objective of this analysis was to estimate the numbers of greater amberjack, vermilion snapper, and gray triggerfish discarded by vessels that fish commercially for species other than shrimp or other shellfish. Fishing activity for these analyses does not include the surface longline vessels that typically fish for swordfish, pelagic sharks, tunas, and other highly migratory species.

The following categorical variables were considered as possible influences on the reported discards of greater amberjack, vermilion snapper, or gray triggerfish. Generally the levels of the categorical variables were the same as used in developing indices of abundance from the handline fishery for these species (SEDAR9-DW 05, SEDAR9-DW 10)

YEAR and MONTH were, separately, considered as variables
The factor SEASON was constructed for greater amberjack and gray triggerfish analyses to create four periods generally reflective of differential CPUE and possible weather associated impacts on the fishery. Those periods were:

| January - March | SEASON $=1$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| April - June | SEASON $=2$ |
| July- September | SEASON $=3$ |
| October - December | SEASON $=4$ |

In the vermilion snapper analyses, SEASON was defined differently to follow the definitions used in prior assessments and the current commercial index of abundance. The periods were:

| December - February | SEASON $=1$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| March - May | SEASON $=2$ |
| June - August | SEASON $=3$ |
| September - November | SEASON $=4$ |

The factor REGION reflected geographic differences in number of fishing trips and cpue. Two levels were considered for the vermilion snapper and gray triggerfish data.
"East" = Eastern Gulf of Mexico, including statistical areas 1-12.
"West" = Western Gulf of Mexico, including statistical areas 13-21.
For analyses involving greater amberjack data, five levels were defined. Fishing areas 2-3, 4-8, 9-12, 13-

19, and 20-21 were considered as separate levels of this variable. Fishing area 1 was excluded from the analyses involving greater amberjack because a large fraction of the greater amberjack catch from that area is thought to be from Atlantic waters.

Red snapper permit type and fishing season were also defined as variables. Two levels of RSSEASON were defined:
"open" = open red snapper fishing season
"closed" = closed red snapper fishing season
Three levels of PERMIT were also constructed:
"class1" = class 1 red snapper permitted vessel
"class2" = class 2
"none" = non-permitted vessel
Amberjack fishing season (AJSEASON) was defined by two levels:
"open" = open greater amberjack fishing season
"closed" = closed greater amberjack fishing season
The subsample of vessels required to report discards changes every year, asynchronously with calendar year. The variable DISCARD PERIOD was constructed to examine any effect that change in vessels reporting discards may have on discard cpue relative to annual cpue variation. Levels of this variable were:

```
"8/01-12/01" = PERIOD 1
"1/02-7/02" = PERIOD 2
"8/02-12/02" = PERIOD 3
"1/03-7/03" = PERIOD 4
"8/03-12/03" = PERIOD 5
"1/04-7/04" = PERIOD 6
"8/04-12/04" = PERIOD 7
```

The number of hooks per handline fished was also defined as a variable with three levels. The defined levels differed among the species examined and reflected differential cpue, as well as, adhering to the variable definitions used in constructing the commercial indices of abundance prepared for the SEDAR9 data workshop.

For greater amberjack, hooks per line levels were: 1-2, 3-9, and $>9$. Levels for vermilion snapper were: $<10,10-20$, and $>20$. Levels of this variable for gray triggerfish were: 1-3, 4-6, and $>6$.

Generalized linear model (GLM) analyses were used to determine those variables with significant effects on the proportion of trips reporting discards of the species of interest and on the catch rates of trips reporting discards. Parameterization of each model was accomplished using a GLM procedure (GENMOD; Version 8.02 of the SAS System for Windows © 2000. SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). An initial GLM procedure fit a type-3 model, assumed a binomial error distribution, and selected the logit link. The response variable was proportion successful trips (trips reporting discards of the species of interest). An analysis of catch rates on successful trips (only those reporting discards of the species of interest) was also conducted. In that case, a type 3 model assuming lognormal error distribution was employed. The linking function selected was "normal", and the response variable was $\ln (\mathrm{CPUE})$.

For each GLM, a stepwise approach to quantify the relative importance of the factors was used. First the null model was run. These results reflect the distribution of the nominal data. Each potential factor was added to the null model one at a time, and the resulting reduction in deviance per degree of freedom was examined. The factor that caused the greatest reduction in deviance per degree of freedom was added to the base model if the factor was significant based upon a Chi-Square test ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ), and the reduction in
deviance per degree of freedom was $\geq 1 \%$. This model then became the base model, and the process was repeated, adding factors and interactions individually until no factor or interaction met the criteria for incorporation into the final model.

## III. Results

The fishing activity for red snapper was stratified into four gear categories - (1) handline gear, which includes manual gear and power assisted handline gear (bandit rigs), whether it is hydraulic or electric; (2) bottom longline gear; (3) traps; (4) trolling; and (5) a general category that includes all other types of gear, i.e., gill nets, dive gear, etc. The numbers of trips for each of the gear categories for the 8/1/2001 $12 / 31 / 2004$ period are presented in Table 1. The numbers of trips where greater amberjack, vermilion snapper, and gray triggerfish were reported as part of the catch are also presented in Table 1. In addition, the numbers of trips by gear category where discard information was reported are also presented in this table. Data analyses was limited to vessels fishing with handline gear because of the small sample sizes of vessels fishing other gear types and reporting discards of the species of interest.

The total number of trips reported to the logbook program and the numbers of trips with discard information are shown in Tables 2 a for each fishing region and in $2 b$ for each sub-region. The total pounds reported landed in the logbooks and numbers of individuals of each species discarded for each region are also shown in Table 2.

The numbers of trips and reported catches by vessels with either a Class I, Class II, or no red snapper endorsement are shown in Table 3. The numbers of trips and discards of greater amberjack, vermilion snapper, and gray triggerfish are also shown in this table.

The numbers of trips reported for the open and closed seasons during the $8 / 1 / 2001-12 / 31 / 2004$ period are shown in Table 4. The numbers of trips with greater amberjack, vermilion snapper, and gray triggerfish catches and discards are also shown in this table.

Table 5 includes the total number of trips reported by handline vessels during the open and closed seasons for the $8 / 1 / 2001-12 / 31 / 2004$ period. The numbers of trips with greater amberjack and gray triggerfish catches and discards are also shown. Analyses of vermilion snapper data did not include this factor.

Several temporal factors were examined. Total numbers of trips and pounds landed by handline vessels for the period 8/1/2001-12/31/2004 are shown by year (Table 6), month (Table 7), discard period (Table 8), and season (Tables 9 a and 9 b ). The reporting period for discards does not coincide with the calendar year, but begins in August and ends the following July when a new subsample of vessels is selected to report discards over the next 12 months.

The number of hooks per handline fished was categorized differently for each of the species examined. The number of trips and landed pounds by handline vessels are provided in Tables 10a (greater amberjack), 10b (vermilion snapper), and 10c (gray triggerfish). Each table includes, for each hooks/line category, all landings reported to the reef fish logbook program (in pounds) and total number of discards reported to the logbook discard program for the period August 2001 to December 2004. In addition, pounds landed and discards reported for each of the three species examined are provided.

The stepwise construction of the binomial model identified the following factors as significant in the probability of vessels discarding greater amberjack:

Hooks per line, red snapper endorsement, discard period, and region

Stepwise construction of the lognormal model of discard rates on trips reporting discards identified three significant factors that also met the criteria of reduction in deviance per degree of freedom of more than one percent. The factors were:

Hooks per line, discard period, and region
Small sample sizes, due to these multiple strata, necessitated the use of only those factors that reduced the deviance per degree of freedom more than seven percent in the GLM. Only hooks per line and discard period were used for estimating total greater amberjack discards.

Estimates of total discards for each strata of discard period and hooks per line category are provided in Table 11a. Estimates were made by multiplying the total number of trips in a discard period-hook per line strata by the mean number of discards reported from trips in the same strata. Estimated average weight of discarded fish is reported in the discard program. Those estimates were used to calculate an estimated total weight of discarded fish. The estimates of weight of discarded greater amberjack are included in Table 11a.

Discard estimates were also made for the years prior to the beginning of the discard program. The number of trips in each strata was multiplied by the mean number of discards reported for trips in the same strata to estimate the total discards in each strata per year (Table 11b). Estimated weights of discarded greater amberjack are also given by strata. Yearly estimates were made by summing the totals of each strata by year (Table 11c). Estimates of the number of discarded fish and weight of discards are made for the years 1993 (when the reef fish commercial logbook program began a complete census of the Gulf of Mexico fishery) through 2004.

The following factors were identified through the stepwise construction of the binomial model as significant in the probability of vessels discarding vermilion snapper:

Hooks per line, month, discard period, red snapper permit endorsement, and region
Stepwise construction of the lognormal model of vermilion snapper discard rates of positive trips identified three significant factors that also met the criteria of reduction in deviance per degree of freedom of more than one percent. The factors were:

Hooks per line, discard period, and month
Again, small sample sizes and a high number of strata, resulted in the use of only those factors that reduced the deviance per degree of freedom more than seven percent in the GLM. As with greater amberjack, only hooks per line and discard period were used for estimating total vermilion snapper.

Estimation of total discards and weight of discards for the vermilion snapper Gulf of Mexico commercial handline fishery followed the method used for greater amberjack. Discards for each strata of discard period and hooks per line category are provided in Table 12a. Estimates prior to the inception of the discard program are provided in Table 12b. Yearly estimates are shown in Table 12c. Estimates are only made back to September 14, 1997 when the vermilion snapper commercial size limit was changed from eight to 10 inches.

Data for gray triggerfish discards were very limited, therefore these data were not stratified. Mean gray triggerfish discards per trip were calculated for all handline trips. Estimates of yearly discards were made by multiplying the total number of trips per year by the mean number of gray triggerfish discarded per trip (Table 13). Mean weight of discards reported for gray triggerfish was used to calculate total weight of estimated discards (Table 13). Estimates are made back to 2000 because the gray triggerfish minimum size limit was changed on November 24, 1999.

The condition of discarded fish were reported by fishermen to the discard logbook program. The reported condition of discarded greater amberjack, vermilion snapper, and gray triggerfish are given in Table 14.

Approximately $24 \%$ of greater amberjack were reported as dead or the majority of discarded fish were dead when released. This total includes fish kept but not sold. Fishermen reported that $64 \%$ of discarded fish were alive or that most of the released were alive. Over half of released vermilion snapper (51.9\%) were dead or most of the released fish were dead. Approximately $39 \%$ of vermilion snapper were reported as "all alive" or "majority of released fish alive". Reported release mortality of gray triggerfish, however, was very low, $5.7 \%$ (including fish kept but not sold).
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Table 1. Numbers of trips reported to the logbook program and to the discard program by type of gear in the Gulf of Mexico, 8/1/01-12/31/04.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gear } \\ & \text { Type } \end{aligned}$ | All Logbook Data |  |  |  | Discard Data |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { All } \\ \text { Trips } \end{gathered}$ | Greater Amberjack | Vermilion Snapper | Gray Triggerfish | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { Trips } \end{gathered}$ | Greater Amberjack | Vermilion Snapper | Gray <br> Triggerfish |
| Handline | 44,820 | 5,669 | 12,985 | 10,797 | 4,508 | 813 | 294 | 55 |
| Longline | 6,909 | 1,345 | 261 | 428 | 504 | 27 | 2 | 1 |
| Trap | 1,411 | 21 | 266 | 934 | 153 | 3 | 45 | 14 |
| Trolling | 3,572 | 49 | 12 | 20 | 161 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 2,179 | 300 | 27 | 186 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 58,891 | 7,384 | 13,551 | 12,365 | 5,383 | 853 | 341 | 70 |

Table 2a. Numbers of handline* trips and reported landings from logbooks and number of discards of vermilion snapper and gray triggerfish by assessment region in the Gulf of Mexico, 8/1/2001-12/31/2004.

| Region** | Number of Trips |  | Vermilion Snapper |  | Gray Triggerfish |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Logbook | Discards | Logbook (lbs) | Discards (no.) | Logbook (lbs) | Discards (no.) |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| East | 34,068 | 3,317 | $3,339,624$ | 11,356 | 402,977 | 312 |  |  |  |
| West | 10,829 | 1,191 | $3,981,744$ | 14,369 | 290,324 | 110 |  |  |  |
| Total | 44,897 | 4,508 | $7,321,368$ | 25,725 | 693,301 | 422 |  |  |  |

* The handline gear category includes electric reel (bandit) gear.
** East is the area included in statistical grids 1-12. West is the area included in statistical grids 13-21.

Table 2b. Numbers of handline* trips and reported landings from logbooks and number of discards of greater amberjack by zone in the Gulf of Mexico, 8/1/2001-12/31/2004.

| Zone** | Number of Trips |  | Greater Amberjack |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Logbook | Discards | Logbook (lbs) | Discards (no.) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $2-3$ | 4,749 | 292 | 164,687 | 33 |
| $4-8$ | 18,602 | 2,029 | 874,439 | 6,608 |
| $9-12$ | 6,743 | 740 | 156,032 | 8,792 |
| $13-19$ | 10,172 | 1,125 | $1,118,596$ | 58,617 |
| $20-21$ | 657 | 66 | 17,983 | 517 |
| Total | 40,923 | 4,252 | $2,331,737$ | 74,567 |

* The handline gear category includes electric reel (bandit) gear.
** Denotes statistical grids included in each zone. Statistical area 1 was excluded from analyses including greater amberjack.
Table 3. Numbers of handline* trips, reported landings from logbooks (pounds whole weight), and number of discards of greater amberjack, vermilion snapper, and gray triggerfish in the Gulf of Mexico by red snapper permit endorsement for the entire logbook data and the discard data, 8/1/01-12/31/04.

| Species | All Logbook Data |  |  |  |  |  | Discard Data |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Class I Endorsements |  | Class II Endorsements |  | No Endorsements |  | Class I Endorsements |  | Class II Endorsements |  | No Endorsements |  |
|  | Trips | Pounds | Trips | Pounds | Trips | Pounds | Trips | Discards | Trips | Discards | Trips | Discards |
| All Species | 10,114 | 10,917,677 | 17,775 | 19,400,200 | 17,008 | 17,939,086 | 1,178 | 532,466 | 2,036 | 286,141 | 1,294 | 84,191 |
| Greater Amberjack | 2,112 | 853,654 | 2,145 | 869,680 | 1,417 | 646,836 | 359 | 56,184 | 378 | 16,937 | 76 | 1,458 |
| Vermilion Snapper | 7,163 | 5,106,940 | 4,704 | 1,593,786 | 1,134 | 620,642 | 149 | 19,228 | 120 | 5,734 | 25 | 763 |
| Gray Triggerfish | 5,303 | 455,675 | 4,196 | 176,271 | 1,310 | 61,354 | 10 | 132 | 31 | 169 | 13 | 121 |

Table 4. Numbers of handline* trips reported for the entire logbook data and discard data for the open and closed seasons in the Gulf of Mexico red snapper fishery, 8/1/01-12/31/04.

Table 5. Numbers of handline* trips reported for the entire logbook data and discard data for the open and closed seasons in the Gulf of Mexico greater

| Greater Amberjack Season | All Trips |  | Trips With Greater Amberjack |  | Trips With Gray Triggerfish |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Logbook | Discards | Logbook | Discards | Logbook | Discards |
| Open | 32,991 | 3,542 | 5,478 | 621 | 8,002 | 46 |
| Closed | 11,906 | 966 | 196 | 192 | 2,807 | 8 |
| Total | 44,897 | 4,508 | 5,674 | 813 | 10,809 | 54 |

Table 6. Numbers of handline* trips and reported catches (pounds whole weight) of greater amberjack, vermilion snapper, and gray triggerfish in the Gulf of

| Year | All Trips |  |  |  | Trips with Greater Amberjack |  |  |  | Trips with Vermilion Snapper |  |  |  | Trips with Gray Triggerfish |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Logbook |  | Discards |  | Logbook |  | Discards |  | Logbook |  | Discards |  | Logbook |  | Discards |  |
|  | Trips | Pounds | Trips | N fish | Trips | Pounds | Trips | N fish | Trips | Pounds | Trips | N fish | Trips | Pounds | Trips | N fish |
| 2001** | 5,196 | 5,809,067 | 458 | 62,175 | 712 | 228,262 | 98 | 6,992 | 1,382 | 841,984 | 32 | 1,328 | 1,231 | 80,525 | 12 | 161 |
| 2002 | 13,809 | 15,082,626 | 1,096 | 207,476 | 1,659 | 583,503 | 217 | 15,878 | 3,766 | 2,025,531 | 53 | 1,609 | 3,214 | 211,378 | 9 | 55 |
| 2003 | 13,799 | 14,203,719 | 1,613 | 350,339 | 1,729 | 815,128 | 283 | 34,764 | 4,090 | 2,410,293 | 153 | 19,102 | 3,371 | 224,813 | 8 | 73 |
| 2004 | 12,093 | 13,161,552 | 1,341 | 282,808 | 1,574 | 743,276 | 215 | 16,945 | 3,763 | 2,043,560 | 56 | 3,686 | 2,993 | 176,585 | 25 | 133 |
| Total | 44,897 | 48,256,963 | 4,508 | 902,798 | 5,674 | 2,370,170 | 813 | 74,579 | 13,001 | 7,321,368 | 294 | 25,725 | 10,809 | 693,301 | 54 | 422 |

Table 7. Numbers of handline* trips and reported catches (pounds whole weight) of greater amberjack, vermilion snapper, and gray triggerfish in the Gulf of

| Month | All Trips |  |  |  | Trips with Greater Amberjack |  |  |  | Trips with Vermilion Snapper |  |  |  | Trips with Gray Triggerfish |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Logbook |  | Discards |  | Logbook |  | Discards |  | Logbook |  | Discards |  | Logbook |  | Discards |  |
|  | Trips | Pounds | Trips | N fish | Trips | Pounds | Trips | N fish | Trips | Pounds | Trips | N fish | Trips | Pounds | Trips | N fish |
| Jan | 2,786 | 3,116,150 | 242 | 34,051 | 505 | 159,202 | 48 | 2,588 | 511 | 475,624 | 11 | 631 | 506 | 33,132 | -- | -- |
| Feb | 3,491 | 3,456,170 | 264 | 50,386 | 449 | 198,453 | 57 | 2,452 | 985 | 364,093 | 61 | 7,489 | 833 | 50,127 | 3 | 25 |
| Mar | 3,954 | 3,889,628 | 340 | 72,274 | 94 | 18,664 | 68 | 4,883 | 1,150 | 595,971 | 15 | 1,157 | 903 | 54,215 | 4 | 13 |
| Apr | 4,311 | 4,530,807 | 366 | 61,541 | 37 | 5,228 | 71 | 6,040 | 1,207 | 742,988 | 19 | 1,078 | 972 | 66,745 | 3 | 5 |
| May | 3,641 | 3,786,671 | 260 | 71,371 | 65 | 12,330 | 53 | 12,136 | 1,138 | 706,578 | 10 | 588 | 932 | 69,257 | 1 | 3 |
| Jun | 3,679 | 4,016,227 | 268 | 50,322 | 687 | 426,184 | 56 | 3,577 | 1,074 | 673,083 | 16 | 1,018 | 892 | 65,274 | 1 | 5 |
| Jul | 3,726 | 4,126,963 | 283 | 56,916 | 702 | 336,853 | 67 | 6,524 | 1,018 | 577,229 | 18 | 1,171 | 753 | 34,211 | 4 | 19 |
| Aug | 4,060 | 4,566,046 | 538 | 107,467 | 754 | 433,667 | 90 | 8,485 | 1,171 | 813,348 | 26 | 1,010 | 892 | 60,797 | 10 | 195 |
| Sep | 2,631 | 2,760,681 | 341 | 61,885 | 499 | 266,632 | 61 | 6,331 | 674 | 664,396 | 40 | 3,164 | 526 | 40,982 | 6 | 41 |
| Oct | 4,782 | 5,246,420 | 594 | 118,788 | 712 | 190,855 | 87 | 9,932 | 1,407 | 659,191 | 43 | 6,899 | 1,257 | 69,711 | 8 | 50 |
| Nov | 4,185 | 4,471,672 | 540 | 109,228 | 610 | 164,131 | 92 | 7,987 | 1,427 | 494,312 | 18 | 795 | 1,289 | 82,815 | 10 | 39 |
| Dec | 3,651 | 4,289,529 | 472 | 108,569 | 560 | 157,970 | 63 | 3,644 | 1,239 | 554,556 | 17 | 725 | 1,054 | 66,036 | 4 | 27 |
| Total | 44,897 | 48,256,963 | 4,508 | 902,798 | 5,674 | 2,370,170 | 813 | 74,579 | 13,001 | 7,321,368 | 294 | 25,725 | 10,809 | 693,301 | 54 | 422 |

* The handline gear category includes electric reel (bandit) gear.
Table 8. Numbers of handline* trips and reported catches (pounds whole weight) of greater amberjack, vermilion snapper, and gray triggerfish in the Gulf of

| Discard Period | All Trips |  |  |  | Trips with Greater Amberjack |  |  |  | Trips with Vermilion Snapper |  |  |  | Trips with Gray Triggerfish |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Logbook |  | Discards |  | Logbook |  | Discards |  | Logbook |  | Discards |  | Logbook |  | Discards |  |
|  | Trips | Pounds | Trips | N fish | Trips | Pounds | Trips | N fish | Trips | Pounds | Trips | N fish | Trips | Pounds | Trips | N fish |
| 8/01-12/01 | 5,196 | 5,809,067 | 458 | 62,175 | 712 | 228,262 | 98 | 6,992 | 1,382 | 841,984 | 32 | 1,328 | 1,231 | 80,526 | 12 | 161 |
| 1/02-7/02 | 8,604 | 9,209,823 | 415 | 61,719 | 782 | 285,011 | 90 | 2,242 | 2,228 | 1,239,839 | 32 | 929 | 1,896 | 132,358 | -- | -- |
| 8/02-12/02 | 5,205 | 5,872,803 | 681 | 145,757 | 877 | 298,492 | 127 | 13,636 | 1,538 | 785,691 | 21 | 680 | 1,318 | 79,019 | 9 | 55 |
| 1/03-7/03 | 8,571 | 8,907,597 | 896 | 187,498 | 895 | 431,024 | 169 | 22,869 | 2,458 | 1,512,435 | 84 | 9,234 | 2,008 | 127,505 | 4 | 27 |
| 8/03-12/03 | 5,228 | 5,296,122 | 717 | 162,841 | 834 | 384,105 | 114 | 11,895 | 1,632 | 897,859 | 69 | 9,868 | 1,363 | 97,308 | 4 | 46 |
| 1/04-7/04 | 8,413 | 8,805,195 | 712 | 147,644 | 862 | 440,880 | 161 | 13,089 | 2,397 | 1,383,291 | 34 | 2,969 | 1,887 | 113,097 | 12 | 43 |
| 8/04-12/04 | 3,680 | 4,356,357 | 629 | 135,164 | 712 | 302,396 | 54 | 3,856 | 1,366 | 660,269 | 22 | 717 | 1,106 | 63,487 | 13 | 90 |
| Total | 44,897 | 48,256,963 | 4,508 | 902,798 | 5,674 | 2,370,170 | 813 | 74,579 | 13,001 | 7,321,368 | 294 | 25,725 | 10,809 | 693,301 | 54 | 422 |

Table 9a. Numbers of handline* trips and reported catches (pounds whole weight, number of discards) of greater amberjack and gray triggerfish in the Gulf of Mexico by season for the reef fish logbook and discard data, $8 / 1 / 01-12 / 31 / 04$.

Table 9b. Numbers of handline* trips and reported catches (pounds whole weight, number of discards) of vermilion snapper by season in the Gulf of Mexico for the reef fish logbook and discard data, 8/1/01-12/31/04.


Table 10a. Numbers of handline* trips and reported catches (pounds whole weight and number of discards) of greater amberjack by the number of hooks per handline fished in the Gulf of Mexico reported in the reef fish logbook and discard programs, 8/1/01-12/31/04.

| Hooks per Line | All Trips |  |  |  | Trips with Greater Amberjack |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Logbook |  | Discards |  | Logbook |  | Discards |  |
|  | Trips | Pounds | Trips | N fish | Trips | Pounds | Trips | N fish |
| 1-2 | 28,700 | 30,872,766 | 2,804 | 303,212 | 2,540 | 1,359,558 | 311 | 6,983 |
| 3-9 | 5,193 | 5,538,325 | 426 | 48,247 | 619 | 411,068 | 69 | 3,755 |
| >9 | 10,987 | 11,826,182 | 1,278 | 551,339 | 2,509 | 598,538 | 433 | 63,841 |
| Total | 44,880 | 48,256,963 | 4,508 | 902,798 | 5,674 | 2,370,170 | 813 | 74,579 |

* The handline gear category includes electric reel (bandit) gear.

Table 10b. Numbers of handline* trips and reported catches (pounds whole weight and number of discards) of vermilion snapper by the number of hooks per handline fished in the Gulf of Mexico reported in the reef fish logbook and discard programs, 8/1/01-12/31/04.

| Hooks per Line | All Trips |  |  |  | Trips with Vermilion Snapper |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Logbook |  | Discards |  | Logbook |  | Discards |  |
|  | Trips | Pounds | Trips | N fish | Trips | Pounds | Trips | N fish |
| $<10$ | 33,910 | 36,430,781 | 3,230 | 351,459 | 4,674 | 929,385 | 124 | 4,304 |
| 10-20 | 8,006 | 8,510,370 | 878 | 352,762 | 6,061 | 3,987,416 | 98 | 9,703 |
| $>20$ | 2,981 | 3,315,811 | 400 | 198,577 | 2,266 | 2,404,568 | 72 | 11,718 |
| Total | 44,897 | 48,256,963 | 4,508 | 902,798 | 13,001 | 7,321,368 | 294 | 25,725 |

* The handline gear category includes electric reel (bandit) gear.

Table 10c. Numbers of handline* trips and reported catches (pounds whole weight and number of discards) of gray triggerfish by the number of hooks per handline fished in the Gulf of Mexico reported in the reef fish logbook and discard programs, 8/1/01-12/31/04.

| Hooks per Line | All Trips |  |  |  | Trips with Gray Triggerfish |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Logbook |  | Discards |  | Logbook |  | Discards |  |
|  | Trips | Pounds | Trips | N fish | Trips | Pounds | Trips | N fish |
| 1-3 | 30,662 | 32,920,868 | 2,944 | 319,007 | 4,038 | 133,687 | 42 | 276 |
| 4-6 | 2,410 | 2,667,968 | 172 | 17,349 | 646 | 51,521 | 4 | 92 |
| $>6$ | 11,811 | 12,653,041 | 1,392 | 566,442 | 6,116 | 507,072 | 8 | 54 |
| Total | 44,883 | 48,256,963 | 4,508 | 902,798 | 10,800 | 693,301 | 54 | 422 |

[^0]Table 11a. Estimates of greater amberjack total discards and total weight of amberjack discards for the Gulf of Mexico handline fishery by discard period and hooks fished per line.

| Discard <br> Period | Hooks <br> Per Line | Mean <br> Discards | Mean Weight <br> Per Discard | Trips | Total Discards <br> Estimate | Total Discard <br> Weight |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $8 / 01-12 / 01$ | $1-2$ | 11.0399 | 15.0941 | 3,343 | $36,906.3$ | $557,067.0$ |
| $8 / 01-12 / 01$ | $3-9$ | 7.4444 | 15.8181 | 671 | $4,995.2$ | $79,014.9$ |
| $8 / 01-12 / 01$ | $>9$ | 11.1882 | 14.8123 | 1,174 | $13,135.0$ | $194,559.4$ |
| $1 / 02-7 / 02$ | $1-2$ | 7.8300 | 15.0941 | 5,430 | $42,516.9$ | $641,754.3$ |
| $1 / 02-7 / 02$ | $3-9$ | 11.7778 | 15.8181 | 1,097 | $12,920.2$ | $204,373.4$ |
| $1 / 02-7 / 02$ | $>9$ | 0.5696 | 14.8123 | 2,074 | $1,181.4$ | $17,499.1$ |
| $8 / 02-12 / 02$ | $1-2$ | 14.1066 | 15.0941 | 3,308 | $46,664.6$ | $704,360.6$ |
| $8 / 02-12 / 02$ | $3-9$ | 23.0000 | 15.8181 | 597 | $13,731.0$ | $217,198.3$ |
| $8 / 02-12 / 02$ | $>9$ | 17.4791 | 14.8123 | 1,294 | $22,617.9$ | $335,023.4$ |
| $1 / 03-7 / 03$ | $1-2$ | 24.8516 | 15.0941 | 5,479 | $136,162.1$ | $2,055,243.7$ |
| $1 / 03-7 / 03$ | $3-9$ | 17.5814 | 15.8181 | 983 | $17,282.5$ | $273,376.5$ |
| $1 / 03-7 / 03$ | $>9$ | 4.8050 | 14.8123 | 2,107 | $10,124.2$ | $149,962.7$ |
| $8 / 03-12 / 03$ | $1-2$ | 29.8801 | 15.0941 | 3,338 | $99,739.7$ | $1,505,481.2$ |
| $8 / 03-12 / 03$ | $3-9$ | 13.3404 | 15.8181 | 550 | $7,337.2$ | $116,061.1$ |
| $8 / 03-12 / 03$ | $>9$ | 9.6854 | 14.8123 | 1,340 | $12,978.4$ | $192,240.4$ |
| $1 / 04-7 / 04$ | $1-2$ | 18.8824 | 15.0941 | 5,485 | $103,569.7$ | $1,563,291.5$ |
| $1 / 04-7 / 04$ | $3-9$ | 47.8276 | 15.8181 | 905 | $43,284.0$ | $684,670.1$ |
| $1 / 04-7 / 04$ | $>9$ | 15.9947 | 14.8123 | 2,023 | $32,357.3$ | $479,286.0$ |
| $8 / 04-12 / 04$ | $1-2$ | 17.5929 | 15.0941 | 2,293 | $40,340.5$ | $608,903.7$ |
| $8 / 04-12 / 04$ | $3-9$ | 18.5455 | 15.8181 | 382 | $7,084.4$ | $112,061.2$ |
| $8 / 04-12 / 04$ | $>9$ | 8.7727 | 14.8123 | 930 | $8,158.6$ | $120,848.2$ |

Table 11b. Estimates of greater amberjack total discards and total weight of greater amberjack discards for the Gulf of Mexico handline fishery by year and hooks fished per handline.

| Discard <br> Period | Hooks <br> Per Line | Mean <br> Discards | Mean Weight <br> Per Discard | Trips | Total Discards <br> Estimate | Total Discard <br> Weight |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1993 | $1-2$ | 18.9957 | 15.0941 | 7,140 | 135,629 | $2,047,202$ |
| 1993 | $3-6$ | 20.0681 | 15.8181 | 2,499 | 50,150 | 793,281 |
| 1993 | $>6$ | 10.1157 | 14.8123 | 3,047 | 30,823 | 456,553 |
| 1994 | $1-2$ | 18.9957 | 15.0941 | 8,168 | 155,157 | $2,341,953$ |
| 1994 | $3-6$ | 20.0681 | 15.8181 | 2,277 | 45,695 | 722,809 |
| 1994 | $>6$ | 10.1157 | 14.8123 | 3,114 | 31,500 | 466,592 |
| 1995 | $1-2$ | 18.9957 | 15.0941 | 7,963 | 151,263 | $2,283,175$ |
| 1995 | $3-6$ | 20.0681 | 15.8181 | 2,019 | 40,517 | 640,910 |
| 1995 | $>6$ | 10.1157 | 14.8123 | 2,880 | 29,133 | 431,530 |
| 1996 | $1-2$ | 18.9957 | 15.0941 | 6,805 | 129,266 | $1,951,150$ |
| 1996 | $3-6$ | 20.0681 | 15.8181 | 2,052 | 41,180 | 651,385 |
| 1996 | $>6$ | 10.1157 | 14.8123 | 3,364 | 34,029 | 504,051 |
| 1997 | $1-2$ | 18.9957 | 15.0941 | 7,269 | 138,080 | $2,084,189$ |
| 1997 | $3-6$ | 20.0681 | 15.8181 | 1,773 | 35,581 | 562,820 |
| 1997 | $>6$ | 10.1157 | 14.8123 | 3,625 | 36,669 | 543,158 |
| 1998 | $1-2$ | 18.9957 | 15.0941 | 7,537 | 143,171 | $2,161,031$ |
| 1998 | $3-6$ | 20.0681 | 15.8181 | 1,973 | 39,594 | 626,308 |
| 1998 | $>6$ | 10.1157 | 14.8123 | 3,624 | 36,659 | 543,009 |
| 1999 | $1-2$ | 18.9957 | 15.0941 | 8,054 | 152,991 | $2,309,267$ |
| 1999 | $3-6$ | 20.0681 | 15.8181 | 2,150 | 43,146 | 682,494 |
| 1999 | $>6$ | 10.1157 | 14.8123 | 3,600 | 36,417 | 539,412 |
| 2000 | $1-2$ | 18.9957 | 15.0941 | 8,143 | 154,682 | $2,334,785$ |
| 2000 | $3-6$ | 20.0681 | 15.8181 | 2,471 | 49,588 | 784,392 |
| 2000 | $>6$ | 10.1157 | 14.8123 | 3,281 | 33,190 | 491,614 |
| 2001 | $1-2$ | 18.9957 | 15.0941 | 5,149 | 97,809 | $1,476,337$ |
| 2001 | $3-6$ | 20.0681 | 15.8181 | 1,225 | 24,583 | 388,863 |
| 2001 | $>6$ | 10.1157 | 14.8123 | 1,992 | 20,150 | 298,475 |

Table 11c. Yearly estimates of greater amberjack total discards and total weight of greater amberjack discards for the Gulf of Mexico handline fishery.

| Year | Estimate of Total <br> Number of Discards | Total Discard <br> Weight Estimate |  |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| 1993 | 216,602 | $3,297,035$ |  |
| 1994 | 232,352 | $3,531,354$ |  |
| 1995 | 220,913 | $3,355,615$ |  |
| 1996 | 204,475 | $3,106,586$ |  |
| 1997 | 210,330 | $3,190,168$ |  |
| 1998 | 219,424 | $3,330,347$ |  |
| 1999 | 232,554 | $3,531,174$ |  |
| 2000 | 237,460 | $3,610,792$ |  |
| 2001 | 197,579 | $2,994,316$ |  |
| 2002 | 139,632 | $2,120,209$ |  |
| 2003 | 283,624 | $4,292,366$ |  |
| 2004 | 234,794 | $3,569,061$ |  |

Table 12a. Estimates of vermilion snapper total discards and total weight of vermilion snapper discards for the Gulf of Mexico handline fishery by discard period and hooks fished per line.

| Discard <br> Period | Hooks <br> Per Line | Mean <br> Discards | Mean Weight <br> Per Discard | Trips | Total Discards <br> Estimate | Total Discard <br> Weight |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $8 / 01-12 / 01$ | $<10$ | 5.4343 | 2.0276 | 4,020 | $21,846.0$ | $44,294.9$ |
| $8 / 01-12 / 01$ | $10-20$ | 8.3455 | 1.3515 | 852 | $7,110.3$ | $9,609.6$ |
| $8 / 01-12 / 01$ | $>20$ | 2.0333 | 1.166 | 322 | 654.7 | 763.4 |
| $1 / 02-7 / 02$ | $<10$ | 20.8601 | 2.0276 | 6,530 | $136,216.6$ | $276,192.7$ |
| $1 / 02-7 / 02$ | $10-20$ | 2.5532 | 1.3515 | 1,597 | $4,077.4$ | $5,510.7$ |
| $1 / 02-7 / 02$ | $>20$ | 1.4688 | 1.166 | 477 | 700.6 | 816.9 |
| $8 / 02-12 / 02$ | $<10$ | 1.9056 | 2.0276 | 3,911 | $7,452.7$ | $15,111.1$ |
| $8 / 02-12 / 02$ | $10-20$ | 3.5966 | 1.3515 | 943 | $3,391.6$ | $4,583.7$ |
| $8 / 02-12 / 02$ | $>20$ | 2.4359 | 1.166 | 351 | 855.0 | 996.9 |
| $1 / 03-7 / 03$ | $<10$ | 4.0796 | 2.0276 | 6,464 | $26,370.4$ | $53,468.7$ |
| $1 / 03-7 / 03$ | $10-20$ | 4.0266 | 1.3515 | 1,559 | $6,277.5$ | $8,484.0$ |
| $1 / 03-7 / 03$ | $>20$ | 5.2727 | 1.166 | 548 | $2,889.5$ | $3,369.1$ |
| $8 / 03-12 / 03$ | $<10$ | 0.5529 | 2.0276 | 3,888 | $2,149.6$ | $4,358.5$ |
| $8 / 03-12 / 03$ | $10-20$ | 0.0833 | 1.3515 | 911 | 75.9 | 102.6 |
| $8 / 03-12 / 03$ | $>20$ | 1.6702 | 1.166 | 429 | 716.5 | 835.5 |
| $1 / 04-7 / 04$ | $<10$ | 2.4855 | 2.0276 | 6,390 | $15,882.3$ | $32,203.0$ |
| $1 / 04-7 / 04$ | $10-20$ | 4.3820 | 1.3515 | 1,413 | $6,191.8$ | $8,368.2$ |
| $1 / 04-7 / 04$ | $>20$ | 4.8900 | 1.166 | 610 | $2,982.9$ | $3,478.1$ |
| $8 / 04-12 / 04$ | $<10$ | 11.9810 | 2.0276 | 2,675 | $32,049.2$ | $64,982.9$ |
| $8 / 04-12 / 04$ | $10-20$ | 19.4054 | 1.3515 | 703 | $13,642.0$ | $18,437.2$ |
| $8 / 04-12 / 04$ | $>20$ | 2.7400 | 1.166 | 227 | 622.0 | 725.2 |

Table 12b. Estimates of vermilion snapper total discards and total weight of vermilion snapper discards for the Gulf of Mexico handline fishery by year and hooks fished per handline.

| Discard <br> Period | Hooks <br> Per Line | Mean <br> Discards | Mean Weight <br> Per Discard | Trips | Total Discards <br> Estimate | Total Discard <br> Weight |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1997^{*}$ | $<10$ | 5.8542 | 2.0276 | 2,320 | $13,581.7$ | $27,538.3$ |
| $1997^{*}$ | $10-20$ | 6.4269 | 1.3515 | 453 | $2,911.4$ | $3,934.7$ |
| $1997^{*}$ | $>20$ | 3.19 | 1.166 | 157 | 500.8 | 584.0 |
| 1998 | $<10$ | 5.8542 | 2.0276 | 9,514 | $55,696.9$ | $112,931.0$ |
| 1998 | $10-20$ | 6.4269 | 1.3515 | 2,574 | $16,542.8$ | $22,357.6$ |
| 1998 | $>20$ | 3.19 | 1.166 | 1,050 | $3,349.5$ | $3,905.5$ |
| 1999 | $<10$ | 5.8542 | 2.0276 | 10,210 | $59,771.4$ | $121,192.5$ |
| 1999 | $10-20$ | 6.4269 | 1.3515 | 2,792 | $17,943.9$ | $24,251.2$ |
| 1999 | $>20$ | 3.19 | 1.166 | 808 | $2,577.5$ | $3,005.4$ |
| 2000 | $<10$ | 5.8542 | 2.0276 | 10,622 | $62,183.3$ | $126,082.9$ |
| 2000 | $10-20$ | 6.4269 | 1.3515 | 2,410 | $15,488.8$ | $20,933.2$ |
| 2000 | $>20$ | 3.19 | 1.166 | 871 | $2,778.5$ | $3,239.7$ |
| 2001 | $<10$ | 5.8542 | 2.0276 | 6,376 | $37,326.4$ | $75,683.0$ |
| 2001 | $10-20$ | 6.4269 | 1.3515 | 1,433 | $9,209.7$ | $12,447.0$ |
| 2001 | $>20$ | 3.19 | 1.166 | 559 | $1,783.2$ | $2,079.2$ |

*Estimate for partial year following the size limit change in vermilion snapper September 14, 1997.

Table 12c. Yearly estimates of vermilion snapper total discards and total weight of vermilion snapper discards for the Gulf of Mexico handline fishery.

| Year | Estimate of Total <br> Number of Discards | Total Discard <br> Weight Estimate |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| $1997^{*}$ | 16,994 | 32,057 |  |
| 1998 | 75,589 | 139,194 |  |
| 1999 | 80,293 | 148,449 |  |
| 2000 | 80,451 | 150,256 |  |
| 2001 | 77,930 | 144,877 |  |
| 2002 | 152,694 | 303,212 |  |
| 2003 | 38,479 | 70,618 |  |
| 2004 | 71,370 | 128,195 |  |

*Estimate for partial year following the size limit change in vermilion snapper September 14, 1997.

Table 13. Yearly estimates of gray triggerfish total discards and total weight of discards for the Gulf of Mexico handline fishery. Includes trips reporting multiple areas fished.

| Year | Mean <br> Discards | Mean Weight <br> Per Discard | Trips | Estimate of Total <br> Number of Discards | Total Discard <br> Weight Estimate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 0.0939 | 1.378 | 13,903 | 1,305 | 1,799 |
| 2001 | 0.0939 | 1.378 | 13,564 | 1,274 | 1,755 |
| 2002 | 0.0939 | 1.378 | 13,811 | 1,297 | 1,787 |
| 2003 | 0.0939 | 1.378 | 13,823 | 1,298 | 1,789 |
| 2004 | 0.0939 | 1.378 | 12,805 | 1,202 | 1,657 |

Table 14. Discard condition of greater amberjack, vermilion snapper, and gray triggerfish reported for handline trips to the commercial reef fish discard logbook program, 8/1/01-12/31/04. Percent of total in parentheses.

| Species | All <br> Dead | Majority <br> Dead | All <br> Alive | Majority <br> Alive | Kept | Unknown | Unreported | Total |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Greater | 3,388 | 14,152 | 21,422 | 26,322 | 541 | 8,745 |  | 9 |
| Amberjack | $(4.5)$ | $(19.0)$ | $(28.7)$ | $(35.3)$ | $(0.7)$ | $(11.7)$ | $(0.01)$ | 74,579 |
| Vermilion | 2,034 | 10,410 | 1,831 | 8,308 | 892 | 2,250 |  |  |
| Snapper | $(7.9)$ | $(40.5)$ | $(7.1)$ | $(32.3)$ | $(3.5)$ | $(8.7)$ |  | 25,725 |
| Gray |  | 5 | 250 | 137 | 19 | 11 |  |  |
| Triggerfish |  | $(1.2)$ | $(59.2)$ | $(32.5)$ | $(4.5)$ | $(2.6)$ |  | 422 |


[^0]:    * The handline gear category includes electric reel (bandit) gear.

