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## EARLY LIFE HISTORY

Ingram (2001) presented a thorough review of the literature on early life history of Balistes capriscus and summarized abundance and distribution data from four years of SEAMAP collections. Information thought to be germane to the application of larval triggerfish data in stock assessments is recapitulated here. Gray triggerfish are oviparous and spawn demersal, adhesive eggs in shallow, sandy excavations which are guarded by the female. Spawning occurs in late spring through summer, generally April through September in the Gulf of Mexico. Larval development was first described by Matsuura and Katsuragawa (1981). Triggerfish larvae are distinctive and can be identified at the smallest sizes found in plankton collections, i.e. $\sim 2 \mathrm{~mm}$. Lyczkowski-Shultz and Ingram (2003) described distinguishing characteristics that allow the larvae of five of the six species of triggerfishes found in the Gulf of Mexico to be identified. Only the larvae of B. vetula remain undescribed. Preflexion larvae are rare in plankton collections and may be demersal, while late flexion larvae and pelagic juveniles are taken primarily in neuston collections (Lyczkowski-Shultz and Ingram 2003). Their pelagic period is thought to be prolonged, and perhaps, indeterminate, lasting a few weeks to several months to as much as a year (Dooley 1972; Richards and Lindeman 1987). Young gray triggerfish are consistently associated with Sargassum spp. and other types of flotsam suggesting that ocean circulation may determine their dispersal and distribution patterns (Ingram 2001). Growth and mortality rates have not been determined for young gray triggerfish during their pelagic period. Size at settlement to demersal habitats was estimated to be 81 mm FL by backcalculation from the settlement mark on the first dorsal spine in trawl-caught juveniles (Ingram 2001). This estimate is similar to size at settlement of queen triggerfish (B. vetula) on Caribbean reefs, i.e. 49-70 mm (Robertson 1988).

## SEAMAP SURVEYS, METHODS AND MATERIALS

Since 1982 the Southeast Area Monitoring and Assessment Program (SEAMAP) has supported collection and analysis of ichthyoplankton samples during fishery-independent, resource surveys in the Gulf of Mexico (GOM) with the goal of producing a long-term database on the early life stages of fishes (Rester et al. 2000). Surveys are conducted by the National Marine Fisheries Service in cooperation with the states of Florida, Alabama, Mississippi, and Louisiana. The original plan for SEAMAP plankton surveys was to sample both the open (shelf edge to U.S. EEZ) and continental shelf ( 10 to 200 m ) portions of the Gulf in their entirety at least once during each season. This ambitious goal has not been achieved because survey data relevant to fisheries-related issues must encompass the entire geographic extent of spawning which, for most species, includes either the entire open Gulf or continental shelf regions. Furthermore, once established, these surveys must be conducted on an annual basis in order to build a historical database
from which population trends can be assessed. The current surveys do encompass the spawning seasons of many of the managed species in the Gulf.

Due to these constraints SEAMAP ichthyoplankton data have been collected primarily during four survey periods: spring (April and May, 1982 to present), summer (June and July, 1982 to present), late summer /early fall (typically in September, 1986 to present) and fall (October and November, 1982 to present). The spring survey covers only open U.S. GOM waters, while the summer and fall surveys encompass only continental shelf waters from south Texas to Mobile Bay; and the late summer/early fall survey from south Texas to south Florida. There have been three, winter plankton surveys in open Gulf waters during the SEAMAP time series (in 1983, 1984 and 1996). Samples used in annual estimates of larval fish abundance are collected on both state and federal cruises. Since 1982 the number of samples taken each year during SEAMAP summer and fall shrimp/bottomfish trawl surveys has typically ranged from 30 to 76 samples. In 1998 only 10 samples were collected during the summer trawl survey due to vessel breakdowns and severe weather. The summer and fall trawl survey area includes the continental shelf and coastal waters west of $88^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ longitude; although in the earliest years of the time series (1982 to 1988) sampling was conducted further east off northwest Florida. Samples from late August to mid-October are taken during the SEAMAP fall plankton survey which only became a Gulfwide survey of continental shelf and coastal waters between Brownsville, Texas and south Florida in 1986. This survey has produced from 81 to 150 samples per year since 1986. In 1998 only 35 samples were collected during this timeframe due to vessel breakdowns and tropical storms. Over the years surveys and sampling have been conducted that were not part of the designated primary survey types. Data and observations from these sampling efforts are used to help define spawning times and intensity but are not used to estimate annual indices of larval fish occurrence and mean abundance.

The sampling gear and methodology used during SEAMAP surveys (Rester et al. (2000) are similar to those recommended by Kramer et al. (1972), Smith and Richardson (1977) and Posgay and Marak (1980). A 60 cm bongo net fitted with $0.333(0.335)^{1} \mathrm{~mm}$ mesh netting is fished in an oblique tow path from a maximum depth of 200 m or to $2-5 \mathrm{~m}$ off the bottom at depths less than 200 m . A mechanical flowmeter is mounted off-center in the mouth of each bongo net to record the volume of water filtered. Volume filtered ranges from $\sim 20$ to $600 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ but is typically 30 to $40 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ at the shallowest stations and 300 to $400 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ at the deepest stations. A single or double $2 \times 1 \mathrm{~m}$ pipe frame neuston net fitted with $0.947(0.950)^{1} \mathrm{~mm}$ mesh netting is towed at the surface with the frame halfsubmerged for 10 minutes. Non-standard gear used to collect plankton samples from smaller vessels operated by the states are coded as such in the database and are not used to calculate larval indices.

Catches of larvae from bongo nets are standardized to account for sampling effort and expressed as number of larvae under $10 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ of sea surface. This is accomplished by

[^0]dividing the number of larvae of each taxon caught in a sample by the volume of water filtered during the tow; and than multiplying the resultant by the maximum depth of the tow in meters and the factor 10. Catches of larvae from neuston nets are standardized to account for sampling effort and expressed as number of larvae per 10 min tow.

Most but not all SEAMAP, standard plankton stations are located at 30 mile or $1 / 2$ degree ( $\sim 56 \mathrm{~km}$ ) intervals in a fixed, systematic grid across the GOM, although, only every other $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ transect of stations is sampled during spring surveys and during fall plankton surveys in 1988-1991. Occasionally during surveys, samples are taken at non-standard locations or stations are moved to avoid navigational hazards. Samples are taken upon arrival on station regardless of time of day. At each station either a bongo and/or neuston tow are made depending on the specific survey.

Initial processing of SEAMAP plankton samples is carried out at the Sea Fisheries Institute, Plankton Sorting and Identification Center (ZSIOP), in Szczecin, Poland and the Louisiana Department of Wildlife and Fisheries. Vials of eggs and identified larvae, plankton displacement volumes, total egg counts, and counts and length measurements of identified larvae are sent to the SEAMAP Archive at the Florida Marine Research Institute in St. Petersburg, FL. There data are entered into the SEAMAP database and specimens are curated and loaned to interested scientists. Data files containing specimen identifications and lengths are sent to the NMFS Mississippi Laboratories where these data are combined with field collection data and edited according to established SEAMAP editing routines. SEAMAP survey data are currently maintained in dBase file structures but conversion to an Oracle based system is underway.

All specimens of triggerfishes used in these analyses were re-examined by ichthyoplankton specialists at the Southeast Fisheries Science Center, Mississippi Laboratories. Identification to species level was accomplished using descriptions in Lyczkowski-Shultz and Ingram (2003).

## RESULTS

## General Description of Occurrence and Abundance from SEAMAP surveys in the Gulf of Mexico:

Over 5,600 triggerfish and filefish specimens collected in bongo and neuston net samples during SEAMAP surveys in 1982, and 1984-2002 were examined and identified to the lowest possible taxon. Specimens from surveys in 1983 were not available for examination.

Young Balistes capriscus are consistently taken in bongo and neuston samples during all SEAMAP surveys types and timeframes (Table 1). Larvae first appeared in samples from April and were present through November (Table 2). Months of highest occurrence and abundance were July, August and September when young triggerfish occurred in 8, 11 and $12 \%$ of neuston samples, respectively. Mean abundance in neuston samples for those months was $0.18,0.33$ and 0.31 fish per 10 min , respectively. Compared to capture in neuston net samples ( 875 individuals) young gray triggerfish were rare in bongo nets
samples with only a total of 116 individuals caught over the time series, 1982, and 19842002. By November per cent occurrence in neuston samples was $<2 \%$.

Mean abundance and occurrence of young gray triggerfish by month and survey type (including non-SEAMAP sampling effort) indicated that the SEAMAP Fall plankton survey accounts for the majority of captures (Tables 3 and 4). This survey comprises samples collected within the timeframe, 15 August to 15 October. Summed abundances of gray triggerfish during two other established long-term surveys; namely spring plankton and fall shrimp/bottomfish surveys, were an order of magnitude less than summed abundance during the fall plankton survey. Total abundance during summer shrimp/bottomfish surveys was 5 times that of the abundance in fall plankton surveys. The explanation for this difference is that sampling during spring surveys takes place primarily in open Gulf waters, i.e. outside gray triggerfish spawning grounds. Sampling during summer and fall shrimp/ bottomfish surveys apparently misses peak spawning production.

Size frequency distributions (catch curves) of young gray triggerfish captured during the three, long-term SEAMAP surveys in shelf waters are shown in Figures 1 and 2. Overall range in body length ( BL ) of individuals was $1.3-27.0 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{BL}$, i.e. standard length in postflexion larvae and juveniles (mean $=5.3$, median $=2.9$ ) in bongo net samples; and $1.9-79.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ BL (mean $=16.5$, median $=14.6$ ) in neuston net samples. Overall the neuston net captured larger individuals than did the bongo net. Over $98 \%$ of individuals in bongo net samples measured $\leq 25 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{BL}$, while individuals accounting for the same cumulative percentage taken in neuston net samples were $\leq 45 \mathrm{~mm}$ BL (Tables $5 \& 6$ ). Both standard length (SL) and total length (TL) were measured on a sample ( $\mathrm{N}=33$ ) of gray triggerfish caught in plankton samples. The equations for converting these measurements are: $\mathrm{TL}=1.2103 \mathrm{SL}+1.9443\left(\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.9961\right)$; and $\mathrm{SL}=0.8231 \mathrm{TL}-1.5243$ ( $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.9961$ ).

The SEAMAP Fall Plankton survey yielded the majority of observations on young gray triggerfish in the Gulf (Table 4). Maps showing distribution and relative abundance of gray triggerfish in bongo and neuston samples from the SEAMAP August 1984 plankton survey and SEAMAP Fall Plankton surveys, 1986 to 2002 are presented in Figures 3-20. Although specimens were captured at stations over the entire east-west extent of the survey area most occurrences were observed at stations west of the Mississippi River on the mid and outer continental shelf between the 50 and 100 m isobaths. Triggerfish consistently occurred off the south Texas coast at depths between 10 and 50 m . There were fewer occurrences east of the Mississippi River especially off central and southwest Florida. Highest station abundances were generally observed off Texas and western Louisiana.

## Larval Index:

We recommend that the gray triggerfish index of 'larval' abundance be based on neuston net samples from the SEAMAP Fall Plankton survey (Table 7). The time series for this index begins with the 1986 season when the fall plankton survey became Gulfwide, and
subsequently, it has been conducted each year from mid August to mid October. Too few samples were taken during the 1998 field season on which to base an estimate of larval abundance due to tropical storms and hurricanes that severely curtailed field work that year. It is evident from a comparison of mean annual abundances, coefficients of variation of mean abundance (CV), and annual per cent occurrence between both gear types that gray triggerfish are taken more consistently in neuston than in bongo samples (Tables $8 \& 9$ ). CV's over the time series for neuston net catches are lower and relatively more stable than for bongo net catches. Triggerfish occurred less frequently and in lower numbers during the summer and fall shrimp/bottomfish surveys than during the fall plankton survey. Another consideration is geographic coverage relative to the range of the gray triggerfish stock in the Gulf. The fall plankton survey is the only established SEAMAP survey that samples the entire spawning grounds of this species in the U.S. Gulf of Mexico.
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Table 1: Table 1: Surveys where young gray triggerfish were caught in plankton samples. SP=SEAMAP Spring survey; SG=SEAMAP Summer Shrimp/Bottomfish survey; FG=Fall Shrimp/Bottomfish survey; FP=SEAMAP Fall Plankton survey;
$\mathrm{SQ}=$ Squid/Butterfish survey; $\mathrm{AF}=$ Alabama Fall SEAMAP survey; $\mathrm{AS}=$ Alabama Summer SEAMAP; * donotes sampling outside established SEAMAP surveys
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{ccccccc}\text { CRUISE } & \text { VESSEL } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Survey } \\
\text { Type }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { No of } \\
\text { Samples } \\
\text { NEUSTON }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { No of } \\
\text { Samples } \\
\text { BONGO }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Cruise Begin } \\
\text { Date }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Cruise End }\end{array}
$$ <br>

\& \& \& \& \& \& Date\end{array}\right]\)| 126 |
| :--- |
| 127 |

Table 1 cont.

| 195 | 04 | SG | 37 | 37 | 6/18/1991 | 7/13/1991 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 912 | 36 | FP | 22 | 23 | 8/21/1991 | 8/25/1991 |
| 914 | 28 | FP | 95 | 49 | 9/6/1991 | 9/26/1991 |
| 914 | 35 | FP | 7 | 7 | 9/30/1991 | 10/4/1991 |
| 197 | 04 | FG | 40 | 40 | 10/14/1991 | 11/18/1991 |
| 200 | 04 | SG | 40 | 41 | 6/13/1992 | 7/13/1992 |
| 925 | 28 | FP | 72 | 73 | 8/30/1992 | 9/20/1992 |
| 204 | 04 | SP | 120 | 64 | 5/19/1993 | 6/15/1993 |
| 205 | 04 | SG | 40 | 41 | 6/20/1993 | 7/21/1993 |
| 936 | 28 | FP | 72 | 72 | 9/10/1993 | 9/29/1993 |
| 207 | 04 | FP | 10 | 10 | 10/5/1993 | 10/6/1993 |
| 208 | 04 | FG | 36 | 30 | 10/15/1993 | 11/14/1993 |
| 941 | 36 | SP | 5 | 5 | 5/20/1994 | 5/22/1994 |
| 210 | 04 | SG | 42 | 41 | 6/16/1994 | 7/17/1994 |
| 946 | 28 | FP | 88 | 88 | 9/11/1994 | 9/29/1994 |
| 214 | 04 | FG | 48 | 48 | 10/14/1994 | 11/20/1994 |
| 216 | 04 | SP | 264 | 127 | 4/19/1995 | 6/7/1995 |
| 217 | 04 | SG | 21 | 20 | 6/23/1995 | 7/14/1995 |
| 955 | 28 | FP | 88 | 87 | 9/9/1995 | 9/26/1995 |
| 952 | 26 | FP | 24 | 25 | 9/24/1995 | 9/28/1995 |
| 219 | 04 | FG | 21 | 21 | 10/16/1995 | 11/16/1995 |
| 221 | 04 | SG | 22 | 22 | 6/14/1996 | 7/16/1996 |
| 965 | 28 | FP | 92 | 92 | 9/5/1996 | 9/25/1996 |
| 962 | 26 | FP | 19 | 19 | 9/11/1996 | 9/14/1996 |
| 224 | 04 | FG | 43 | 43 | 10/11/1996 | 11/21/1996 |
| 225 | 04 | SP | 186 | 95 | 4/17/1997 | 6/9/1997 |
| 226 | 04 | SG | 47 | 47 | 6/13/1997 | 7/16/1997 |
| 975 | 28 | FP | 93 | 93 | 9/7/1997 | 9/27/1997 |
| 229 | 04 | FG | 20 | 18 | 10/10/1997 | 11/19/1997 |
| 981 | 63 | FP | 25 | 27 | 9/7/1998 | 9/24/1998 |
| 234 | 04 | SP | 179 | 88 | 4/23/1999 | 5/31/1999 |
| 235 | 04 | SG | 35 | 35 | 6/15/1999 | 7/20/1999 |
| 992 | 63 | FP | 116 | 117 | 9/3/1999 | 9/29/1999 |
| 993 | 17 | FP | 9 | 9 | 9/9/1999 | 9/10/1999 |
| 237 | 04 | FG | 45 | 43 | 10/16/1999 | 11/20/1999 |
| 002 | 63 | SP | 166 | 85 | 4/20/2000 | 5/26/2000 |
| 240 | 04 | SG | 45 | 45 | 6/13/2000 | 7/19/2000 |
| 242 | 04 | FP | 104 | 111 | 9/7/2000 | 10/1/2000 |
| 001 | 26 | FP | 13 | 14 | 9/26/2000 | 9/29/2000 |
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| 246 | 04 | SG | 28 | 29 | $6 / 12 / 2001$ | $7 / 24 / 2001$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 013 | 17 | SG | 12 | 11 | $7 / 3 / 2001$ | $7 / 10 / 2001$ |
| 015 | 63 | FP | 131 | 127 | $8 / 31 / 2001$ | $9 / 26 / 2001$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 022 | 63 | SP | 160 | 90 | $4 / 18 / 2002$ | $5 / 28 / 2002$ |
| 250 | 04 | SG | 51 | 50 | $6 / 12 / 2002$ | $7 / 16 / 2002$ |
| 021 | 35 | SG | 7 | 7 | $7 / 9 / 2002$ | $7 / 12 / 2002$ |
| 025 | 63 | FP | 86 | 88 | $8 / 30 / 2002$ | $9 / 20 / 2002$ |
| 022 | 23 | AF | 9 | 0 | $9 / 17 / 2002$ | $9 / 17 / 2002$ |
| 023 | 17 | FP | 6 | 6 | $10 / 10 / 2002$ | $10 / 11 / 2002$ |
| 252 | 04 | FG | 46 | 44 | $10 / 13 / 2002$ | $11 / 15 / 2002$ |
| 024 | 17 | FG | 2 | 2 | $10 / 19 / 2002$ | $10 / 19 / 2002$ |

Table 2: Summary of young gray triggerfish catches by month based on all surveys in the Gulf of Mexico; 1982, 1984-2002. $\mathrm{CV}=$ coefficient of variation of mean abundance.
A. Bongo samples

| Month | No. Samples | No. Occurrences | No. Larvae | \% <br> Occurrence | Mean <br> Abundance | Std | SE | Lower 95\%CI | $\begin{gathered} \text { Upper } \\ 95 \% \mathrm{CI} \end{gathered}$ | Minimum Abundance | Maximum Abundance | Summed Abundance | CV |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 72 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 35 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 170 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 581 | 2 | 2 | 0.34 | 0.02 | 0.284 | 0.012 | -0.006 | 0.040 | 0 | 5.12 | 9.68 | 70.76 |
| 5 | 1277 | 5 | 5 | 0.39 | 0.03 | 0.412 | 0.012 | 0.003 | 0.048 | 0 | 7.20 | 32.92 | 44.71 |
| 6 | 630 | 8 | 9 | 1.27 | 0.07 | 0.667 | 0.027 | 0.021 | 0.125 | 0 | 9.51 | 45.86 | 36.50 |
| 7 | 550 | 15 | 23 | 2.73 | 0.22 | 1.491 | 0.064 | 0.091 | 0.340 | 0 | 20.43 | 118.54 | 29.50 |
| 8 | 329 | 4 | 14 | 1.22 | 0.29 | 3.836 | 0.211 | -0.131 | 0.702 | 0 | 67.80 | 93.94 | 74.07 |
| 9 | 1615 | 47 | 62 | 2.91 | 0.17 | 1.127 | 0.028 | 0.111 | 0.221 | 0 | 20.25 | 268.83 | 16.85 |
| 10 | 648 | 1 | 1 | 0.15 | 0.01 | 0.135 | 0.005 | -0.005 | 0.016 | 0 | 3.43 | 3.43 | 100.00 |
| 11 | 472 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | 221 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

B. Neuston samples

| Month | No. Samples | No. <br> Occurrences | No. Larvae | $\%$ <br> Occurrence | Mean <br> Abundance | Std | SE | Lower $95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Upper } \\ 95 \% \mathrm{CI} \end{gathered}$ | Minimum Abundance | Maximum Abundance | Summed Abundance | CV |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 76 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 33 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 37 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 863 | 2 | 2 | 0.23 | 0.00 | 0.060 | 0.002 | -0.001 | 0.007 | 0 | 1.46 | 2.46 | 71.91 |
| 5 | 1958 | 13 | 20 | 0.66 | 0.01 | 0.146 | 0.003 | 0.004 | 0.017 | 0 | 4.00 | 19.94 | 32.39 |
| 6 | 724 | 27 | 40 | 3.73 | 0.06 | 0.359 | 0.013 | 0.032 | 0.084 | 0 | 4.00 | 41.88 | 23.09 |
| 7 | 515 | 43 | 85 | 8.35 | 0.18 | 0.781 | 0.034 | 0.114 | 0.249 | 0 | 10.17 | 93.33 | 18.99 |
| 8 | 331 | 35 | 109 | 10.57 | 0.33 | 1.397 | 0.077 | 0.175 | 0.477 | 0 | 12.58 | 108.04 | 23.53 |
| 9 | 1878 | 226 | 587 | 12.03 | 0.31 | 1.545 | 0.036 | 0.244 | 0.384 | 0 | 31.00 | 589.42 | 11.36 |
| 10 | 635 | 15 | 26 | 2.36 | 0.04 | 0.357 | 0.014 | 0.013 | 0.069 | 0 | 6.00 | 25.93 | 34.69 |
| 11 | 403 | 6 | 6 | 1.49 | 0.02 | 0.123 | 0.006 | 0.003 | 0.027 | 0 | 1.04 | 6.08 | 40.58 |
| 12 | 166 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 3: Summary of young gray triggerfish catches in bongo net samples by month and survey type based on all surveys in the Gulf of Mexico; 1982, 1984-2002. CV=coefficient of variation of mean abundance. * donotes sampling outside established SEAMAP surveys

| Month | Survey <br> Type | No. Samples | No. <br> Occurrences | No. Larvae | \% <br> Occurrence | Mean <br> Abundance | Std | SE | Lower 95\%CI | $\begin{gathered} \text { Upper } \\ 95 \% \mathrm{CI} \end{gathered}$ | Minimum <br> Abundance | Maximum <br> Abundance | Summed <br> Abundance | CV |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | * | 72 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | * | 35 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | * | 122 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | * | 34 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | * | 3 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | * | 13 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | * | 31 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | * | 210 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | SP | 48 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | SP | 581 | 2 | 2 | 0.34 | 0.02 | 0.284 | 0.012 | -0.006 | 0.040 | 0 | 5.12 | 9.68 | 70.76 |
| 5 | SP | 1261 | 5 | 5 | 0.40 | 0.03 | 0.415 | 0.012 | 0.003 | 0.049 | 0 | 7.20 | 32.92 | 44.71 |
| 6 | SP | 147 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | AS | 2 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | SG | 447 | 8 | 9 | 1.79 | 0.10 | 0.790 | 0.037 | 0.029 | 0.176 | 0 | 9.51 | 45.86 | 36.42 |
| 7 | SG | 544 | 15 | 23 | 2.76 | 0.22 | 1.499 | 0.064 | 0.092 | 0.344 | 0 | 20.43 | 118.54 | 29.50 |
| 5 | SQ | 16 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | SQ | 3 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | SQ | 69 | 2 | 3 | 2.90 | 0.29 | 1.758 | 0.212 | -0.137 | 0.708 | 0 | 13.16 | 19.68 | 74.20 |
| 9 | AF | 6 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | FP | 247 | 2 | 11 | 0.81 | 0.30 | 4.332 | 0.276 | -0.242 | 0.843 | 0 | 67.80 | 74.25 | 91.68 |
| 9 | FP | 1609 | 47 | 62 | 2.92 | 0.17 | 1.129 | 0.028 | 0.112 | 0.222 | 0 | 20.25 | 268.83 | 16.85 |
| 10 | FP | 269 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | FG | 379 | 1 | 1 | 0.26 | 0.01 | 0.176 | 0.009 | -0.009 | 0.027 | 0 | 3.43 | 3.43 | 100.00 |
| 11 | FG | 441 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | FG | 11 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4: Summary of young gray triggerfish catches in neuston net samples by month and survey type based on all surveys in the Gulf of Mexico; 1982, 1984-2002. CV=coefficient of variation of mean abundance. * donotes sampling outside established SEAMAP surveys

| Month | Survey Type | No. Samples | No. <br> Occurrences | No. <br> Larvae | \% <br> Occurrence | Mean <br> Abundance | Std | SE | Lower $95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ | Upper $95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ | Minimum <br> Abundance | Maximum Abundance | Summed Abundance | CV |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | * | 76 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | * | 33 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | * | 5 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | * | 84 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | * | 64 | 2 | 2 | 3.13 | 0.03 | 0.175 | 0.022 | -0.013 | 0.075 | 0 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 70.15 |
| 7 | * | 3 | 1 | 1 | 33.33 | 0.33 | 0.577 | 0.333 | -1.101 | 1.768 | 0 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 100.00 |
| 8 | * | 13 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | * | 31 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | * | 158 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | SP | 37 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | SP | 858 | 2 | 2 | 0.23 | 0.00 | 0.060 | 0.002 | -0.001 | 0.007 | 0 | 1.46 | 2.46 | 71.91 |
| 5 | SP | 1860 | 13 | 20 | 0.70 | 0.01 | 0.150 | 0.003 | 0.004 | 0.018 | 0 | 4.00 | 19.94 | 32.38 |
| 6 | SP | 249 | 16 | 27 | 6.43 | 0.12 | 0.552 | 0.035 | 0.047 | 0.185 | 0 | 4.00 | 28.91 | 30.11 |
| 6 | AS | 4 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | SG | 407 | 9 | 11 | 2.21 | 0.03 | 0.190 | 0.009 | 0.008 | 0.045 | 0 | 2.00 | 10.97 | 34.93 |
| 7 | SG | 509 | 42 | 84 | 8.25 | 0.18 | 0.784 | 0.035 | 0.113 | 0.250 | 0 | 10.17 | 92.33 | 19.17 |
| 5 | SQ | 14 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | SQ | 3 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | SQ | 68 | 11 | 48 | 16.18 | 0.69 | 2.192 | 0.266 | 0.161 | 1.222 | 0 | 12.58 | 47.05 | 38.42 |
| 9 | AF | 125 | 3 | 5 | 2.40 | 0.04 | 0.293 | 0.026 | -0.012 | 0.091 | 0 | 3.00 | 4.93 | 66.50 |
| 10 | AF | 18 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | FP | 250 | 24 | 61 | 9.60 | 0.24 | 1.116 | 0.071 | 0.105 | 0.383 | 0 | 11.00 | 60.99 | 28.93 |
| 9 | FP | 1753 | 223 | 582 | 12.72 | 0.33 | 1.596 | 0.038 | 0.259 | 0.408 | 0 | 31.00 | 584.48 | 11.43 |
| 10 | FP | 258 | 4 | 8 | 1.55 | 0.03 | 0.328 | 0.020 | -0.009 | 0.071 | 0 | 5.00 | 7.93 | 66.41 |
| 10 | FG | 359 | 11 | 18 | 3.06 | 0.05 | 0.385 | 0.020 | 0.010 | 0.090 | 0 | 6.00 | 18.01 | 40.53 |
| 11 | FG | 372 | 6 | 6 | 1.61 | 0.02 | 0.128 | 0.007 | 0.003 | 0.029 | 0 | 1.04 | 6.08 | 40.56 |
| 12 | FG | 8 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Figure 1: Overall size frequency distribution of young gray triggerfish ( 2 mm size classes) captured in bongo net samples during SEAMAP surveys; 1982, 1984-2002. FG=Fall Shrimp/Bottomfish survey; SG= Summer Shrimp/Bottomfish survey; FP=Fall Plankton survey.


Figure 2: Overall size frequency distribution of young gray triggerfish ( 2 mm size classes) captured in neuston net samples during SEAMAP surveys: 1982, 1984-2002. FG=Fall Shrimp/Bottomfish survey; SG= Summer Shrimp/Bottomfish survey; FP=Fall Plankton survey.


Table 5: Per cent cumulative frequency of young gray triggerfish in 2 mm size classes caught in bongo net samples during SEAMAP surveys; 1982, 1984-2002.

| Size Class (BL mm) | Number of Larvae | Adjusted Total <br> Number <br> of Larvae | Adjusted Number of <br> Larvae under 10m | \% Cumulative <br> Frequency |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.0 | 12 | 12 | 50.21 | 8.76 |
| 3.0 | 70 | 70 | 332.22 | 66.72 |
| 5.0 | 14 | 14 | 75.99 | 79.98 |
| 7.0 | 2 | 2 | 6.63 | 81.13 |
| 9.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 81.13 |
| 11.0 | 2 | 2 | 11.60 | 83.16 |
| 13.0 | 1 | 1 | 6.78 | 84.34 |
| 15.0 | 2 | 2 | 11.10 | 86.27 |
| 17.0 | 4 | 4 | 27.12 | 91.01 |
| 19.0 | 3 | 3 | 20.34 | 94.55 |
| 21.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 94.55 |
| 23.0 | 2 | 2 | 9.25 | 96.17 |
| 25.0 | 2 | 2 | 11.84 | 98.23 |
| 27.0 | 2 | 2 | 10.13 | 100.00 |

Table 6: Per cent cumulative frequency of young gray triggerfish in 2 mm size classes caught in neuston net samples during SEAMAP surveys; 1982, 1984-2002.

| Size Class (BL mm) | Number of Larvae | Adjusted Total Number of Larvae | Adjusted Number of Larvae/10min Tow | \% Cumulative Frequency |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.0 | 1 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.11 |
| 3.0 | 46 | 46.00 | 46.84 | 5.45 |
| 5.0 | 16 | 16.70 | 16.47 | 7.33 |
| 7.0 | 40 | 41.05 | 37.60 | 11.62 |
| 9.0 | 78 | 79.40 | 78.13 | 20.53 |
| 11.0 | 110 | 112.77 | 111.92 | 33.29 |
| 13.0 | 111 | 112.03 | 114.08 | 46.29 |
| 15.0 | 81 | 82.05 | 84.68 | 55.95 |
| 17.0 | 90 | 91.50 | 91.61 | 66.39 |
| 19.0 | 62 | 62.00 | 64.39 | 73.73 |
| 21.0 | 45 | 45.00 | 46.62 | 79.05 |
| 23.0 | 42 | 42.50 | 43.83 | 84.04 |
| 25.0 | 24 | 24.00 | 24.40 | 86.82 |
| 27.0 | 27 | 27.00 | 28.77 | 90.10 |
| 29.0 | 21 | 21.00 | 23.02 | 92.73 |
| 31.0 | 11 | 11.00 | 11.00 | 93.98 |
| 33.0 | 7 | 7.00 | 9.03 | 95.01 |
| 35.0 | 7 | 7.00 | 6.95 | 95.80 |
| 37.0 | 9 | 9.00 | 8.99 | 96.83 |
| 39.0 | 2 | 2.00 | 1.91 | 97.05 |
| 41.0 | 2 | 2.00 | 1.97 | 97.27 |
| 43.0 | 6 | 6.00 | 6.99 | 98.07 |
| 45.0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 98.07 |
| 47.0 | 2 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 98.30 |
| 49.0 | 2 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 98.52 |
| 51.0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 98.52 |
| 53.0 | 2 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 98.75 |
| 55.0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 98.75 |
| 57.0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 98.75 |
| 59.0 | 2 | 2.00 | 2.01 | 98.98 |
| 61.0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 98.98 |
| 63.0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 98.98 |
| 65.0 | 4 | 4.00 | 3.93 | 99.43 |
| 67.0 | 1 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 99.54 |
| 69.0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 99.54 |
| 71.0 | 2 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 99.77 |
| 73.0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 99.77 |
| 75.0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 99.77 |
| 77.0 | 1 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 99.89 |
| 79.0 | 1 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 100.00 |



Figure 3. Occurrence and abundance of gray triggerfish, Balistes capriscus, during the August 1984 SEAMAP plankton survey, Oregon II cruise 146. Abundance in bongo net samples $=$ Larvae $/ 10 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$. Abundance in neuston net samples $=$ Larvae $/ 10 \mathrm{~min}$.


Figure 4. Occurrence and abundance of gray triggerfish, Balistes capriscus, at SEAMAP stations during the fall plankton survey in 1986. Abundance in bongo net samples $=$ Larvae $/ 10 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$. Abundance in neuston net samples $=$ Larvae $/ 10 \mathrm{~min}$.


Figure 5. Occurrence and abundance of gray triggerfish, Balistes capriscus, at SEAMAP stations during the fall plankton survey in 1987. Abundance in bongo net samples $=$ Larvae $/ 10 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$. Abundance in neuston net samples $=$ Larvae $/ 10 \mathrm{~min}$.


Figure 6. Occurrence and abundance of gray triggerfish, Balistes capriscus, at SEAMAP stations during the fall plankton survey in 1988. Abundance in bongo net samples $=$ Larvae $/ 10 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$. Abundance in neuston net samples $=$ Larvae $/ 10 \mathrm{~min}$.


Figure 7. Occurrence and abundance of gray triggerfish, Balistes capriscus, at SEAMAP stations during the fall plankton survey in 1989. Abundance in bongo net samples $=$ Larvae $/ 10 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$. Abundance in neuston net samples $=$ Larvae $/ 10 \mathrm{~min}$.


Figure 8. Occurrence and abundance of gray triggerfish, Balistes capriscus, at SEAMAP stations during the fall plankton survey in 1990. Abundance in bongo net samples $=$ Larvae $/ 10 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$. Abundance in neuston net samples $=$ Larvae $/ 10 \mathrm{~min}$.


Figure 9. Occurrence and abundance of gray triggerfish, Balistes capriscus, at SEAMAP stations during the fall plankton survey in 1991. Abundance in bongo net samples $=$ Larvae $/ 10 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$. Abundance in neuston net samples $=$ Larvae $/ 10 \mathrm{~min}$.


Figure 10. Occurrence and abundance of gray triggerfish, Balistes capriscus, at SEAMAP stations during the fall plankton survey in 1992. Abundance in bongo net samples $=$ Larvae $/ 10 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$. Abundance in neuston net samples $=$ Larvae $/ 10 \mathrm{~min}$.


Figure 11. Occurrence and abundance of gray triggerfish, Balistes capriscus, at SEAMAP stations during the fall plankton survey in 1993. Abundance in bongo net samples $=$ Larvae $/ 10 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$. Abundance in neuston net samples $=$ Larvae $/ 10 \mathrm{~min}$.


Figure 12. Occurrence and abundance of gray triggerfish, Balistes capriscus, at SEAMAP stations during the fall plankton survey in 1994. Abundance in bongo net samples $=$ Larvae $/ 10 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$. Abundance in neuston net samples $=$ Larvae $/ 10 \mathrm{~min}$.


Figure 13. Occurrence and abundance of gray triggerfish, Balistes capriscus, at SEAMAP stations during the fall plankton survey in 1995. Abundance in bongo net samples $=$ Larvae $/ 10 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$. Abundance in neuston net samples $=$ Larvae $/ 10 \mathrm{~min}$.


Figure 14. Occurrence and abundance of gray triggerfish, Balistes capriscus, at SEAMAP stations during the fall plankton survey in 1996. Abundance in bongo net samples $=$ Larvae $/ 10 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$. Abundance in neuston net samples $=$ Larvae $/ 10 \mathrm{~min}$.


Figure 15. Occurrence and abundance of gray triggerfish, Balistes capriscus, at SEAMAP stations during the fall plankton survey in 1997. Abundance in bongo net samples $=$ Larvae $/ 10 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$. Abundance in neuston net samples $=$ Larvae $/ 10 \mathrm{~min}$.


Figure 16. Occurrence and abundance of gray triggerfish, Balistes capriscus, at SEAMAP stations during the fall plankton survey in 1998. Abundance in bongo net samples $=$ Larvae $/ 10 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$. Abundance in neuston net samples $=$ Larvae $/ 10 \mathrm{~min}$. Green line $=$ Tropical Depression; Yellow line $=$ Tropical Storm; Red line $=$ Hurricane.


Figure 17. Occurrence and abundance of gray triggerfish, Balistes capriscus, at SEAMAP stations during the fall plankton survey in 1999. Abundance in bongo net samples $=$ Larvae $/ 10 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$. Abundance in neuston net samples $=$ Larvae $/ 10 \mathrm{~min}$.


Figure 18. Occurrence and abundance of gray triggerfish, Balistes capriscus, at SEAMAP stations during the fall plankton survey in 2000. Abundance in bongo net samples $=$ Larvae $/ 10 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$. Abundance in neuston net samples $=$ Larvae $/ 10 \mathrm{~min}$.


Figure 19. Occurrence and abundance of gray triggerfish, Balistes capriscus, at SEAMAP stations during the fall plankton survey in 2001. Abundance in bongo net samples $=$ Larvae $/ 10 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$. Abundance in neuston net samples $=$ Larvae $/ 10 \mathrm{~min}$.


Figure 20. Occurrence and abundance of gray triggerfish, Balistes capriscus, at SEAMAP stations during the fall plankton survey in 2002. Abundance in bongo net samples $=$ Larvae $/ 10 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$. Abundance in neuston net samples $=$ Larvae $/ 10 \mathrm{~min}$.

Table 7: Summary of cruises and sampling effort during SEAMAP Fall Plankton surveys, 19861999 and 2000-2002.

| CRUISE | VESSEL | Survey | No. NEUSTON | No. BONGO | Cruise | Cruise |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Type | Samples | Samples | Begin Date | End Date |
| 161 | 04 | FP | 48 | 48 | 9/4/1986 | 9/12/1986 |
| 862 | 36 | FP | 29 | 29 | 9/6/1986 | 9/13/1986 |
| 864 | 17 | FP | 9 | 9 | 9/8/1986 | 9/10/1986 |
| 865 | 28 | FP | 56 | 55 | 9/13/1986 | 9/22/1986 |
| 875 | 36 | FP | 35 | 35 | 9/1/1987 | 9/8/1987 |
| 169 | 04 | FP | 91 | 91 | 9/12/1987 | 9/27/1987 |
| 873 | 17 | FP | 4 | 4 | 9/15/1987 | 9/17/1987 |
| 874 | 35 | FP | 0 | 11 | 9/29/1987 | 10/1/1987 |
| 882 | 36 | FP | 36 | 36 | 8/26/1988 | 9/2/1988 |
| 176 | 04 | FP | 80 | 39 | 9/7/1988 | 9/28/1988 |
| 882 | 17 | FP | 4 | 3 | 10/1/1988 | 9/30/1988 |
| 884 | 35 | FP | 7 | 10 | 10/3/1988 | 10/12/1988 |
| 183 | 04 | FP | 75 | 37 | 9/13/1989 | 9/29/1989 |
| 892 | 17 | FP | 5 | 5 | 9/17/1989 | 9/19/1989 |
| 894 | 35 | FP | 11 | 11 | 10/2/1989 | 10/5/1989 |
| 892 | 36 | FP | 34 | 35 | 10/4/1989 | 10/11/1989 |
| 190 | 04 | FP | 100 | 52 | 9/2/1990 | 9/28/1990 |
| 902 | 17 | FP | 2 | 2 | 9/16/1990 | 9/16/1990 |
| 904 | 35 | FP | 6 | 7 | 10/1/1990 | 10/4/1990 |
| 902 | 36 | FP | 30 | 30 | 10/13/1990 | 10/18/1990 |
| 912 | 36 | FP | 22 | 23 | 8/21/1991 | 8/25/1991 |
| 914 | 28 | FP | 95 | 49 | 9/6/1991 | 9/26/1991 |
| 912 | 17 | FP | 2 | 2 | 9/23/1991 | 9/23/1991 |
| 914 | 35 | FP | 7 | 7 | 9/30/1991 | 10/4/1991 |
| 925 | 28 | FP | 72 | 73 | 8/30/1992 | 9/20/1992 |
| 201 | 04 | FP | 25 | 27 | 9/24/1992 | 9/27/1992 |
| 923 | 35 | FP | 0 | 5 | 9/28/1992 | 10/1/1992 |
| 923 | 17 | FP | 1 | 0 | 9/29/1992 | 9/29/1992 |
| 922 | 26 | FP | 13 | 12 | 10/12/1992 | 10/19/1992 |
| 936 | 28 | FP | 72 | 72 | 9/10/1993 | 9/29/1993 |
| 934 | 17 | FP | 2 | 2 | 9/20/1993 | 9/21/1993 |
| 933 | 35 | FP | 7 | 7 | 10/4/1993 | 10/7/1993 |
| 207 | 04 | FP | 10 | 10 | 10/5/1993 | 10/6/1993 |
| 932 | 26 | FP | 36 | 36 | 10/11/1993 | 10/18/1993 |

Table 7 cont.

| 943 | 17 | FP | 2 | 2 | 9/10/1994 | 9/11/1994 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 946 | 28 | FP | 88 | 88 | 9/11/1994 | 9/29/1994 |
| 943 | 35 | FP | 7 | 7 | 9/26/1994 | 9/29/1994 |
| 942 | 36 | FP | 29 | 29 | 9/28/1994 | 10/8/1994 |
| 955 | 28 | FP | 88 | 87 | 9/9/1995 | 9/26/1995 |
| 952 | 17 | FP | 5 | 5 | 9/16/1995 | 9/18/1995 |
| 952 | 26 | FP | 24 | 25 | 9/24/1995 | 9/28/1995 |
| 953 | 35 | FP | 7 | 7 | 9/25/1995 | 9/29/1995 |
| 965 | 28 | FP | 92 | 92 | 9/5/1996 | 9/25/1996 |
| 962 | 26 | FP | 19 | 19 | 9/11/1996 | 9/14/1996 |
| 962 | 17 | FP | 2 | 2 | 9/22/1996 | 9/23/1996 |
| 962 | 35 | FP | 7 | 7 | 9/30/1996 | 10/3/1996 |
| 975 | 28 | FP | 93 | 93 | 9/7/1997 | 9/27/1997 |
| 972 | 17 | FP | 4 | 4 | 9/20/1997 | 9/22/1997 |
| 972 | 26 | FP | 19 | 19 | 10/2/1997 | 10/6/1997 |
| 972 | 35 | FP | 7 | 7 | 10/4/1997 | 10/7/1997 |
| 992 | 63 | FP | 116 | 117 | 9/3/1999 | 9/29/1999 |
| 993 | 17 | FP | 9 | 9 | 9/9/1999 | 9/10/1999 |
| 991 | 26 | FP | 12 | 10 | 9/25/1999 | 9/29/1999 |
| 994 | 17 | FP | 5 | 6 | 10/12/1999 | 10/14/1999 |
| 242 | 04 | FP | 104 | 111 | 9/7/2000 | 10/1/2000 |
| 001 | 26 | FP | 13 | 14 | 9/26/2000 | 9/29/2000 |
| 002 | 35 | FP | 3 | 3 | 10/11/2000 | 10/13/2000 |
| 002 | 17 | FP | 11 | 11 | 10/13/2000 | 10/15/2000 |
| 015 | 63 | FP | 131 | 127 | 8/31/2001 | 9/26/2001 |
| 012 | 35 | FP | 4 | 3 | 10/8/2001 | 10/21/2001 |
| 011 | 26 | FP | 12 | 12 | 10/11/2001 | 10/14/2001 |
| 025 | 63 | FP | 86 | 88 | 8/30/2002 | 9/20/2002 |
| 022 | 35 | FP | 7 | 7 | 9/16/2002 | 9/19/2002 |
| 023 | 17 | FP | 6 | 6 | 10/10/2002 | 10/11/2002 |

Table 8: Annual per cent occurrence and mean abundance of young gray triggerfish caught in bongo net samples during SEAMAP Fall Plankton surveys, 1986-1997 and 1999-2002.

|  | No. | No. | No. | \% |  |  | Lower | Upper | Mean |  |  | Lower | Upper |  | ance | Summed |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Samples | Occurrences | Larvae | Occurrence | Std | SE | 95\%CI | 95\%CI | Abundance | Std | SE | 95\%CI | 95\%CI | Min | Max | Abundance | CV |
| 1986 | 141 | 2 | 2 | 1.42 | 0.119 | 0.010 | 0.000 | 3.394 | 0.08 | 0.636 | 0.054 | 0.000 | 0.181 | 0 | 6.02 | 10.61 | 71.11 |
| 1987 | 141 | 4 | 4 | 2.84 | 0.167 | 0.014 | 0.063 | 5.611 | 0.12 | 0.736 | 0.062 | 0.000 | 0.244 | 0 | 5.43 | 17.20 | 50.82 |
| 1988 | 88 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1989 | 88 | 1 | 1 | 1.14 | 0.107 | 0.011 | 0.000 | 3.395 | 0.04 | 0.363 | 0.039 | 0.000 | 0.116 | 0 | 3.41 | 3.41 | 100.00 |
| 1990 | 91 | 3 | 3 | 3.30 | 0.180 | 0.019 | 0.000 | 7.036 | 0.12 | 0.696 | 0.073 | 0.000 | 0.266 | 0 | 5.40 | 10.98 | 60.51 |
| 1991 | 81 | 4 | 4 | 4.94 | 0.218 | 0.024 | 0.118 | 9.759 | 0.27 | 1.213 | 0.135 | 0.005 | 0.541 | 0 | 6.46 | 22.12 | 49.37 |
| 1992 | 117 | 1 | 1 | 0.85 | 0.092 | 0.009 | 0.000 | 2.548 | 0.04 | 0.431 | 0.040 | 0.000 | 0.119 | 0 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 100.00 |
| 1993 | 127 | 1 | 1 | 0.79 | 0.089 | 0.008 | 0.000 | 2.346 | 0.04 | 0.476 | 0.042 | 0.000 | 0.126 | 0 | 5.37 | 5.37 | 100.00 |
| 1994 | 126 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1995 | 124 | 6 | 12 | 4.84 | 0.215 | 0.019 | 1.009 | 8.669 | 0.42 | 2.224 | 0.200 | 0.020 | 0.811 | 0 | 20.25 | 51.55 | 48.04 |
| 1996 | 120 | 10 | 15 | 8.33 | 0.278 | 0.025 | 3.317 | 13.350 | 0.55 | 2.255 | 0.206 | 0.140 | 0.956 | 0 | 16.64 | 65.76 | 37.57 |
| 1997 | 123 | 6 | 9 | 4.88 | 0.216 | 0.020 | 1.017 | 8.739 | 0.20 | 0.949 | 0.086 | 0.031 | 0.369 | 0 | 5.70 | 24.59 | 42.81 |
| 1999 | 142 | 1 | 2 | 0.70 | 0.084 | 0.007 | 0.000 | 2.096 | 0.06 | 0.761 | 0.064 | 0.000 | 0.190 | 0 | 9.07 | 9.07 | 100.00 |
| 2000 | 139 | 5 | 5 | 3.60 | 0.187 | 0.016 | 0.463 | 6.732 | 0.21 | 1.136 | 0.096 | 0.015 | 0.396 | 0 | 9.22 | 28.62 | 46.79 |
| 2001 | 141 | 2 | 2 | 1.42 | 0.119 | 0.010 | 0.000 | 3.394 | 0.08 | 0.713 | 0.060 | 0.000 | 0.203 | 0 | 6.92 | 11.86 | 71.45 |
| 2002 | 101 | 2 | 2 | 1.98 | 0.140 | 0.014 | 0.000 | 4.744 | 0.09 | 0.680 | 0.068 | 0.000 | 0.228 | 0 | 5.75 | 9.49 | 71.96 |

Table 9: Annual per cent occurrence and mean abundance of young gray triggerfish caught in neuston net samples during SEAMAP Fall Plankton surveys, 1986-1997 and 1999-2002. The dataset recommended as the basis for the larval index.

| Year | No. <br> Samples | No. <br> Occurrences | No. <br> Larvae | \% <br> Occurrence | Std | SE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lower } \\ & 95 \% \text { CI } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Upper } \\ 95 \% \mathrm{CI} \end{gathered}$ | Mean <br> Abundance | Std | SE | Lower95\%CI | $\begin{gathered} \text { Upper } \\ 95 \% \mathrm{CI} \end{gathered}$ | Abundance |  | Summed <br> Abundance | CV |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Min | Max |  |  |
| 1986 | 142 | 16 | 39 | 11.27 | 0.317 | 0.027 | 6.003 | 16.532 | 0.28 | 1.442 | 0.121 | 0.046 | 0.524 | 0 | 14.44 | 40.43 | 42.49 |
| 1987 | 130 | 6 | 20 | 4.62 | 0.211 | 0.018 | 0.960 | 8.270 | 0.15 | 0.833 | 0.073 | 0.007 | 0.297 | 0 | 7.00 | 19.76 | 48.10 |
| 1988 | 127 | 8 | 15 | 6.30 | 0.244 | 0.022 | 2.016 | 10.582 | 0.12 | 0.572 | 0.051 | 0.018 | 0.219 | 0 | 5.00 | 15.00 | 42.98 |
| 1989 | 125 | 6 | 8 | 4.80 | 0.215 | 0.019 | 1.000 | 8.600 | 0.06 | 0.304 | 0.027 | 0.010 | 0.118 | 0 | 2.00 | 8.00 | 42.54 |
| 1990 | 138 | 10 | 16 | 7.25 | 0.260 | 0.022 | 2.866 | 11.626 | 0.11 | 0.525 | 0.045 | 0.026 | 0.203 | 0 | 5.00 | 15.76 | 39.13 |
| 1991 | 126 | 21 | 31 | 16.67 | 0.374 | 0.033 | 10.070 | 23.264 | 0.25 | 0.678 | 0.060 | 0.126 | 0.365 | 0 | 5.00 | 30.95 | 24.58 |
| 1992 | 111 | 19 | 114 | 17.12 | 0.378 | 0.036 | 10.000 | 24.234 | 1.02 | 3.715 | 0.353 | 0.325 | 1.722 | 0 | 31.00 | 113.62 | 34.45 |
| 1993 | 127 | 19 | 32 | 14.96 | 0.358 | 0.032 | 8.672 | 21.249 | 0.25 | 0.716 | 0.063 | 0.124 | 0.375 | 0 | 4.00 | 31.73 | 25.42 |
| 1994 | 126 | 19 | 49 | 15.08 | 0.359 | 0.032 | 8.745 | 21.414 | 0.34 | 1.168 | 0.104 | 0.132 | 0.544 | 0 | 10.63 | 42.63 | 30.75 |
| 1995 | 124 | 18 | 45 | 14.52 | 0.354 | 0.032 | 8.229 | 20.803 | 0.36 | 1.428 | 0.128 | 0.109 | 0.617 | 0 | 14.00 | 44.98 | 35.34 |
| 1996 | 120 | 16 | 35 | 13.33 | 0.341 | 0.031 | 7.163 | 19.504 | 0.29 | 1.219 | 0.111 | 0.072 | 0.512 | 0 | 12.00 | 35.05 | 38.10 |
| 1997 | 123 | 12 | 26 | 9.76 | 0.298 | 0.027 | 4.438 | 15.074 | 0.21 | 0.778 | 0.070 | 0.071 | 0.349 | 0 | 5.00 | 25.82 | 33.43 |
| 1999 | 142 | 10 | 14 | 7.04 | 0.257 | 0.022 | 2.783 | 11.302 | 0.10 | 0.390 | 0.033 | 0.032 | 0.162 | 0 | 2.77 | 13.79 | 33.67 |
| 2000 | 131 | 28 | 113 | 21.37 | 0.412 | 0.036 | 14.261 | 28.487 | 0.93 | 3.365 | 0.294 | 0.350 | 1.513 | 0 | 27.00 | 121.99 | 31.58 |
| 2001 | 146 | 13 | 21 | 8.90 | 0.286 | 0.024 | 4.229 | 13.579 | 0.15 | 0.648 | 0.054 | 0.040 | 0.252 | 0 | 6.61 | 21.36 | 36.65 |
| 2002 | 99 | 11 | 17 | 11.11 | 0.316 | 0.032 | 4.811 | 17.411 | 0.17 | 0.566 | 0.057 | 0.053 | 0.279 | 0 | 3.87 | 16.46 | 34.20 |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Mesh size change in database does not represent an actual change in gear but only a change in the accuracy at which plankton mesh aperture size can be measured by the manufacturer.

