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In response to the recommendations of the MSAP and the SEDAR5-WG, the protocols, algorithms and data inputs for the catch sizing and ageing of king mackerel stocks were revised and updated (MSAP 2002, MSAP 2003, SEDAR5-WG). Since 1985, the MSAP has reviewed the status of king mackerel stocks, normally having a 'full' assessment every other year for the Atlantic and Gulf stocks. The normal procedures during a full assessment year would incorporate new data, and update 2-3 prior years with final estimates of landings, size and age samples. Therefore, the Catch at Age matrix for the full assessment evaluation would on average have 2 new years of data, as well as possible updates for the last 2 years of the prior assessment. This implies that catch sizing and ageing were normally restricted to the last 4 years of the assessment, and decisions on the particularities on sizing and ageing, such as minimum sample size, the application of Age-Length-Keys to quarters, areas, and stock, were done in conjunction with the MSAP agreement. Unfortunately due to time restrictions, it was not possible to fully test the assumptions introduced in the new ALK construction with simulated data. For this reason, especially since the revised criteria applied herein are different from what has been traditionally used by the Mackerel Stock Assessment Panel for advising the Councils on stock status and appropriate levels of harvest, caution in application of the ALKs developed by these revised procedures should be taken until such time that simulation testing of the robustness of these approaches can be conducted.

## Catch Ageing Protocol

During the 2002 stock assessment of king Gulf stock, the catch, size samples and aged-fish samples were both revised for the years 1997 to 1999, while the years 2000 and 2001 were added as new data. The revision of aged king samples resulted in the addition of fish into the Age Length Key database for calendar years 1995-1997, which had not previously been used in the prior assessments (2000 and before) due to miscodification of the data. The overall change to the database based on this review added

209 fish (108 in 1995, 144 in 1996, and 37 in 1997). This resulted in changes in the CAA matrix distribution comparing the 2000 and 2002 CAA inputs (MSAP-02). Briefly, the 2002 CAA allocated more catch into Ages 1, 2 and 3, thus reducing proportionally the catches of ages 4, 5 and 6 as the total numbers of catch by year were not different, compared to the 2000 CAA (see table 7 and Fig 12-13 Ortiz et al 2002). This change in the 2002 CAA matrix was attributed to the additional aged-samples in the Age Length Keys (ALK) for those years, as no new size samples were included in 2002 for the 19951998 data; thus the Catch at Size (CAS) matrix distribution was identical between 2000 and 2002 evaluations. The additions of aged-fish in the ALK were mostly fish of size corresponding to the tails of the size distributions (Cummings 2003). Also, between 2000 and 2002 procedures, for the 1997 year in 2000 SA the ALK's were applied to 3 quarters of the year (Jan-Aug), while in 2002 SA the ALKs were applied to the full year (Jan-Dec).

The change of age distributions for the 2002 CAA matrix had implications for the stock status evaluation. The VPA model (FADAPT) uses Partial Catch-at-age (PCAA) associated with several indices of abundance (Restrepo 1996). The P-CAAs were estimated from the CAA for the following indices: Florida FWC commercial indices of Northwest and Southwest, the MRFSS index, the HeadBoat index, and the Texas PWD index. Also, in projections of stock status, the program used as an input the average of catch by age for each sector (commercial and recreational) which was also estimated from the CAA input. However, it is important to mention that other inputs were also different during the 2002 SA compared to 2000 SA, which could have potentially influence the results of the assessment in 2002, such as the updated indices of abundance and update(s) of total catch and estimated king bycatch from the shrimp fishery (Ortiz et al 2002).

During the SEDAR 5-WG (NMFS SEFSC Miami Lab Dec $1^{\text {st }}$ to $5^{\text {th }}$ 2003) the Group identified several ( 396 records) king mackerel aged-samples in the ALK database that were in need of further verification by the NMFS SEFSC Panama City laboratory in order to resolve questions about these data. This verification took place in January 2004 and the revised and corrected (DeVries and Palmer, personal communication) aged-king mackerel samples were used for re-construction of ALK of king mackerel for all years (1986-2002) (Table 1). In addition, ALK algorithms were revised and updated. Aged-king mackerel samples for each stock, year and sex group were grouped into 5 cm bin intervals usually from 25 cm to 180 cm (see Ortiz et al 2003 for complete description of size frequency and sex distribution of aged-king samples) (Table 2). Due to limited number of samples, particularly in the smaller and larger sizes, 5 cm size bin intervals were grouped together to increase the number of samples until a minimum of approximately 10 observations were available per size bin. This procedure was done from the tails towards the center of the size distribution. Then the ALK for each year and sex group was estimated as the probability of age at each given size bin, such as the sum over ages 0 to $19+$ was equal to one.

$$
\sum_{i=0 t o 19+} p\left(A_{i} \mid \text { size }_{l, u}\right)=1.0
$$

the size bin was defined by the lower size $(l)$ and the upper size $(u)$, which could be varied for the smaller and larger sizes, under the condition of a minimum number of fish per bin ( $\sim 10$ ). The oldest age assigned to king mackerel from otolith reading was a 26 year-old fish; however for ALK purposes, age 19 was considered the plus group for both Atlantic and Gulf stocks.

Thus revised ALKs were constructed for each stock (Atlantic, Gulf), year (1986-2002) and sex (Males, Females). From 1986 to 1994 aged samples from the Gulf were further split into regions: the eastern Gulf of Mexico (EGF), and western Gulf of Mexico (WGF). After 1994, no aged-king samples were available for WGF, thus the EGF were eventually designated Gulf (GOM) and applied Gulf- wide. Then, the procedure identified when in the year (by month or quarter) most of the aged-samples had come, and matched the ALK with the corresponding month or quarter of the catch at size (CAS) by sex data. This information was used to create an "instruction file" that would indicate for a particular year,
region, and quarter (Jan-Mar, Apr-Jun, Jul-Sep, Oct-Dec) if the ALK would be applied or not. In the case of Atlantic king mackerel, only one region was considered: but for Gulf kings, two regions (EGF and WGF) were used. The instruction file used for the 2002 SA ageing procedures is presented in Table 43 in Ortiz et al (2003).

For the king mackerel revisions to the ALKs for 2004, the algorithms of construction were again modified. First, it was assumed that the samples were collected either randomly or randomly within size stratification, and two factors were unknown: a) the sex of the fish, and b) the age of the fish. Therefore, all the grouping and size bin distribution were considered for both sex samples combined, not separate as in previous ALK constructions. Second, based on the historic distribution of aged-samples per year, a minimum value of aged-sexed fish was established such that an ALK could be created. This value was arbitrarily set at 400 samples. Third, within each year and region, aged fish were also grouped into size bins of initially 5 cm intervals, and set a minimum number of samples per bin of 15 aged fish (combined across sex). If the number of samples was less than 15 , a combination of size bins was also done, however this was only applied to the smaller size fish toward the center of the distribution. No binning (ie. combination of consecutive size bins) was done for the larger sizes. And fourth, a minimum size was selected below which all fish were considered Age 0 ,

$$
p\left(\text { Age }_{0} \mid \operatorname{size}_{\min l}\right)=1.0,
$$

and the minimum size ( $\min l^{\prime}$ ) was set at 15 cm fork length. No king mackerel less than 15 cm had been aged, at least within the ALK database. This value was applied to both the Atlantic and Gulf ALKs. In the king-aged database there are very few samples for Age 0 kings, and not in all years; therefore, this minimum size definition prevents fish from the smallest size class having positive probabilities of older age classes due to Age 0 class missing observations from the aged-sample.

The decision made to not combine size bins into the larger size classes (when the total number of samples is less than 15 fish) can be explained with a plot. At smaller sizes, relatively larger increases in the size bin would result in lesser change in expected age, due to the growth characteristics of king mackerel at small, thus the margin of error introduced in terms of predicting age from size would be smaller. However, for the larger size king mackerel, a single size bin of 5 cm could have positive probabilities for more than one age, and perhaps several ages, as the size approaches the asymptotic length. Therefore, if several bins were combined, the margin of error in assigning the correct age would increase only because of the binning process. Unfortunately due to time restrictions, it was not possible to fully test the assumptions introduced in the new ALK construction with simulated data.


Using the described assumptions and modifications in conjunction with the updated aged-king database, ALKs for Atlantic and Gulf stocks were constructed for 1986 to 2002 years. Table 3 shows the total number of aged samples available per stock, year and region, shaded areas represent where the stock-year combinations for which ALK were created. Ageing of the complete CAS by sex data for Atlantic and Gulf king (1981-2002) was done using the new ALKs. The instruction file was also updated. ALKs were applied for those stocks, year and quarter by matching the proportion of catch caught by quarter and the proportion of aged-samples that went into the ALK by quarter also (Tables 4, 5, 6 and 7). Overall, if a quarter provided $15 \%$ or more of the aged samples, the ALKs were applied to the corresponded quarter CAS by sex. For the WGF, after 1996, the ALK from the EGF were used for the
ageing of CAS by sex data (this was also done in the ageing procedure previously applied by the MSAP, Table 8). For those stocks, for year-quarters where no ALKs were available, the stochastic length deconvolution method (SAR) of Shepherd (1985, Cummings 2003, Cummings and Devries 2002) was applied.

King mackerel CAS by sex files from 1981-2002 were used as input for the ageing programs, although in few instances, the CAS by sex files required some modification to complete the automated ageing procedure. All of the modifications in the CAS by sex data corresponded to the records of catch where the size distribution of the catch by sex was larger than the asymptotic length of the corresponding stock and sex group. In those cases, the records of catch would have been excluded in the estimated oa CAA had modifications not been made; although overall, these records represented very few fish and were mostly of the Atlantic stock males with sizes greater than 95 cm fork length. Modifications of two CAS by sex files were done for the following years and sectors: Atlantic commercial expanded CAS for 1983 and 1984 ( 2 records with total of 5 males), and Gulf commercial expanded CAS for 1987 (1 record with 9 fish (males) of size distribution greater than 113 cm fork length). All the modifications to the CAS by sex data applied to records aged by the SAR method.

Tables 9 and 10 and Figures 1 and 2 present a comparison of the CAA matrix generated with the ALK procedures applied herein, the 2002 SA CAA matrix for Gulf king mackerel, and the 2003 SA CAA matrix for Atlantic king mackerel, respectively. For this report, the updated historic CAA matrix of Gulf king mackerel was used as the input for the stock evaluation, using the same programs and protocols as the 2004 base case scenario (Ortiz 2004). The following sections describes the additional inputs and conditions of the VPA FADAPT model for completeness purposes, prior to presenting the results of the stock evaluation using the historic updated CAA matrix of Gulf king mackerel. It should be noted, however, that this document only addresses sensitivity of analysis to some alternative methods for developing and applying ALKs to the CAS data. An additional issue not addressed in this manuscript is the potential effect on estimating CAA based on possible revisions to the underlying growth assumptions used in the SAR component of the procedure. Brooks and Ortiz (2004) identify some additional issues that should be considered.

## Directed Catch

As in the base 04 case scenario (Ortiz 2004), U.S. commercial and recreational catches, size frequency data for calendar years 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000 and 2002 (provisional) were updated. No new estimates of shrimp bycatch were available for 2004, thus for this simulation, the same value as in the base 04 scenario were used, which was a carry over of the estimated bycatch of 2002 SA. All king bycatch was assigned to age 0 .

## BIOLOGICAL CHARACTERISTICS

## Natural Mortality

The natural mortality rate (M) used for the Gulf king mackerel analyses in this report is the same as used in previous assessments, i.e. 0.2 . The stochastic analyses allowed the value of $M$ to vary over both years and ages using a random draw from a uniform distribution of 0.15 to 0.25 such that the mean of the distribution matches the point estimate.

Fecundity

The fecundity at age vector is the same as used in prior assessments. The age specific fecundity values correspond to millions of eggs. The derivation of the egg values comes from an age-length relationship (Manooch et al. 1987), a linear spline fit to maturity at age data (data from Finucane et al. 1986), and an eggs-length relationship (Finucane et al. 1986). The values of age specific fecundity that reported spawning stock are in trillions ( $10^{12}$ ) of eggs.

## ABUNDANCE TRENDS FROM INDICES

## Indices

As in previous mackerel stock assessments conducted since 1985, catch per unit of effort (CPUE) data from multiple sources were evaluated as indices of stock abundance. CPUE indices affect assessment results by calibrating estimates of population size to annual trends in CPUE, assuming they are directly proportional to abundance. The annual trends in CPUE were assumed to represent age-specific abundance trends. The procedures used to derive annual indices of abundance were similar to those of previous assessments, and took into consideration technical decisions made by the Panel during the 1996 Panel Review of Gulf king mackerel and the 1997, and 1998 Panel Reviews of Atlantic king mackerel and Gulf Spanish mackerel stocks (Cummings 1996, MSAP 1996, MSAP Supplemental 1996, MSAP 1997, MSAP 1998). During those meetings, after consideration by the Panel of the available historical CPUE data for indexing abundance of mackerels, recommendations were made regarding the continued use of specific data sets and the data to be included in the analysis. Emphasis was placed on analyses that accounted for possible biases in the index due impacts of regulations (e.g., bag limits, state trip limits, regulated seasons).

For this assessment, each set of the CPUE data was analyzed separately using Generalized Linear Modeling theory and information on area of catch, amount landed, month of capture, vessel, and other available auxiliary information incorporated into the index to adjust for changes in CPUE while applying the rationale specified by the MSAP 1996, MSAP 1997, and MSAP 1998 reviews. Indices updated for this Stock Assessment analyses are described below. In addition, all tuning indices used in the VPA analyses are listed in Table 11, along with the time of the year when the index related to abundance, whether the index was compared to estimated numbers or biomass, and the age range used for tuning.

## A. Florida Fish and Wildlife Commission (FL_FWC) Marine Fisheries Trip Ticket Program

The FL-FWC commercial trip ticket data have been used to develop two indices, the Panhandle index (NW) and the South Florida index (SW), for fish sold in Florida. The Panhandle index included only observations between the months July and October and landings from the counties of Taylor through Escambia and was applied to ages 1 through 6. The South area index was applied to fish ages 2 through 8, and included observations from November and December in Monroe or Collier counties and was restricted to a maximum catch limit of 3,500 pounds. At the SEDAR WG 5 the FL-FWC submitted updated indices for the NW and SW commercial fishery; however the updated indices varied in the years covered by the index. In the 2002 assessment, the FL-FWC_NW and FL-FWC_SW included 1985 to 2000, while the 2004 indices for the NW and SW included 1992-2002. Due to time restrictions, it was not possible to clarify the reasons for the change of year's coverage, therefore for the present assessment it was decided to use the 2002 indices without the updated data.

## B. Marine Recreational Fishing Statistical Survey (MRFSS) - Florida

Observations of private or charter boat anglers in Florida successfully catching king mackerel
and/or indicating that they were targeting king mackerel were used to index abundance using the protocol recommended by the MSAP in the 1996 and 1998 Reviews. Observations from July through December were used in the index to minimize the impact of bag limits and the analysis was constrained to data collected since 1985. The index developed was the standardized number of fish per angler hour adjusted for month, county of interview, and fishing mode and included the annual standardized probability of having a successful trip, adjusted by month, county, and mode. This index was applied to fish of ages 1 through 8 (Table 11). A detailed report of the standardization procedure is presented in Ortiz (2003).

## C. Texas Parks and Wildlife Department (TX-PWD) Recreational Angler Creel Survey

Successful recreational anglers in Texas that caught king mackerel were also used to index CPUE. The data used included observations between the months of May and September from the private and charter boat fisheries. As recommended in the 1996 and 1998 Reviews, auxiliary data on bay vs. inshore was not used in the model. The index was the standardized number of fish caught per 100 angler hours of fishing, adjusted for month and fishing mode and was used to index ages two through eight (Table 11). A detailed report of the standardization procedure is presented in Ortiz and Phares (MSAP-0203).

## D. NMFS Beaufort Laboratory Headboat (Southeast Florida)

CPUE data from this source represent successful recreational anglers fishing from headboats. Historically, data from southeast Florida; headboat areas from Daytona through the Florida Keys during the months of November through March have been used as an index of abundance of the eastern group of king mackerel. The index is the standardized numbers of fish caught per trip divided by the number of anglers reported on a trip, adjusted for individual month and vessel terms. A detailed report of the standardization procedure is presented in Ortiz (MSAP-03). This index was applied against the size of fish ages two through six (Table 11).

## E. Bycatch Indices from GLM and Delta Lognormal Approaches

Tuning indices from the bycatch analyses have been computed using the traditional method of dividing the total estimated bycatch in a year by the total shrimp effort in that year. When estimating the total bycatch for use in this tuning index, areas that used BRDs are instead assigned the commercial catch rate in order to have a consistent time series (i.e. removing the observations from BRD tows). Because no new bycatch information was available in 2004, the index was not updated, and for the current evaluation the index of 2002 assessment was used instead.

## F. SEAMAP index

The SEAMAP survey of larval abundance resource survey provided a fishery independent index for king mackerel in the Gulf of Mexico. The index for this assessment was an estimate of the percent of occurrence of king larvae (Gledhill and Lyczkowski-Shultz 2000).

## G. Other indices

In prior assessments two other indices of abundance for Gulf king mackerel have been used: a) the Florida Charter Northwest index off the Florida Panhandle area, and b) the Florida Charter Southwest Index covering the South Florida and the Florida Keys regions. These indices covered the years from 1985 to 1994/95.

## METHODS

Virtual Population Analysis

As in previous mackerel stock assessments, a tuned VPA (FADAPT) method (Powers and Restrepo 1992, Restrepo 1996) was used to obtain statistical estimates of population parameters. The method is a non-linear least squares (LS) estimation process in which observed indices of abundance are fit by population estimates from cohort analyses for appropriate age groups:

$$
\min _{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{LS}=\Sigma_{\mathrm{it}}\left[\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{it}}-\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{i}} \Sigma_{\mathrm{j}}\left(\mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{ijt}} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{ijt}}\right)\right]^{2}
$$

where $X_{i t}$ is the index in year $t, N_{i j t}$ is the abundance in year $t$ of the $j$ ages represented in index $i$ and the $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{ijt}}$ are appropriate conversion factors for that index and age (for example conversion from numbers to weight, conversion of the abundance from the beginning of the year to mid-year, or conversion of selectivity by age within the age group). For the present analysis each index was given equal weight in the minimization process.

The scaling parameters $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{i}}$ are computed by maximum likelihood during the minimization process in both situations, they were not estimated directly. In each analysis, the fishing mortality rates at age in the 2001-02 fishing year (terminal year) were the parameters estimated. An additional assumption made in each analysis was that the fishing mortality rate was the same in the plus group (Age 11+) and the previous age (Age 10) for all years. The upper right corner of a VPA matrix (recent years and younger ages) was difficult to estimate. For this reason, a Separable VPA (SVPA) was run over a range of fixed selectivity ages and terminal year F values in order to estimate the appropriate relative selectivity pattern of the youngest ages in the terminal year (Fig 3). For the current Assessment, the average of mean selectivity for age 0 relative to age 2 was $1.5\left(\mathrm{~F}_{0} / \mathrm{F}_{2}=1.5\right)$, while the average of mean selectivity for age 1 relative to age 2 was $0.33\left(F_{1} / F_{2}=0.33\right)$. The F value for ages $2-10$ in the terminal year were the parameters estimated within FADAPT, with the F for the plus group ( $\mathrm{F}_{11+}$ ) in the terminal year set equal to the F at age 10 .

In this analysis, selectivity at age for each index by year was computed based on the partial catch at age (PCAA) associated with the index during that year. The catch at age for a particular index year was first used to find the proportion of total fishing mortality due to that amount of catch as

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{a}} * \text { Catch }_{\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{i}} / \text { Catch }_{\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{a}}
$$

where $y$, a and I denote year, age and index, respectively. The selectivity at age was then formed by dividing each $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{i}}$ by the maximum value over age for that year and index. This use of partial catches to form the selectivity patterns for the tuning indices added stability to the solutions by allowing different indices to tune to the same ages but at differing levels of importance over the ages. Because the historic CAA matrix was updated, the Partial CAA for each index application was also reviewed, and for some indices, the age coverage was modified based on the catch proportion at age plots (Fig 4 and 5).

## Characterization of Uncertainty

The uncertainty in the assessment estimation was characterized as in the past by both sensitivity analyses on selected components and by mixed Monte Carlo/bootstrap simulations of the tuned VPA. The simulation method repeated the VPA a number of times (500) randomly selecting from 1 ) a uniform distribution of natural mortality rate for each age and year; 2) a lognormal distribution of directed catch at age assuming the point estimate represented the mean and the variance was characterized by a CV of $25 \% ; 3$ ) a lognormal distribution of bycatch at age assuming the point estimate represented the mean and
the variance was characterized by a CV of $25 \%$; and 4 ) the observed deviations between the indices of abundance and the predicted population model from the original VPA fit. The results were accumulated and sorted to provide probability statements of relevant statistics. Projections were made using each bootstrap iteration such that benchmarks, stock trends and ABC could be evaluated on an absolute or relative scale. Probability distributions from these observations were used to construct $80 \%$ pseudoconfidence intervals (removing the $10 \%$ lowest and highest observations).

The stochastic simulations estimate the same number of parameters as the deterministic case. The final estimates from the deterministic case were used as initial guesses for the terminal year fishing mortality rates at age. Thus, the potential exists for highly different VPA estimates in each simulation, especially given that all the random selections described above were uncorrelated. The use of uncorrelated random selections could be a problem for the catch and index generated from the bycatch data as well as other indices tuning to young ages.

## Projections

Population abundances at age in the terminal year of the VPA (2001-02 fishing year) are projected into the 2002/03 fishing year according to the estimated F and M at age values in the terminal year. Recruitment in the projection years came from a stock recruitment model specific within each bootstrap. The point estimate was projected deterministically following this stock recruitment model while the bootstraps used the estimated variability about the model to create a lognormal distribution from which recruitment was randomly chosen. This simulation used the stock recruitment model developed during the 1998 MSAP meeting according to the following rules. Only years in which both the stock and recruitment values have tuning information present were used to create the relationship, excluding the last 2 years as they were highly variable (1987-1999). The maximum recruitment was set at the average recruitment estimated during these years and declines linearly to the origin when the spawning stock size drops below the "break point". The "break point" was determined by the average of the five lowest spawning stock sizes within the years 1987-1999 (Fig 6).

The bycatch fishing mortality rate for the projection years was computed as the average of the F at age due to bycatch during the period 1993-1997, modified by the expected bycatch reduction due to full implementation of BRDs. The bycatch reduction due to BRDs implementation was estimated as $50 \%$ for king mackerel (S. Nichols, MSAP 2000), starting in year 1998 and beyond. The directed fishing mortality rates at age were assumed separable by sector (commercial and recreational) with the selectivity at age pattern for each sector computed as the average over the last five fishing years (1997-98 to 200102 ) and the year multipliers specific to each sector. For the 2002-03 fishing year, the two fishing mortality rate multipliers were estimated simultaneously such that the observed total catch in weight for the commercial sector ${ }^{1}$ and the 2002-03 total catch in numbers for the recreational sector ${ }^{2}$ were achieved. The total fishing mortality rate at age was computed as the sum of the bycatch F at age, the product of the commercial multiplier and selectivity at age, and the product of the recreational multiplier and selectivity at age. The two multipliers were unique values assuming both catches are smaller than the estimated population.

The population abundances were then projected into the 2003-04 fishing year according to the total fishing mortality rate at age and the natural mortality rate at age. The two fishing mortality rate multipliers (commercial and recreational) for the 2003-04 fishing year were estimated simultaneously

[^0]such that a desired spawning potential ratio (SPR transitional unweighted) was achieved and the ratio of catches in weight by the two sectors (commercial and recreational) equaled the allocation for the specific migratory group. These F multipliers were again unique assuming the SPR could be achieved in that year. The yield resulting from application of the directed fishing mortality rates on the estimated population abundance generated the ABC value. This approach of treating separately the commercial and recreational sectors was used in previous assessments.

Following the decisions of the MSAP, the recommended proxy for $\mathrm{F}_{\text {MSY }}$ is $\mathrm{F}_{30 \% \text { SPR }}$ and the proxy for $\mathrm{B}_{\text {MSY }}$ was the spawning stock that resulted in equilibrium under the $\mathrm{F}_{\text {MSY }}$ proxy according to the stock recruitment relationship. The default control rule of Restrepo et al (1998) was accepted by the MSAP, this default control rule sets the minimum stock size threshold (MSST) to ( $1-\mathrm{M}$ ) ${ }^{\text {B }} \mathrm{B}_{\text {MSY }}$ and the maximum fishing mortality threshold (MFMT) to $\mathrm{F}_{\text {MSY }}$ for SS $>$ MSST and decreasing linearly to the origin for $\mathrm{SS}<$ MSST. Risks associated with overfishing, $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{F}>\mathrm{MFMT})$, and being overfished, $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{SS}<\mathrm{MSST})$, could be calculated from the results of the bootstraps for two year constant catch projections.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This assessment used the base 04 model from the Stock Assessment 2004 report (Ortiz 2004) as the comparison point for the analysis as well the results from the 2000 assessment. For the present analysis, an 'equal' weighting option with the normal error assumption was assumed for all indices of abundance available, with the same time of year application as presented in the indices section and age coverage. The VPA model estimated nine fishing mortality rates in the last year, corresponding to the ages 2 through 10 , with fixed F ratios for ages 0,1 and $11+$. F ratios where defined as: $\mathrm{F}_{0} / \mathrm{F}_{2}=1.5, \mathrm{~F}_{1} / \mathrm{F}_{2}$ $=0.33$, and $\mathrm{F}_{11} / \mathrm{F}_{10}=1.0$.

For this assessment, the following updated data were available in comparison with the year 2000 SA: Commercial and recreational catch for calendar years 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001 and 2002. The whole Catch at Age (CAA) was updated for the fishing years 1981-82 through 2001-02. Also updated was the corresponding Partial CAA [1981-02 to 2001-02] for the following indices of abundance: the FLFWC_NW, FL-FWC_SW, Headboat, MRFSS, TX-PWD, Charter Florida Northwest and Charter Florida Southwest. Note that the age coverage for several indices changed after the revision of the historical Partial CAA age distribution plots. Figures 4 and 5 present for each index the proportional catch-age distribution of the Partial Catch at Age derived from the update CAA matrix. The age distribution of the Florida commercial catch for the northern region [Jul-Oct] indicated that significant proportion of ages 1 and 2 king were consistently caught in this fishery from 1985 to 2001 (the years of available index), in prior assessments, for this particular index only ages 3 to 6 were included. Also, for the Florida commercial southwest fishery [Nov-Dec] from 1985-2001 the updated Partial-CAA indicated that age 2 was consistently present in the catch, thus age coverage for this index was extended from ages 2 to 8 . The recreational MRFSS index was also modified in terms of ages coverage (previously it included only ages 2 to 8): the age distribution of the updated Partial-CAA indicated that age 1 was also a important component on this fishery. Therefore, for the MRFSS index age coverage was extended to include ages 1 through 8. Partial CAAs for the Charter Florida indices (north and south) were also revised. For the Charter Northwest [May-Oct] age coverage was extended to include ages 1 to 7, and for the Charter Southwest [Nov-Apr] age coverage included ages 1 to 8 . The proportion of directed catch by age for the commercial and recreational sectors was also estimated from the average of CAA by sector for the fishing years 1997-2001.

Table 12 presents the results of the deterministic run for the tuned VPA new-ALK model. These included the estimated abundance at age and year, the overall fishing mortality at age-year, parameter estimates for Ages 2 through 10 (with standard errors and coefficients of variation), indices fit and
residual, and estimated selectivity at age for each index (for all purposes this run was labeled New-ALK model). Figure 7 shows the distribution pattern of the indices residuals, and Figure 8 shows the plots of observed vs. predicted values for all ten indices. The predicted indices follow similar patterns as in the base-04 scenario.

Figure 9 shows a comparison of the new-ALK 2004 model estimates of stock size, fishing mortality rates and stock biomass (solid lines), with the corresponding estimates from the 2004 Base 04 model. In general, the new-ALK model estimated somewhat smaller stock sizes, particularly for ages 7 and older, from 1992 on, and somewhat greater biomass for ages 3 to 6 from 1997 on. The trend of stock size estimated for the plus group (age 11+) were different compared to the estimates from the 2004 Base 04 model. This was also reflected in the estimated biomass of older ages 7-11, where the new-ALK model estimated lower biomass especially in the recent years. The new-ALK model also estimated higher fishing mortality rates for ages 7 and older from 1984 on.

Figures 10 and 11 present estimates of Stock size and fishing mortality rates from the new-ALK model and compared it to corresponding values of the 2000 SA results (solid diamonds). Stock size trends showed similar patterns particularly for the younger age classes (ages 0-5). More different trends can be observed for older ages, particularly for the plus group (age 11+). Overall, the stock size estimated with the new-ALK model was somewhat lower in recent years compared to the estimates in 2000 SA. Fishing mortality rates were also in agreement for the younger age classes. The new-ALK model estimated much higher F mortalities for the older ages ( $8-11+$ ) particularly in the early and mid years (1981-82, and 1991-92). The overall F was greater from the new-ALK model, compared to the estimates from the 2000 SA .

The estimated of spawning potential ratios (SPR) are shown in Figure 12. The unweighted SPR trends showed an increase from 1983 to a peak in 1987, follow by a decrease until 1997, and since then an upward trend. The static SPR trends showed an overall increase since 1994, with a peak in 1999 and a decline in 2000, followed by increases in 2001 and 2002. The median 2002 static SPR estimates were above $30 \%$ ( $38.7 \%$ ), while the unweighted SPR was estimated below $30 \%$ in 2002 fishing year (26.1\%).

The proxies for stock status are based upon $\mathrm{F}_{30 \% \text { SPR }}$ and the two-line model of stock recruitment relationship described previously. These proxies were computed by projecting each bootstrap to the year 2070 under constant recruitment and estimated F mortality of $\mathrm{F}_{30 \% \text { SPR }}$ both specific to each bootstrap run. Similarly, proxies for the optimum yield (OY) were computed using $\mathrm{F}_{40 \% \text { SPR. The median }}$ and $80 \%$ confidence intervals for these MSY and OY related benchmarks are given in Table 13. The Base 04 model and the new-ALK model scenarios estimates of median and the deterministic run were similar for most of the benchmarks. Figure 18 shows a comparison of the benchmarks estimates for the 2004 base 04 model, and New-ALK model. In comparison, the base-04 model and New-ALK model estimated similar values for MSY and OY. Estimated of F bench marks were higher for the New-ALK model with large confidence bounds.

Using the bootstrap specific estimates of MFMT and MSST, the probability of being classified as undergoing overfishing or being overfished in fishing year 2002/03 were calculated. For the New-ALK model, 34 of the 500 bootstraps ( $7 \%$ ) estimated $\mathrm{F}_{2002}>$ MFMT (Fig 14), while 49 of the 500 bootstraps $(10 \%)$ estimated a $\mathrm{SS}_{2003}<$ MSST (Fig 15). In addition, the base 04 case estimated $\mathrm{F}_{2002}>\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{OY}}$ for 291 ( $58 \%$ ) out of 500 bootstraps. Since currently, the acceptable resource risk of being overfished or undergoing overfishing is not defined, no definite statement about stock status can be made. However, the Technical Guidelines (Restrepo et al 1998) recommend lower risk of exceeding threshold levels, suggesting that a value not be greater than $20-30 \%$ and certainly less than $50 \%$. Phase plots for the Gulf king mackerel stock status in fishing year 2002/03 are shown in Figure 16.

The fishing year 2003/2004 acceptable biological catch (ABC) for the New-ALK using an $\mathrm{F}_{30 \%}$ criterion had a median value of 12.0 million pounds, and estimated $80 \%$ pseudo confidence interval between 8.9 and 15.8 million pounds (Table 14 and Figure 17).
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Table 1. Number of king-aged samples per calendar year, fishing year and month for the Gulf king stock updated aged database 2004.


Atlantic

| YEAR | Fyear | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Grand <br> Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1986 | 1986 |  |  |  | 20 | 27 | 85 | 116 | 97 | 20 | 10 |  |  | 375 |
| 1987 | 1987 |  |  |  | 31 | 63 | 118 | 90 | 46 | 18 | 21 | 110 |  | 497 |
| 1988 | 1988 |  |  |  | 37 | 145 | 116 | 63 | 33 | 44 | 6 |  |  | 444 |
| 1989 | 1989 |  |  |  |  | 64 | 67 | 164 | 97 | 218 | 196 | 13 |  | 819 |
| 1990 | 1989 | 1 |  | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 19 |
|  | 1990 |  |  |  | 12 | 74 | 135 | 140 | 208 | 179 | 115 | 38 | 6 | 907 |
| 1991 | 1990 | 40 | 10 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 59 |
|  | 1991 |  |  |  | 24 | 40 | 55 | 158 | 145 | 141 | 131 | 34 | 17 | 745 |
| 1992 | 1991 | 17 | 13 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 31 |
|  | 1992 |  |  |  | 23 | 65 | 173 | 252 | 177 | 293 | 162 | 40 | 43 | 1228 |
| 1993 | 1992 | 19 | 65 | 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 124 |
|  | 1993 |  |  |  | 79 | 136 | 215 | 97 | 51 | 97 | 60 | 8 | 37 | 780 |
| 1994 | 1993 | 28 | 39 | 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 86 |
|  | 1994 |  |  |  | 47 | 187 | 35 | 103 | 88 | 241 | 44 | 13 | 31 | 789 |
| 1995 | 1994 |  |  | 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 27 |
|  | 1995 |  |  |  | 11 | 255 | 83 | 134 |  | 85 | 39 |  | 14 | 621 |
| 1996 | $1995$ | 8 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 19 |
|  | 1996 |  |  |  | 86 | 98 | 229 | 173 | 162 | 38 | 110 |  |  | 896 |
| 1997 | 1997 |  |  |  |  | 29 | 85 | 103 | 51 | 139 | 78 |  | 23 | 508 |
| 1998 | $1997$ | 17 | 15 | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 62 |
|  | 1998 |  |  |  |  | 158 | 70 | 143 | 34 | 148 | 97 | 25 |  | 675 |
| 1999 | 1998 | 19 | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 32 |
|  | 1999 |  |  |  | 160 | 164 | 92 | 53 | 147 | 102 | 43 | 82 |  | 843 |
| 2000 | 1999 |  |  | 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 26 |
|  | 2000 |  |  |  | 1 | 49 | 95 | 208 | 80 | 128 | 87 | 34 |  | 682 |
| 2001 | 2001 |  |  |  | 36 | 73 | 179 | 157 | 76 | 154 | 93 | 31 | 22 | 821 |
| 2002 | 2001 |  |  | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25 |
|  | 2002 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |

Table 2. Number of king-aged samples by 5 cm fork length size bin and year updated aged-data.
Gulf king

| SIZEBIN | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | Grand Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 35-40 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| 40-45 |  | 4 |  | 9 |  | 5 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 21 |
| 45-50 |  | 19 | 1 | 27 |  | 43 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 15 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 140 |
| 50-55 | 11 | 18 | 6 | 64 | 8 | 46 | 15 | 12 | 10 | 9 | 13 | 13 | 3 | 34 | 5 | 1 | 8 | 276 |
| 55-60 | 24 | 37 | 12 | 43 | 59 | 76 | 85 | 35 | 32 | 19 | 58 | 59 | 9 | 26 | 17 | 10 | 11 | 612 |
| 60-65 | 22 | 38 | 29 | 61 | 47 | 53 | 113 | 30 | 45 | 55 | 143 | 76 | 44 | 45 | 66 | 79 | 85 | 1031 |
| 65-70 | 22 | 101 | 56 | 31 | 79 | 133 | 134 | 110 | 74 | 83 | 202 | 132 | 56 | 66 | 74 | 104 | 122 | 1579 |
| 70-75 | 27 | 125 | 52 | 47 | 66 | 208 | 155 | 154 | 111 | 71 | 180 | 178 | 108 | 54 | 78 | 489 | 269 | 2372 |
| 75-80 | 28 | 77 | 75 | 82 | 52 | 206 | 183 | 184 | 130 | 84 | 174 | 137 | 102 | 63 | 87 | 350 | 274 | 2288 |
| 80-85 | 23 | 69 | 77 | 85 | 63 | 164 | 169 | 150 | 104 | 101 | 380 | 212 | 91 | 69 | 211 | 261 | 151 | 2380 |
| 85-90 | 21 | 78 | 70 | 69 | 51 | 133 | 123 | 133 | 117 | 123 | 247 | 165 | 58 | 43 | 151 | 154 | 71 | 1807 |
| 90-95 | 37 | 62 | 56 | 71 | 50 | 121 | 111 | 100 | 85 | 107 | 169 | 84 | 40 | 34 | 83 | 92 | 45 | 1347 |
| 95-100 | 21 | 56 | 39 | 49 | 53 | 89 | 72 | 94 | 56 | 87 | 62 | 51 | 41 | 27 | 40 | 74 | 25 | 936 |
| 100-105 | 14 | 36 | 28 | 51 | 20 | 93 | 61 | 79 | 49 | 59 | 47 | 46 | 36 | 20 | 16 | 32 | 19 | 706 |
| 105-110 | 12 | 36 | 39 | 22 | 41 | 70 | 44 | 49 | 51 | 62 | 39 | 25 | 29 | 10 | 11 | 22 | 10 | 572 |
| 110-115 | 10 | 33 | 25 | 23 | 26 | 37 | 45 | 46 | 40 | 44 | 28 | 13 | 29 | 11 | 11 | 23 | 3 | 447 |
| 115-120 | 11 | 29 | 36 | 34 | 26 | 44 | 36 | 40 | 31 | 45 | 22 | 6 | 18 | 14 | 12 | 8 | 3 | 415 |
| 120-125 | 8 | 34 | 30 | 16 | 14 | 31 | 30 | 28 | 28 | 26 | 21 | 2 | 24 | 21 | 9 | 8 | 3 | 333 |
| 125-130 | 6 | 16 | 18 | 20 | 12 | 24 | 20 | 27 | 26 | 21 | 9 | 2 | 8 | 13 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 230 |
| 130-135 |  | 25 | 15 | 19 | 13 | 9 | 6 | 10 | 12 | 14 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 12 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 152 |
| 135-140 | 3 | 13 | 10 | 11 | 5 | 7 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 85 |
| 140-145 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 7 | 5 | 2 |  | 4 | 3 | 3 |  | 1 | 2 |  |  |  | 1 | 46 |
| 145-150 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 26 |
| 150-155 |  | 1 | 2 |  |  | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 7 |
| 155-160 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |

Atlantic king

| SIZEBIN | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | Grand Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30-35 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 35-40 | 1 |  |  | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| 40-45 | 6 | 5 | 1 |  | 3 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | 19 |
| 45-50 | 15 | 30 |  |  | 11 | 3 | 2 | 1 |  | 2 | 1 | 12 |  | 2 |  |  |  | 79 |
| 50-55 | 25 | 23 | 5 | 4 | 7 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 5 |  | 18 |  |  |  | 109 |
| 55-60 | 14 | 35 | 15 | 13 | 5 | 23 | 11 | 4 | 9 | 18 | 16 | 5 | 9 | 23 | 1 | 1 |  | 202 |
| 60-65 | 32 | 29 | 25 | 14 | 20 | 32 | 22 | 19 | 27 | 29 | 47 | 8 | 19 | 33 | 18 | 14 |  | 388 |
| 65-70 | 41 | 17 | 37 | 29 | 51 | 42 | 81 | 39 | 29 | 17 | 56 | 26 | 44 | 48 | 24 | 31 | 2 | 614 |
| 70-75 | 53 | 42 | 57 | 78 | 70 | 103 | 184 | 116 | 91 | 29 | 62 | 39 | 71 | 77 | 24 | 93 | 2 | 1191 |
| 75-80 | 66 | 39 | 53 | 113 | 97 | 84 | 171 | 138 | 144 | 93 | 90 | 42 | 86 | 105 | 62 | 111 | 8 | 1502 |
| 80-85 | 25 | 50 | 49 | 115 | 136 | 95 | 165 | 121 | 138 | 109 | 89 | 68 | 87 | 108 | 61 | 95 | 6 | 1517 |
| 85-90 | 21 | 45 | 39 | 119 | 132 | 84 | 132 | 120 | 107 | 94 | 107 | 50 | 61 | 96 | 82 | 92 | 4 | 1385 |
| 90-95 | 27 | 42 | 46 | 103 | 81 | 68 | 117 | 79 | 75 | 75 | 123 | 52 | 60 | 99 | 69 | 74 | 5 | 1195 |
| 95-100 | 20 | 29 | 35 | 55 | 65 | 62 | 82 | 58 | 45 | 38 | 102 | 44 | 60 | 71 | 84 | 72 |  | 922 |
| 100-105 | 8 | 22 | 21 | 40 | 57 | 49 | 80 | 67 | 49 | 39 | 82 | 47 | 61 | 53 | 65 | 55 |  | 795 |
| 105-110 | 7 | 13 | 12 | 39 | 48 | 39 | 54 | 41 | 49 | 34 | 39 | 32 | 45 | 36 | 62 | 43 |  | 593 |
| 110-115 | 5 | 23 | 14 | 39 | 41 | 30 | 46 | 28 | 32 | 19 | 34 | 26 | 38 | 29 | 45 | 40 |  | 489 |
| 115-120 | 4 | 9 | 14 | 25 | 37 | 31 | 38 | 26 | 29 | 19 | 27 | 20 | 31 | 28 | 38 | 30 |  | 406 |
| 120-125 | 2 | 19 | 12 | 17 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 12 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 8 | 27 | 23 | 33 | 34 |  | 316 |
| 125-130 | 2 | 12 | 8 | 10 | 21 | 18 | 30 | 16 | 17 | 7 | 8 | 10 | 17 | 10 | 24 | 20 |  | 230 |
| 130-135 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 11 | 8 | 8 | 3 | 3 | 7 | 12 | 7 | 14 | 9 |  | 104 |
| 135-140 |  | 3 |  |  | 6 | 6 |  | 4 | 5 |  | 5 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 6 |  | 51 |
| 140-145 |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  | 2 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  | 14 |
| 145-150 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 8 |
| 150-155 |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 7 |

Table 3. Total number of aged-samples per year for king mackerel by stock and region. For the new ALK only years with 400 or more aged-fish were constructed (shaded areas).

| Aged- <br> samples | Stock |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| YEAR | Region | GOM-WGF |  |  |  |
| 1986 | 375 | GOM-EGF | 99 | 682 |  |
| 1987 | 497 | 208 | 334 | 1421 |  |
| 1988 | 444 | 590 | 317 | 1126 |  |
| 1989 | 819 | 365 | 355 | 1664 |  |
| 1990 | 926 | 490 | 236 | 1618 |  |
| 1991 | 804 | 456 | 377 | 2404 |  |
| 1992 | 1259 | 1223 | 361 | 2672 |  |
| 1993 | 904 | 1052 | 140 | 2197 |  |
| 1994 | 875 | 1153 | 80 | 1891 |  |
| 1995 | 648 | 936 |  | 1674 |  |
| 1996 | 915 | 1026 | 2719 |  |  |
| 1997 | 508 | 1804 |  | 1718 |  |
| 1998 | 737 | 1210 |  | 1443 |  |
| 1999 | 875 | 706 | 1457 |  |  |
| 2000 | 708 | 582 |  | 1599 |  |
| 2001 | 821 | 1711 |  | 2532 |  |
| 2002 | 27 | 1111 |  | 1138 |  |

Table 4. Catch in numbers of fish per year (calendar) and corresponding percent per quarter for Gulf king or the Western Gulf region. Catch distribution in the Gulf of Mexico follows the percentages of catch by State as follows: FL 100\% EGF, AL-MS 46.9\% EGF, LA 34.2\% EGF and TX 7.0\% EGF.

| STOCK <br> REGION | Gulf <br> WGF |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Quarter |  |  | Percent |  |  |  |  |  |
| YR | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Total | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 1981 |  | 118,278 | 102,641 | 3,915 | 224,834 | 0\% | 53\% | 46\% | 2\% |
| 1982 |  | 19,606 | 214,463 | 166,478 | 400,547 | 0\% | 5\% | 54\% | 42\% |
| 1983 | 30,245 | 19,024 | 75,820 | 15,921 | 141,010 | 21\% | 13\% | 54\% | 11\% |
| 1984 | 3,154 | 5,780 | 65,867 | 36,224 | 111,025 | 3\% | 5\% | 59\% | 33\% |
| 1985 | 6,411 | 16,740 | 60,385 | 32,061 | 115,597 | 6\% | 14\% | 52\% | 28\% |
| 1986 | 6,240 | 11,748 | 30,550 | 9,294 | 57,832 | 11\% | 20\% | 53\% | 16\% |
| 1987 | 9,501 | 27,624 | 42,624 | 8,286 | 88,035 | 11\% | 31\% | 48\% | 9\% |
| 1988 | 112 | 6,943 | 66,215 | 11,678 | 84,948 | 0\% | 8\% | 78\% | 14\% |
| 1989 | 282 | 3,120 | 42,683 | 21,671 | 67,756 | 0\% | 5\% | 63\% | 32\% |
| 1990 | 422 | 7,877 | 77,257 | 22,018 | 107,574 | 0\% | 7\% | 72\% | 20\% |
| 1991 | 380 | 3,462 | 72,689 | 12,262 | 88,793 | 0\% | 4\% | 82\% | 14\% |
| 1992 | 920 | 32,003 | 120,310 | 22,970 | 176,203 | 1\% | 18\% | 68\% | 13\% |
| 1993 | 284 | 18,010 | 141,490 | 14,495 | 174,279 | 0\% | 10\% | 81\% | 8\% |
| 1994 | 1,403 | 20,024 | 96,502 | 13,440 | 131,369 | 1\% | 15\% | 73\% | 10\% |
| 1995 | 1,110 | 25,399 | 89,397 | 8,273 | 124,179 | 1\% | 20\% | 72\% | 7\% |
| 1996 | 312 | 16,144 | 83,712 | 9,060 | 109,228 | 0\% | 15\% | 77\% | 8\% |
| 1997 | 1,629 | 26,546 | 122,346 | 14,033 | 164,554 | 1\% | 16\% | 74\% | 9\% |
| 1998 | 10,875 | 25,371 | 123,029 | 6,581 | 165,856 | 7\% | 15\% | 74\% | 4\% |
| 1999 | 684 | 19,911 | 119,223 | 6,391 | 146,209 | 0\% | 14\% | 82\% | 4\% |
| 2000 | 4,098 | 17,451 | 125,475 | 20,324 | 167,348 | 2\% | 10\% | 75\% | 12\% |
| 2001 | 1,829 | 11,883 | 78,272 | 31,694 | 123,678 | 1\% | 10\% | 63\% | 26\% |
| 2002 | 1,566 | 21,016 |  |  | 22,582 | 7\% | 93\% | 0\% | 0\% |

Table 5. Catch in numbers of fish per year (calendar) and corresponding percent per quarter for Gulf king or the Eastern Gulf region. Catch distribution in the Gulf of Mexico follows the percentages of catch by State as follows: FL 100\% EGF, AL-MS 46.9\% EGF, LA 34.2\% EGF and TX 7.0\% EGF.

| STOCK <br> REGION |  | Gulf <br> EGF |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Quarter |  |  | Percent |  |  |  |  |  |
| YR |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Total | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
|  | 1981 | 991,687 | 116,006 | 32,234 | 211,842 | 1,351,769 | 73\% | 9\% | 2\% | 16\% |
|  | 1982 | 546,476 | 36,097 | 166,180 | 284,381 | 1,033,134 | 53\% | 3\% | 16\% | 28\% |
|  | 1983 | 375,647 | 14,962 | 208,354 | 71,856 | 670,819 | 56\% | 2\% | 31\% | 11\% |
|  | 1984 | 337,902 | 12,015 | 120,906 | 211,356 | 682,179 | 50\% | 2\% | 18\% | 31\% |
|  | 1985 | 245,996 | 20,564 | 46,806 | 76,966 | 390,332 | 63\% | 5\% | 12\% | 20\% |
|  | 1986 | 310,575 | 16,275 | 61,518 | 117,190 | 505,558 | 61\% | 3\% | 12\% | 23\% |
|  | 1987 | 212,142 | 146,769 | 128,592 | 211,215 | 698,718 | 30\% | 21\% | 18\% | 30\% |
|  | 1988 | 13,795 | 9,978 | 206,046 | 281,351 | 511,170 | 3\% | 2\% | 40\% | 55\% |
|  | 1989 | 48,208 | 30,439 | 117,038 | 206,575 | 402,260 | 12\% | 8\% | 29\% | 51\% |
|  | 1990 | 181,885 | 119,617 | 127,704 | 282,984 | 712,190 | 26\% | 17\% | 18\% | 40\% |
|  | 1991 | 131,660 | 73,296 | 371,500 | 243,019 | 819,475 | 16\% | 9\% | 45\% | 30\% |
|  | 1992 | 149,285 | 79,330 | 166,628 | 211,985 | 607,228 | 25\% | 13\% | 27\% | 35\% |
|  | 1993 | 412,461 | 89,583 | 143,705 | 271,553 | 917,302 | 45\% | 10\% | 16\% | 30\% |
|  | 1994 | 265,970 | 93,469 | 180,214 | 208,752 | 748,405 | 36\% | 12\% | 24\% | 28\% |
|  | 1995 | 458,893 | 137,900 | 67,012 | 166,097 | 829,902 | 55\% | 17\% | 8\% | 20\% |
|  | 1996 | 405,038 | 172,503 | 151,058 | 248,454 | 977,053 | 41\% | 18\% | 15\% | 25\% |
|  | 1997 | 433,210 | 78,495 | 134,166 | 346,627 | 992,498 | 44\% | 8\% | 14\% | 35\% |
|  | 1998 | 380,911 | 75,542 | 126,015 | 222,157 | 804,625 | 47\% | 9\% | 16\% | 28\% |
|  | 1999 | 416,483 | 87,651 | 117,346 | 180,902 | 802,382 | 52\% | 11\% | 15\% | 23\% |
|  | 2000 | 276,114 | 80,858 | 187,336 | 162,925 | 707,233 | 39\% | 11\% | 26\% | 23\% |
|  | 2001 | 326,815 | 87,473 | 160,558 | 152,352 | 727,198 | 45\% | 12\% | 22\% | 21\% |
|  | 2002 | 316,214 | 135,414 |  |  | 451,628 | 70\% | 30\% | 0\% | 0\% |

Table 6. Catch in numbers of fish per year (calendar) and corresponding percent per quarter for Atlantic king (North of North Carolina aged-samples were not included in the ALK development).
STOCK Atlantic

|  |  | Quarter |  |  | Percent |  |  |  | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YR |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Total | 1 | 2 |  |  |
|  | 1981 | 2,200 | 182,443 | 294,880 | 208,683 | 688,206 | 14\% | 33\% | 27\% | 26\% |
|  | 1982 | 83,039 | 388,677 | 388,841 | 130,423 | 990,980 | 16\% | 33\% | 28\% | 23\% |
|  | 1983 | 1,799 | 381,154 | 383,848 | 133,198 | 899,999 | 15\% | 32\% | 29\% | 24\% |
|  | 1984 | 7,098 | 194,644 | 495,872 | 98,848 | 796,462 | 15\% | 32\% | 31\% | 22\% |
|  | 1985 | 4,523 | 305,639 | 410,526 | 312,263 | 1,032,951 | 11\% | 29\% | 30\% | 29\% |
|  | 1986 | 21,425 | 329,830 | 453,090 | 139,411 | 943,756 | 10\% | 32\% | 35\% | 22\% |
|  | 1987 | 44,769 | 364,615 | 310,573 | 184,980 | 904,937 | 9\% | 35\% | 36\% | 21\% |
|  | 1988 | 22,347 | 356,105 | 344,212 | 155,937 | 878,601 | 9\% | 38\% | 33\% | 19\% |
|  | 1989 | 25,503 | 229,383 | 252,637 | 118,970 | 626,493 | 9\% | 36\% | 33\% | 22\% |
|  | 1990 | 54,215 | 243,014 | 301,200 | 157,575 | 756,004 | 9\% | 34\% | 34\% | 23\% |
|  | 1991 | 43,155 | 269,151 | 363,993 | 198,941 | 875,240 | 9\% | 33\% | 36\% | 22\% |
|  | 1992 | 88,889 | 263,876 | 426,189 | 192,787 | 971,741 | 9\% | 35\% | 35\% | 22\% |
|  | 1993 | 42,577 | 210,131 | 201,437 | 128,554 | 582,699 | 13\% | 34\% | 33\% | 20\% |
|  | 1994 | 40,416 | 229,694 | 202,151 | 133,062 | 605,323 | 9\% | 35\% | 33\% | 23\% |
|  | 1995 | 40,155 | 215,475 | 221,915 | 177,939 | 655,484 | 10\% | 35\% | 33\% | 21\% |
|  | 1996 | 25,885 | 260,992 | 193,193 | 124,756 | 604,826 | 10\% | 34\% | 33\% | 23\% |
|  | 1997 | 116,281 | 316,144 | 263,371 | 160,203 | 855,999 | 10\% | 34\% | 32\% | 24\% |
|  | 1998 | 50,918 | 345,036 | 160,909 | 163,212 | 720,075 | 11\% | 32\% | 33\% | 24\% |
|  | 1999 | 50,227 | 253,443 | 177,524 | 133,695 | 614,889 | 10\% | 37\% | 32\% | 21\% |
|  | 2000 | 41,211 | 252,236 | 321,338 | 155,869 | 770,654 | 12\% | 33\% | 32\% | 23\% |
|  | 2001 | 21,909 | 240,504 | 175,980 | 87,803 | 526,196 | 10\% | 35\% | 32\% | 22\% |
|  | 2002 | 17,348 |  |  |  | 17,348 | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |

Table 7. Percent distribution of king-aged samples per calendar year and by quarter for each of the stocks, Atlantic and Gulf, and region in the Gulf of Mexico.

| Region | YEAR | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Region | YEAR | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EGF | 1986 | 0\% | 0\% | 98\% | 2\% | WGF | 1986 | 0\% | 17\% | 82\% | 1\% |
|  | 1987 | 8\% | 33\% | 53\% | 5\% |  | 1987 | 0\% | 21\% | 79\% | 0\% |
|  | 1988 | 0\% | 11\% | 83\% | 7\% |  | 1988 | 0\% | 36\% | 64\% | 0\% |
|  | 1989 | 7\% | 10\% | 76\% | 7\% |  | 1989 | 0\% | 37\% | 50\% | 13\% |
|  | 1990 | 11\% | 25\% | 52\% | 12\% |  | 1990 | 0\% | 55\% | 39\% | 6\% |
|  | 1991 | 4\% | 28\% | 46\% | 21\% |  | 1991 | 0\% | 20\% | 80\% | 0\% |
|  | 1992 | 11\% | 23\% | 55\% | 11\% |  | 1992 | 0\% | 39\% | 54\% | 7\% |
|  | 1993 | 6\% | 21\% | 58\% | 16\% |  | 1993 | 0\% | 16\% | 77\% | 7\% |
|  | 1994 | 3\% | 28\% | 46\% | 24\% |  | 1994 | 0\% | 30\% | 70\% | 0\% |
|  | 1995 | 4\% | 24\% | 30\% | 42\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1996 | 16\% | 21\% | 26\% | 37\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1997 | 53\% | 14\% | 23\% | 10\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1998 | 42\% | 15\% | 12\% | 32\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1999 | 33\% | 16\% | 25\% | 26\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2000 | 59\% | 6\% | 12\% | 22\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2001 | 64\% | 1\% | 15\% | 20\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2002 | 88\% | 12\% | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Region | YEAR | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| ATL | 1986 | $0 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
|  | 1987 | $0 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $26 \%$ |
|  | 1988 | $0 \%$ | $67 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
|  | 1989 | $0 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $26 \%$ |
|  | 1990 | $2 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $57 \%$ | $17 \%$ |
|  | 1991 | $7 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $23 \%$ |
|  | 1992 | $2 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $57 \%$ | $19 \%$ |
|  | 1993 | $14 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
|  | 1994 | $10 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
|  | 1995 | $4 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
|  | 1996 | $2 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
|  | 1997 | $0 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
|  | 1998 | $8 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $17 \%$ |
|  | 1999 | $4 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
|  | 2000 | $4 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $59 \%$ | $17 \%$ |
|  | 2001 | $0 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $47 \%$ | $18 \%$ |
|  | 2002 | $93 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |

Table 8. Instruction file input for the ageing of king mackerel. SAR refers to the stochastic length deconvolution method for ageing, KEY refers to Age-Length-Keys (shade area). The instruction file is given by stock and region for the Gulf king. For the Western Gulf of Mexico, there were not aged samples after 1994. However, the algorithms have applied the Eastern Gulf Keys for the years, quarters that were selected in the EGF region. There were not aged-samples of king mackerel prior to 1986.

2002 SA Instruction File for King mackerel

| Year | Area | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Area | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Area | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1985 | ATL | SAR | SAR | SAR | SAR | EGF | SAR | SAR | SAR | SAR | WGF | SAR | SAR | SAR | SAR |
| 1986 | ATL | SAR | KEY | KEY | SAR | EGF | SAR | KEY | KEY | SAR | WGF | SAR | KEY | KEY | SAR |
| 1987 | ATL | SAR | KEY | KEY | SAR | EGF | SAR | KEY | KEY | SAR | WGF | SAR | KEY | KEY | SAR |
| 1988 | ATL | SAR | KEY | KEY | KEY | EgF | KEY | SAR | SAR | KEY | WGF | KEY | SAR | SAR | KEY |
| 1989 | ATL | SAR | KEY | KEY | KEY | EGF | SAR | KEY | KEY | KEY | WGF | SAR | KEY | KEY | KEY |
| 1990 | ATL | SAR | KEY | KEY | KEY | EgF | SAR | KEY | KEY | SAR | WGF | SAR | KEY | KEY | SAR |
| 1991 | ATL | SAR | KEY | KEY | KEY | EGF | SAR | KEY | KEY | SAR | WGF | SAR | KEY | KEY | SAR |
| 1992 | ATL | SAR | KEY | KEY | KEY | EGF | KEY | KEY | KEY | SAR | WGF | SAR | KEY | KEY | SAR |
| 1993 | ATL | SAR | KEY | KEY | KEY | EgF | KEY | KEY | KEY | KEY | WGF | SAR | SAR | KEY | SAR |
| 1994 | ATL | SAR | KEY | KEY | KEY | EGF | KEY | KEY | KEY | KEY | WGF | SAR | SAR | SAR | SAR |
| 1995 | ATL | SAR | KEY | KEY | KEY | EGF | SAR | KEY | KEY | KEY | WGF | SAR | SAR | SAR | SAR |
| 1996 | ATL | SAR | KEY | KEY | KEY | EgF | KEY | KEY | KEY | KEY | WGF | SAR | SAR | SAR | SAR |
| 1997 | ATL | SAR | KEY | KEY | KEY | EgF | KEY | KEY | KEY | KEY | WGF | SAR | SAR | SAR | SAR |
| 1998 | ATL | KEY | KEY | KEY | KEY | EgF | KEY | KEY | KEY | KEY | WGF | SAR | SAR | SAR | SAR |
| 1999 | ATL | SAR | KEY | KEY | KEY | EGF | SAR | SAR | KEY | KEY | WGF | SAR | SAR | SAR | SAR |
| 2000 | ATL | SAR | KEY | KEY | KEY | EgF | KEY | KEY | KEY | KEY | WGF | SAR | SAR | SAR | SAR |
| 2001 | ATL | SAR | KEY | KEY | KEY | EgF | KEY | SAR | KEY | KEY | wGF | SAR | SAR | SAR | SAR |
| 2002 | ATL | SAR | SAR | SAR | SAR | EGF | KEY | KEY | SAR | SAR | WGF | SAR | SAR | SAR | SAR |

New Instruction File for King Mackerel (After revision of ALK 2004)

| Year |  | Area | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Area | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Area | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1981 | ATL | SAR | SAR | SAR | SAR | EGF | SAR | SAR | SAR | SAR | WGF | SAR | SAR | SAR | SAR |
|  | 1982 | ATL | SAR | SAR | SAR | SAR | EGF | SAR | SAR | SAR | SAR | WGF | SAR | SAR | SAR | SAR |
|  | 1983 | ATL | SAR | SAR | SAR | SAR | EGF | SAR | SAR | SAR | SAR | WGF | SAR | SAR | SAR | SAR |
|  | 1984 | ATL | SAR | SAR | SAR | SAR | EGF | SAR | SAR | SAR | SAR | WGF | SAR | SAR | SAR | SAR |
|  | 1985 | ATL | SAR | SAR | SAR | SAR | EGF | SAR | SAR | SAR | SAR | WGF | SAR | SAR | SAR | SAR |
|  | 1986 | ATL | SAR | SAR | SAR | SAR | EGF | SAR | SAR | SAR | SAR | WGF | SAR | SAR | SAR | SAR |
|  | 1987 | ATL | SAR | KEY | KEY | KEY | EGF | KEY | KEY | KEY | SAR | WGF | SAR | SAR | SAR | SAR |
|  | 1988 | ATL | SAR | KEY | KEY | KEY | EGF | SAR | SAR | SAR | SAR | WGF | SAR | SAR | SAR | SAR |
|  | 1989 | ATL | SAR | KEY | KEY | KEY | EGF | KEY | KEY | KEY | SAR | WGF | SAR | SAR | SAR | SAR |
|  | 1990 | ATL | SAR | KEY | KEY | KEY | EGF | KEY | KEY | KEY | SAR | WGF | SAR | SAR | SAR | SAR |
|  | 1991 | ATL | SAR | KEY | KEY | KEY | EGF | SAR | KEY | KEY | KEY | WGF | SAR | SAR | SAR | SAR |
|  | 1992 | ATL | SAR | KEY | KEY | KEY | EGF | KEY | KEY | KEY | KEY | WGF | SAR | SAR | SAR | SAR |
|  | 1993 | ATL | KEY | KEY | KEY | KEY | EGF | SAR | KEY | KEY | KEY | WGF | SAR | SAR | SAR | SAR |
|  | 1994 | ATL | KEY | KEY | KEY | KEY | EGF | SAR | KEY | KEY | KEY | WGF | SAR | SAR | SAR | SAR |
|  | 1995 | ATL | SAR | KEY | KEY | KEY | EgF | SAR | KEY | KEY | KEY | WGF | SAR | SAR | SAR | SAR |
|  | 1996 | ATL | SAR | KEY | KEY | KEY | EgF | KEY | KEY | KEY | KEY | WGF | SAR | SAR | SAR | SAR |
|  | 1997 | ATL | SAR | KEY | KEY | KEY | EGF | KEY | KEY | KEY | KEY | WGF | SAR | SAR | SAR | SAR |
|  | 1998 | ATL | KEY | KEY | KEY | KEY | EGF | KEY | KEY | KEY | KEY | WGF | SAR | SAR | SAR | SAR |
|  | 1999 | ATL | SAR | KEY | KEY | KEY | EGF | KEY | KEY | KEY | KEY | WGF | SAR | SAR | SAR | SAR |
|  | 2000 | ATL | SAR | KEY | KEY | KEY | EGF | KEY | SAR | KEY | KEY | WGF | SAR | SAR | SAR | SAR |
|  | 2001 | ATL | SAR | KEY | KEY | KEY | EgF | KEY | SAR | KEY | KEY | WGF | SAR | SAR | SAR | SAR |
|  | 2002 | ATL | SAR | SAR | SAR | SAR | EGF | KEY | KEY | SAR | SAR | WGF | SAR | SAR | SAR | SAR |

Table 9. Comparison of the CAA matrices for Atlantic king mackerel. 2003 CAA refers to the CAA input of the Atlantic king stock assessment. The last table shows the percent difference between 2003 and 2004 CAA matrices, negative percentages (dark shade) indicated higher proportion at age for the 2004 CAA matrix compare to equivalent value in 2003 CAA.

| Atlantic |  |  |  |  | 2003 CAA input |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FYear | Age 0 | Age 1 | Age 2 | Age 3 | Age 4 | Age 5 | Age 6 | Age 7 | Age 8 | Age 9 | Age 10 | Age 11 | Total Age |
| 1981 | 589.38 | 5853.54 | 14334.98 | 55953.81 | 154113.28 | 131162.42 | 101578.57 | 134701.92 | 52511.34 | 72984.77 | 21095.49 | 27268.27 | 772147.77 |
| 1982 | 2808.94 | 5519.44 | 5750.1 | 20652.77 | 72034.66 | 170069.45 | 168340.73 | 163125.18 | 154633.36 | 27181.01 | 2197.39 | 119087.12 | 911400.15 |
| 1983 | 3693.41 | 29287.4 | 60258.61 | 100524.46 | 70140.77 | 138440.2 | 72810.72 | 128808.69 | 137134.65 | 68940.21 | 31200.43 | 64688.87 | 905928.42 |
| 1984 | 1175.27 | 4165.08 | 10079.29 | 19650.82 | 102211.61 | 135161.38 | 119135 | 143956.65 | 54024.89 | 67192.18 | 57805.22 | 79609.66 | 794167.05 |
| 1985 | 1117.09 | 86458.97 | 126497.83 | 25568.31 | 64834.9 | 98825.55 | 133339.54 | 168219.4 | 201312.74 | 59322.97 | 18480.02 | 67228.94 | 1051206.26 |
| 1986 | 1440.51 | 118293.06 | 221906.89 | 115697.3 | 141440.49 | 63701.59 | 62909.51 | 92826.53 | 56918.47 | 17873.24 | 26755.6 | 57397.2 | 977160.39 |
| 1987 | 6150.74 | 197818.66 | 212011.55 | 139893.01 | 95071.83 | 73754.68 | 40806.9 | 33794.04 | 23014.04 | 13911.85 | 11902.09 | 43636.18 | 891765.57 |
| 1988 | 1756.75 | 19394.06 | 217480.03 | 192579.32 | 113239.85 | 60041.19 | 60993.25 | 62594.81 | 22416 | 46997.53 | 21217.57 | 77820.07 | 896530.43 |
| 1989 | 997.09 | 69084.17 | 101675.88 | 137946.41 | 98881.01 | 69186.64 | 45230.73 | 31705.03 | 16741.27 | 9811.98 | 41948.82 | 40375.71 | 663584.74 |
| 1990 | 608.24 | 134813.4 | 162793.98 | 78594.17 | 91287.24 | 81532.41 | 60087.08 | 26523.8 | 15597.27 | 27180.51 | 14470.46 | 56011.44 | 749500 |
| 1991 | 243.19 | 95988.12 | 321247.52 | 103736.46 | 70365.15 | 99801.87 | 83573.29 | 45918.66 | 30852.13 | 11984.65 | 8083.62 | 62224.51 | 934019.17 |
| 1992 | 545.56 | 77386.44 | 259453.13 | 279930.63 | 70899.66 | 43701.37 | 52410.52 | 46267.19 | 18953.62 | 18359.6 | 12190.88 | 62402.48 | 942501.08 |
| 1993 | 1081.3 | 48763.96 | 85149.13 | 129162.53 | 110448.3 | 32380.39 | 34361.06 | 34026.2 | 42321.19 | 18568.67 | 17947.4 | 45916.56 | 600126.69 |
| 1994 | 2.95 | 90442.62 | 140720.96 | 64185.47 | 75288.68 | 88967.98 | 42433.43 | 15377.55 | 20874.3 | 32298.27 | 13322.09 | 23653.03 | 607567.33 |
| 1995 | 59.06 | 112772.04 | 149017.33 | 88812.15 | 52138.33 | 61113.28 | 75641.88 | 20363.59 | 18559.79 | 20178.17 | 18805.3 | 26163.91 | 643624.83 |
| 1996 | 947.39 | 52538.5 | 184572 | 136548.84 | 93208.59 | 62160.86 | 34061.5 | 63734.58 | 25324.96 | 13652 | 5925.22 | 24440.21 | 697114.65 |
| 1997 | 2817.45 | 93356.51 | 282752.69 | 134056.45 | 83878.69 | 52738.33 | 29568.42 | 40469.98 | 40788.34 | 16502.39 | 5160.96 | 26457.1 | 808547.31 |
| 1998 | 7541.04 | 58509.2 | 177637.23 | 181957.77 | 100692.55 | 63644.76 | 35261.26 | 19778.18 | 27048.2 | 26661.22 | 6916.39 | 20730.91 | 726378.71 |
| 1999 | 1479.37 | 81222.73 | 104992.36 | 139062.46 | 127861.82 | 57181.47 | 29670.17 | 11607.77 | 11057.89 | 17605.92 | 15539.22 | 12377.43 | 609658.61 |
| 2000 | 887.61 | 17600.56 | 278528.27 | 99654.78 | 161876.84 | 88429.7 | 38838.05 | 13536.9 | 9905.61 | 9399.16 | 17208.7 | 36168.55 | 772034.73 |
| 2001 | 0 | 16448.44 | 94104.88 | 134326.76 | 65729.07 | 85685.72 | 45851.1 | 19220.42 | 8595.61 | 5596.1 | 7649.71 | 42919.25 | 526127.06 |

2004 CAA input new ALK


| FYear | Age 0 | Age 1 | Age 2 | Age 3 | Age 4 | Age 5 | Age 6 | Age 7 | Age 8 | Age 9 | Age 10 | Age 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1981/82 | -1.9\% | -14.1\% | -4.4\% | 0.0\% | 9.5\% | 5.9\% | -3.3\% | 11.0\% | -1.3\% | 4.1\% | -1.5\% | -4.1\% |
| 1982/83 | -0.3\% | -0.4\% | -1.4\% | 0.9\% | 2.1\% | 7.7\% | 1.2\% | 0.3\% | 4.5\% | -5.9\% | -5.0\% | -3.6\% |
| 1983/84 | 0.2\% | 1.2\% | 3.2\% | 4.0\% | -4.1\% | 6.6\% | -7.4\% | 5.8\% | -3.0\% | 3.2\% | 1.2\% | -10.9\% |
| 1984/85 | -2.1\% | -3.7\% | 0.2\% | 1.1\% | 6.3\% | 4.7\% | -0.6\% | 0.1\% | -2.8\% | 3.1\% | 4.6\% | -10.9\% |
| 1985/86 | -2.4\% | 7.4\% | 2.7\% | -8.3\% | 2.2\% | 1.8\% | 0.8\% | 7.4\% | 2.8\% | -6.7\% | 0.1\% | -7.7\% |
| 1986/87 | -4.3\% | 6.9\% | 10.2\% | 4.4\% | 2.8\% | -3.7\% | -1.8\% | 0.1\% | -2.3\% | -5.0\% | -0.1\% | -7.4\% |
| 1987/88 | 0.5\% | 3.5\% | 3.9\% | 1.2\% | 0.2\% | -0.7\% | -0.8\% | -1.2\% | -1.0\% | -0.9\% | -0.7\% | -4.1\% |
| 1988/89 | 0.2\% | 0.3\% | 7.0\% | -2.8\% | -1.9\% | 3.1\% | 2.0\% | 0.6\% | -0.6\% | -3.0\% | -0.2\% | -4.6\% |
| 1989/90 | 0.2\% | 3.1\% | 4.6\% | 4.3\% | 0.2\% | -0.2\% | -1.5\% | -0.3\% | -1.6\% | -1.3\% | -3.1\% | -4.4\% |
| 1990/91 | 0.1\% | 5.6\% | 5.6\% | 0.5\% | -0.6\% | -1.0\% | -1.3\% | -1.3\% | -0.5\% | -0.8\% | -1.2\% | -4.9\% |
| 1991/92 | 0.0\% | 5.0\% | 7.1\% | -1.5\% | 1.1\% | -1.7\% | -3.0\% | -0.6\% | -2.1\% | -0.5\% | -0.2\% | -3.5\% |
| 1992/93 | -0.1\% | 4.1\% | 8.6\% | -2.0\% | -1.6\% | -1.6\% | -0.4\% | -1.9\% | -1.0\% | -0.3\% | -0.7\% | -3.1\% |
| 1993/94 | 0.2\% | 3.9\% | 3.4\% | 4.9\% | -1.5\% | -2.4\% | -0.1\% | -1.5\% | -1.9\% | -1.4\% | -0.5\% | -3.1\% |
| 1994/95 | 0.0\% | 7.9\% | 4.4\% | 2.2\% | -2.1\% | -2.6\% | -1.4\% | -2.2\% | -1.0\% | -0.8\% | -1.6\% | -2.7\% |
| 1995/96 | 0.0\% | 7.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.2\% | -0.1\% | -0.5\% | -3.2\% | -1.7\% | -0.4\% | -1.3\% | -1.2\% | -2.9\% |
| 1996/97 | 0.1\% | 3.6\% | 4.8\% | 5.0\% | -0.3\% | -0.8\% | -3.2\% | -3.6\% | -0.4\% | -1.1\% | -1.3\% | -2.9\% |
| 1997/98 | 0.3\% | 4.1\% | 7.3\% | -0.7\% | -1.5\% | -0.9\% | -1.0\% | -1.6\% | -2.3\% | -0.8\% | -0.3\% | -2.7\% |
| 1998/99 | 0.9\% | 4.4\% | 6.6\% | 1.3\% | -3.0\% | -1.5\% | -0.9\% | -0.9\% | -2.3\% | -3.0\% | 0.0\% | -1.6\% |
| 1999/00 | 0.0\% | 5.4\% | 4.5\% | 4.1\% | -2.0\% | -2.9\% | -2.1\% | -1.1\% | -1.2\% | -1.6\% | -2.0\% | -1.3\% |
| 2000/01 | 0.1\% | 1.6\% | 6.9\% | -0.3\% | -0.7\% | -2.0\% | -1.2\% | -0.5\% | -0.2\% | -0.4\% | -1.2\% | -2.1\% |
| 2001/02 | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 8.6\% | 2.3\% | -1.1\% | -3.1\% | -2.4\% | -1.7\% | -0.6\% | 0.0\% | -0.5\% | -2.9\% |

Table 10. Comparison of the CAA matrices for Gulf king mackerel. 2002 CAA refers to the CAA input of the Gulf king stock assessment 2002. The last table shows the percent difference between 2002 and 2004 CAA matrices, negative percentages (dark shade) indicated higher proportion at age for the 2004 CAA matrix compare to equivalent value in 2002 CAA.
Gulf King 2002 CAA input

| FYear | Age 0 | Age 1 | Age 2 | Age 3 | Age 4 | Age 5 | Age 6 | Age 7 | Age 8 | Age 9 | Age 10 | Age 11 | Sum Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1981 | 65 | 1446 | 7242 | 65376 | 572111 | 187534 | 48128 | 32219 | 15491 | 7458 | 4108 | 11624 | 952802 |
| 1982 | 9441 | 22522 | 183273 | 135947 | 324974 | 287056 | 91735 | 64634 | 38302 | 73266 | 19877 | 20338 | 1271365 |
| 1983 | 82 | 368 | 129346 | 258565 | 166109 | 49403 | 69101 | 28827 | 15842 | 5819 | 2097 | 5233 | 730792 |
| 1984 | 38 | 6669 | 10386 | 183855 | 286885 | 127509 | 53807 | 35385 | 11628 | 1915 | 1946 | 4027 | 724050 |
| 1985 | 497 | 10645 | 41627 | 39065 | 190830 | 150344 | 80569 | 17960 | 8789 | 6325 | 4700 | 9689 | 561040 |
| 1986 | 3577 | 77665 | 178847 | 100524 | 132548 | 38378 | 33590 | 20219 | 10150 | 6203 | 1307 | 11567 | 614575 |
| 1987 | 1367 | 64736 | 167700 | 78833 | 43595 | 26985 | 15806 | 10627 | 3828 | 1844 | 1680 | 4539 | 421540 |
| 1988 | 771 | 39373 | 123181 | 81653 | 190716 | 67345 | 61996 | 29372 | 12207 | 9957 | 7529 | 23230 | 647330 |
| 1989 | 2292 | 220559 | 191102 | 97434 | 72016 | 37602 | 15230 | 21013 | 12830 | 6204 | 6826 | 14648 | 697756 |
| 1990 | 7005 | 78530 | 199413 | 223494 | 78530 | 39696 | 34648 | 14600 | 12055 | 14711 | 2929 | 13139 | 718750 |
| 1991 | 2218 | 215542 | 307759 | 188532 | 124847 | 33281 | 34331 | 13481 | 5645 | 13850 | 5807 | 15702 | 960995 |
| 1992 | 2239 | 89108 | 247546 | 316783 | 123335 | 91130 | 46570 | 28818 | 32853 | 15529 | 11488 | 36820 | 1042219 |
| 1993 | 5768 | 168104 | 212503 | 190773 | 162643 | 78023 | 30426 | 28361 | 25445 | 15776 | 4481 | 29790 | 952093 |
| 1994 | 3389 | 170473 | 139494 | 148795 | 202540 | 228711 | 96235 | 14868 | 47589 | 34305 | 12395 | 23399 | 1122193 |
| 1995 | 3722 | 126449 | 298994 | 177464 | 99129 | 66396 | 69827 | 35673 | 14235 | 7660 | 10313 | 14906 | 924768 |
| 1996 | 649 | 139544 | 396921 | 187029 | 99113 | 53908 | 44443 | 34766 | 31014 | 16136 | 2421 | 26210 | 1032154 |
| 1997 | 161 | 78033 | 363508 | 318288 | 145077 | 78987 | 29871 | 26286 | 28730 | 22974 | 6725 | 13843 | 1112483 |
| 1998 | 36 | 19973 | 70997 | 206344 | 296774 | 255143 | 75853 | 24594 | 22513 | 10982 | 8798 | 9977 | 1001984 |
| 1999 | 163 | 173947 | 178738 | 130183 | 128359 | 81238 | 30997 | 21337 | 14190 | 15394 | 4893 | 16583 | 796022 |
| 2000 | 994 | 75407 | 232711 | 242627 | 149029 | 80121 | 37341 | 31078 | 10420 | 15367 | 6378 | 12345 | 893818 |

2004 CAA input new ALK

| Fyear | Age 0 | Age 1 | Age 2 | Age 3 | Age 4 | Age 5 | Age 6 | Age 7 | Age 8 | Age 9 | Age 10 | Age 11 | Sum of To |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1981 | 0 | 26278.05 | 29046.5 | 23952.73 | 393891.68 | 321222.82 | 56712.67 | 53204.19 | 22315.6 | 7885.52 | 4883.76 | 13408.24 | 952801.9 |
| 1982 | 72.26 | 38440.52 | 59500.89 | 215826.17 | 213058.15 | 313571.18 | 160373.67 | 47760.88 | 53948.78 | 25935.94 | 10959.72 | 131918.4 | 1271366.7 |
| 1983 | 16.75 | 25446.32 | 24613.86 | 300932.45 | 196572.42 | 52857.24 | 63249.99 | 25562.91 | 22693.36 | 8288.83 | 2055.07 | 8503.3 | 730792.7 |
| 1984 | 0 | 49785.53 | 43344.73 | 45056.84 | 287698.13 | 160250.69 | 45577.52 | 56705.87 | 18855.7 | 6039.22 | 3363.14 | 7367.61 | 724049.8 |
| 1985 | 0 | 26769.53 | 22447.5 | 61405.99 | 167740.76 | 113023.27 | 98219.81 | 36467.92 | 10798.99 | 8311.87 | 5551.41 | 10304.73 | 561042.1 |
| 1986 | 6261.78 | 62945.41 | 201589.07 | 115126.96 | 65203.76 | 69423.06 | 40447.72 | 23019.13 | 11871.95 | 5036.63 | 2455.03 | 11196.63 | 614577.2 |
| 1987 | 639.93 | 35617.04 | 78739.06 | 140728.44 | 54506.44 | 60353.64 | 21356.99 | 10574.1 | 4079.93 | 8809.47 | 1840.2 | 4295.01 | 421540.5 |
| 1988 | 40.17 | 62818.21 | 49608.54 | 54173.87 | 142210.2 | 157855.91 | 56339 | 63189.82 | 19325.48 | 9125.04 | 5955.73 | 26688.54 | 647330.7 |
| 1989 | 224.27 | 114343.2 | 165896.16 | 157351.09 | 78697.82 | 78561.7 | 34338.46 | 23648.65 | 15853.67 | 9021.25 | 4339.8 | 15480.63 | 697757 |
| 1990 | 20356.96 | 48936.91 | 116953.59 | 137683.49 | 214205.2 | 55278.48 | 49225.97 | 19520.76 | 27193.86 | 10733.51 | 2698.86 | 15961.4 | 718751.5 |
| 1991 | 2733.57 | 186230.48 | 333805.06 | 159473.17 | 90218.04 | 68926.51 | 40747.34 | 21219.4 | 7003.22 | 24044.08 | 9583.53 | 17009.28 | 960994 |
| 1992 | 549.8 | 110190.26 | 193060.44 | 173558.92 | 248359.53 | 104911.68 | 86005.25 | 31833.93 | 16973.46 | 21516.19 | 28345.72 | 26914.67 | 1042219.7 |
| 1993 | 2434.57 | 108789.4 | 130060.62 | 162210.19 | 196305.93 | 153751.89 | 54336.13 | 64623.71 | 23967.96 | 10486.95 | 2188.52 | 42936.75 | 952093 |
| 1994 | 503.88 | 130869.32 | 119260.39 | 146670.74 | 230965.2 | 221520.5 | 95735.85 | 34593.57 | 55770.95 | 37637.05 | 13005.63 | 35662.19 | 1122194.9 |
| 1995 | 1528.41 | 68845.1 | 254055.18 | 187137.27 | 114048.43 | 84823.93 | 87951.59 | 50981.82 | 21789.67 | 10573.06 | 17276.06 | 25756.87 | 924767.6 |
| 1996 | 0 | 67505.44 | 341757.67 | 225005.29 | 117821.05 | 69013.21 | 53220.18 | 45928.93 | 45998.69 | 18618.41 | 3797.18 | 43489.34 | 1032155.5 |
| 1997 | 0 | 64013.24 | 268137.05 | 322665.14 | 170211.78 | 97593.02 | 43478.36 | 43525.89 | 40282.17 | 27631.24 | 10220.15 | 22099.84 | 1109857.8 |
| 1998 | 0 | 82412.55 | 140955.05 | 248845.56 | 219146.23 | 121900.03 | 58743.59 | 31692.96 | 34890.59 | 37113.97 | 13114.49 | 13688.2 | 1002503.1 |
| 1999 | 0 | 95186.41 | 141352.78 | 137564.91 | 188237.85 | 101283.84 | 42891.68 | 26890.41 | 16202.09 | 26996.58 | 9537.41 | 16224.1 | 802368 |
| 2000 | 19846.81 | 70360.36 | 184544.28 | 215802.29 | 171501.51 | 101276.23 | 46749.13 | 39045.18 | 18065.88 | 17442.88 | 11411.32 | 28007.47 | 924053.4 |
| 2001 | 0 | 27175.2 | 170034.82 | 250317.3 | 175474.68 | 93506.2 | 55949.25 | 50200.11 | 27313.28 | 13846.39 | 5773.81 | 27485.1 | 897075.9 |


| FYear | Age 0 | Age 1 | Age 2 | Age 3 | Age 4 | Age 5 | Age 6 | Age 7 | Age 8 | Age 9 | Age 10 | Age 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1981/82 | 0.0\% | -2.6\% | -2.3\% | 4.3\% | 18.7\% | -14.0\% | -0.9\% | -2.2\% | -0.7\% | 0.0\% | -0.1\% | -0.2\% |
| 1982/83 | 0.7\% | -1.3\% | 9.7\% | -6.3\% | 8.8\% | -2.1\% | -5.4\% | 1.3\% | -1.2\% | 3.7\% | 0.7\% | -8.8\% |
| 1983/84 | 0.0\% | -3.4\% | 14.3\% | -5.8\% | -4.2\% | -0.5\% | 0.8\% | 0.4\% | -0.9\% | -0.3\% | 0.0\% | -0.4\% |
| 1984/85 | 0.0\% | -6.0\% | -4.6\% | 19.2\% | -0.1\% | -4.5\% | 1.1\% | -2.9\% | -1.0\% | -0.6\% | -0.2\% | -0.5\% |
| 1985/86 | 0.1\% | -2.9\% | 3.4\% | -4.0\% | 4.1\% | 6.7\% | -3.1\% | -3.3\% | -0.4\% | -0.4\% | -0.2\% | -0.1\% |
| 1986/87 | -0.4\% | 2.4\% | -3.7\% | -2.4\% | 11.0\% | -5.1\% | -1.1\% | -0.5\% | -0.3\% | 0.2\% | -0.2\% | 0.1\% |
| $1987 / 88$ | 0.2\% | 6.9\% | 21.1\% | -14.7\% | -2.6\% | -7.9\% | -1.3\% | 0.0\% | -0.1\% | -1.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% |
| 1988/89 | 0.1\% | -3.6\% | 11.4\% | 4.2\% | 7.5\% | -14.0\% | 0.9\% | -5.2\% | -1.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | -0.5\% |
| 1989/90 | 0.3\% | 15.2\% | 3.6\% | -8.6\% | -1.0\% | -5.9\% | -2.7\% | -0.4\% | -0.4\% | -0.4\% | 0.4\% | -0.1\% |
| 1990/91 | -1.9\% | 4.1\% | 11.5\% | 11.9\% | -18.9\% | -2.2\% | -2.0\% | -0.7\% | -2.1\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | -0.4\% |
| 1991/92 | -0.1\% | 3.1\% | -2.7\% | 3.0\% | 3.6\% | -3.7\% | -0.7\% | -0.8\% | -0.1\% | -1.1\% | -0.4\% | -0.1\% |
| $1992 / 93$ | 0.2\% | -2.0\% | 5.2\% | 13.7\% | -12.0\% | -1.3\% | -3.8\% | -0.3\% | 1.5\% | -0.6\% | -1.6\% | 1.0\% |
| 1993/94 | 0.4\% | 6.2\% | 8.7\% | 3.0\% | -3.5\% | -8.0\% | -2.5\% | -3.8\% | 0.2\% | 0.6\% | 0.2\% | -1.4\% |
| 1994/95 | 0.3\% | 3.5\% | 1.8\% | 0.2\% | -2.5\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | -1.8\% | -0.7\% | -0.3\% | -0.1\% | -1.1\% |
| 1995/96 | 0.2\% | 6.2\% | 4.9\% | -1.0\% | -1.6\% | -2.0\% | -2.0\% | -1.7\% | -0.8\% | -0.3\% | -0.8\% | -1.2\% |
| 1996/97 | 0.1\% | 7.0\% | 5.3\% | -3.7\% | -1.8\% | -1.5\% | -0.9\% | -1.1\% | -1.5\% | -0.2\% | -0.1\% | -1.7\% |
| $1997 / 98$ | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 8.5\% | -0.5\% | -2.3\% | -1.7\% | -1.2\% | -1.6\% | -1.0\% | -0.4\% | -0.3\% | -0.7\% |
| 1998/99 | 0.0\% | -6.2\% | -7.0\% | -4.2\% | 7.8\% | 13.3\% | 1.7\% | -0.7\% | -1.2\% | -2.6\% | -0.4\% | -0.4\% |
| 1999/00 | 0.0\% | 10.0\% | 4.8\% | -0.8\% | -7.3\% | -2.4\% | -1.5\% | -0.7\% | -0.2\% | -1.4\% | -0.6\% | 0.1\% |
| 2000/01 | -2.0\% | 0.8\% | 6.1\% | 3.8\% | -1.9\% | -2.0\% | -0.9\% | -0.7\% | -0.8\% | -0.2\% | -0.5\% | -1.6\% |

Table 11. Tuning indices for the new-ALK case run of Gulf of Mexico king mackerel. Time of comparison between observed and predicted values is either mid-year (MID) or at the start of the year (BEG), and the index reflects the stock measurement in units of biomass, numbers or eggs, Age correspond to the coverage of ages by each index.

| Fishing Year | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Florida } \\ & \text { FWC } \\ & \text { NorthWest } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Florida } \\ & \text { FWC } \\ & \text { SouthWes } \end{aligned}$ | MRFSS | Texas PWD | HeadBoat | Charter NorthWest Florida | Charter SouthWes t Florida | Bycatch <br> Shrimp <br> Fishery | SEAMAP occurrence |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1981/82 |  |  |  |  | 1.1929 |  |  | 2.1547 |  |
| 1982/83 |  |  |  |  | 0.8230 |  |  | 2.0945 | 0.0921 |
| 1983/84 |  |  |  | 0.8489 | 1.8108 |  |  | 1.9198 | 0.0169 |
| 1984/85 |  |  |  | 0.8586 | 0.6202 |  |  | 2.6963 | 0.1781 |
| 1985/86 | 17.753 | 36.787 |  | 0.6849 | 0.4126 |  |  | 2.5305 | 0.0659 |
| 1986/87 | 21.755 | 35.696 | 0.2028 | 0.4854 | 0.5926 |  |  | 1.6932 | 0.1031 |
| 1987/88 | 22.838 | 48.300 | 0.4842 | 0.5674 | 0.4020 |  |  | 3.4250 | 0.1157 |
| 1988/89 | 18.690 | 69.571 | 0.4741 | 0.5112 | 0.3407 | 0.4480 | 0.4160 | 2.9394 | 0.1111 |
| 1989/90 | 19.880 | 65.726 | 0.3153 | 0.5698 | 0.6599 | 0.4425 | 0.5500 | 6.0170 | 0.1860 |
| 1990/91 | 26.707 | 84.943 | 0.8954 | 0.4411 | 0.5241 | 0.4417 | 0.4700 | 4.2740 | 0.2031 |
| 1991/92 | 29.515 | 82.456 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.8671 | 0.4772 | 0.3850 | 4.9805 | 0.1783 |
| 1992/93 | 38.750 | 167.154 | 0.7526 | 0.6968 | 1.0862 | 0.5012 | 0.4960 | 2.4888 | 0.2814 |
| 1993/94 | 32.521 | 103.767 | 0.5165 | 0.6746 | 1.1565 | 0.4669 | 0.5600 | 5.1361 | 0.2971 |
| 1994/95 | 39.116 | 56.904 | 0.4913 | 0.7039 | 1.1859 | 0.6025 | 0.8030 | 4.8192 | 0.2614 |
| 1995/96 | 34.617 | 83.851 | 0.3896 | 0.8485 | 1.1611 | 0.6341 |  | 6.3063 | 0.3268 |
| 1996/97 | 55.880 | 109.332 | 0.7036 | 0.8415 | 1.4964 |  |  | 3.1842 | 0.2400 |
| 1997/98 | 75.432 | 85.442 | 0.8336 | 0.6831 | 1.4625 |  |  | 3.7494 | 0.3034 |
| 1998/99 | 46.696 | 104.764 | 0.4938 | 0.7668 | 1.3016 |  |  | 3.9712 | 0.2667 |
| 1999/00 | 64.776 | 57.090 | 0.5651 | 0.6181 | 1.4863 |  |  | 3.9894 | 0.2581 |
| 2000/01 | 57.088 | 96.376 | 0.6915 | 0.5254 | 1.0371 |  |  | 4.9200 | 0.1923 |
| 2001/02 |  |  | 0.5048 | 0.5066 | 1.2314 |  |  |  | 0.3017 |
| Timing | BEG | MID | BEG | BEG | MID | BEG | MID | BEG | BEG |
| Units | Biomass | Biomass | Number | Number | Number | Number | Number | Number | Eggs |
| Ages | 1-6 | 2-8 | 1-8 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 1-11 |

Table 12. Gulf king mackerel tuned VPA results for model new ALK (see text for model setting definitions).
Stock At Age at beginning of year.

| Age | $81 / 82$ | $82 / 83$ | $83 / 84$ | $84 / 85$ | $85 / 86$ | $86 / 87$ | $87 / 88$ | $88 / 89$ | $89 / 90$ | $90 / 91$ | $91 / 92$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 2364139 | 2940379 | 1792332 | 2682541 | 2596783 | 2669280 | 3035713 | 4070760 | 5037213 | 3835896 | 3018941 |
| 1 | 1927584 | 1604707 | 2079065 | 1161924 | 1735018 | 1689210 | 1838474 | 1715205 | 2754410 | 2947238 | 2336342 |
| 2 | 1587934 | 1554441 | 1279114 | 1679214 | 906366 | 1396338 | 1326188 | 1473053 | 1347585 | 2151911 | 2368802 |
| 3 | 842372 | 1273860 | 1218958 | 1025023 | 1335688 | 721801 | 961646 | 1014744 | 1161249 | 953813 | 1656296 |
| 4 | 1379324 | 668050 | 848653 | 727580 | 798550 | 1038147 | 487296 | 660576 | 781914 | 808991 | 656900 |
| 5 | 78508 | 775690 | 355857 | 518112 | 338224 | 502931 | 791132 | 349835 | 412946 | 569226 | 469947 |
| 6 | 382346 | 355771 | 354536 | 243745 | 280424 | 175585 | 349225 | 593284 | 145408 | 267400 | 416202 |
| 7 | 271154 | 261959 | 148017 | 233341 | 158547 | 141574 | 107395 | 266651 | 434941 | 88186 | 174628 |
| 8 | 116684 | 174135 | 171490 | 98175 | 140083 | 97023 | 95185 | 78394 | 161519 | 334758 | 54648 |
| 9 | 41538 | 75453 | 94170 | 119959 | 63412 | 104949 | 68737 | 74248 | 46818 | 117946 | 249550 |
| 10 | 111021 | 26912 | 38529 | 69625 | 92764 | 44429 | 81379 | 48340 | 52566 | 30215 | 86887 |
| $11+$ | 304785 | 323923 | 159422 | 152541 | 172209 | 202634 | 189958 | 216613 | 187507 | 178679 | 154201 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age | $92 / 93$ | $93 / 94$ | $94 / 95$ | $95 / 96$ | $96 / 97$ | $97 / 98$ | $98 / 99$ | $99 / 00$ | $00 / 01$ | $01 / 02$ | $02 / 03$ |
| 0 | 2571414 | 6099036 | 4263602 | 6426192 | 3173836 | 3765367 | 4001803 | 5053911 | 2324626 | 5511280 | 0 |
| 1 | 1488466 | 1571490 | 4053169 | 2635027 | 4252696 | 2041521 | 2417007 | 2911096 | 3904674 | 1487687 | 4113537 |
| 2 | 1744865 | 1119255 | 1188484 | 3200308 | 2095218 | 3420852 | 1613665 | 1904479 | 2297472 | 3133339 | 1193476 |
| 3 | 1638718 | 1254554 | 799148 | 865527 | 2391044 | 1407712 | 2558904 | 1194043 | 1431748 | 1714563 | 2411921 |
| 4 | 1212272 | 1185211 | 880986 | 522290 | 540347 | 1754737 | 862464 | 1870686 | 853613 | 977863 | 1178304 |
| 5 | 456549 | 769122 | 793631 | 513824 | 325060 | 336450 | 1283188 | 509236 | 1361879 | 544597 | 642672 |
| 6 | 322678 | 279477 | 491386 | 450873 | 344314 | 204069 | 187861 | 940672 | 325808 | 1023657 | 361704 |
| 7 | 304019 | 186940 | 179929 | 316177 | 290012 | 233974 | 127976 | 10110 | 731445 | 224640 | 787611 |
| 8 | 123851 | 220212 | 95134 | 116186 | 212960 | 196084 | 152392 | 76299 | 58630 | 563621 | 138784 |
| 9 | 38432 | 86110 | 158689 | 28333 | 75517 | 132991 | 124306 | 93401 | 47897 | 31794 | 436804 |
| 10 | 182635 | 12325 | 61050 | 96095 | 13729 | 45098 | 84031 | 68467 | 52237 | 23590 | 13655 |
| $11+$ | 173415 | 241746 | 167398 | 143269 | 157243 | 97521 | 87709 | 116473 | 128210 | 112292 | 81362 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

F at Age during year.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Age | $81 / 82$ | $82 / 83$ | $83 / 84$ | $84 / 85$ | $85 / 86$ | $86 / 87$ | $87 / 88$ | $88 / 89$ | $89 / 90$ | $90 / 91$ | $91 / 92$ |
| 0 | 0.1875 | 0.1466 | 0.2334 | 0.2357 | 0.23 | 0.1729 | 0.3709 | 0.1906 | 0.336 | 0.2958 | 0.5072 |
| 1 | 0.0152 | 0.0268 | 0.0136 | 0.0484 | 0.0172 | 0.042 | 0.0216 | 0.0412 | 0.0468 | 0.0185 | 0.0919 |
| 2 | 0.0204 | 0.0431 | 0.0215 | 0.0289 | 0.0277 | 0.173 | 0.0677 | 0.0378 | 0.1456 | 0.0618 | 0.1685 |
| 3 | 0.0319 | 0.2062 | 0.316 | 0.0497 | 0.052 | 0.1929 | 0.1755 | 0.0606 | 0.1615 | 0.1729 | 0.1121 |
| 4 | 0.3756 | 0.4298 | 0.2935 | 0.566 | 0.2623 | 0.0717 | 0.1314 | 0.2698 | 0.1175 | 0.3432 | 0.1638 |
| 5 | 0.592 | 0.5829 | 0.1784 | 0.4139 | 0.4556 | 0.1647 | 0.0878 | 0.6779 | 0.2346 | 0.1131 | 0.176 |
| 6 | 0.1781 | 0.677 | 0.2183 | 0.2301 | 0.4835 | 0.2916 | 0.0698 | 0.1105 | 0.3001 | 0.2261 | 0.1141 |
| 7 | 0.2429 | 0.2237 | 0.2106 | 0.3103 | 0.2911 | 0.197 | 0.1148 | 0.3013 | 0.0618 | 0.2785 | 0.1436 |
| 8 | 0.236 | 0.4147 | 0.1574 | 0.2371 | 0.0888 | 0.1447 | 0.0484 | 0.3155 | 0.1144 | 0.0937 | 0.152 |
| 9 | 0.234 | 0.4721 | 0.102 | 0.0571 | 0.1558 | 0.0544 | 0.152 | 0.1453 | 0.2379 | 0.1056 | 0.1122 |
| 10 | 0.0497 | 0.5888 | 0.0606 | 0.0547 | 0.0682 | 0.0628 | 0.0253 | 0.1457 | 0.0954 | 0.1036 | 0.1295 |
| $11+$ | 0.0497 | 0.5888 | 0.0606 | 0.0547 | 0.0682 | 0.0628 | 0.0253 | 0.1457 | 0.0954 | 0.1036 | 0.1295 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age | $92 / 93$ | $93 / 94$ | $94 / 95$ | $95 / 96$ | $96 / 97$ | $97 / 98$ | $98 / 99$ | $99 / 00$ | $00 / 01$ | $01 / 02$ |  |
| 0 | 0.2924 | 0.2086 | 0.2812 | 0.2128 | 0.2412 | 0.2433 | 0.1182 | 0.058 | 0.2463 | 0.0925 |  |
| 1 | 0.0851 | 0.0793 | 0.0363 | 0.0292 | 0.0177 | 0.0352 | 0.0383 | 0.0367 | 0.0201 | 0.0204 |  |
| 2 | 0.1299 | 0.1369 | 0.1171 | 0.0915 | 0.1977 | 0.0903 | 0.1012 | 0.0853 | 0.0927 | 0.0617 |  |
| 3 | 0.124 | 0.1535 | 0.2253 | 0.2711 | 0.1094 | 0.2899 | 0.1133 | 0.1356 | 0.1813 | 0.1751 |  |
| 4 | 0.255 | 0.2011 | 0.3392 | 0.2742 | 0.2738 | 0.113 | 0.3269 | 0.1174 | 0.2494 | 0.2197 |  |
| 5 | 0.2908 | 0.248 | 0.3654 | 0.2003 | 0.2655 | 0.3827 | 0.1105 | 0.2466 | 0.0855 | 0.2092 |  |
| 6 | 0.3459 | 0.2404 | 0.2409 | 0.2413 | 0.1863 | 0.2666 | 0.4195 | 0.0516 | 0.1718 | 0.0621 |  |
| 7 | 0.1225 | 0.4755 | 0.2374 | 0.1952 | 0.1914 | 0.2288 | 0.3172 | 0.345 | 0.0606 | 0.2816 |  |
| 8 | 0.1635 | 0.1276 | 1.0113 | 0.2308 | 0.2708 | 0.2558 | 0.2896 | 0.2656 | 0.412 | 0.0549 |  |
| 9 | 0.9373 | 0.1439 | 0.3016 | 0.5245 | 0.3155 | 0.2591 | 0.3964 | 0.3811 | 0.5082 | 0.6451 |  |
| 10 | 0.1872 | 0.2172 | 0.2666 | 0.2202 | 0.3614 | 0.2861 | 0.1883 | 0.1664 | 0.2743 | 0.3129 |  |
| $11+$ | 0.1872 | 0.2172 | 0.2666 | 0.2202 | 0.3614 | 0.2861 | 0.1883 | 0.1664 | 0.2743 | 0.3129 |  |

## Parameter Estimates

of FADAPT Version 3 (Feb 96) by V. Restrepo
Input DATA file: GK1nALK4.inp
Input CONTROL file: GK2nALK4.inp
Output Stock Size file: gknALK4.naa'
Output Fishing Mortality file: gknALK4.faa'
Ouput Fitted Indices file: gknALK4.ind'
Output Diagnostics (this) file: gknALK4.par'

Run name: Glf_Kng_81-01_NewALK
No. index values: 143 Parameters: 9 Mean Squared Error (rss/df) $=0.14626 \mathrm{E}+00$ Rsquared $=-0.0085$
Loglikelihood $=-0.60809 \mathrm{E}+02$

| res from indices $=$ | 212.743803629401 |
| :--- | :--- |
| res from curvature $=$ | $0.000000000000000 \mathrm{E}+000$ |

Program termination OK
More details of the run can be found in fileFADAPT5. RUN

| Parameter | Estimate | S.E. | $\%$ C.V. |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| F age | 2 | 0.0617 | 0.01842 | 29.87 |
| F age | 3 | 0.1751 | 0.08367 | 47.79 |
| F age | 4 | 0.2197 | 0.14965 | 68.10 |
| F age | 5 | 0.2092 | 0.04175 | 19.96 |
| F age | 6 | 0.0621 | 0.02513 | 40.44 |
| F age | 7 | 0.2816 | 0.06187 | 21.97 |
| F age | 8 | 0.0549 | 0.02490 | 45.36 |
| F age | 9 | 0.6451 | 0.25733 | 39.89 |
| F age | 10 | 0.3129 | 0.19302 | 61.69 |


| Variances of terminal yr $F$ and survivors |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Age, |  | SE (F,101) | $C V(F)$ | SE (N, 102) |
| 0 | $0.27634 E-01$ | 29.87048 |  | $C V(N)$ |
| 1 | $0.60795 E-02$ | 29.87048 | $0.12883 E+07$ | 31.31946 |
| 2 | $0.18423 E-01$ | 29.87048 | $0.36026 E+06$ | 30.18561 |
| 3 | $0.83673 E-01$ | 47.79083 | $0.74364 E+06$ | 30.83175 |
| 4 | 0.14965 | 68.10345 | $0.61549 E+06$ | 52.23542 |
| 5 | $0.41752 E-01$ | 19.95624 | $0.48912 E+06$ | 76.10769 |
| 6 | $0.25125 E-01$ | 40.43839 | 80247. | 22.18583 |
| 7 | $0.61868 E-01$ | 21.97176 | $0.32882 E+06$ | 41.74947 |
| 8 | $0.24901 E-01$ | 45.35836 | 35130. | 25.31250 |
| 9 | 0.25733 | 39.88726 | $0.20380 E+06$ | 46.65624 |
| 10 | 0.19302 | 61.69170 | 7448.2 | 54.54489 |
| 11 | 0.19302 | 61.69170 | 49580. | 60.93743 |

Obs. and pred. indices in objective function
$0.47184 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.29012 \mathrm{E}+00$
$0.57818 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.36646 \mathrm{E}+00$
$0.60698 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.65630 \mathrm{E}+00$
$0.49673 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.65824 \mathrm{E}+00$
$0.52836 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.54655 \mathrm{E}+00$
$0.70981 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.93798 \mathrm{E}+00$
$0.78444 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.14088 \mathrm{E}+01$
$0.10299 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 0.82829 \mathrm{E}+00$
$0.86433 E+00 \quad 0.13285 \mathrm{E}+01$
$0.10396 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 0.13762 \mathrm{E}+01$
$0.92004 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.89301 \mathrm{E}+00$
$0.14852 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 0.14476 \mathrm{E}+01$ $0.20048 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 0.11400 \mathrm{E}+01$ $0.12411 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 0.13466 \mathrm{E}+01$ $0.17216 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 0.10825 \mathrm{E}+01$ $0.15172 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 0.11645 \mathrm{E}+01$ $0.45692 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.28932 \mathrm{E}+00$ $0.44337 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.41780 \mathrm{E}+00$ $0.59993 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.98602 \mathrm{E}+00$ $0.86413 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.24629 \mathrm{E}+00$ $0.81637 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.34626 \mathrm{E}+00$ $0.10551 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 0.58072 \mathrm{E}+00$ $0.10242 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 0.13324 \mathrm{E}+01$ $0.20762 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 0.11868 \mathrm{E}+01$ $0.12889 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 0.10285 \mathrm{E}+01$ $0.70679 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.56509 \mathrm{E}+00$ $0.10415 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 0.99959 \mathrm{E}+00$ $0.13580 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 0.13474 \mathrm{E}+01$ $0.10613 E+01 \quad 0.14390 E+01$ $0.13013 E+01 \quad 0.16103 E+01$ $0.70911 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.87508 \mathrm{E}+00$ $0.11971 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 0.12983 \mathrm{E}+01$ $0.34844 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.39221 \mathrm{E}+00$ $0.83177 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.50835 \mathrm{E}+00$ $0.81436 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.42012 \mathrm{E}+00$ $0.54157 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.11281 \mathrm{E}+01$ $0.15380 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 0.13769 \mathrm{E}+01$ $0.17178 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 0.14345 \mathrm{E}+01$ $0.12929 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 0.10867 \mathrm{E}+01$ $0.88729 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.11319 \mathrm{E}+01$ $0.84392 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.89868 \mathrm{E}+00$ $0.66929 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.12380 \mathrm{E}+01$ $0.12087 E+01 \quad 0.11262 E+01$ $0.14320 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 0.11115 \mathrm{E}+01$ $0.84830 E+00 \quad 0.10627 E+01$ $0.97068 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.10542 \mathrm{E}+01$ $0.11879 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 0.85222 \mathrm{E}+00$ $0.86721 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.71778 \mathrm{E}+00$ $0.10645 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 0.92630 \mathrm{E}+00$ $0.10767 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 0.13046 \mathrm{E}+01$ $0.85889 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.55226 \mathrm{E}+00$ $0.74391 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.28092 \mathrm{E}+00$ $0.86954 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.39853 \mathrm{E}+00$ $0.78342 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.49492 \mathrm{E}+00$ $0.87329 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.49892 \mathrm{E}+00$ $0.67595 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.21399 \mathrm{E}+00$ $0.15325 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 0.56584 \mathrm{E}+00$ $0.10679 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 0.62220 \mathrm{E}+00$ $0.10339 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 0.82996 \mathrm{E}+00$ $0.10788 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 0.82691 \mathrm{E}+00$ $0.13004 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 0.14964 \mathrm{E}+01$ $0.12896 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 0.16282 \mathrm{E}+01$ $0.10468 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 0.13004 \mathrm{E}+01$ $0.11751 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 0.11390 \mathrm{E}+01$ $0.94729 E+00 \quad 0.88997 E+00$ $0.80518 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.11218 \mathrm{E}+01$ $0.77637 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.12014 \mathrm{E}+01$ $0.12014 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 0.65618 \mathrm{E}+00$ $0.82888 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.11783 \mathrm{E}+01$ $0.18238 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 0.80343 \mathrm{E}+00$ $0.62468 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.46019 \mathrm{E}+00$ $0.41559 E+00 \quad 0.51583 E+00$ $0.59687 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.65627 \mathrm{E}+00$ $0.40482 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.36687 \mathrm{E}+00$ $0.34316 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.78807 \mathrm{E}+00$ $0.66465 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.12570 \mathrm{E}+01$ $0.52787 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.62892 \mathrm{E}+00$ $0.87334 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.15124 \mathrm{E}+01$
$0.10939 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 0.58776 \mathrm{E}+00$ $0.11648 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 0.12724 \mathrm{E}+01$ $0.11944 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 0.89191 \mathrm{E}+00$ $0.11694 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 0.79484 \mathrm{E}+00$ $0.15071 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 0.82106 \mathrm{E}+00$ $0.14730 E+01 \quad 0.13985 E+01$
$0.13109 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 0.10926 \mathrm{E}+01$ $0.14969 E+01 \quad 0.14629 E+01$
$0.10445 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 0.13937 \mathrm{E}+01$
$0.12402 E+01 \quad 0.10903 E+01$
$0.89285 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.30756 \mathrm{E}+00$
$0.88189 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.91606 \mathrm{E}+00$
$0.88030 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.88236 \mathrm{E}+00$
$0.95105 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.12849 \mathrm{E}+01$
$0.99888 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.93288 \mathrm{E}+00$
$0.93052 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.11255 \mathrm{E}+01$
$0.12008 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 0.11524 \mathrm{E}+01$
$0.12637 E+01 \quad 0.75473 E+00$
$0.79130 E+00 \quad 0.43257 E+00$
$0.10462 E+01 \quad 0.14830 E+01$
$0.89402 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.75209 \mathrm{E}+00$
$0.73234 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.81672 \mathrm{E}+00$
$0.94348 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.11222 \mathrm{E}+01$
$0.10652 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 0.45346 \mathrm{E}+00$
$0.15274 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 0.31065 \mathrm{E}+00$
$0.58800 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.64434 \mathrm{E}+00$
$0.57158 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.80139 \mathrm{E}+00$
$0.52390 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.48849 \mathrm{E}+00$
$0.73580 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.73111 \mathrm{E}+00$
$0.69056 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.70774 \mathrm{E}+00$
$0.46205 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.72750 \mathrm{E}+00$
$0.93465 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.82737 \mathrm{E}+00$
$0.80214 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.11095 \mathrm{E}+01$
$0.16420 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 0.13729 \mathrm{E}+01$
$0.11663 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 0.10455 \mathrm{E}+01$
$0.13591 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 0.82280 \mathrm{E}+00$
$0.67918 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.70083 \mathrm{E}+00$
$0.14016 E+01 \quad 0.16623 E+01$
$0.13151 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 0.11620 \mathrm{E}+01$
$0.17209 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 0.17514 \mathrm{E}+01$
$0.86892 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.86501 \mathrm{E}+00$
$0.10232 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 0.10262 \mathrm{E}+01$
$0.10837 E+01 \quad 0.10907 E+01$
$0.10887 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 0.13774 \mathrm{E}+01$
$0.13426 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 0.63357 \mathrm{E}+00$
$0.46292 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.11211 \mathrm{E}+01$
$0.85185 \mathrm{E}-01 \quad 0.85262 \mathrm{E}+00$
$0.89503 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.86223 \mathrm{E}+00$
$0.33138 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.83223 \mathrm{E}+00$
$0.51837 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.82961 \mathrm{E}+00$
$0.58171 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.87195 \mathrm{E}+00$
$0.55844 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.94255 \mathrm{E}+00$
$0.93506 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.91155 \mathrm{E}+00$
$0.10209 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 0.96627 \mathrm{E}+00$
$0.89610 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.10159 \mathrm{E}+01$
$0.14145 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 0.10864 \mathrm{E}+01$
$0.14934 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 0.10678 \mathrm{E}+01$
$0.13136 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 0.10227 \mathrm{E}+01$
$0.16425 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 0.93705 \mathrm{E}+00$
$0.12062 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 0.96540 \mathrm{E}+00$
$0.15247 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 0.10268 \mathrm{E}+01$
$0.13403 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 0.11029 \mathrm{E}+01$
$0.12970 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 0.11669 \mathrm{E}+01$
$0.96653 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.12875 \mathrm{E}+01$
$0.15162 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 0.13800 \mathrm{E}+01$

## INDEX RESULTS

Equal weighting for indices
ML estimate of variance (all indices): 0.1371

Fit results for index = FL FWC NW

|  | Scaled | Obj.Function | Predicted | Residual | Scaled resid |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 85/86 | 0.4718 | 0.4718 | 0.2901 | 0.1817 | 0.4909 |
| 86/87 | 0.5782 | 0.5782 | 0.3665 | 0.2117 | 0.5719 |
| 87/88 | 0.6070 | 0.6070 | 0.6563 | -0.0493 | -0.1332 |
| 88/89 | 0.4967 | 0.4967 | 0.6582 | -0.1615 | -0.4363 |
| 89/90 | 0.5284 | 0.5284 | 0.5466 | -0.0182 | -0.0491 |
| 90/91 | 0.7098 | 0.7098 | 0.9380 | -0.2282 | -0.6164 |
| 91/92 | 0.7844 | 0.7844 | 1.4088 | -0.6244 | -1.6866 |
| 92/93 | 1.0299 | 1.0299 | 0.8283 | 0.2016 | 0.5445 |
| 93/94 | 0.8643 | 0.8643 | 1.3285 | -0.4641 | -1.2537 |
| 94/95 | 1.0396 | 1.0396 | 1.3762 | -0.3366 | -0.9093 |
| 95/96 | 0.9200 | 0.9200 | 0.8930 | 0.0270 | 0.0730 |
| 96/97 | 1.4852 | 1.4852 | 1.4476 | 0.0375 | 0.1014 |
| 97/98 | 2.0048 | 2.0048 | 1.1400 | 0.8648 | 2.3361 |
| 98/99 | 1.2411 | 1.2411 | 1.3466 | -0.1055 | -0.2850 |
| 99/00 | 1.7216 | 1.7216 | 1.0825 | 0.6391 | 1.7263 |
| 00/01 | 1.5172 | 1.5172 | 1.1645 | 0.3527 | 0.9528 |

ML estimate of catchability: 0.45350E-07 Pearsons (parametric) correlation: $0.615 \mathrm{P}=0.0001$ Kendalls (nonparametric) Tau: $0.467 \mathrm{P}=0.0003$

> |  | Selectivity at age from Partial Catches |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| year | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| $85 / 86$ | 0.000 | 0.003 | 0.018 | 0.238 | 1.000 | 0.144 |
| $86 / 87$ | 0.008 | 0.153 | 1.000 | 0.040 | 0.228 | 0.226 |
| $87 / 88$ | 0.372 | 1.000 | 0.396 | 0.350 | 0.232 | 0.138 |
| $88 / 89$ | 0.028 | 0.129 | 0.537 | 0.836 | 1.000 | 0.096 |
| $89 / 90$ | 0.143 | 1.000 | 0.187 | 0.127 | 0.569 | 0.015 |
| $90 / 91$ | 0.110 | 0.570 | 1.000 | 0.730 | 0.143 | 0.463 |
| $91 / 92$ | 0.689 | 1.000 | 0.495 | 0.674 | 0.767 | 0.496 |
| $92 / 93$ | 0.407 | 1.000 | 0.460 | 0.155 | 0.119 | 0.368 |
| $93 / 94$ | 0.220 | 0.835 | 1.000 | 0.799 | 0.552 | 0.592 |
| $94 / 95$ | 0.251 | 0.578 | 0.800 | 1.000 | 0.844 | 0.679 |
| $95 / 96$ | 0.037 | 0.127 | 0.416 | 1.000 | 0.746 | 0.953 |
| $96 / 97$ | 0.146 | 1.000 | 0.561 | 0.911 | 0.849 | 0.920 |
| $97 / 98$ | 0.041 | 0.316 | 0.840 | 0.406 | 1.000 | 0.641 |
| $98 / 99$ | 0.311 | 0.533 | 0.640 | 0.758 | 0.300 | 1.000 |
| $99 / 00$ | 0.096 | 0.216 | 0.471 | 0.497 | 1.000 | 0.241 |
| $00 / 01$ | 0.037 | 0.294 | 0.476 | 1.000 | 0.469 | 0.557 |

Fit results for index = FL_FWC_SW
Index Fitted to Mid-Year Stock Size in BIOMASS

|  | Scaled | Obj.Function Predicted | Residual |  | Scaled resid |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $85 / 86$ | 0.4569 | 0.4569 | 0.2893 | 0.1676 | 0.4527 |
| $86 / 87$ | 0.4434 | 0.4434 | 0.4178 | 0.0256 | 0.0691 |
| $87 / 88$ | 0.5999 | 0.5999 | 0.9860 | -0.3861 | -1.0429 |
| $88 / 89$ | 0.8641 | 0.8641 | 0.2463 | 0.6178 | 1.6689 |
| $89 / 90$ | 0.8164 | 0.8164 | 0.3463 | 0.4701 | 1.2699 |
| $90 / 91$ | 1.0551 | 1.0551 | 0.5807 | 0.4743 | 1.2813 |
| $91 / 92$ | 1.0242 | 1.0242 | 1.3324 | -0.3082 | -0.8326 |
| $92 / 93$ | 2.0762 | 2.0762 | 1.1868 | 0.8894 | 2.4025 |
| $93 / 94$ | 1.2889 | 1.2889 | 1.0285 | 0.2603 | 0.7032 |
| $94 / 95$ | 0.7068 | 0.7068 | 0.5651 | 0.1417 | 0.3828 |
| $95 / 96$ | 1.0415 | 1.0415 | 0.9996 | 0.0419 | 0.1132 |
| $96 / 97$ | 1.3580 | 1.3580 | 1.3474 | 0.0106 | 0.0287 |
| $97 / 98$ | 1.0613 | 1.0613 | 1.4390 | -0.3777 | -1.0202 |
| $98 / 99$ | 1.3013 | 1.3013 | 1.6103 | -0.3091 | -0.8348 |
| $99 / 00$ | 0.7091 | 0.7091 | 0.8751 | -0.1660 | -0.4483 |
| $00 / 01$ | 1.1971 | 1.1971 | 1.2983 | -0.1012 | -0.2733 |

ML estimate of catchability: $0.54225 \mathrm{E}-07$ Pearsons (parametric) correlation: $0.617 \mathrm{P}=0.0001$ Kendalls (nonparametric) Tau: $\quad 0.500 \mathrm{P}=0.0001$

Selectivity at age from Partial Catches $\begin{array}{cccccccc} & & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8\end{array}$ $86 / 870.0140 .178 \quad 0.210 \quad 0.576 \quad 1.000 \quad 0.189 \quad 0.036$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}87 / 88 & 0.041 & 0.740 & 0.657 & 0.801 & 1.000 & 0.462 & 0.081\end{array}$ $88 / 890.0000 .0030 .1331 .000 \quad 0.1200 .036 \quad 0.179$ $\begin{array}{lllllllll}89 / 90 & 0.013 & 0.157 & 0.293 & 0.246 & 1.000 & 0.078 & 0.177\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllll}90 / 91 & 0.021 & 0.165 & 1.000 & 0.330 & 0.397 & 0.390 & 0.079\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}91 / 92 & 0.657 & 0.560 & 0.796 & 1.000 & 0.673 & 0.519 & 0.362\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}92 / 93 & 0.653 & 0.750 & 0.365 & 0.289 & 1.000 & 0.250 & 0.822\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}93 / 94 & 0.426 & 0.592 & 0.595 & 0.436 & 0.387 & 1.000 & 0.319\end{array}$ 94/95 0.2350 .4930 .5090 .2830 .1610 .0601 .000 $\begin{array}{llllllll}95 / 96 & 0.340 & 0.733 & 1.000 & 0.544 & 0.483 & 0.317 & 0.332\end{array}$
$97 / 980.5061 .0000 .3980 .8250 .5500 .640 \quad 0.443$ $\begin{array}{llllllll}98 / 99 & 0.478 & 0.781 & 0.867 & 0.342 & 1.000 & 0.965 & 0.921\end{array}$ $99 / 00 \quad 0.1300 .2990 .350 \quad 0.780 \quad 0.170 \quad 0.941 \quad 1.000$ $00 / 010.5130 .5981 .000 \quad 0.3160 .369 \quad 0.185 \quad 0.838$


ML estimate of catchability: 0.26384E-06
Pearsons (parametric) correlation: $0.606 \mathrm{P}=0.0001$ Kendalls (nonparametric) Tau: $\quad 0.433 \mathrm{P}=0.0007$

## Selectivity at age from Partial Catches

$\begin{array}{lllllllll}\text { year } & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}86 / 87 & 0.108 & 0.071 & 1.000 & 0.253 & 0.213 & 0.489 & 0.144 & 0.084\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllll}87 / 88 & 0.090 & 0.379 & 0.427 & 0.693 & 0.289 & 0.398 & 1.000 & 0.374\end{array}$
$88 / 89 \quad 0.270 \quad 0.0790 .325 \quad 0.331 \quad 1.000 \quad 0.062 \quad 0.256 \quad 0.113$
$89 / 90 \quad 0.5310 .5400 .9740 .7470 .3501 .0000 .0870 .273$
$90 / 910.5980 .7870 .7891 .0000 .1960 .2110 .1820 .046$
91/92 0.4481 .0000 .6310 .7030 .3020 .4780 .7500 .807
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}92 / 93 & 1.000 & 0.435 & 0.460 & 0.468 & 0.546 & 0.336 & 0.432 & 0.487\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllllll}93 / 94 & 1.000 & 0.681 & 0.471 & 0.578 & 0.480 & 0.449 & 0.552 & 0.379\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}94 / 95 & 0.145 & 0.692 & 0.653 & 0.664 & 0.476 & 0.566 & 0.765 & 1.000\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}95 / 96 & 0.325 & 0.467 & 1.000 & 0.899 & 0.662 & 0.840 & 0.612 & 0.800\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}96 / 97 & 0.098 & 0.869 & 0.346 & 1.000 & 0.751 & 0.401 & 0.493 & 0.646\end{array}$ $97 / 980.2990 .2941 .0000 .3040 .998 \quad 0.6430 .4160 .467$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}98 / 99 & 0.407 & 0.451 & 0.297 & 1.000 & 0.282 & 0.867 & 0.776 & 0.448\end{array}$ $99 / 000.3320 .3870 .5900 .5160 .4810 .2751 .0000 .238$ $00 / 010.1150 .4290 .6900 .4100 .1390 .3950 .1141 .000$ $01 / 020.0850 .1640 .2860 .5470 .8860 .1231 .000 \quad 0.393$

Fit results for index = TX_PWD_83-85

| Index | Fitted to |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Scaled | Obj.Function Predicted | Residual | Scaled resid |  |
| $83 / 84$ | 1.0645 | 1.0645 | 0.9263 | 0.1382 | 0.3732 |
| $84 / 85$ | 1.0767 | 1.0767 | 1.3046 | -0.2279 | -0.6156 |
| $85 / 86$ | 0.8589 | 0.8589 | 0.5523 | 0.3066 | 0.8283 |

ML estimate of catchability: 0.10896E-05
Pearsons (parametric) correlation: $0.888 \mathrm{P}=0.0033$ Kendalls (nonparametric) Tau: $1.000 \mathrm{P}=0.0189$

|  | Selectivity at age from Partial Catches |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| year | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |
| $83 / 84$ | 0.005 | 0.028 | 0.140 | 0.661 | 0.495 | 1.000 | 0.769 |  |
| $84 / 85$ | 0.001 | 0.031 | 0.369 | 0.897 | 1.000 | 0.532 | 0.636 |  |
| $85 / 86$ | 0.008 | 0.007 | 0.053 | 0.574 | 0.302 | 1.000 | 0.072 |  |

Fit results for index = TX_PWD_86-01

| Index |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fitted to |  |  |  |  |
| Scaled | Beginning Stock Size in | Obj.Function Predicted | NUMERS |  |  |
| Residual | Scaled resid |  |  |  |  |
| $86 / 87$ | 0.7439 | 0.7439 | 0.2809 | 0.4630 | 1.2506 |
| $87 / 88$ | 0.8695 | 0.8695 | 0.3985 | 0.4710 | 1.2723 |
| $88 / 89$ | 0.7834 | 0.7834 | 0.4949 | 0.2885 | 0.7793 |
| $89 / 90$ | 0.8733 | 0.8733 | 0.4989 | 0.3744 | 1.0112 |
| $90 / 91$ | 0.6760 | 0.6760 | 0.2140 | 0.4620 | 1.2478 |
| $91 / 92$ | 1.5325 | 1.5325 | 0.5658 | 0.9667 | 2.6112 |
| $92 / 93$ | 1.0679 | 1.0679 | 0.6222 | 0.4457 | 1.2039 |
| $93 / 94$ | 1.0339 | 1.0339 | 0.8300 | 0.2039 | 0.5508 |
| $94 / 95$ | 1.0788 | 1.0788 | 0.8269 | 0.2519 | 0.6804 |
| $95 / 96$ | 1.3004 | 1.3004 | 1.4964 | -0.1960 | -0.5293 |
| $96 / 97$ | 1.2896 | 1.2896 | 1.6282 | -0.3386 | -0.9146 |
| $97 / 98$ | 1.0468 | 1.0468 | 1.3004 | -0.2536 | -0.6851 |
| $98 / 99$ | 1.1751 | 1.1751 | 1.1390 | 0.0362 | 0.0977 |
| $99 / 00$ | 0.9473 | 0.9473 | 0.8900 | 0.0573 | 0.1548 |
| $00 / 01$ | 0.8052 | 0.8052 | 1.1218 | -0.3166 | -0.8552 |
| $01 / 02$ | 0.7764 | 0.7764 | 1.2014 | -0.4251 | -1.1482 |

ML estimate of catchability: $0.56855 \mathrm{E}-06$ Pearsons (parametric) correlation: $0.467 \mathrm{P}=0.0040$ Kendalls (nonparametric) Tau: $0.417 \mathrm{P}=0.0010$

\[

\]

Fit results for index $=$ HeadBoat

| Index |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Fitted to Mid-Year | Stock Size in | NUMBERS |  |  |
|  | Scaled | Obj.Function Predicted | Residual | Scaled resid |  |
| $81 / 82$ | 1.2014 | 1.2014 | 0.6562 | 0.5452 | 1.4727 |
| $82 / 83$ | 0.8289 | 0.8289 | 1.1783 | -0.3494 | -0.9438 |
| $83 / 84$ | 1.8238 | 1.8238 | 0.8034 | 1.0203 | 2.7561 |
| $84 / 85$ | 0.6247 | 0.6247 | 0.4602 | 0.1645 | 0.4443 |
| $85 / 86$ | 0.4156 | 0.4156 | 0.5158 | -0.1002 | -0.2708 |
| $86 / 87$ | 0.5969 | 0.5969 | 0.6563 | -0.0594 | -0.1604 |
| $87 / 88$ | 0.4048 | 0.4048 | 0.3669 | 0.0380 | 0.1025 |
| $88 / 89$ | 0.3432 | 0.3432 | 0.7881 | -0.4449 | -1.2018 |
| $89 / 90$ | 0.6647 | 0.6647 | 1.2570 | -0.5924 | -1.6002 |
| $90 / 91$ | 0.5279 | 0.5279 | 0.6289 | -0.1010 | -0.2729 |
| $91 / 92$ | 0.8733 | 0.8733 | 1.5124 | -0.6390 | -1.7261 |
| $92 / 93$ | 1.0939 | 1.0939 | 0.5878 | 0.5062 | 1.3673 |
| $93 / 94$ | 1.1648 | 1.1648 | 1.2724 | -0.1076 | -0.2906 |
| $94 / 95$ | 1.1944 | 1.1944 | 0.8919 | 0.3025 | 0.8170 |
| $95 / 96$ | 1.1694 | 1.1694 | 0.7948 | 0.3745 | 1.0117 |
| $96 / 97$ | 1.5071 | 1.5071 | 0.8211 | 0.6860 | 1.8530 |
| $97 / 98$ | 1.4730 | 1.4730 | 1.3985 | 0.0745 | 0.2012 |
| $98 / 99$ | 1.3109 | 1.3109 | 1.0926 | 0.2183 | 0.5897 |
| $99 / 00$ | 1.4969 | 1.4969 | 1.4629 | 0.0340 | 0.0918 |
| $00 / 01$ | 1.0445 | 1.0445 | 1.3937 | -0.3492 | -0.9432 |
| $01 / 02$ | 1.2402 | 1.2402 | 1.0903 | 0.1499 | 0.4049 |

[^1] Kendalls (nonparametric) Tau: $0.324 \mathrm{P}=0.0026$

> Selectivity at age from Partial Catches
> $\begin{array}{lllll}2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6\end{array}$
> $\begin{array}{lllllll}81 / 82 & 0.031 & 0.148 & 1.000 & 0.001 & 0.090\end{array}$
> $\begin{array}{llllllllll}82 / 83 & 0.413 & 1.000 & 0.863 & 0.255 & 0.136\end{array}$
> 83/84 0.0261 .0000 .4570 .6520 .098 $84 / 850.0190 .1191 .0000 .3580 .411$ 85/86 0.1690 .2000 .2400 .9691 .000 $86 / 870.2481 .0000 .2010 .2310 .484$ $\begin{array}{llllllll}87 / 88 & 0.008 & 0.084 & 1.000 & 0.142 & 0.328\end{array}$ $88 / 89 \quad 0.370 \quad 0.319 \quad 0.5271 .000 \quad 0.393$ $\begin{array}{llllllll}89 / 90 & 0.630 & 0.840 & 1.000 & 0.188 & 0.804\end{array}$ $90 / 91 \quad 0.002 \quad 0.259 \quad 0.714 \quad 1.000 \quad 0.171$ $91 / 920.3030 .968 \quad 1.000 \quad 0.523 \quad 0.307$ $92 / 930.1340 .1580 .3251 .0000 .031$ 93/94 1.0000 .3380 .5730 .6840 .442 $94 / 950.1070 .2500 .9841 .0000 .321$ $95 / 960.075 \quad 0.9471 .000 \quad 0.363 \quad 0.171$ $\begin{array}{llllllll}96 / 97 & 0.069 & 0.343 & 1.000 & 0.755 & 0.317\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}97 / 98 & 0.256 & 1.000 & 0.356 & 0.644 & 0.336\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllll}98 / 99 & 0.477 & 0.193 & 0.765 & 0.282 & 1.000\end{array}$ $99 / 000.4530 .9130 .2991 .000 \quad 0.220$ 00/01 0.2250 .8241 .0000 .2760 .638 01/02 $0.0200 .3900 .9671 .000 \quad 0.241$

Fit results for index = Charter_FL_NW

| Index |  |  |  |  |  |  | Fitted to Beginning Stock Size in | NUMBERS |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Scaled | Obj.Function Predicted | Residual | Scaled resid |  |  |  |  |
| $88 / 89$ | 0.8929 | 0.8929 | 0.3076 | 0.5853 | 1.5810 |  |  |  |
| $89 / 90$ | 0.8819 | 0.8819 | 0.9161 | -0.0342 | -0.0923 |  |  |  |
| $90 / 91$ | 0.8803 | 0.8803 | 0.8824 | -0.0021 | -0.0056 |  |  |  |
| $91 / 92$ | 0.9510 | 0.9510 | 1.2849 | -0.3338 | -0.9018 |  |  |  |
| $92 / 93$ | 0.9989 | 0.9989 | 0.9329 | 0.0660 | 0.1783 |  |  |  |
| $93 / 94$ | 0.9305 | 0.9305 | 1.1255 | -0.1950 | -0.5267 |  |  |  |
| $94 / 95$ | 1.2008 | 1.2008 | 1.1524 | 0.0484 | 0.1308 |  |  |  |
| $95 / 96$ | 1.2637 | 1.2637 | 0.7547 | 0.5090 | 1.3750 |  |  |  |

ML estimate of catchability: 0.24029E-06 Pearsons (parametric) correlation: $0.132 \mathrm{P}=0.4386$ Kendalls (nonparametric) Tau: $0.214 \mathrm{P}=0.2078$

| year | ${ }_{1}$ Selectiv | ity at age fr | rom Partial | Catches 7 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 88/89 | 0.0520 .069 | 0.1160 .633 | 1.0000 .065 | 0.620 |  |
| 89/90 | 0.4530 .629 | 0.8310 .329 | 1.0000 .175 | 0.125 |  |
| 90/91 | 0.1540 .665 | 1.0000 .595 | 0.2830 .549 | 0.493 |  |
| 91/92 | 0.7351 .000 | 0.4000 .454 | 0.3890 .204 | 0.194 |  |
| 92/93 | 0.2821 .000 | 0.6370 .318 | 0.2500 .367 | 0.183 |  |
| 93/94 | 0.7671 .000 | 0.8450 .615 | 0.3990 .429 | 0.762 |  |
| 94/95 | 0.4041 .000 | 0.7490 .762 | 0.5460 .418 | 0.345 |  |
| 95/96 | 0.1740 .392 | 1.0000 .546 | 0.2020 .248 | 0.190 |  |
| Fit results for index = Charter_FL_SW |  |  |  |  |  |
| Index Fitted to |  | Mid-Year Sto | ock Size in | Numbers |  |
|  | Scaled | Obj.Function | Predicted | Residual | Scaled resid |
| 88/89 | 0.7913 | 0.7913 | 0.4326 | 0.3587 | 0.9690 |
| 89/90 | 1.0462 | 1.0462 | 1.4830 | -0.4368 | -1.1799 |
| 90/91 | 0.8940 | 0.8940 | 0.7521 | 0.1419 | 0.3834 |
| 91/92 | 0.7323 | 0.7323 | 0.8167 | -0.0844 | -0.2279 |
| 92/93 | 0.9435 | 0.9435 | 1.1222 | -0.1788 | -0.4829 |
| 93/94 | 1.0652 | 1.0652 | 0.4535 | 0.6118 | 1.6525 |
| 94/95 | 1.5274 | 1.5274 | 0.3106 | 1.2168 | 3.2868 |

ML estimate of catchability: $0.47731 \mathrm{E}-06$
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Pearsons (parametric) correlation: } & -0.287 \quad \mathrm{P}=0.2168 \\ \text { Kendalls (nonparametric) Tau: } & -0.143 \quad \mathrm{P}=0.3705\end{array}$

| Selectivity at age from Partial Catches |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| year | 2 | $3 \quad 4$ | 56 | $7 \quad 8$ |  |
| 88/89 | 0.1770 .058 | 0.1010 .235 | 1.0000 .041 | 0.4200 .08 |  |
| 89/90 | 0.2361 .000 | 0.8490 .361 | 0.5720 .672 | 0.0390 .27 | . 275 |
| 90/91 | 0.0990 .086 | 0.3070 .447 | 0.3330 .720 | 1.0000 .817 |  |
| 91/92 | 0.0840 .288 | 0.3010 .363 | 0.2040 .421 | 0.4431 .00 |  |
| 92/93 | 0.4500 .153 | 0.2500 .479 | 0.8751 .000 | 0.4490 .420 |  |
| 93/94 | 0.0390 .141 | 0.1120 .189 | 0.3780 .325 | 1.0000 .08 |  |
| 94/95 | 0.0050 .031 | 0.1010 .129 | 0.4360 .224 | 0.2741 .00 | 000 |
| Fit results for index = Bycatch_GLM |  |  |  |  |  |
| Index Fitted to Beginning Stock Size in NUMBERS |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Scaled | Obj.Function | Predicted | Residual | Scaled resid |
| 81/82 | 0.5880 | 0.5880 | 0.6443 | -0.0563 | -0.1522 |
| 82/83 | 0.5716 | 0.5716 | 0.8014 | -0.2298 | -0.6208 |
| 83/84 | 0.5239 | 0.5239 | 0.4885 | 0.0354 | 0.0956 |
| 84/85 | 0.7358 | 0.7358 | 0.7311 | 0.0047 | 0.0127 |
| 85/86 | 0.6906 | 0.6906 | 0.7077 | -0.0172 | -0.0464 |
| 86/87 | 0.4620 | 0.4620 | 0.7275 | -0.2655 | -0.7170 |
| 87/88 | 0.9346 | 0.9346 | 0.8274 | 0.1073 | 0.2898 |
| 88/89 | 0.8021 | 0.8021 | 1.1095 | -0.3073 | -0.8301 |
| 89/90 | 1.6420 | 1.6420 | 1.3729 | 0.2691 | 0.7269 |
| 90/91 | 1.1663 | 1.1663 | 1.0455 | 0.1209 | 0.3265 |
| 91/92 | 1.3591 | 1.3591 | 0.8228 | 0.5363 | 1.4487 |
| 92/93 | 0.6792 | 0.6792 | 0.7008 | -0.0216 | -0.0585 |
| 93/94 | 1.4016 | 1.4016 | 1.6623 | -0.2607 | -0.7041 |
| 94/95 | 1.3151 | 1.3151 | 1.1620 | 0.1531 | 0.4135 |
| 95/96 | 1.7209 | 1.7209 | 1.7514 | -0.0305 | -0.0824 |
| 96/97 | 0.8689 | 0.8689 | 0.8650 | 0.0039 | 0.0106 |
| 97/98 | 1.0232 | 1.0232 | 1.0262 | -0.0031 | -0.0083 |
| 98/99 | 1.0837 | 1.0837 | 1.0907 | -0.0070 | -0.0189 |
| 99/00 | 1.0887 | 1.0887 | 1.3774 | -0.2887 | -0.7800 |
| 00/01 | 1.3426 | 1.3426 | 0.6336 | 0.7091 | 1.9153 |

[^2]
## electivities set to 1.0

year 0
81/82 1.000
82/83 1.000
$83 / 841.000$
$84 / 851.000$
$84 / 851.000$
$5 / 861.000$
$86 / 871.000$
$87 / 881.000$
$88 / 891.000$
89/90 1.000
90/91 1.000
91/92 1.000
$92 / 931.000$
3/94 1.000
3/94 1.000
94/95 1.000
$95 / 961.000$
$96 / 971.000$
97/98 1.000

```
98/99 1.000
99/00 1.000
00/01 1.000
```

Fit results for index = SEAMAP
Index Fitted to Beginning Stock Size in NUMBERS

|  | Scaled | Obj.Function Predicted | Residual |  | Scaled resid |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| $82 / 83$ | 0.4629 | 0.4629 | 1.1211 | -0.6582 | -1.7780 |
| $83 / 84$ | 0.0852 | 0.0852 | 0.8526 | -0.7674 | -2.0730 |
| $84 / 85$ | 0.8950 | 0.8950 | 0.8622 | 0.0328 | 0.0886 |
| $85 / 86$ | 0.3314 | 0.3314 | 0.8322 | -0.5008 | -1.3529 |
| $86 / 87$ | 0.5184 | 0.5184 | 0.8296 | -0.3112 | -0.8407 |
| $87 / 88$ | 0.5817 | 0.5817 | 0.8719 | -0.2902 | -0.7840 |
| $88 / 89$ | 0.5584 | 0.5584 | 0.9425 | -0.3841 | -1.0376 |
| $89 / 90$ | 0.9351 | 0.9351 | 0.9116 | 0.0235 | 0.0635 |
| $90 / 91$ | 1.0209 | 1.0209 | 0.9663 | 0.0546 | 0.1476 |
| $91 / 92$ | 0.8961 | 0.8961 | 1.0159 | -0.1198 | -0.3236 |
| $92 / 93$ | 1.4145 | 1.4145 | 1.0864 | 0.3281 | 0.8863 |
| $93 / 94$ | 1.4934 | 1.4934 | 1.0678 | 0.4256 | 1.1498 |
| $94 / 95$ | 1.3136 | 1.3136 | 1.0227 | 0.2909 | 0.7859 |
| $95 / 96$ | 1.6425 | 1.6425 | 0.9370 | 0.7054 | 1.9055 |
| $96 / 97$ | 1.2062 | 1.2062 | 0.9654 | 0.2408 | 0.6505 |
| $97 / 98$ | 1.5247 | 1.5247 | 1.0268 | 0.4979 | 1.3450 |
| $98 / 99$ | 1.3403 | 1.3403 | 1.1029 | 0.2373 | 0.6411 |
| $99 / 00$ | 1.2970 | 1.2970 | 1.1669 | 0.1301 | 0.3515 |
| $00 / 01$ | 0.9665 | 0.9665 | 1.2875 | -0.3209 | -0.8669 |
| $01 / 02$ | 1.5162 | 1.5162 | 1.3800 | 0.1362 | 0.3679 |


| ML estimate of catchability: $0.23716 E-06$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pearsons (parametric) correlation: | $0.525 \mathrm{P}=0.0002$ |
| Kendalls (nonparametric) Tau: | $0.400 \mathrm{P}=0.0003$ |

Kendalls (nonparametric) Tau: $\quad 0.400 \mathrm{P}=0.0003$
$\begin{array}{lccccccccccc}\text { year } & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lccccccccccc}\text { year } & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllll}83 / 84 & 0.015 & 0.121 & 0.308 & 0.612 & 1.037 & 1.425 & 1.829 & 2.247 & 2.667 & 3.079 & 3.853\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}83 / 84 & 0.015 & 0.121 & 0.308 & 0.612 & 1.037 & 1.425 & 1.829 & 2.247 & 2.667 & 3.079 & 3.853 \\ 84 / 85 & 0.015 & 0.121 & 0.308 & 0.612 & 1.037 & 1.425 & 1.829 & 2.247 & 2.667 & 3.079 & 3.853\end{array}$ $85 / 860.015 \quad 0.1210 .308 \quad 0.6121 .0371 .4251 .8292 .247 \quad 2.667 \quad 3.079 \quad 3.853$ $86 / 870.015 \quad 0.1210 .308 \quad 0.6121 .0371 .4251 .8292 .247 \quad 2.667 \quad 3.079 \quad 3.853$ 87/88 0.0150 .1210 .3080 .6121 .0371 .4251 .8292 .2472 .66713 .0793 .853 $88 / 890.0150 .1210 .308 \quad 0.6121 .0371 .4251 .8292 .2472 .6673 .0793 .853$ 89/90 0.0150 .1210 .3080 .6121 .0371 .4251 .8292 .2472 .6673 .0793 .853 $1010.0150 .1210 .3080 .6121 .0371 .4251 .8292 .2472 .667 \quad 3.0793 .853$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll} & 0.91 & 0.015 & 0.121 & 0.308 & 0.612 & 1.037 & 1.425 & 1.829 & 2.247 & 2.667 & 3.079 & 3.853 \\ 91 / 92 & 0.015 & 0.121 & 0.308 & 0.612 & 1.037 & 1.425 & 1.829 & 2.247 & 2.667 & 3.079 & 3.853\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}91 / 92 & 0.015 & 0.121 & 0.308 & 0.612 & 1.037 & 1.425 & 1.829 & 2.247 & 2.667 & 3.079 & 3.853 \\ 92 / 93 & 0.015 & 0.121 & 0.308 & 0.612 & 1.037 & 1.425 & 1.829 & 2.247 & 2.667 & 3.079 & 3.853\end{array}$ $93 / 940.015 \quad 0.1210 .308 \quad 0.6121 .0371 .4251 .8292 .2472 .667 \quad 3.0793 .853$ $94 / 950.0150 .1210 .308 \quad 0.6121 .0371 .4251 .8292 .2472 .6673 .0793 .853$ 95/96 0.0150 .1210 .3080 .6121 .0371 .4251 .8292 .2472 .6673 .0793 .853 96/97 0.0150 .1210 .3080 .6121 .0371 .4251 .8292 .2472 .6673 .0793 .853 97/98 $0.0150 .1210 .3080 .6121 .0371 .4251 .8292 .2472 .6673 .079 \quad 3.853$ 1090.0150 .1210 .3080 .6121 .0371 .4251 .8202 .2472 .6673 .0793 .853 $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}98 / 99 & 0.015 & 0.121 & 0.308 & 0.612 & 1.037 & 1.425 & 1.829 & 2.247 & 2.667 & 3.079 & 3.853 \\ 99 / 00 & 0.015 & 0.121 & 0.308 & 0.612 & 1.037 & 1.425 & 1.829 & 2.247 & 2.667 & 3.079 & 3.853\end{array}$ $00 / 010.015 \quad 0.1210 .308 \quad 0.6121 .0371 .4251 .829 \begin{array}{lllllllllllll} & 1.247 & 2.667 & 3.079 & 3.853\end{array}$ $01 / 020.0150 .121 \quad 0.308 \quad 0.6121 .0371 .4251 .8292 .247 \quad 2.667 \quad 3.079 \quad 3.853$

Table 13. Maximum sustainable yield (MSY) and optimum yield (OY) related bench mark values for the base-04 case and the new-ALK scenarios. SS is spawning stock biomass in trillions of eggs, F values are associated with the fully selected age, and yields are given in millions of pounds.

Model Base 04

|  | SS MSY | F MSY | MSY | SS OY | F OY | OY |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Median | 6.385 | 0.269 | 11.417 | 8.524 | 0.190 | 10.113 |
| low 80\% | 5.556 | 0.235 | 9.609 | 7.436 | 0.166 | 8.522 |
| upp 80\% | 7.387 | 0.366 | 13.606 | 9.779 | 0.255 | 12.098 |
| deterministic | 6.380 | 0.226 | 11.286 | 8.506 | 0.160 | 9.974 |

## Model NewALK4

|  | SS MSY | F MSY | MSY | SS OY | F OY | OY |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Median | 6.379 | 0.388 | 11.984 | 8.471 | 0.265 | 10.821 |
| low 80\% | 5.525 | 0.283 | 10.221 | 7.455 | 0.198 | 9.232 |
| upp 80\% | 7.499 | 0.579 | 14.277 | 9.954 | 0.382 | 12.782 |
| deterministic | 6.358 | 0.408 | 12.114 | 8.477 | 0.279 | 10.954 |

Table 14. Fishing year 2003/2004 acceptable biological catch (ABC) in millions of pounds for the base-04 case and the New-ALK scenarios for two levels of F mortality. Probability denotes likelihood of exceeding the desired F mortality rate.

|  | Base 04 |  | New ALK |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Probability |  | $F_{30 \%} S P R$ | $F_{40 \%} S P R$ | $F_{30 \%} S P R$ | $F_{40 \%} S P R$ |
| $50 \%$ | Median | 10.322 | 7.442 | 12.005 | 8.414 |
| $10 \%$ | Lower CI | 7.544 | 5.421 | 8.876 | 6.208 |
| $90 \%$ | Upper Cl | 13.504 | 9.836 | 15.829 | 11.188 |




Figure 1. Comparison of the age distribution for Atlantic king mackerel directed catch from 2003 CAA input matrix (top) and the new-ALK 2004 CAA matrix.



Figure 2. Comparison of the age distribution for Gulf king mackerel directed catch from 2002 CAA input matrix (top) and the new-ALK 2004 CAA matrix.
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Figure 3. Selectivity patterns results from SVPA models with a range of fixed F ratios for new-ALK CAA of Gulf king mackerel.





Figure 4. Proportional age distribution of the Partial Catch at Age (PCAA) for the Headboat fishery 1981-2002 (top left), the Florida West commercial fisheries in the south 1985-2001 (top right), the Florida West commercial fisheries in the north 1985-2001 (bottom left) and the MRFSS recreational fishery 1988-2001 (bottom right).





Figure 5. Proportional age distribution of the Partial Catch at Age (PCAA) for the Charter Florida NW fishery 1988-1995 (top left), the Charter Florida SW recreational fishery 1988-1994 (top right), the Texas recreational fisheries 1986-2000 (bottom left), and the Texas recreational fishery 1983-1985 (bottom right).


Figure 6. Stock recruitment relationship under the two line model definition for the new-ALK scenario.


Figure 7. VPA residuals distribution from the new-ALK deterministic run.


Figure 8. Gulf king mackerel predicted (solid line) and standardized indices of abundance (diamonds) from the tuned VPA new-ALK model.


Figure 9. Gulf king mackerel population trends with $80 \%$ confidence intervals from the new-ALK model (solid lines). For comparison results from the 2004 Base- 04 scenario are shown (open square marker line).


Figure 10. Estimated stock size by age from the tuned VPA results of the new-ALK model (solid line) and corresponding estimates from the 2000 stock assessment.














Figure 11. Estimated fishing mortality rates (F) by age from the tuned VPA results of the new-ALK model (solid line) and corresponding estimates from the 2000 stock assessment (solid diamonds line).


Figure 12. Trends of spawning stock, total yield, static and unweighted SPR from the new-ALK model. Thin lines represent approximate $80 \%$ confidence intervals base on 500 bootstraps.


Figure 13. Gulf king benchmarks 2004 assessment. Spawning stock (SS) biomass, MSY, OY (millions of pounds), and corresponding F mortality rates from the base-04 model (solid squares) and the new-ALK model (circles)


Figure 14 Distribution of Gulf king $\mathrm{F}_{2002} / \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$ (left) and $\mathrm{F}_{2002} / \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{OY}}$ (right) ratios from 500 bootstraps for the New-ALK model.


Histrogram of SS2003/SS oy ratio distributions Model newALK4

Figure 15 Distribution of Gulf king $\mathrm{SS}_{2003} / \mathrm{SS}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$ (left) and $\mathrm{SS}_{2003} / \mathrm{SS}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$ (right) ratios from 500 bootstraps for the New-ALK model.


Figure 16. Phase plot of 500 bootstraps for the new-ALK model. The solid top line represents the MFMT, the vertical dash line denotes MSST, and the lower solid horizontal line denotes the OY control rule. The deterministic run corresponds to the larger diamond marker.


Figure 17. Frequency distribution of 500 boostraps range of allowable biological catch (ABC) based on probability of F exceeding $\mathrm{F}_{30 \% \text { SPR }}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{40 \% \text { SPR }}$ in the 2003-04 fishing year for Gulf king mackerel from the New-ALK model. A vertical solid line represents 0.5 percentile; broken lines represent 0.1 and 0.9 percentiles of the distributions.


[^0]:    1 The commercial catch for Gulf king mackerel fishing year 2002-03 was set to 3,125,555 lbs. From the Preliminary Quota Monitoring Report No. 22 on April 282003.
    2 The recreational catch for Gulf king mackerel fishing year 2002-03 was set to 594,343 fish. From the recreational landings MRFSS FY02/03 with substitutions for HeadBoat and Tx-PWD estimates of 2003.

[^1]:    ML estimate of catchability: 0.53220E-06 Pearsons (parametric) correlation: $0.419 \mathrm{P}=0.0034$

[^2]:    L estimate of catchability: 0.27255E-06 Pearsons (parametric) correlation: $0.745 \mathrm{P}=0.0000$ Kendalls (nonparametric) Tau: $\quad 0.568 \mathrm{P}=0.0000$

