A meta-analysis of immediate and delayed discard mortality of red snapper (*Lutjanus campechanus*) in the Gulf of America (formerly the Gulf of Mexico)

Chloe Ramsay, Matthew D. Campbell, and Beverly Sauls

SEDAR98-AP-01

9 April 2025



This information is distributed solely for the purpose of pre-dissemination peer review. It does not represent and should not be construed to represent any agency determination or policy.

Please cite this document as:

Ramsay, Chloe, Matthew D. Campbell, and Beverly Sauls. 2025. A meta-analysis of immediate and delayed discard mortality of red snapper (*Lutjanus campechanus*) in the Gulf of America (formerly the Gulf of Mexico). SEDAR98-AP-01. SEDAR, North Charleston, SC. 38 pp.

1	A meta-analysis of immediate and delayed discard mortality of red snapper (Lutjanus
2	campechanus) in the Gulf of America (formerly the Gulf of Mexico)
3	
4	Chloe Ramsay ¹ , Matthew D. Campbell ² , and Beverly Sauls ¹
5	
6 7	1- Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission, Fish and Wildlife Research Institute, 100 8th Ave SE, St. Petersburg, FL 33701
8	2- Population and Ecosystem Monitoring Division, Southeast Fisheries Science Center, (NOAA)
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	

Abstract

22 Red snapper (Lutjanus campechanus) is an economically important fishery in the Gulf of 23 America (formerly the Gulf of Mexico). This species is subject to discard mortality from 24 barotrauma. Novel discard mortality research on this species has estimated delayed mortality in 25 addition to immediate mortality at the surface (*i.e.*, swim or float). To determine how this 26 combined mortality measurement changes red snapper discard mortality we conducted a meta-27 analysis, combining 11 studies, with 84 distinct estimates from 34 years of research. We assessed 28 if depth, season, release method, or region predict discard mortality. We found a significant 29 positive relationship between depth and discard mortality and that, in the western Gulf, fishing in 30 the summer significantly increases discard mortality compared to fishing in other seasons and 31 regions. Analyzing studies with well-defined release method treatments, we found that venting 32 and descending generates a 14.6% decrease in estimated release mortality compared to no 33 barotrauma mitigation. We estimate a 31% discard mortality at 33m, the median fishing depth of 34 the private recreational fleet. This more than doubles the estimate of discard mortality generated 35 by a previous meta-analysis. Given that we generated estimates from both immediate mortality 36 and delayed mortality, we propose that these updated, higher estimates of discard mortality likely 37 more representative of the mortality experienced by this recreational fishery. 38

39

40

41

Introduction

44	Catch and release fishing has long been accepted as an effective and intuitive
45	conservation tactic (Policansky 2022). In theory, fish that do not meet a minimum size limit or
46	are not the target species can be released alive, having no negative impact on the fish population
47	(Cooke and Schramm 2007; Raby et al. 2014). However, in practice, fishing can lead to
48	mortality, and estimating how many untargeted or undersized fish experience mortality from
49	fishing is an important part of effectively managing fisheries. Due to size limits and season
50	closures, 70% of Red Snapper caught in the Gulf of America (formerly the Gulf of Mexico) by
51	the private recreational and for-hire fisheries are discarded (NMFS Fisheries Statistical Division
52	2023). Therefore, as new data on discard mortality rates for this species become available,
53	updated estimates should be used to better predict potential impacts to the fishery as it continues
54	to be successfully rebuilt (SEDAR 52 2018).
55	Early research on discard mortality largely focused on immediate mortality from fishing
56	(<i>i.e.</i> , fish dead on release or unable to swim away; Campbell et al., 2014; S. L. Diamond &
57	Campbell, 2009; Patterson et al. 2002). Immediate mortality is often caused by barotrauma or
58	hooking injures (Muoneke and Childress 1994; Bartholomew and Bohnsack 2005; Rummer and
59	Bennett 2005; Burns and Froeschke 2012). Hooking injuries such as lacerations to gill, internal
60	viscera, or esophagus can lead to immediate mortality at the surface and are easily identifiable
61	prior to release (Render and Wilson 1994; Bartholomew and Bohnsack 2005; Burns and
62	Froeschke 2012). Barotrauma occurs due to pressure changes fish experience as they are brought
63	to the surface. Common symptoms of barotrauma are bulging eyes, a distended abdominal
64	region, flared opercula, and stomach eversion or prolapse (Rummer and Bennett 2005). These

66 pneumatic duct connecting the swim bladder to the digestive tract, which allows fish to fill or 67 empty their swim bladder rapidly), such as Red Snapper, and therefore cannot acclimate as 68 quickly to changes in depth. Distended swim bladders can cause fish to be positively buoyant 69 and unable to easily descend upon release, making them vulnerable to predation from both the 70 sea and air as well as increased stress due to exposure to higher temperatures and sunlight 71 (Rummer and Bennett 2005; Wilde 2009; Scyphers et al. 2013). Recent research has focused on 72 the use of descender devices to reduce discard mortality from barotrauma (Diamond *et al.* 2011; 73 Drumhiller et al. 2014; Curtis et al. 2015; Stunz, Curtis and Tompkins 2017; Ayala 2020; 74 Bohaboy et al. 2020; Runde et al. 2021). Descender devices force the fish back down to their 75 capture depth, allowing them to be released at the depth, temperature and pressure to which they 76 were acclimated.

77 While hooking injures and barotrauma can be easy for anglers to identify, fish that are 78 caught often undergo more subtle physical damage and physiological stress which can lead to 79 delayed mortality (Raby et al. 2014). For example, barotrauma, handling, and air exposure can 80 cause changes in heart and ventilation rates, blood pressure, reductions in muscle energy stores, 81 and other physiological stress responses. These responses, which may take hours to return to 82 baseline levels, can result in cellular and tissue damage, reduced immunity, and behavioral 83 changes which can lead to delayed mortality (Wood, Turner and Graham 1983; Davis 2002; 84 Rummer 2007; Mohan et al. 2020). These physiological changes can leave fish too disoriented to 85 avoid predation (Parsons and Eggleston 2005; Campbell 2008) and can even cause fish to release 86 chemical cues that attract predators (Jenkins, Mullen and Brand 2004; Dallas et al. 2010). Due to 87 these effects, researchers have been increasingly studying both immediate and delayed mortality 88 when assessing discard mortality. For example, much of the recent research on Red Snapper

discard mortality has used either acoustic or passive tags to assess any additional mortality that
occurs in fish that appear healthy upon release (Curtis et al. 2015; Stunz, Curtis and Tompkins
2017; Tompkins 2017; Bohaboy et al. 2020; Sauls et al. 2017; Runde et al. 2021).

92 In 2014, Campbell et al. performed a meta-analysis to assess Red Snapper discard 93 mortality rates in the Gulf of America and whether they differed based on common fishing 94 factors such as differences between commercial and recreational fisheries, fishing depth, etc. 95 (Campbell *et al.* 2014). This model included studies that estimated immediate mortality, which 96 was a majority of the published literature at the time but are likely underestimates as they do not 97 capture mortality that is occurring over hours-days (i.e. delayed). However, the authors 98 emphasized the need for more research on delayed mortality and cautioned that using only 99 immediate mortality for estimations provides an incomplete measure of total discard morality 100 and should only be done as a minimum data collection (Campbell et al. 2014). Due to the novel 101 research focusing on delayed mortality and descender devices that has occurred since this meta-102 analysis was conducted, we have updated this model. We reassessed factors that affect discard 103 mortality and estimated mortality rates in the recreational Red Snapper fishery in the Gulf of 104 America using new research and with a focus on studies that include delayed mortality.

105

106

Methods

We updated the Campbell *et al.* 2014 meta-analysis by adding data from studies that assessed the discard mortality of Red Snapper in the Gulf of America after 2014. As a result, 8 new studies with 101 new point estimates were added to the dataset for a total of 18 studies and 156 individual estimates of discard mortality. This dataset will hereafter be referred to as the 'mixed mortality' dataset. Due to concerns that the inclusion of studies that only estimated

112 immediate impacts of release mortality would underestimate Red Snapper mortality, as negative 113 physiological and behavioral effects from being fished can persist (Campbell 2008; Drumhiller et 114 al. 2014; Raby et al. 2014), we ran an initial analysis on the mixed morality dataset to explicitly 115 assess how the addition of delayed morality increases discard mortality estimates. After this 116 analysis we removed estimates and studies that only measured immediate mortality upon release 117 (Table S1; removed studies). This generated a database of 11 studies with 94 distinct estimates of 118 discard mortality throughout the Gulf of America used to assess factors that can be used to 119 predict discard mortality and will hereafter be referred to as the "full mortality" dataset (Table 1). 120 Finally, we subset the data to specifically assess the effects of venting and descending devices on 121 discard mortality. Studies where venting was not completed on all fish in the venting treatment 122 and an additional laboratory study focused on the effects of venting and descending on Red 123 Snapper mortality was added (Drumhiller et al. 2014). This study was excluded from the initial 124 datasets as release mortality estimates from laboratory settings may not reflect natural morality 125 due to the exclusion of predators and other such release morality drivers. This dataset had 50 126 estimates from 8 studies and will hereafter be referred to as the "release method" dataset (Table 127 S2). Mortality estimates in all databases were classified by depth of catch (m), region (west, east, 128 and central Gulf), season (summer and not summer), and release method (no venting, some 129 venting, total venting, and descending device used) when possible. If a point estimate was not 130 separatable by one of those factors, it was not included in the analysis of that factor.

There were 8 studies with some measures of immediate mortality (Table S1). Two studies measured discard mortality in the commercial fleet (Nieland *et al.* 2007; Pulver 2017), and the remaining studies focused on the recreational fleet (see supplemental methods for more details). Of the 11 studies in the full mortality database (Table 1), most used acoustic tags to track fish,

135 with only one study where fish were marked with passive tags (conventional plastic-tipped dart 136 tags; Vecchio et al. 2022) and three cage studies (Parker 1985; Render and Wilson 1996; 137 Diamond and Campbell 2009). Six studies were in the western region (defined as Texas and 138 Louisiana to MRIP statistical zone 13), three studies were conducted at least partially in the 139 central region (defined as the rest of Louisiana, Mississippi, Alabama, and the panhandle of 140 Florida through MRIP statistical zone 7 and Levy County), and only one study assessed discard 141 mortality in the eastern region (defined as peninsular Florida starting at statistical zone 6 and 142 Citrus County and continuing south). Regions defined here are stock assessment regions for 143 SEDAR 74 (Image 1; SEDAR 74, 2022). Of the 94 estimates, 46 occurred during the summer. 144 Only 5 studies reported surface water temperature and only 4 studies reported temperature by 145 depth. The average summer temperature was 28.6 °C and the average non-summer temperature 146 was 22.6 °C. However, temperature could not be used in the model since temperature values 147 were only available in the western region. Therefore, the metric of season was used instead of 148 temperature in our models. Depths ranged from 10-85m, with a mean of 45m. A total of 19 149 estimates were classified as no venting (surface release without barotrauma mitigation), 22 150 estimates were from descended fish (not always to the sea floor), and the remaining estimates 151 came from fish where venting procedures were performed prior to surface release. Fish were 152 classified as 'total venting' if all the fish in the treatment group were vented. Fish were classified 153 as 'some venting' if some of the fish from the estimate were vented either through angler 154 discretion (Vecchio et al. 2022) or because mortality estimates were not broken down by venting 155 treatment (Render and Wilson 1996). These studies that had estimates of discard mortality that 156 included both immediate and delayed mortality all came from the recreational fleet. Circle hooks 157 or a combination of circle and J hooks were used in all studies.

158	Of the 8 studies and 50 estimates in the release methods database (Table S2), depths
159	ranged from 27.5 to 60m. Estimates were evenly spread across summer and not summer although
160	season was not defined for two studies (Drumhiller et al. 2014; Runde et al. 2021). Acoustic
161	tagging was used to assess delayed mortality in all studies except one, which was conducted in a
162	laboratory setting (Drumhiller et al. 2014). 16 of the mortality estimates came from fish released
163	at the surface with no barotrauma mitigation, 10 of the estimates were from vented fish, and 24
164	of the estimates were from descended fish. Across all datasets, the sample sizes of fish tested to
165	generate our estimates varied widely from three to 34,465. Differences in sample sizes were
166	addressed by giving estimates with smaller sample sizes higher variances around their effect
167	sizes (and vice versa) and including this variance in our models, as described below.
168	Analyses were conducted in R using the metafor package (R Core Team, 2020;
169	Viechtbauer, 2010). Effect sizes were calculated using the escalc function using the frequency of
170	dead fish out of the total number of fish tested. This function also calculates a variance for each
171	effect size from the sample size of the estimate. Mixed effect models were run with the <i>rma.mv</i>
172	function. The calculated effect sizes were used as the response variable. To assess which factors
173	predict discard mortality using the full mortality database (Table 1), season, region, the
174	interaction between season and region, depth, and release method were used as predictor
175	variables. Study and study type (cage, passive tag, acoustic tag) were included as random effects.
176	Depth was a continuous variable, and the rest of the variables were categorical. Post-hoc tests
177	were run by separating the analyses into the western and not western (eastern and central regions
178	combined) regions to allow us to generate separate, more accurate predictions across regions,
1 = 0	

180 To explicitly assess the contribution of delayed mortality on discard mortality, the mixed 181 mortality database (Tables S1 & 1) and additional predictor variables of whether the study 182 estimated mortality as immediate only or included delayed mortality (0 or 1), whether the study 183 was on the recreational fleet or not (0 or 1), and whether fish were caught with circle hooks (0 or 184 1). These predictors were added to explicitly test the contribution of delayed mortality on discard 185 mortality estimates as well as control for other variables present in this larger database. Study 186 and study type were used as random effects. The best fit model included the binary variables of 187 delayed mortality, recreational fleet, and circle hooks as well as the depth, release method, and 188 season by region interaction variables included in the full mortality model. To further assess the 189 effects of venting and descending on discard mortality a separate analysis was run using the 190 release method database (Table S2). The best fit model included release method, depth, season, 191 depth by season interaction, and uses study as a random effect.

192 Variances from the calculated effect sizes were included in all models. A backward 193 stepwise regression model selection procedure was performed using AICs and BICs. Predicted 194 values and their associated 95% confidence limits were calculated using the *predict* function in 195 *metafor* (Viechtbauer 2010) and converted back to proportions by taking the inverse of the logit-196 transformed data (see Campbell et al., 2014 for more details). Heterogeneity in all models were 197 tested using Cochran's Q-test. This is a commonly used heterogeneity test, which allows us to 198 determine if the variability in the meta-analysis indicates 'true' variability or is due to sampling 199 error (Cochran, 1954). Graphs were generated using the ggplot2 package (Wickham et al., 200 2022).

201

Results

204	In the full mortality model, summer significantly increased the estimated mortality when
205	compared to non-summer (estimate=0.76, $z_{9,76}$ =3.27. p <0.01; Fig. 1A; Table S3). There was also
206	a significant interaction between region and season with the western region showing significantly
207	higher mortality rate in the summer than in the non-summer. However, this pattern was not true
208	for the other regions, suggesting that these significant effects of seasonality were driven by the
209	estimates from the western region (summer*central: estimate=-0.80, <i>z</i> _{9,76} =-3.40, <i>p</i> <0.001;
210	summer*eastern: estimate=-0.74, $z_{9,76}$ =-3.04, p <0.01; Fig. 1B). There was a significant positive
211	association between depth and mortality rate (estimate= 0.012 , $z_{9,76}=7.70$, $p<0.001$; Fig. 2A). We
212	calculated the slope of this positive association for comparison with the estimates generated by
213	Campbell et al. 2014. Our results estimated a 4% increase in mortality for every 10m increase in
214	depth, while the Campbell et al. 2014 paper predicted a 3% increase (Fig. 2). Region did not
215	significantly alter estimated mortality rates as a main effect (Region Central: estimate=0.85,
216	$z_{9,76}=0.87$, $p=0.38$; Region East: estimate=1.12, $z_{9,76}=1.15$, $p=0.25$). Release method also did not
217	significantly affect Red Snapper mortality rate (descending: estimate=-0.39, $z_{9,76}$ =-1.58, p =0.11;
218	total venting: estimate=-0.38, <i>z</i> _{9,76} =-1.06, <i>p</i> =0.27; some venting: estimate=-1.20, <i>z</i> _{9,76} =-0.92,
219	<i>p</i> =0.38).





221 Figure 1: A) The proportional discard mortality of Red Snapper (Lutjanus campechanus) in the 222 Gulf of America (formerly known and the Gulf of Mexico) was significantly higher in summer 223 than the non-summer seasonal group (estimate=0.76, $z_{9.76}=3.27$, p<0.01). B) The western region 224 had a significantly higher proportional mortality rate in the summer than in non-summer, but this 225 pattern was not true for the other regions (summer*central: estimate=-0.80, $z_{9.76}=-3.40$, p<0.001; 226 summer*eastern: estimate=-0.74, $z_{9.76}$ =-3.04, p<0.01). Points represent average proportional 227 mortalities and error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. Asterisks indicate significance 228 level and 'ns' indicate comparisons that were not significant.

- 229
- 230



232

233 Figure 2: A) The proportional discard mortality of Red Snapper (Lutianus campechanus) in the 234 Gulf of America (formerly known as the Gulf of Mexico) had a significant positive association 235 with depth (estimate=0.012, $z_{9.76}$ =7.70, p<0.001). Shown is the slope of the line, indicating a 236 3.6% increase in mortality with every 10m. Points are raw mortality estimates from the data, the blue line indicates the predicted mortality by depth from the data and the gray band represents at 237 238 95% confidence interval around this prediction. B) There was also a significant positive 239 association between depth and proportional mortality in the Campbell et al. 2014 paper. Shown 240 is the slope of the line for the recreational data only (the slope which most closely aligns with our 241 data), indicating a 3% increase in mortality with every 10m. The points are raw mortality 242 estimates, and the line indicates the predicted relationship between mortality and depth.

244 Post-hoc tests addressing the western region separately from the eastern and central 245 regions found similar results. In the western region, summer mortality was significantly higher 246 than non-summer (estimate = 0.64, $z_{2,34}$ =2.75, p<0.01), there was a positive association between 247 depth and mortality (estimate=0.04, $z_{2,34}$ =4.01, p<0.001; Fig. 3A). In the eastern and central regions, season did not significantly predict mortality (estimate=-0.03, $z_{2,35}=-0.65$, p=0.52), but 248 249 depth was positively associated with mortality (estimate=0.02, $z_{2.35}=9.4$, p<0.001, Fig.3B). These 250 models predicted that mortality at the average fishing depth (45m) from the tested studies in the 251 western region in the winter is 37.3% and that this increases to 53.1% in the summer. Whereas 252 the estimated mortality in the eastern and central regions is 35.2% regardless of season.



254 Figure 3: A) In the western region (Texas and Louisiana) of the Gulf of America (formerly 255 known as the Gulf of Mexico) the proportional discard mortality of Red Snapper (Lutjanus 256 *campechanus*) was significantly positively associated with depth (estimate=0.04, $z_{2.34}=4.02$, p < 0.001) and summer led to significantly higher discard mortality than non-summer (estimate = 257 258 0.64, $z_{2,34}=2.75$, p<0.01). B) In the eastern and central regions (Mississippi, Alabama, and parts 259 of Louisiana and Florida) there was also a significant positive association between depth and 260 mortality (estimate=0.01, $z_{2.35}=9.4$, p<0.001), but season did not affect discard mortality 261 (estimate=-0.03, $z_{2,35}$ =-0.65, p=0.52). Points are raw mortality estimates from the data, the lines 262 indicate the predicted mortality by depth from the data and the bands represent the 95% 263 confidence interval around this prediction. Blue and gray lines and bands represent summer and 264 not summer data, respectively.

266	Mortality estimates ranged from 0-100% with a mean of 32% and a median of 28% in the
267	full mortality dataset. The highest mortality estimates occurred during the summer, in the
268	western region in unvented fish, at 40 and 50m (Diamond & Campbell, 2009). Four studies had
269	average mortality estimates between 45-65% (Bohaboy et al., 2020; Diamond et al., 2011;
270	Diamond & Campbell, 2009; Tompkins, 2017) and three studies had average mortality estimates
271	of less than 20% (Parker, 1985; Render and Wilson 1996; Runde et al., 2021). The full mortality
272	model predicted that the discard mortality at the average fishing depth from the tested studies
273	(45m) would be 34%, which more than doubles the 16.4% mortality estimate from Campbell

274 2014 at the same depth. Additionally, the mixed methods analysis found that mortality estimates 275 from the recreational fishery that included delayed mortality increased by 8.6%, from 26% 276 (immediate mortality only) to 35% (including both immediate and delayed mortality) at 45m 277 (estimate=0.63, $z_{15,129}$ =2.74, p<0.01; Fig. S1). This further supports that including estimates of 278 delayed mortality are important to accurately assess discard mortality. See supplement for more 279 detailed results from the mixed mortality model (Table S4).

280 The release method analysis found that both venting (estimate -0.64, $z_{7,41}$ =-2.00, p<0.05) 281 and descending (estimate = -0.62, $z_{7,41}$ =-2.64, p<0.001) decreased discard mortality relative to 282 surface release with no barotrauma mitigation. At the mean depth of the studies used in the 283 analysis (45m), the model predicts Red Snapper will experience 43.6% mortality if no 284 barotrauma mitigation is used, 28.8% mortality with venting, and 29.3% mortality with 285 descending (Fig. 4). We also found a significant interaction between season and depth (estimate 286 = -0.06, $z_{7,41}$ =-2.96, p<0.01), where there is a significantly steeper depth by mortality slope in the 287 summer than not in the summer. In summer the model predicts a 15% increase in mortality for 288 every 10m deeper depth fished, compared with 3% in the non-summer seasons (Fig. 5).



291 Figure 4. In the analysis that only includes studies explicitly testing release method, venting 292 (estimate -0.65, $z_{7,41}$ =-2.00, p<0.05) and descending (estimate = -0.62, $z_{7,41}$ =-2.64, p<0.01) both 293 significantly reduce discard mortality, generating a 14.6% decrease in release mortality. Smaller 294 points are raw mortality estimates from the data, larger points indicate the predicted mortality by

release method, and the error bars represent the 95% confidence intervals around this prediction. 295 Asterisks indicate significant differences.

296



299 Figure 5. Analyzing studies with well-defined release method treatments, the slope of the 300 positive association between depth and mortality is predicted by the season that fish were caught 301 in (estimate = -0.06, $z_{7,41}$ =-2.96, p<0.01). In summer the model predicts a 15% increase in 302 mortality every 10m deeper depth fished, compared with 3% in the non-summer seasons. Most 303 of the estimates (>60%) in this analysis are from the western region and none are from the eastern region, meaning that these results do not pertain to the eastern Gulf of America (formerly 304 305 known as the Gulf of Mexico). Points are raw mortality estimates from the data, the lines indicate the predicted mortality by depth from the data and the bands represent the 95% 306 307 confidence band around this prediction. Blue and gray lines and bands represent summer and not 308 summer data, respectively.

309

When testing for heterogeneity the Cochran's Q-test showed that there was significant variability among the predictors (mixed mortality: QM_{15} =417.42, p<0.001; full mortality: QM 9=164.96, p<0.001; release method model: QM_7 =36.50, p<0.001) and a significant amount of residual heterogeneity in the mixed mortality and full mortality models (mixed mortality: QE_{129} =653.23, p<0.001; full mortality: QE_{76} =121.84, p<0.001), but not in the release method model (QE₄₁=56.29, p=0.056). These heterogeneity tests suggest that all models predict the variation in the data well, although remaining residual heterogeneity suggests that the tested predictors do not encompass all the variation in the data. This is likely because these models had a wider breadth of data which allows for more unidentified variables driving variation in mortality estimates.

- 320
- 321

Discussion

322 Red Snapper is an economically important fishery in the Gulf of America. Seasonal 323 closures and size limits cause 70% of Red Snapper that are caught to be discarded (NMFS 324 Fisheries Statistical Division, 2023). While significant research has been directed to understand 325 this vital component of mortality that impacts the population, previous estimates relied largely on 326 methods that were insufficient in estimating delayed mortality. Thus, updating the discard 327 mortality estimates used in stock assessments with newly available research will help support the 328 successful rebuilding of the Gulf of America Red Snapper fishery (SEDAR 52, 2018). When 329 synthesizing new data on release mortality in the recreational Red Snapper fishery, including 330 only studies which measured both immediate and delayed mortality, we found that estimated 331 discard mortality was higher in the summer than in other seasons in the western gulf, and 332 consistent with all studies on the topic, depth of capture was positively associated with discard 333 mortality. We also found that venting and descending use equally reduce discard mortality 334 compared to surface release with no barotrauma mitigation. Finally, we found that the updated 335 model more than doubled the estimated percent discard mortality compared to a previous meta-336 analysis that included studies with only immediate discard mortality estimates (Campbell et al., 337 2014).

338 We found that depth of capture was significantly positively associated with discard 339 mortality in the Gulf of America (Fig. 2). Studies in this meta-analysis that examined this 340 question also found that discard mortality increased with increased depth of capture (Curtis et al., 341 2015; Diamond & Campbell, 2009; Stunz et al., 2017; Tompkins, 2017). Additionally, a positive 342 association between capture depth and fishing mortality has been seen in other fisheries (Alós J., 343 2008; Bartholomew & Bohnsack, 2005; Campbell et al., 2010; Drumhiller et al., 2014; Hannah 344 et al., 2008; Sauls, 2014). The previous meta-analysis by Campbell et al. 2014 found that a 10m 345 increase in depth led to a 3% increase in mortality. Our model found a similar result of 3.6%. In 346 keeping with a majority of studies we showed a positive relationship between depth of capture 347 and increased barotrauma (Brown et al., 2010; Ferter et al., 2015; Rummer & Bennett, 2005). 348 Barotrauma can cause extensive physical and behavioral damage to fish that experience it (Raby 349 et al., 2014; Rummer & Bennett, 2005). Recent regulations have required venting and 350 descending devices onboard fishing vessels with a goal of reducing the negative effects from 351 barotrauma. Managers have been working to mitigate these effects through the use of venting 352 and descending devices. Only 19 of the estimates in this study did not include either a venting or 353 a descending device treatment when releasing fish (Table 1), and yet a positive association 354 between depth and mortality still occurred. This suggests that even if venting or descending can 355 reduce discard mortality, catching fish at shallower depths is still the most effective way to lower 356 discard mortality, as the physiological effects of barotrauma are reduced. Additionally, there is 357 evidence that mortality may reach 100% after a certain depth, due to catastrophic barotrauma 358 seen at depths of 50m and above (Stunz et al., 2017; Tompkins, 2017). Catastrophic barotrauma occurs when the swim bladder ruptures and this often leads to mortality (Rummer & Bennett, 359

360 2005; Stunz et al., 2017). However, we did not see a steep increase in mortality at depths below
361 50m in this analysis.

362 We also found that discard mortality in the western region was higher in summer than in 363 non-summer seasons (Fig. 1). The effect of warmer water increasing discard mortality has been 364 found previously (Bartholomew & Bohnsack, 2005; Diamond & Campbell, 2009; Render & 365 Wilson, 1994), and it is likely due to a steep thermocline that generates during the warmer 366 months (Curtis et al., 2015; Stunz et al., 2017). The change in temperature a fish experiences as it 367 is brought to the surface increases the negative physiological and behavioral affects a fish would 368 experience normally during capture (Boyd et al., 2010; Campbell, 2008; Muoneke & Childress, 369 1994). Additionally, oxygen stress can lead to discard mortality and higher temperature waters 370 do not hold as much oxygen as cooler waters (Bartholomew & Bohnsack, 2005; Rummer, 2007). 371 We did not see this pattern in the more eastern gulf, potentially due to the eastern and central 372 data coming predominately from passive tagging, whereas the western gulf data was 373 predominately collected using acoustic tagging. In the release method dataset, which consisted 374 primarily of western region estimates (>60%) and had no eastern estimates, we also found that 375 the positive association between depth and mortality is much stronger in the summer than not in 376 the summer. In the summer we estimated a 15% increase in mortality for every 10m deeper 377 depth fished compared to 3% for other seasons combined (Fig. 5). However, we suggest that 378 future research explicitly assess if the effects of seasonality that we saw in the western region are 379 comparable to the changes in discard mortality across fishing seasons and to reassess if the more 380 eastern regions also have a seasonality effect. This could be done using similar tagging 381 methodologies throughout the Gulf to obtain estimates across open/closed seasons. Thereby

researchers would collect relevant estimates for all types of regulatory discards generated in thefishery (i.e. length and season).

384 In the release method model, we found that venting and descending both reduce discard 385 mortality by about 14.6% (Fig. 4). Studies that compare vented or recompressed fish directly 386 with a control group (fish released untreated at the surface) have found that barotrauma 387 mitigation can effectively reduce discard mortality for Red Snapper (Ayala, 2020; Drumhiller et 388 al., 2014; Pulver, 2017), including many of the studies used in this analysis (Bohaboy et al., 389 2020; Curtis et al., 2015; Runde et al., 2021; Stunz et al., 2017; Tompkins, 2017). However, 390 sometimes conflicting results across studies generated higher variability in our datasets, 391 especially for descended treatments. For example, one study found that the positive effects from 392 venting and descending fish were much greater in the summer (Curtis et al., 2015) whereas 393 another study found that descending fish was more effective in the winter (Stunz et al., 2017). 394 This is likely due to the smaller sample sizes within some of the acoustic tagging studies in the 395 western region, the region where we found seasonal effects on discard morality. A better 396 understanding of which fishing factors are driving the effectiveness of venting or descending 397 could help account for this variability and better inform management decisions. We did not find 398 a significant effect of release methods on discard mortality in the full mortality analysis. This 399 was likely due to the above-described variability and confounding effects from only some fish 400 being vented or in other studies (Render & Wilson, 1996; Vecchio et al., 2022). 401 Focusing on studies that included estimates of both immediate and delayed mortality led

401 Focusing on studies that included estimates of both immediate and delayed mortality led
402 to more than a doubling of estimates of discard mortality of Red Snapper in the Gulf of America
403 (Campbell et al., 2014). At 33m, the median fishing depth of the Gulf recreational fleet (SEDAR
404 74 2022), we found that release mortality was 31%, compared to 12.8% as predicted by the

405 Campbell model. As the slope of the positive relationship between depth and mortality remained 406 similar to what was previously reported (Campbell et al., 2014), this over twofold increase in 407 release mortality occurs across all depths of capture (Fig. 2). Studies that have analyzed 408 immediate and delayed mortality have also found that immediate mortality estimates 409 approximately doubled (Curtis et al., 2015) or more than doubled (Diamond & Campbell, 2009) 410 with the addition of delayed mortality. Our analysis on this topic found that including delayed 411 mortality generated an increase from 26% mortality to 34% release mortality at the mean depth 412 from the tested studies (45m; Fig. S1). We conclude that discard mortality estimates used in 413 assessments should include delayed mortality. In addition to mounting evidence in support of the 414 inclusion of delayed mortality (Curtis et al., 2015; Davis, 2002; Diamond & Campbell, 2009), 415 we show that it can significantly increase the expected discard mortality in a fishery. 416 Season and size limits are still in effect as the Red Snapper fishery in the Gulf of America 417 continues to rebuild (SEDAR 52, 2018). Therefore, assessments of the mortality of discarded 418 fish are necessary to continue effectively managing this fishery. Here we provide a model that 419 can be used to estimate mortality in the recreational fishery by fishing depth throughout the Gulf 420 and by season in the western Gulf. More studies are required to understand why seasonal effects 421 were not conserved across regional domains. Additionally, this model predicts a more than 422 twofold increase in discard mortality estimates than was seen in previous work (Campbell et al., 423 2014) through inclusion of new research and by excluding studies that only measure immediate 424 discard mortality. A simulation study using Gulf of America Red Snapper stock assessment 425 models showed that reducing discard mortality, especially if discard mortality is larger than 426 previously estimated, could lead to significant increases in fishing season length as well as 427 benefits to an array of fisheries performance metrics (Bohaboy et al., 2022). Therefore, although

the estimates generated here suggest that discard mortality of Red Snapper was previously
underestimated in the Gulf of America, continued efforts to reduce discard mortality are likely to
lead to significant benefits to the fishery.

431 Mortality from predation was included in the delayed mortality estimates from tagging 432 studies and therefore was a part of the overall estimates of mortality predicted by our model. 433 However, as only of few of the studies in this meta-analysis explicitly separated out discard 434 mortality from predation from discard mortality from other causes, we were not able to explicitly 435 quantify the effect of predation alone on mortality. Some studies in this analysis reported major 436 effects of predation on discard mortality, including the presence of sharks or dolphins in 32% of 437 all Red Snapper releases, 83% of discard mortality resulting from predation (Bohaboy et al., 438 2020), and predation being described as 'inevitable' when descended Red Snapper were spotted 439 by a predator (Tompkins, 2017). As shark populations continue to rebound (Froeschke et al., 440 2012; Peterson et al., 2017; SEDAR 54, 2017), quantifying the proportion of discard mortality 441 that comes from predation compared to other factors such as barotrauma may be the next step to 442 increasing scientific understanding of discard mortality.

443

444

445

446

447

449	References
450 451 452	Alós J. Influence of anatomical hooking depth, capture depth, and venting on mortality of painted comber (Serranus scriba) released by recreational anglers. <i>ICES Journal of Marine Science</i> 2008; 65 :1620–5.
453 454	Ayala OE. Testing the efficacy of recompression tools to reduce the discard mortality of reef fishes in the Gulf of Mexico. 2020.
455 456	Bartholomew A, Bohnsack JA. A review of catch-and-release angling mortality with implications for no-take reserves. <i>Rev Fish Biol Fish</i> 2005; 15 :129–54.
457 458 459 460	Bohaboy EC, Goethel DR, Cass-Calay SL <i>et al.</i> A simulation framework to assess management trade-offs associated with recreational harvest slots, discard mortality reduction, and bycatch accountability in a multi-sector fishery. <i>Fish Res</i> 2022; 250 , DOI: 10.1016/j.fishres.2022.106268.
461 462 463	Bohaboy EC, Guttridge TL, Hammerschlag N <i>et al.</i> Application of three-dimensional acoustic telemetry to assess the effects of rapid recompression on reef fish discard mortality. <i>ICE Journal of Marine Science</i> 2020;77:83–96.
464 465	Boyd JW, Guy CS, Horton TB <i>et al.</i> Effects of catch-and-release angling on salmonids at elevated water temperatures. <i>N Am J Fish Manag</i> 2010; 30 :898–907.
466 467 468	Brown I, Sumpton W, McLennan M <i>et al.</i> An improved technique for estimating short-term survival of released line-caught fish, and an application comparing barotrauma-relief methods in red emperor (Lutjanus sebae Cuvier 1816). <i>J Exp Mar Biol Ecol</i> 2010; 385 :1–7.
469 470 471	Burns KM, Froeschke JT. Survival of red grouper (epinephalus morio) and red snapper (lutjanus campechanus) caught on j-hooks and circle hooks in the Florida recreational and recreational-for-hire fisheries. <i>Bull Mar Sci</i> 2012; 88 :633–46.
472 473	Campbell MD. CHARACTERIZATION OF THE STRESS RESPONSE OF RED SNAPPER: CONNECTING INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES TO POPULATION DYNAMICS. 2008.
474 475	Campbell MD, Driggers III WB, Sauls B <i>et al.</i> Release mortality in the red snapper (Lutjanus campechanus) fishery: a meta-analysis of 3 decades of research. <i>Fishery Bulletin</i> 2014; 112 .
476 477 478	 Campbell MD, Tolan J, Strauss R <i>et al.</i> Relating angling-dependent fish impairment to immediate release mortality of red snapper (Lutjanus campechanus). <i>Fish Res</i> 2010;106:64–70.
479 480	Cochran WG. The combination of estimates from different experiments. <i>Biometrics</i> 1954; 10 :101–29.
481 482	Cooke SJ, Schramm HL. Catch-and-release science and its application to conservation and management of recreational fisheries. <i>Fish Manag Ecol</i> 2007;14:73–9.

- 483 Curtis JM, Johnson MW, Diamond SL *et al.* Quantifying delayed mortality from barotrauma
 484 impairment in discarded Red Snapper using acoustic telemetry. *Marine and Coastal*485 *Fisheries* 2015;7:434–49.
- 486 Dallas LJ, Shultz AD, Moody AJ *et al.* Chemical excretions of angled bonfish Albula vulpes and
 487 their potential use as predation cues by juvenile lemon sharks Negaprion brevirostris. *J Fish*488 *Biol* 2010;77:947–62.
- 489 Davis MW. Key principles for understanding fish bycatch discard mortality. *Canadian Journal* 490 of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 2002;59:1834–43.
- 491 Diamond S, Campbell M. Linking "Sink or Swim" Indicators to Delayed Mortality in Red
 492 Snapper by Using a Condition Index. *Marine and Coastal Fisheries* 2009;1:107–20.
- 493 Diamond S, Hedrick-Hopper T, Stunz G et al. Reducing Discard Mortality of Red Snapper in the
 494 Recreational Fisheries Using Descender Hooks and Rapid Recompression Final Report.,
 495 2011.
- 496 Dorf B. Red Snapper Discards in Texas Coastal Waters-A Fishery Dependent Onboard Pilot
 497 Survey of Recreational Headboat Discards and Landings. *American Fisheries Society* 498 Symposium 2003;36:355–66.
- 499 Drumhiller KL, Johnson MW, Diamond SL *et al.* Venting or rapid recompression increase
 500 survival and improve recovery of Red Snapper with barotrauma. *American Fisheries* 501 Society 2014;6:190–9.
- Ferter K, Weltersbach MS, Humborstad OB *et al.* Dive to survive: Effects of capture depth on
 barotraumas and post-release survival of Atlantic cod (Gadus morhua) in recreational
 fisheries. *ICES Journal of Marine Science* 2015;72:2467–81.
- Froeschke JT, Froeschke BF, Stinson CM. Long-term trends of bull shark (Carcharhinus leucas)
 in estuarine waters of Texas, USA. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*2012;**70**:13–21.
- 508 Gitschlag GR, Renaud ML. Field Experiments on Survival Rates of Caged and Released Red
 509 Snapper. N Am J Fish Manag 1994;14:131–6.
- Hannah RW, Parker SJ, Matteson KM. Escaping the Surface: the effect of capture depth on
 submergence success of surface-released pacific rockfish. *N Am J Fish Manag*2008;28:694–700.
- Jenkins SR, Mullen C, Brand AR. Predator and scavenger aggregation to discarded by-catch
 from dredge fisheries: importance of damage level. *J Sea Res* 2004;**51**:69–76.
- Mohan JA, Jones ER, Hendon JM *et al.* Capture stress and post-release mortality of blacktip
 sharks in recreational charter fisheries of the Gulf of Mexico. *Conserv Physiol* 2020;8, DOI:
 10.1093/conphys/coaa041.

- Muoneke MI, Childress WM. Hooking mortality: a review for recreational fisheries. *Reviews in Fisheries Sciences* 1994;2:123–56.
- Nieland DL, Fischer AJ, Baker MS et al. Red Snapper in the Northern Gulf of Mexico: Age and
 Size Composition of the Commercial Harvest and Mortality of Regulatory Discards., 2007.
- 522 NMFS Fisheries Statistical Division. *MRIP Data Query*.
- 523 Parker RO. Survival of Released Red Snapper Progress Report., 1985.
- Parsons DM, Eggleston DB. Indirect effects of recreational fishing on behavior of the spiny
 lobster Panulirus argus. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 2005;**303**:235–44.
- Patterson WF, I, Ingram GWJr, Shipp RL *et al.* Indirect estimation of red snapper (Lutjanus
 campechanus) and gray triggerfish (Balistes Capriscus) release mortality. *Proceedings of the Gulf and Caribbean Fisheries Institute* 2002;**53**:526–36.
- Patterson WFI, Watterson J. Carter, Shipp RL *et al.* Movement of Tagged Red Snapper in the
 Northern Gulf of Mexico. *Trans Am Fish Soc* 2001;**130**:533–45.
- Peterson CD, Belcher CN, Bethea DM *et al.* Preliminary recovery of coastal sharks in the south east United States. *Fish and Fisheries* 2017;18:845–59.
- Policansky D. Catch-and-release recreational fishing. In: Pitcher TJ, Hollingworth CE (eds.),
 Recreational Fisheries: Ecological, Economic, and Social Evaluation. Backwell Publishing
 Ltd, 2022.
- Pulver JR. Sink or swim? Factors affecting immediate discard mortality for the Gulf of Mexico
 commercial reef fish fishery. *Fish Res* 2017;**188**:166–72.
- 538 R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. 2020.
- Raby GD, Packer JR, Danylchuk AJ *et al.* The understudied and underappreciated role of
 predation in the mortality of fish released from fishing gears. *Fish and Fisheries*2014;15:489–505.
- 542 Render JH, Wilson CA. HOOK-AND-LINE MORTALITY OF CAUGHT AND RELEASED
 543 RED SNAPPER AROUND OIL AND GAS PLATFORM STRUCTURAL HABITAT. *Bull* 544 *Mar Sci* 1994;55:1106–11.
- Render JH, Wilson CA. Effect of gas bladder deflation on mortality of hook-and-line caught and
 released Red Snappers: implications for management. In: Arreguín-Sánchez F, Munro JL,
- 547 Balgos MC, et al. (eds.), *Biology and Culture of Tropical Groupers and Snappers*.
- 548 Campeche, Mexico: International Center for Living Aquatic Resources Management, 1996,
 549 244–53.
- Rummer JL. Factors Affecting Catch and Release (CAR) Mortality in Fish: Insight into CAR
 Mortality in Red Snapper and the Influence of Catastrophic Decompression. *American Fisheries Society Symposium* 2007;60:113–32.

- Rummer JL, Bennett WA. Physiological Effects of Swim Bladder Overexpansion and
 Catastrophic Decompression on Red Snapper. *Trans Am Fish Soc* 2005;134:1457–70.
- Runde BJ, Bacheler NM, Shertzer KW *et al.* Discard Mortality of Red Snapper Released with
 Descender Devices in the U.S. South Atlantic. *Marine and Coastal Fisheries* 2021;13:478–
 95.
- Sauls B. Relative survival of gags Mycteroperca microlepis released within a recreational hook and-line fishery: Application of the Cox Regression Model to control for heterogeneity in a
 large-scale mark-recapture study. *Fish Res* 2014;**150**:18–27.
- Sauls B, Ayala O, Germeroth R et al. Red Snapper Discard Mortality in Florida's Recreational
 Fisheries., 2017.
- Scyphers SB, Fodrie FJ, Hernandez FJ *et al.* Venting and Reef Fish Survival: Perceptions and
 Participation Rates among Recreational Anglers in the Northern Gulf of Mexico. *N Am J Fish Manag* 2013;**33**:1071–8.
- 566 SEDAR 52. Gulf of Mexico Red Snapper., 2018.
- 567 SEDAR 54. HMS Sandbar Sharks., 2017.
- 568 SEDAR 74. Gulf of Mexico Red Snapper Stock ID Process Report., 2022.
- Stunz GW, Curtis JM, Tompkins A. Techniques for Minimizing Discard Mortality of GOM of
 Mexico Red Snapper and Validating Survival with Acoustic Telemetry., 2017.
- Tompkins AK. Utility of rapid recompression devices in the Gulf of Mexico Red Snapper
 fishery. 2017.
- Vecchio J, Lazarre D, Sauls B et al. A Description of Florida's Gulf Coast Recreational Fishery
 and Release Mortality Estimates for the Central and Eastern Subregions (Mississippi,
 Alabama, and Florida) with Varying Levels of Descender Use., 2022.
- 576 Viechtbauer W. Conducting meta-analyses in R with the metafor package. *J Stat Softw*577 2010;**36**:1–48.
- Wickham H, Chang W, Henry L *et al.* ggplot2: Create elegant data visualisations using the
 grammar of graphics. *ver 336* 2022.
- 580 Wilde GR. Does Venting Promote Survival of Released Fish? *Fisheries (Bethesda)* 2009;34:20–
 581 8.
- 582 Wood CM, Turner JD, Graham MS. Why do fish die after severe exercise? *J Fish Biol*583 1983;22:189–201.
- 584
- 585

586	Acknowledgements: We would like to thank Dr. Dominique Lazarre and Dr. Julie Vecchio for
587	getting this project started. Thanks also to everyone who participated in the SEDAR 74 Discard
588	Mortality working group and provided feedback on this work. Sarina Atkinson and Dr. LaTreese
589	Denson provided the shapefiles for, and Frank DeJohn made Image 1. This work was funded by
590	the state of Florida.
591	
592	
593	
594	
595	
596	
597	
598	
599	
600	
601	
602	
603	
604	
605	
606	
607	
608	
609	

610	Table 1: List of studies used in a meta-analysis of release mortality of red snapper (Lutjanus
611	campechanus) in the Gulf of America for which estimates of mortality are categorized by depth
612	(m); season grouped as not summer (NS) and summer (S); region grouped as east (E), central
613	(C), and west (W); study type (Type) grouped as caged (C), acoustic tag (AT), and passive tag
614	(PT); and release method (RM) grouped as not vented (NV), some fish vented (SV), all (total)
615	fish vented (TV), and descended (D). The number of fish included in each estimate (n) and the
616	number of "dead" and "alive" fish used to calculate the mortality are also shown.

Mortality	n	Dead	Alive	Depth	Season	Region	Туре	RM	Study
0.37	8	3	5	30	NS	С	AT	D	Bohaboy et al. 2020
0.78	9	7	2	30	NS	С	AT	NV	Bohaboy et al. 2020
0.23	22	5	17	30	S	С	AT	D	Bohaboy et al. 2020
0.33	18	6	12	30	S	С	AT	NV	Bohaboy et al. 2020
0.35	17	6	11	50	NS	С	AT	D	Bohaboy et al. 2020
0.56	16	9	7	50	NS	С	AT	NV	Bohaboy et al. 2020
0.57	14	8	6	50	S	С	AT	D	Bohaboy et al. 2020
0.80	10	8	2	50	S	С	AT	NV	Bohaboy et al. 2020
0.00	7	0	7	30	NS	W	AT	D	Curtis et al. 2015
0.25	8	2	6	30	NS	W	AT	NV	Curtis et al. 2015
0.14	7	1	6	30	NS	W	AT	ΤV	Curtis et al. 2015
0.33	6	2	4	50	NS	W	AT	D	Curtis et al. 2015
0.33	6	2	4	50	NS	W	AT	D	Curtis et al. 2015
0.40	10	4	6	50	NS	W	AT	NV	Curtis et al. 2015
0.22	9	2	7	50	NS	W	AT	NV	Curtis et al. 2015
0.40	5	2	3	50	NS	W	AT	ΤV	Curtis et al. 2015
0.17	6	1	5	50	S	W	AT	D	Curtis et al. 2015
0.87	7	6	1	50	S	W	AT	NV	Curtis et al. 2015
0.25	4	1	3	50	S	W	AT	ΤV	Curtis et al. 2015
0.13	30	4	26	30	NS	W	С	ΤV	Diamond & Campbell 2009
0.42	47	20	27	30	S	W	С	ΤV	Diamond & Campbell 2009
0.34	32	11	21	40	NS	W	С	ΤV	Diamond & Campbell 2009
0.42	56	24	32	40	S	W	С	ΤV	Diamond & Campbell 2009
0.44	36	16	20	50	NS	W	С	SV	Diamond & Campbell 2009
0.69	24	17	7	50	S	W	С	SV	Diamond & Campbell 2009
0.13	8	1	7	50	NS	W		NV	Diamond et al. 2011
0.50	8	4	4	50	NS	W			Diamond et al. 2011
0.75	8	6	2	50	S	W		SV	Diamond et al. 2011
0.33	9	3	6	50	S	W			Diamond et al. 2011
0.21	14	3	11	22	NS		С		Parker et al. 1987
0.11	30	3	27	30	S		С		Parker et al. 1987
0.20	282	56	226	21	NS	Е	С	SV	Render & Wilson 1996
0.05	42	2	40	27.5	NS	W	С	NV	Render & Wilson 1996
0.08	39	3	36	27.5	NS	W	С	ΤV	Render & Wilson 1996

0.06	50	3	47	35	NS	W	С	NV	Render & Wilson 1996
0.04	49	2	47	35	NS	W	С	ΤV	Render & Wilson 1996
0.12	26	3	23	43.5	NS	W	С	NV	Render & Wilson 1996
0.00	20	0	20	43.5	NS	W	С	ΤV	Render & Wilson 1996
0.09	35	3	32	51.5	NS	W	С	NV	Render & Wilson 1996
0.07	30	2	28	51.5	NS	W	С	ΤV	Render & Wilson 1996
0.20	10	2	8	55	NS	W	С	NV	Render & Wilson 1996
0.00	7	0	7	55	NS	W	Ċ	ΤV	Render & Wilson 1996
0.08	36	3	33	37	S		AT	D	Runde et al. 2021
0.00	7	0	7	40	NS	W	AT	D	Stunz et al. 2017
0.00	10	Ő	10	40	NS	W	AT	NV	Stunz et al. 2017
0.11	9	ĩ	8	40	NS	W	AT	TV	Stunz et al. 2017
0.12	8	1	7	40	S	W	AT	D	Stunz et al. 2017
0.33	6	2	4	40	Š	W	AT	NV	Stunz et al. 2017
0.33	7	3	4	40	S	W	AT	TV	Stunz et al. 2017
0.00	8	0	8	60	NS	W	AT	D	Stunz et al 2017
0.00	8	2	6	60	NS	W	AT	NV	Stunz et al 2017
0.25	7	$\frac{2}{2}$	5	60	NS	W	AT	TV	Stunz et al 2017
0.27	9	6	3	60	S	W	AT	D	Stunz et al. 2017
0.67	5	3	2	60	S	W		NV	Stunz et al 2017
0.00	5 7	1	6	60	S	W		TV	Stunz et al. 2017
0.10	14	2	12	29	S	W			Tompkins 2017
0.14	17	5	7	30	S	W		D D	Tompkins 2017
0.42	12	5 7	7	<i>4</i> 0	S	W		D D	Tompkins 2017
0.50	14	8	6	-19 58	S	W W		D	Tompkins 2017
0.56	14	13	2	20 81	S	W		D D	Tompkins 2017
0.80	10	8	2 41	10	NS	C C	DT	SV	Vecchio et al. 2022
0.10	227	0 12	104	10	NS	E		SV	Vecchio et al. 2022
0.10	237	43 1	27	10	ris c	C		SV	Vecchio et al. 2022
0.14	30	4	27	10	S	E E	PT	SV	Vecchio et al. 2022
0.21	2007	760	2778	25	NS	C		SV	Vecchio et al. 2022
0.19	252	709 84	268	25	NS	E		SV	Vecchio et al. 2022
0.24	1250	04 251	200	25	201	C E	T I DT	SV	Vecchio et al. 2022
0.20	161	231 44	117	25	s s	E	DT	SV	Vecchio et al. 2022
0.28	6150	1277	117	25	NS	C		SV	Vecchio et al. 2022
0.22	062	270	683	35	NG	E E	T I DT	SV	Vecchio et al. 2022
0.29	902 1766	217	1200	25	C IND	E C		SV	Vecchio et al. 2022
0.21	205	207 24	211	25	S S	C E		SV	Vecchio et al. 2022
0.29	293 1147	04 272	211	55 45	S NS	E C	F I DT	SV	Vecchio et al. 2022
0.24	005	275	621	45	NG	C E		SV	Vecchio et al. 2022
0.30	222	204	257	45	C IND	E C		SV	Vecchio et al. 2022
0.25	222	70 96	207	43	S C	C E		SV	Vecchio et al. 2022
0.30	288	80 100	202	43	S NG	E		SV SV	Vecchio et al. 2022
0.20	382 586	100	202 405	55	INS NC	C E		SV	Vecchio et al. 2022
0.31	280 129	181	405	33 55	IN 5	E		SV	Vecchio et al. 2022
0.23	128	29	99	33 55	5 5	C E		SV	Vecchio et al. 2022
0.24	139	34 20	105	33 (5	S NG			5 V 6 V	veccnio et al. 2022
0.31	125	58	8/	65	NS NG	C E		SV	vecchio et al. 2022
0.32	151	48	103	65	NS	E C		SV	vecchio et al. 2022
0.28	18	5	15	65	5			SV	veccnio et al. 2022
0.31	21	6	15	65	S	E		SV	vecchio et al. 2022
0.24	60	15	45	15	NS	C	PT	SV	vecchio et al. 2022

0.	30 1	2	4	8	75	NS	Е	PT	SV	Vecchio et al. 2022
0.	25	3	1	2	75	S	С	PT	SV	Vecchio et al. 2022
0.	36 2	21	8	13	75	S	E	PT	SV	Vecchio et al. 2022
0.	35 3	33	12	21	85	NS	С	PT	SV	Vecchio et al. 2022
0.	34 3	36	12	24	85	NS	E	PT	SV	Vecchio et al. 2022
0.	13 7	71	9	62	27.5		W	AT	D	Williams-Grove 2015
0.	29 8	36	25	61	27.5		W	AT	D	Williams-Grove 2015



Image 1. Map of the Gulf of America (formerly known as the Gulf of Mexico) used for the

621 SEDAR 74 and in the process of being used for SEDAR 98. Three subregions were chosen (east,

622 central, and west), separated by the red lines. Image from SEDAR74 Stock ID process final

623 report. The fishing zones shown here are National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) fishing

- areas, divided into 21 statistical fishing zones in the Gulf of America.
- 625 <u>https://sedarweb.org/documents/sedar-74-gulf-of-mexico-red-snapper-stock-id-process-final-</u>
 626 <u>report/</u>

632 **Supplementary Materials** 633 Methods 634 Data from studies where only immediate mortality was measured (Table 1) had depths 635 ranging from 0-90m. Half of the studies were from the western region (defined as Texas and 636 Louisiana to MRIP statistical zone 13), one was from the central region (defined as the rest of 637 Louisiana, Mississippi, Alabama, and the panhandle of Florida through MRIP statistical zone 7 638 and Levy County), and three did not specify region. Regions defined here are stock assessment 639 regions for SEDAR 74(Image 1; SEDAR 74, 2022). Estimates that reported season were split 640 almost evenly between summer and not summer and only two studies did not include season. 641 Summer was defined as fish captured from June – September. Only 4 estimates descended fish, 642 23 vented fish, and 27 did not vent. Five studies used J hooks to catch fish, whereas the rest used 643 circle hooks or a combination of hook types. 644 645 646 Table S1. A list of studies with estimates of immediate release mortality of red snapper 647 (Lutjanus campechanus) in the Gulf of Mexico. Estimates of mortality are categorized into depth 648 (m); fleet type grouped as recreational (Rec) and commercial (Com); season grouped as not 649 summer (NS) and summer (S); region grouped as east (E), central (C), and west (W); hook type 650 grouped as circle (C) or J hook (J); and release method (RM) grouped as not vented (NV), some 651 fish vented (SV), all (total) fish vented (TV), and descended (D). The number of fish included in 652 each estimate (n) and the number of "dead" and "alive" fish used to calculate the mortality are 653 also shown.

Mortality	n	Dead	Alive	Depth	Fleet	Season	Region	Hook	RM	Study
0.00	7	0	7	30	Rec	NS	W	С	D	Curtis 2015
0.20	10	2	8	30	Rec	NS	W	С	NV	Curtis 2015
0.11	9	1	8	30	Rec	NS	W	С	TV	Curtis 2015
0.00	8	0	8	50	Rec	NS	W	С	D	Curtis 2015
0.30	10	3	7	50	Rec	NS	W	С	NV	Curtis 2015
0.00	8	0	8	50	Rec	NS	W	С	TV	Curtis 2015
0.00	6	0	6	50	Rec	S	W	С	D	Curtis 2015
0.57	7	4	3	50	Rec	S	W	С	NV	Curtis 2015
0.25	4	1	3	50	Rec	S	W	С	TV	Curtis 2015
0.00	6	0	6	50	Rec	NS	W	С	D	Curtis 2015
0.22	9	2	7	50	Rec	NS	W	С	NV	Curtis 2015
0.21	137	29	108	30	Rec	NS	W	С	TV	Campbell et al. 2010
0.23	137	31	106	30	Rec	S	W	С	TV	Campbell et al. 2010
0.21	282	60	222	60	Rec	NS	W	С	TV	Campbell et al. 2010
0.26	282	73	209	60	Rec	S	W	С	TV	Campbell et al. 2010
0.28	25	7	18	10	Rec	S	W	J	NV	Dorf 2003
0.28	425	120	305	15	Rec	S	W	J	NV	Dorf 2003
0.27	825	225	600	20	Rec	S	W	J	NV	Dorf 2003
0.41	525	215	310	25	Rec	S	W	J	NV	Dorf 2003
0.47	225	106	119	30	Rec	S	W	J	NV	Dorf 2003
0.15	100	15	85	35	Rec	S	W	J	NV	Dorf 2003
0.40	155	62	93	40	Rec	S	W	J	NV	Dorf 2003
0.63	280	176	104	45	Rec	S	W	J	NV	Dorf 2003
0.61	105	64	41	50	Rec	S	W	J	NV	Dorf 2003
0.58	240	139	101	55	Rec	S	W	J	NV	Dorf 2003
0.38	125	48	78	60	Rec	S	W	J	NV	Dorf 2003
0.37	50	19	32	65	Rec	S	W	J	NV	Dorf 2003
0.33	10	3	7	70	Rec	S	W	J	NV	Dorf 2003
0.23	75	17	58	75	Rec	S	W	J	NV	Dorf 2003
0.47	100	47	53	80	Rec	S	W	J	NV	Dorf 2003
0.56	30	17	13	95	Rec	S	W	J	NV	Dorf 2003
0.01	140	1	120	24	ъ	NG		т		Gitschlag and Renaud
0.01	140	1	139	24	Rec	NS		J	IV	1994 Gitschlag and Penaud
0.10	31	3	28	30	Rec	NS		J	ΤV	1994
	-	-	-							Gitschlag and Renaud
0.44	61	27	34	40	Rec	NS		J	ΤV	1994
0.70	40	28	12	10	Com	NS	W	С	NV	Nieland et al. 2007
0.25	465	117	348	20	Com	NS	W	С	NV	Nieland et al. 2007
0.68	789	537	252	30	Com	NS	W	С	NV	Nieland et al. 2007
0.74	814	602	212	40	Com	NS	W	С	NV	Nieland et al. 2007
0.74	1638	1219	419	50	Com	NS	W	С	NV	Nieland et al. 2007
0.69	464	322	142	60	Com	NS	W	С	NV	Nieland et al. 2007
0.78	404	316	88	70	Com	NS	W	С	NV	Nieland et al. 2007
0.89	88	78	10	80	Com	NS	W	С	NV	Nieland et al. 2007

0.91

0.09

0.14

0.18

0.03

0.06

0.07

0.12

0.04

0.10

0.13

0.17

0.05

0.16

0.16

0.20

0.24

68

1064

856

1012

138

31

52

221

375

196

264

563

65

107

44

60

34465

62

96

120

182

4

2

4

27

15

20

34

96

3

17

7

12

8272

6

968

736

830

134

29

48

194

360

176

230

467

62

90

37

48

26193

90

21

27

32

30

30

30

30

35

35

35

35

40

40

40

40

Com

Rec

Com

NS

NS

NS

S

NS

NS

NS

S

NS

NS

NS

S

NS

W

С

С

С

С

J

J

J

J

J

J

J

J

J

J

J

J

J

J

J

NV

TV

ΤV

TV

TV

ΤV

TV

ΤV

ΤV

TV

TV

ΤV

ΤV

ΤV

TV

ΤV

Nieland et al. 2007

Patterson et al. 2001

Pulver 2017

655

656

658 Table S2. A reduced list of studies used in a meta-analysis to assess how release method effects 659 discard mortality of red snapper (Lutjanus campechanus) in the Gulf of Mexico. This subsetted 660 dataset includes only studies where release method was explicitly tested in the study and release 661 method (RM) is clearly defined as no barotrauma mitigation (S – surface release), vented (V), or descended (D). An additional laboratory study addressing this question was included (Drumhiller 662 663 et al. 2014). Estimates of mortality are categorized into depth in meters, season grouped as not 664 summer (NS) and summer (S), region grouped as east (E), central (C), and west (W), and study 665 type (ST) grouped as caged (C), acoustic tag (AT), and passive tag (PT). The number of fish included in each estimate (n) and the number of "dead" and "alive" fish used to calculate the 666 667 mortality are also shown.

Mortality	n	dead	alive	Depth	Season	ST	Region	RM	Study
0.778	9	7	2	30	NS	AT	С	S	Bohaboy et al. 2020
0.376	8	3	5	30	NS	AT	С	D	Bohaboy et al. 2020
0.335	18	6	12	30	S	AT	С	S	Bohaboy et al. 2020
0.227	22	5	17	30	S	AT	С	D	Bohaboy et al. 2020
0.563	16	9	7	55	NS	AT	С	S	Bohaboy et al. 2020
0.353	17	6	11	55	NS	AT	С	D	Bohaboy et al. 2020
0.8	10	8	2	55	S	AT	С	S	Bohaboy et al. 2020
0.571	14	8	6	55	S	AT	С	D	Bohaboy et al. 2020
0	7	0	7	30	NS	AT	W	D	Curtis et al. 2015
0.333	6	2	4	50	NS	AT	W	D	Curtis et al. 2015
0.167	6	1	5	50	S	AT	W	D	Curtis et al. 2015
0.333	6	2	4	50	NS	AT	W	D	Curtis et al. 2015
0.14286	7	1	6	30	NS	AT	W	V	Curtis et al. 2015
0.25	4	1	3	50	S	AT	W	V	Curtis et al. 2015
0.4	5	2	3	50	NS	AT	W	V	Curtis et al. 2015
0.25	8	2	6	30	NS	AT	W	S	Curtis et al. 2015
0.222	9	2	7	50	NS	AT	W	S	Curtis et al. 2015
0.875	7	6	1	50	S	AT	W	S	Curtis et al. 2015
0.25	8	2	6	50	NS	AT	W	S	Curtis et al. 2015
0	6	0	6	30		С		D	Drumhiller et al. 2014
0.1667	6	1	5	60		С		D	Drumhiller et al. 2014
0	6	0	6	30		С		V	Drumhiller et al. 2014
0	6	0	6	60		С		V	Drumhiller et al. 2014
0.333	6	2	4	30		С		S	Drumhiller et al. 2014
0.833	6	5	1	60		С		S	Drumhiller et al. 2014
0.125	8	1	7	40	S	AT	W	D	Stunz et al. 2017
0.3333	6	2	4	40	S	AT	W	S	Stunz et al. 2017
0.42857	7	3	4	40	S	AT	W	V	Stunz et al. 2017
0.6667	9	6	3	60	S	AT	W	D	Stunz et al. 2017
0.6	5	3	2	60	S	AT	W	S	Stunz et al. 2017
0.14286	7	1	6	60	S	AT	W	V	Stunz et al. 2017
0	7	0	7	40	NS	AT	W	D	Stunz et al. 2017
0	10	0	10	40	NS	AT	W	S	Stunz et al. 2017
0.1111	9	1	8	40	NS	AT	W	V	Stunz et al. 2017
0	8	0	8	60	NS	AT	W	D	Stunz et al. 2017
0.25	8	2	6	60	NS	AT	W	S	Stunz et al. 2017
0.2857	7	2	5	60	NS	AT	W	V	Stunz et al. 2017
0.5	, 14	- 7	7	50	S	AT	W	Ď	Tompkins 2017
0.14286	14	2	12	30	S	AT	W	D	Tompkins 2017
0.16667	12	$\frac{2}{2}$	10	40	S	AT	W	D	Tompkins 2017
0.10007	14	2 0	5	60	S		W	Л	Tompkins 2017
0.04200	лт 0	7	2	50	S	ΔT	W	Л	Diamond et al 2011
0.5	4	2	2	50	S	AT	W	V	Diamond et al. 2011

1	12	12	0	50	S	AT	W	S	Diamond et al. 2011
0.625	8	5	3	50	NS	AT	W	D	Diamond et al. 2011
0.25	8	2	6	50	NS	AT	W	S	Diamond et al. 2011
0.13	71	9	62	27.5		AT	С	D	Williams-Grove 2015
0.291	86	25	61	27.5		AT	С	D	Williams-Grove 2015
0.083	36	3	33	37	NS	AT		D	Runde et al. 2021

669

Results

670 **Table S3.** Shown are the results of the analysis focused on generating discard mortality estimates

671 of Red Snapper (*Lutjanus campechanus*) using only mortality estimates that have combined

672 immediate and delayed morality. The best fit model included depth of capture (m), release

673 method (descended, vented, or surface release), regions of the Gulf of Mexico (western, central,

674 or eastern), season (summer or not summer) and an interaction between region and season. Study

and study type (cage, passive tag, acoustic tag) were included as random effects.

	estimate	se	z value	p value	lower CI	upper CI
Intercept	-1.3519	0.4426	-3.0542	0.0023	-2.2194	-0.4844
Depth	0.0123	0.0016	7.7005	<.0001	0.0092	0.0154
Descending	-0.3878	0.2456	-1.5789	0.1144	-0.8692	0.0936
Some Venting	-1.1951	1.2988	-0.9202	0.3575	-3.7408	1.3505
Total Venting	-0.3812	0.3447	-1.1059	0.2688	-1.0568	0.2944
Central Region	0.8477	0.4438	0.8700	0.3843	-1.0620	2.7575
Eastern Region	1.1237	0.4451	1.1524	0.2492	-0.7875	3.0349
Summer	0.7557	0.1286	3.2746	0.0011	0.3034	1.2080
Central Region * Summer	-0.8014	0.1367	-3.4045	0.0007	-1.2628	-0.3400
Eastern Region * Summer	-0.7426	0.1521	-3.0353	0.0024	-1.2222	-0.2631

676

677

678

680	Table S4. Shown are the results of an analysis focused on estimating how discard mortality
681	estimates of Red Snapper (Lutjanus campechanus) differ when mortality estimates include only
682	immediate estimates of discard mortality or have a combination of immediate and delayed
683	discard mortality (Delayed). The best fit model also included depth of capture (m), fleet type
684	(recreational or commercial), release method (descended, vented, or surface release), hook type
685	(circle or J hook), regions of the Gulf of Mexico (western, central, or eastern), season (summer
686	or not summer), and an interaction between region and season. Study and study type (cage,

	estimate	se	z value	p value	lower CI	upper CI
Intercept	-0.1965	0.9003	-0.2182	0.8272	-1.9610	1.5680
Delayed	0.5061	0.2460	2.0571	0.0397	0.0239	0.9882
Depth	0.0176	0.0011	16.7422	<.0001	0.0155	0.0197
Recreational Fleet	-1.9406	0.8654	-2.2424	0.0249	-3.6367	-0.2444
Descending	-0.5366	0.2115	-2.5374	0.0112	-0.9511	-0.1221
Venting	-0.2784	0.2215	-1.2569	0.2088	-0.7126	0.1558
Circle Hook	-0.0634	0.4411	-0.1438	0.8856	-0.9279	0.8010
Central Region	0.3162	0.6577	0.4808	0.6307	-0.9729	1.6054
Eastern Region	0.5534	0.6586	0.8402	0.4008	-0.7375	1.8442
Summer	0.4037	0.1287	3.1373	0.0017	0.1515	0.6559
Central Region * Summer	-0.4432	0.1368	-3.2390	0.0012	-0.7114	-0.1750
Eastern Region * Summer	-0.3828	0.1522	-2.5151	0.0119	-0.6811	-0.0845

687 passive tag, acoustic tag) were included as random effects.

693 **Table S5.** Shown are the results of the analysis focused on estimating how barotrauma

694 mitigation affects discard mortality estimates of Red Snapper (*Lutjanus campechanus*). The best

695 fit model included depth of capture (m), release method (descended, vented, or surface release),

696 season (summer or not summer), and an interaction between depth and season. Study was

697 included as a random effect.

	estimate	se	z value	p value	lower CI	upper CI
Intercept	-2.8921	0.6669	-4.3366	<.0001	-4.1992	-1.5850
Depth	0.0658	0.0134	4.9213	<.0001	0.0396	0.0920
Descending	-0.6196	0.2344	-2.6431	0.0082	-1.0791	-0.1601
Venting	-0.6437	0.3232	-1.9914	0.0464	-1.2771	-0.0102
Not Summer	2.3009	0.9783	2.3521	0.0187	0.3836	4.2183
Depth * Not Summer	-0.0602	0.0203	-2.9636	0.0030	-0.1001	-0.0204

698



700

Figure S1: A) When assessing the effects of the inclusion of delayed mortality when estimating discard mortality of Red Snapper (*Lutjanus campechanus*), delayed mortality significantly increased the predicted discard mortality in the Gulf of Mexico (estimate= $0.51, z_{15,139}=2.06$, p<0.05). At the average fishing depth of the tested studies (45m) the estimated mortality is 35% for studies include delayed mortality (immediate & delayed) compared to 26% for studies that do not (immediate only). Points are the calculated mean effect sizes and error bars represent the 95% confidence interval.